

Homework 4

Problem 1. (30 points) Extend tuples to records, and write the (a) syntax and (b) semantic rules for records. Example usage:

- Elements are indexed by labels: (Labels are not integers!)
 - $\{y = 10\}$
 - $\{id = 1, salary = 50000, active = \mathbf{true}\}$
- The order of the record fields is insignificant:
 - $\{y = 10, x = 5\}$ is the same as $\{x = 5, y = 10\}$
- To access fields of a record:
 - $a.id$
 - $b.salary$

Solution.

(a) Syntax: (x and x_i are names)

$$\begin{aligned} e &::= \dots \mid \{x_1 = e_1, \dots, x_n = e_n\} \mid e.x \\ v &::= \dots \mid \{(x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n)\} \text{ (a set)} \\ t &::= \dots \mid \{(x_1, t_1), \dots, (x_n, t_n)\} \text{ (a set)} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Semantics:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{e_i \rightarrow e'_i}{\{\dots, x_i = e_i, \dots\} \rightarrow \{\dots, x_i = e'_i, \dots\}} && \text{(E-record1)} \\ &\frac{}{\{x_1 = v_1, \dots, x_n = v_n\} \rightarrow \{(x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n)\}} && \text{(E-record2)} \\ &\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{e.x \rightarrow e'.x} && \text{(E-label1)} \\ &\frac{}{\{(x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n)\}.x_i \rightarrow v_i} && \text{(E-label2)} \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_i : t_i}{\Gamma \vdash \{x_1 = e_1, \dots, x_n = e_n\} : \{(x_1, t_1), \dots, (x_n, t_n)\}} && \text{(T-record)} \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \{(x_1, t_1), \dots, (x_n, t_n)\}}{\Gamma \vdash e.x_i : t_i} && \text{(T-label)} \end{aligned}$$

Names are very important in the type of records. □

Problem 2. (30 points) Binary sums generalizes to variants just like pairs generalized to labeled records.

$$\begin{aligned} e &::= \dots \mid \mathbf{in}_i e_i \\ t &::= \dots \mid t_1 + \dots + t_n \end{aligned}$$

Write the (a) syntax and (b) semantic rules for variants.

Solution.

(a) Syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &::= t_1 + \dots + t_n \\ e &::= \dots \mid \mathbf{in}_i[\tau] e \mid \mathbf{case } e \mathbf{ of } \mathbf{in}_1 x \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{in}_n x \Rightarrow e_n \\ v &::= \dots \mid \mathbf{in}_i[\tau] v \\ t &::= \dots \mid \tau \end{aligned}$$

(b) Semantics:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\mathbf{in}_i[\tau] e \rightarrow \mathbf{in}_i[\tau] e'} \text{ (search rule)} && \text{(E-inject1)} \\ &\frac{}{\mathbf{in}_i[\tau] v \rightarrow \mathbf{in}_i[\tau] v} \text{ (inject into a value)} && \text{(E-inject2)} \\ &\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\mathbf{case } e \mathbf{ of } \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{case } e' \mathbf{ of } \dots} && \text{(E-case1)} \\ &\frac{}{\mathbf{case } \mathbf{in}_i[\tau] v \mathbf{ of } \mathbf{in}_1 x \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{in}_n x \Rightarrow e_n \rightarrow e_i[v/x]} && \text{(E-case2)} \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : t_i}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{in}_i[\tau] e : \tau} && \text{(T-inject)} \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : t_1 + \dots + t_n \quad \Gamma, x : t_i \vdash e_i : t}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{case } e \mathbf{ of } \mathbf{in}_1 x \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{in}_n x \Rightarrow e_n : t} && \text{(T-case)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 3. (20 points) Use environment model to write the evaluation steps for

(a) factorial 3

(b) reverse (4 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: nil)

Solution.

(a)

```
factorial ≡ fix g
  g ≡ λf.λn.if n = 0 then 1 else n * (f (n - 1))
  g' ≡ if n = 0 then 1 else n * ((fix g) (n - 1))

(·, factorial 3)
≡ (·, (fix g) 3)
→ (·, (λn.g') 3)
→ (·, {λn.g', ·} 3)
  → (n ↦ 3, g')
  → (n ↦ 3, n * ((fix g) (n - 1)))
  → (n ↦ 3, 3 * ((fix g) (n - 1)))
  →2 (n ↦ 3, 3 * ({λn.g', n ↦ 3} (n - 1)))
  → (n ↦ 3, 3 * ({λn.g', n ↦ 3} 2))
    → (n ↦ 2, g')
    →2 (n ↦ 2, 2 * ((fix g) (n - 1)))
    →3 (n ↦ 2, 2 * ({λn.g', n ↦ 2} 1))
      → (n ↦ 1, g')
      →5 (n ↦ 1, 1 * ({λn.g', n ↦ 1} 0))
        → (n ↦ 0, g')
        → 1
      → 1
    → 2
  → 6
→ 6
```

(b)

```
append ≡ fix g
  g ≡ λa.λl.λn.case l of nil ⇒ n :: nil | x :: l ⇒ x :: ((a l) n)
  g' ≡ λn.g''
  g'' ≡ case l of nil ⇒ n :: nil | x :: l ⇒ x :: (((fix g) l) n)
reverse ≡ fix f
  f ≡ λr.λl.case l of nil ⇒ nil | x :: l ⇒ (append (r l)) x
  f' ≡ case l of nil ⇒ nil | x :: nil ⇒ (append ((fix f) l)) x
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\cdot, \text{reverse } (4 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil})) \\
& \equiv (\cdot, (\text{fix } f) (4 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil})) \\
& \rightarrow^2 (\cdot, \{\lambda l.f', \cdot\} (4 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil})) \\
& \rightarrow (l \mapsto 4 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, f') \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\text{append } ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \equiv (x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\text{fix } g) ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^2 (x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto \dots\} ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^2 (x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', \dots\} (\{\lambda l.f', \dots\} l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', \dots\} (\{\lambda l.f', \dots\} (3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}))) x) \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, f') \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 3, l \mapsto 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\text{append } ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^2 (x \mapsto 3, l \mapsto 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 3, l \mapsto 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}\} ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^5 (x \mapsto 2, l \mapsto 1 :: \text{nil}, (\text{append } ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^2 (x \mapsto 2, l \mapsto 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 2, l \mapsto 1 :: \text{nil}\} ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^5 (x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}, (\text{append } ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^2 (x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}\} ((\text{fix } f) l)) x) \\
& \rightarrow^2 (x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}, \text{case } l \text{ of nil} \Rightarrow \text{nil} \mid \dots) \\
& \rightarrow \text{nil} \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}\} \text{nil}) x) \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}, g') \\
& \rightarrow \{\lambda n.g'', x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}\} \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}, \{\lambda n.g'', x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}\} x) \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}, \{\lambda n.g'', x \mapsto 1, l \mapsto \text{nil}\} 1) \\
& \rightarrow (l \mapsto \text{nil}, x \mapsto 1, g'') \\
& \rightarrow 1 :: \text{nil} \\
& \rightarrow 1 :: \text{nil} \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 2, l \mapsto 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 2, l \mapsto 1 :: \text{nil}\} (1 :: \text{nil})) x) \\
& \rightarrow^* 1 :: 2 :: \text{nil} \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 3, l \mapsto 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 3, l \mapsto 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}\} (1 :: 2 :: \text{nil})) x) \\
& \rightarrow^* 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: \text{nil} \\
& \rightarrow (x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: \text{nil}, (\{\lambda l.g', x \mapsto 4, l \mapsto 3 :: \dots\} (1 :: 2 :: 3 :: \text{nil})) x) \\
& \rightarrow^* 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: \text{nil} \\
& \rightarrow 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: \text{nil}
\end{aligned}$$

Use stack frames and closures. □

Problem 4. (20 points) In untyped lambda calculus, we can use the Y combinator to

implement recursion. However, in the extension of simply typed lambda calculus, recursion is implemented by extending the syntax with fix:

$$e ::= \dots \mid \text{fix } e$$

Explain by concrete examples (e.g. programs) why we need this new syntax for recursion. Hint: Some untyped lambda terms are not typeable in simply typed lambda calculus.

Solution. Recall that fix is defined as

$$\text{fix} = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y))$$

We show that fix is untypeable in simply typed lambda calculus (ST for short), so instead of being a syntactic sugar, it is an actual need to introduce recursion.

Abstractions in ST are in the form of $\lambda x : t. e$ and have the type defined by

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : t \vdash e : t'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : t. e : t \rightarrow t'} \quad (\text{T-Abs})$$

Suppose fix is typeable, then any sub-expression of fix should be typeable.

$$\text{fix} = \lambda f. (\lambda x : t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow t_3. f (\lambda y : t_2. x x y)) \dots$$

The type of x has the form $t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow t_3$ because of the application form $x x y$ where x itself is the first parameter, and y is the second parameter. Then y has type t_2 , and x has type t_1 . This leads to $t_1 = t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow t_3$, which is impossible.

Exercise: Why impossible? **Hint:** Design a partial order on arrow types. □