Chapter 3

Module Documentation

3.1 Weighted Constraint Satisfaction Problem file format (wcsp)

It is a text format composed of a list of numerical and string terms separated by spaces. Instead of using names for making reference to variables, variable indexes are employed. The same for domain values. All indexes start at zero

Cost functions can be defined in intention (see below) or in extension, by their list of tuples. A default cost value is defined per function in order to reduce the size of the list. Only tuples with a different cost value should be given (not mandatory). All the cost values must be positive. The arity of a cost function in extension may be equal to zero. In this case, there is no tuples and the default cost value is added to the cost of any solution. This can be used to represent a global lower bound constant of the problem.

The wcsp file format is composed of three parts: a problem header, the list of variable domain sizes, and the list of cost functions.

· Header definition for a given problem:

```
<Problem name>
<Number of variables (N)>
<Maximum domain size>
<Number of cost functions>
<Initial global upper bound of the problem (UB)>
```

The goal is to find an assignment of all the variables with minimum total cost, strictly lower than UB. Tuples with a cost greater than or equal to UB are forbidden (hard constraint).

· Definition of domain sizes

```
<Domain size of variable with index 0> \dots <Domain size of variable with index N - 1>
```

Note

domain values range from zero to $\it size-1$ a negative domain size is interpreted as a variable with an interval domain in $[0, -\it size-1]$

Warning

variables with interval domains are restricted to arithmetic and disjunctive cost functions in intention (see below)

- · General definition of cost functions
 - Definition of a cost function in extension

8 Module Documentation

```
<Arity of the cost function>
<Index of the first variable in the scope of the cost function>
...
<Index of the last variable in the scope of the cost function>
<Default cost value>
<Number of tuples with a cost different than the default cost>
```

followed by for every tuple with a cost different than the default cost:

```
<Index of the value assigned to the first variable in the scope>
...
<Index of the value assigned to the last variable in the scope>
<Cost of the tuple>
```

Note

Shared cost function: A cost function in extension can be shared by several cost functions with the same arity (and same domain sizes) but different scopes. In order to do that, the cost function to be shared must start by a negative scope size. Each shared cost function implicitly receives an occurrence number starting from 1 and incremented at each new shared definition. New cost functions in extension can reuse some previously defined shared cost functions in extension by using a negative number of tuples representing the occurrence number of the desired shared cost function. Note that default costs should be the same in the shared and new cost functions. Here is an example of 4 variables with domain size 4 and one AllDifferent hard constraint decomposed into 6 binary constraints.

- Shared CF used inside a small example in wcsp format:

 Definition of a cost function in intension by replacing the default cost value by -1 and by giving its keyword name and its K parameters

```
<Arity of the cost function>
<Index of the first variable in the scope of the cost function>
...
<Index of the last variable in the scope of the cost function>
-1
<keyword>
<parameter1>
...
<parameterK>
```

Possible keywords of cost functions defined in intension followed by their specific parameters:

- >= cst delta to express soft binary constraint $x \ge y + cst$ with associated cost function $max((y + cst x \le delta)?(y + cst x) : UB, 0)$
- > cst delta to express soft binary constraint x > y + cst with associated cost function $max((y + cst + 1 x \le delta)?(y + cst + 1 x) : UB, 0)$
- <= cst delta to express soft binary constraint $x \le y + cst$ with associated cost function $max((x cst y \le delta)?(x cst y) : UB, 0)$
- < cst delta to express soft binary constraint x < y + cst with associated cost function $max((x cst + 1 y \le delta)?(x cst + 1 y) : UB, 0)$
- = cst delta to express soft binary constraint x = y + cst with associated cost function $(|y + cst x| \le delta)$?|y + cst x| : UB
- disj cstx csty penalty to express soft binary disjunctive constraint $x \ge y + csty \lor y \ge x + cstx$ with associated cost function $(x \ge y + csty \lor y \ge x + cstx)$?0: penalty

- sdisj cstx csty xinfty yinfty costx costy to express a special disjunctive constraint with three implicit hard constraints $x \le xinfty$ and $y \le yinfty$ and $x < xinfty \land y < yinfty \Rightarrow (x \ge y + csty \lor y \ge x + cstx)$ and an additional cost function ((x = xinfty)?costx : 0) + ((y = yinfty)?costy : 0)
- Global cost functions using a flow-based propagator:
 - salldiff var|dec|decbi cost to express a soft alldifferent constraint with either variable-based (var keyword) or decomposition-based (dec and decbi keywords) cost semantic with a given cost per violation (decbi decomposes into a binary cost function complete network)
 - sgcc var|dec|wdec cost nb_values (value lower_bound upper_bound (shortage_weight excess_weight)?)* to express a soft global cardinality constraint with either variable-based (var keyword) or decomposition-based (dec keyword) cost semantic with a given cost per violation and for each value its lower and upper bound (if wdec then violation cost depends on each value shortage or excess weights)
 - ssame cost list_size1 list_size2 (variable_index)* (variable_index)* to express a permutation constraint
 on two lists of variables of equal size (implicit variable-based cost semantic)
 - sregular var|edit cost nb_states nb_initial_states (state)* nb_final_states (state)* nb_transitions (start_state symbol_value end_state)* to express a soft regular constraint with either variable-based (var keyword) or edit distance-based (edit keyword) cost semantic with a given cost per violation followed by the definition of a deterministic finite automaton with number of states, list of initial and final states, and list of state transitions where symbols are domain values
- Global cost functions using a dynamic programming DAG-based propagator:
 - sregulardp var cost nb_states nb_initial_states (state)* nb_final_states (state)* nb_transitions (start_state symbol_value end_state)* to express a soft regular constraint with a variable-based (var keyword) cost semantic with a given cost per violation followed by the definition of a deterministic finite automaton with number of states, list of initial and final states, and list of state transitions where symbols are domain values
 - sgrammar|sgrammardp var|weight cost nb_symbols nb_values start_symbol nb_rules ((0 terminal_symbol value)|(1 nonterminal_in nonterminal_out_left nonterminal_out_right)|(2 terminal_symbol value weight)|(3 nonterminal_in nonterminal_out_left nonterminal_out_right weight))* to express a soft/weighted grammar in Chomsky normal form
 - samong|samongdp var cost lower_bound upper_bound nb_values (value)* to express a soft among constraint to restrict the number of variables taking their value into a given set of values
 - salldiffdp var cost to express a soft alldifferent constraint with variable-based (var keyword) cost semantic
 with a given cost per violation (decomposes into samongdp cost functions)
 - sgccdp var cost nb_values (value lower_bound upper_bound)* to express a soft global cardinality constraint with variable-based (var keyword) cost semantic with a given cost per violation and for each value its lower and upper bound (decomposes into samongdp cost functions)
 - max|smaxdp defCost nbtuples (variable value cost)* to express a weighted max cost function to find the
 maximum cost over a set of unary cost functions associated to a set of variables (by default, defCost if
 unspecified)
 - MST|smstdp hard to express a spanning tree hard constraint where each variable is assigned to its
 parent variable index in order to build a spanning tree (the root being assigned to itself)
- Global cost functions using a cost function network-based propagator:
 - wregular nb_states nb_initial_states (state and cost)* nb_final_states (state and cost)* nb_transitions (start_state symbol_value end_state cost)* to express a weighted regular constraint with weights on initial states, final states, and transitions, followed by the definition of a deterministic finite automaton with number of states, list of initial and final states with their costs, and list of weighted state transitions where symbols are domain values
 - walldiff hard lin quad cost to express a soft all different constraint as a set of wamong hard constraint (hard keyword) or decomposition-based (lin and quad keywords) cost semantic with a given cost per violation
 - wgcc hard|lin|quad cost nb_values (value lower_bound upper_bound)* to express a soft global cardinality constraint as either a hard constraint (hard keyword) or with decomposition-based (lin and quad keyword) cost semantic with a given cost per violation and for each value its lower and upper bound

10 Module Documentation

 wsame hard lin quad cost to express a permutation constraint on two lists of variables of equal size (implicitly concatenated in the scope) using implicit decomposition-based cost semantic

- wsamegcc hard lin quad cost nb_values (value lower_bound upper_bound)* to express the combination
 of a soft global cardinality constraint and a permutation constraint
- wamong hard|lin|quad cost nb_values (value)* lower_bound upper_bound to express a soft among constraint to restrict the number of variables taking their value into a given set of values
- wvaramong hard cost nb_values (value)* to express a hard among constraint to restrict the number of variables taking their value into a given set of values to be equal to the last variable in the scope
- woverlap hard|lin|quad cost comparator righthandside overlaps between two sequences of variables X,
 Y (i.e. set the fact that Xi and Yi take the same value (not equal to zero))
- wsum hard lin quad cost comparator righthandside to express a soft sum constraint with unit coefficients
 to test if the sum of a set of variables matches with a given comparator and right-hand-side value
- wvarsum hard cost comparator to express a hard sum constraint to restrict the sum to be comparator to the value of the last variable in the scope

Let us note <> the comparator, K the right-hand-side value associated to the comparator, and Sum the result of the sum over the variables. For each comparator, the gap is defined according to the distance as follows:

```
* if <> is == : gap = abs(K - Sum)
* if <> is <= : gap = max(0,Sum - K)
* if <> is < : gap = max(0,Sum - K - 1)
* if <> is != : gap = 1 if Sum != K and gap = 0 otherwise
* if <> is > : gap = max(0,K - Sum + 1);
* if <> is >= : gap = max(0,K - Sum);
```

Warning

The decomposition of wsum and wvarsum may use an exponential size (sum of domain sizes). list_size1 and list_size2 must be equal in ssame.

Cost functions defined in intention cannot be shared.

Note

More about network-based global cost functions can be found here https://metivier.users.-greyc.fr/decomposable/

Examples:

• quadratic cost function x0*x1 in extension with variable domains $\{0,1\}$ (equivalent to a soft clause $\neg x0 \lor \neg x1$):

```
2 0 1 0 1 1 1 1
```

• simple arithmetic hard constraint x1 < x2:

```
2 1 2 -1 < 0 0
```

• hard temporal disjunction $x1 > x2 + 2 \lor x2 > x1 + 1$:

```
2 1 2 -1 disj 1 2 UB
```

soft alldifferent((x0,x1,x2,x3)):

```
4 0 1 2 3 -1 salldiff var 1
```

soft_gcc({x1,x2,x3,x4}) with each value v from 1 to 4 only appearing at least v-1 and at most v+1 times:

```
4 1 2 3 4 -1 sgcc var 1 4 1 0 2 2 1 3 3 2 4 4 3 5
             • soft_same({x0,x1,x2,x3},{x4,x5,x6,x7}):
                     8 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 -1 ssame 1 4 4 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
              • soft regular({x1,x2,x3,x4}) with DFA (3*)+(4*):
                     4 1 2 3 4 -1 sregular var 1 2 1 0 2 0 1 3 0 3 0 0 4 1 1 4 1
              • soft_grammar({x0,x1,x2,x3}) with hard cost (1000) producing well-formed parenthesis expressions:
                     4 0 1 2 3 -1 sgrammardp var 1000 4 2 0 6 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 1 0 1 3 1 2 0 3 0 1 0 0 3 1
             \bullet \ \ \mathsf{soft\_among}(\{\mathsf{x1},\mathsf{x2},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4}\}) \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{hard} \ \mathsf{cost} \ (\mathsf{1000}) \ \mathsf{if} \ \Sigma_{i=1}^4(x_i \in \{1,2\}) < 1 \ \mathsf{or} \ \Sigma_{i=1}^4(x_i \in \{1,2\}) > 3 \\ : \ \ \mathsf{xupper}(\{\mathsf{x1},\mathsf{x2},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4}\}) \ \mathsf{vupper}(\{\mathsf{x1},\mathsf{x2},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4}\}) \ \mathsf{vupper}(\{\mathsf{x1},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4}\}) \ \mathsf{vupper}(\{\mathsf{x1},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4}\}) \ \mathsf{vupper}(\{\mathsf{x1},\mathsf{x3},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4},\mathsf{x4}
                     4 1 2 3 4 -1 samongdp var 1000 1 3 2 1 2
             • soft max(\{x0,x1,x2,x3\}) with cost equal to \max_{i=0}^{3}((x_{i}!=i)?1000:(4-i)):
                     4 0 1 2 3 -1 smaxdp 1000 4 0 0 4 1 1 3 2 2 2 3 3 1
              wregular({x0,x1,x2,x3}) with DFA (0(10)*2*):
                     4 0 1 2 3 -1 wregular 3 1 0 0 1 2 0 9 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 2 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 2 2 0 1 0 2 1 1 1 2
              • wamong ({x1,x2,x3,x4}) with hard cost (1000) if \sum_{i=1}^4 (x_i \in \{1,2\}) < 1 or \sum_{i=1}^4 (x_i \in \{1,2\}) > 3:
                     4 1 2 3 4 -1 wamong hard 1000 2 1 2 1 3
              • wvaramong (\{x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\}) with hard cost (1000) if \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x_i \in \{1,2\}) \neq x_4:
                     4 1 2 3 4 -1 wvaramong hard 1000 2 1 2
              • woverlap(\{x1,x2,x3,x4\}) with hard cost (1000) if \sum_{i=1}^{2} (x_i = x_{i+2}) \ge 1:
                     4 1 2 3 4 -1 woverlap hard 1000 < 1
             • wsum ({x1,x2,x3,x4}) with hard cost (1000) if \sum_{i=1}^{4} (x_i) \neq 4:
                    4\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ -1\ \text{wsum hard}\ 1000\ ==\ 4
              • wvarsum ({x1,x2,x3,x4}) with hard cost (1000) if \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x_i) \neq x_4:
                     4 1 2 3 4 -1 wvarsum hard 1000 ==
Latin Square 4 x 4 crisp CSP example in wcsp format:
latin4 16 4 8 1
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
4 0 1 2 3 -1 salldiff var 1
 4 4 5 6 7 -1 salldiff var 1
 4 8 9 10 11 -1 salldiff var 1
4 12 13 14 15 -1 salldiff var 1
4 0 4 8 12 -1 salldiff var 1
4 1 5 9 13 -1 salldiff var 1
```

4-queens binary weighted CSP example with random unary costs in wcsp format:

4 2 6 10 14 -1 salldiff var 1 4 3 7 11 15 -1 salldiff var 1

```
4-WQUEENS 4 4 10 5
4 4 4 4
2 0 1 0 10 0
0 5
0 1 5
1 0 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 1 5
2 2 5
2 3 5
3 2 5
3 2 5
3 3 5
2 0 2 0 8
0 0 5
0 2 5
1 1 5
1 3 5
2 0 5
2 2 5
3 1 5
3 3 5
2 0 3 0 6
0 0 5
0 3 5
3 3 5
2 0 10
0 0 5
0 1 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 2 5
3 0 5
2 1 2 0 10
0 0 5
0 1 5
1 0 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 1 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
2 1 2 0 10
0 0 5
0 1 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 1 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
2 1 2 0 10
0 0 5
0 1 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
2 1 2 0 10
0 0 5
0 1 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
2 1 2 0 10
0 0 5
0 1 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
2 1 3 0 8
0 0 2 5
1 1 5
1 3 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
2 1 1 5
3 3 5
2 1 2 0 10
0 0 2 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
2 1 3 0 8
0 0 2 5
1 1 5
1 2 5
2 2 5
3 3 3 5
1 0 0 2
1 1 1
2 1 1
3 1 0 2
1 1
1 1 0 2
1 1
2 1 0 2
1 1
1 3 0 2
1 1
1 1 0 2
1 1
2 1 0 2
1 1
1 3 0 2
1 1
1 3 0 2
1 1
1 3 0 2
1 1
1 3 0 2
```