- Final Exam: 2013/11/06 (Wednesday) 1:10-3:10pm
- Review Tutorial: 2013/11/01 (this Friday) 8:00am
- Practise Haskell/Scheme and Prolog by yourself, e.g. solve the Eight Queens Problem.

Solution by Xiao Jia

Homework 6

Problem 1. (55 points) A language P is defined as follows.

```
e ::= x \mid n \mid true | false | succ | pred | iszero | if e then e else e | fn x \Rightarrow e | e e | rec x \Rightarrow e | (e)
```

The above grammar is quite ambiguous. We can resolve ambiguities by adopting the following conventions:

- Function application associates to the left, e.g. e f g is (e f) g, not e (f g).
- Function application binds tighter than if, fn, and rec, e.g. fn f => f 0 is fn f => (f 0), not (fn f => f) 0.

Evaluation Rules

- (1) n => n, for any non-negative integer literal n
- (2) true => true false => false
- (3) error s => error s
- (4) succ => succ pred => pred iszero => iszero
- b => true e1 => v (5) ------if b then e1 else e2 => v
- b => false e2 => v (6) ------if b then e1 else e2 => v

(10)
$$(fn x \Rightarrow e) \Rightarrow (fn x \Rightarrow e)$$

(11)
$$e1 \Rightarrow (fn x \Rightarrow e)$$
 $e2 \Rightarrow v1$ $e[x:=v1] \Rightarrow v$
 $e1 e2 \Rightarrow v$

Typing

Here 'a is a type variable, used for polymorphic types.

(ID)
$$E(x) = t \\ ----- \\ E \mid -x : t$$

(NUM)
$$E \mid -n : int$$

(BOOL) E
$$\mid$$
- true : bool

(-> INTRO)
$$E[x : t1] \mid -e : t2$$

 $E[x : t1] \mid -e : t2$
 $E[x : t1] \mid -e : t2$

(a) (10 points) Implement a function sum in P, which adds two numbers x and y together.

- (b) Infer the principal type of $fn f \Rightarrow fn x \Rightarrow f (f x)$
- (c) Infer the principal type of fn f => fn g => fn x => f (g x)
- (d) Infer the principal type of fn b => if b then 1 else 0
- (e) Infer the principal type of rec f => fn b => if b then 1 else f true
- (f) Infer the principal type of rec $f \Rightarrow fn x \Rightarrow f x$
- (g) (10 points) Infer the principal type of

(h) (10 points) Infer the principal type of

Solution.

- (a) rec sum => fn x => fn y => if iszero x then y else sum (pred x) (succ y)
- (b) ('a -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'a

- (c) ('b -> 'c) -> ('a -> 'b) -> 'a -> 'c
- (d) bool -> int
- (e) bool -> int
- (f) 'a -> 'b
- (g) int -> int -> int
- (h) int -> bool

Problem 2. (20 points) Now we want to extend the language P with let expressions.

$$e ::= ... \mid let x = e in e end$$

For example, let z = 2 in succ z end is allowed. Rather than creating new rules for such expressions, we treat let expressions as *syntactic sugar*. In particular, we treat

let
$$x = e1$$
 in $e2$ end

as if it were

$$(fn x \Rightarrow e2) e1$$

(a) A bit of thought should convince you that this function application has exactly the same meaning as the let expression. However, this function application may not be typeable. Explain why with respect to the following program as an example.

```
let
  twice = fn f => fn x => f (f x)
in
  twice twice twice succ 0
end
```

(b) Write a short program in P without let that runs without errors but is not typeable with respect to the given rules in Problem 1.

Solution.

(a) Rewrite the example using shorter variable names for better readability:

$$\label{eq:let_t} \begin{split} \text{let } t &= \lambda f. \lambda x. f \ (f \ x) \\ &\text{in } (((t \ t) \ t) \ s) \ 0 \\ \text{end} \end{split}$$

This is translated to

```
(\lambda t.((t\ t)\ t)\ s)\ 0)\ (\lambda f.\lambda x.f\ (f\ x))
```

The type of $\lambda f.\lambda x.f$ $(f\ x)$ is $(\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha \to \alpha$, so in the subexpression $(t\ t)$ the variable t has type $(\alpha \to \alpha) \to \alpha \to \alpha$, and also type $\alpha \to \alpha$, which is a contradiction.

(b) $fn x \Rightarrow x x$

Problem 3. (25 points)

- (a) Is there a type that is a subtype of every other type? Is there an arrow type that is a supertype of every other arrow type? Explain with examples.
- (b) Write a short Java program involving arrays that type-checks but fails (by raising an ArrayStoreException) at run-time.

Solution.

(a) There is a type that is a subtype of every other type: Bot (as defined in the lecture).

If there is an arrow type $t_1 \to t_2$ that is a supertype of every other arrow type, then for any t'_1 and t'_2 , $t'_1 \to t'_2 <= t_1 \to t_2$, so $t_1 <= t'_1$ and $t'_2 <= t_2$. Now we have (1) for any t'_1 , $t_1 <= t'_1$ so $t_1 \equiv \text{Bot}$, and (2) for any t'_2 , $t'_2 <= t_2$ so $t_2 \equiv \text{Top}$. So Bot $\to \text{Top}$ is the only possible arrow type that is a supertype of every other arrow type. However, this is no such a value that has the type Bot $\to \text{Top}$ since Bot has no values.

More on Bot: Suppose T is a function that maps each type to a set of values with that type. Then $T(\text{int}) = \{..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and $T(\text{bool}) = \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$. Also $T(t_1 \times t_2) = T(t_1) \times T(t_2)$ where $t_1 \times t_2$ represents a pair type and the later \times represents Cartesian product, and $T(t_1 \to t_2) = [T(t_1) \to T(t_2)] \subseteq T(t_1) \times T(t_2)$. Since we have $T(\text{Bot}) = \emptyset$, it is obvious that $T(\text{Bot} \to t) \subseteq \emptyset \times T(t) = \emptyset$ where t is an arbitrary type.

```
(b) $> cat > Test.java
   public class Test {
     public static void main(String[] args) {
        Object[] x = new String[3];
        x[0] = new Integer(0);
     }
}

$> javac Test.java
$> java Test
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.ArrayStoreException: java.lang.Integer
     at Test.main(Test.java:4)
```