Literature Review: Time Series Analyses of Climate Trends Using GISTEMP Data

Stefano Graziosi, Università Bocconi OpenAI Deep Research

March 27, 2025

Introduction and Dataset Background

The **GISS Surface Temperature Analysis (GISTEMP)** is a global surface temperature dataset maintained by NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS). Spanning from 1880 to present, GISTEMP provides monthly temperature anomalies on a 2°×2° grid, combining land station data with sea surface temperatures. It has been extensively used to quantify climate trends and variability, given its broad coverage and updates. Studies leveraging GISTEMP range from early trend detections in the 1980s to recent advanced statistical analyses. This review compiles key literature that applied **time series analysis** to GISTEMP, including trend estimation, smoothing and filtering of variability, forecasting, and decomposition of climate signals. Both global-scale assessments and influential regional studies (e.g. Arctic amplification) are included. Each source is annotated with its nature and reliability (e.g. peer-reviewed journal, technical report, etc.).

Early Global Trend Analyses (1980s–2000s)

In one of the first comprehensive analyses of global temperature records, **Hansen and Lebedeff (1987)** combined station data worldwide to estimate long-term change (Pubs.GISS: Hansen and Lebedeff 1987: Global trends of measured surface air temperature) (Pubs.GISS: Hansen and Lebedeff 1987: Global trends of measured surface air temperature). This peer-reviewed study (J. Geophys. Res.) found **~0.5–0.7 °C of global warming from 1880 to 1985**, with warming evident in both hemispheres (link). They noted a *strong* warming trend from ~1965–1980 that raised global mean temperature to the highest levels on record by 1980–81 (link). Interestingly, their analysis revealed that the early-20th-century warm period (around 1940) was **spatially concentrated in the high northern latitudes, whereas the recent warming (late 20th century) was more globally uniform** (link). These findings, from a highly reliable peer-reviewed source, established that meaningful global trends could be obtained despite data gaps, and they set the stage for monitoring anthropogenic climate change.

NASA's GISTEMP team continued to update and refine the analysis in subsequent decades. **Hansen et al. (2010)** (peer-reviewed in *Reviews of Geophysics*) provided an updated global temperature assessment and addressed common questions about data reliability (Pubs.GISS: Hansen et al. 2010: Global surface temperature change) (link). They applied corrections for urban heat island effects using satellite nightlight data and experimented with alternative sea-surface temperature datasets. A key result was that **global temperature kept rising rapidly through the 2000s** despite short-term fluctuations, and 2010 was the warmest year in the instrumental record up to that point (link). They recommended using a 12-month running mean to smooth seasonal noise, highlighting that even with El Niño/La Niña variability, the underlying **warming trend remained clear** (link). This peer-reviewed study confirmed the robustness of GISTEMP's warming trend and showed consistency with other datasets when differences (like Arctic coverage) were accounted for.

The overall magnitude of warming captured by GISTEMP has been repeatedly confirmed by institutional reports. NASA's own climate bulletins and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) have emphasized the long-term trend. For example, a NASA analysis in 2016 noted that **planetary average surface temperature has risen about 1.0 °C since the late 19th century**, with the rate of warming accelerating in recent decades (Analyses reveal record-shattering global warm temperatures in 2015 – Climate Change: Vital Signs of the Planet). They pointed out that **15 of the 16 warmest years on record (at that**

time) had occurred since 2001, underscoring the unprecedented warmth of the 21st century (link). Likewise, a WMO report (2021) – a consolidated analysis of multiple datasets including GISTEMP – stated that **2011–2020 was the warmest decade on record**, and estimated **2020's global mean at ~1.2 °C above the 1850–1900 pre-industrial level** (2020 was one of three warmest years on record). Such institutional sources (NASA, WMO) are highly reliable, reflecting scientific consensus and rigorous data intercomparison. They reinforce that GISTEMP's recorded warming aligns with other leading datasets (NOAA, HadCRUT, Berkeley Earth, etc.) and with the assessments of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

Advanced Trend Detection and Statistical Analysis

With the baseline warming trend established, many studies have applied **statistical time-series methods** to GISTEMP (often alongside other datasets) to probe finer details: Is the warming trend steady or accelerating? Are there significant change-points (e.g. "hiatus" periods)? How does one best separate signal from noise?

Several **change-point analyses** have been conducted to test if the global warming rate changed during alleged slowdowns. **Cahill et al. (2015)** (peer-reviewed in *Environmental Research Letters*) used a statistical change-point model on GISTEMP and three other global series. They found that **three change-points suffice to model 20th–21st century temperature, and critically none of those occurred in the post-1970 period**, i.e. there was no statistically significant shift in the warming trend after ~1970 (Change points of global temperature). Their results showed the warming trend since the mid-20th century has been remarkably steady at roughly ~0.16 °C per decade, and thus the so-called early-2000s "pause" or "hiatus" was not a true change in trend but rather **within the bounds of short-term variability** (link). This is a robust finding from a peer-reviewed study focused on rigorous statistical detection of trend changes.

Analogously, **Mudelsee (2019)** performed an in-depth review of climate trend analysis methods (published in *Earth-Science Reviews*, a high-impact peer-reviewed journal) and applied state-of-the-art techniques to the GISTEMP series. Mudelsee's analysis concluded that the GISTEMP record exhibits an **"accelerated warming since ~1974"**, meaning the warming rate intensified in the late 20th century (Trend analysis of climate time series: A review of methods | EPIC). He also noted that an apparent mid-20th-century peak (around World War II) is likely an artifact of poorer data coverage at that time (link). Importantly, the study reaffirmed that **there is no statistical basis for a global warming hiatus after 1998** – any flattening seen up to 2013 disappears once the interval is extended, and the long-term trend remains upward (link). These insights come from a highly reliable source (a comprehensive peer-reviewed review by an expert in climate statistics) and reinforce the view that the recent "slowdown" was not a significant deviation from the ongoing trend.

Another approach has been to explicitly **filter out known short-term fluctuations** from the temperature time series to isolate the underlying trend. **Foster and Rahmstorf (2011)** (peer-reviewed in *Environmental Research Letters*) is a frequently cited study that applied multiple linear regression to remove the effects of **El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), volcanic aerosols, and solar variability** from GISTEMP and other temperature series. After removing these factors (which cause year-to-year "noise"), the five major global temperature records showed striking agreement, and **all revealed a steady warming of ~0.17 °C/decade with no interruption** (RealClimate: Global Temperature News). Notably, in the adjusted GISTEMP data, **previously "cool" years like 2008–2011 rose to match the long-term trend, and 2009–2010 emerged as the warmest years at that time** (link). This study (peer-reviewed) demonstrated that **the apparent differences between datasets and the so-called pause largely vanish when known short-term influences are accounted for**, underscoring the primarily human-caused warming signal (Foster and Rahmstorf All). While the analysis by Foster & Rahmstorf was not a NASA or NOAA report, it is a reliable, well-cited piece of research that uses GISTEMP as one input and confirms its trend.

Beyond linear trends, researchers have explored **long-memory and oscillatory components** in the temperature record. For example, **Beaulieu and Killick (2018)** (Journal of Climate, peer-reviewed) examined whether the temperature series is better characterized by persistent noise or discrete shifts, and they likewise incorporated GISTEMP into their tests (Distinguishing Trends and Shifts from Memory in Climate Data). Studies using methods like *ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD)* and wavelet analysis (e.g. Wu et al., 2019; Király et al., 2020) have tried to disentangle multi-decadal variability (such as the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation) from the trend. While such studies vary in technique, a common finding is that the **long-term warming trend remains robust**. For instance, an EEMD-based analysis found that the post-1998 slowdown could be attributed to a temporary cooling phase of natural variability, with the intrinsic trend still rising (Wu et al., 2013, *J. Climate*, peer-reviewed) (Revisiting the Existence of the Global Warming Slowdown during ...) (link). These advanced analyses, often in peer-reviewed journals, lend further confidence that short-term oscillations have modulated the observed temperatures but have **not negated the persistent upward trend**.

Smoothing and Forecasting Applications

Some research has focused on smoothing techniques and forecasting future temperatures using GISTEMP data. NASA's own practice, as mentioned, is to plot a **5-year or 12-month running mean** to visualize the underlying trend by filtering out seasonal and annual noise (Pubs.GISS: Hansen et al. 2010: Global surface temperature change). Such smoothing, while not altering the data, makes the climate signal clearer – an important communication tool reflected in NASA's public graphs and the "warming stripes" graphics.

In terms of forecasting, a few studies have attempted **statistical projections** of global temperature based on time-series models. These are typically simpler than physics-based climate models but can be illustrative. For example, **Lean and Rind (2009)** (peer-reviewed in *Geophys. Res. Lett.*) decomposed the observed GISTEMP record into components (anthropogenic trend, ENSO, solar cycle, volcanoes) and then **forecasted the next 1–2 decades** by extrapolating those components (Pubs.GISS: Lean and Rind 2009: How will Earth's surface temperature change in future decades?). They predicted that **global temperature would rise ~0.15 °C from 2009 to 2014** due to continued greenhouse gas increases and a peak in the solar cycle, and then plateau slightly from 2014 to 2019 as solar output declined (link). Indeed, their projection anticipated a brief leveling off around the mid-2010s (analogous to the 2002–2008 period when a solar minimum countered some greenhouse warming) (link). While the actual sequence of events included a major El Niño in 2015–16 (making 2015–2016 exceedingly warm), the study correctly emphasized that **natural variations (solar, ENSO, volcanic) can modulate the anthropogenic warming on short timescales** (link). This source is peer-reviewed and co-authored by NASA scientists, adding credibility. It showed the utility of simple statistical models in short-term climate outlooks, essentially corroborating that absent significant volcanic eruptions or prolonged La Niñas, the **underlying warming would continue**. (Notably, by 2020, the observed global temperature was roughly in line with projections, especially when accounting for the 2015–16 El Niño spike.)

Another recent forecasting-oriented study by Hasan et al. (2023, *Frontiers* in Astronomy and Space Sci., peer-reviewed) explored ARIMA family models on the GISTEMP series to model uncertainty. They developed an **ARMA(1,1) model for global temperature uncertainty** and produced an ensemble of possible future trajectories (ARMA model development and analysis for global temperature ...). While such purely statistical forecasts are less common (climate projections usually rely on physical climate models), they reinforce the point that the **temperature time series has strong autocorrelation and a clear upward drift**, which time-series models capture. These forecasts consistently imply continued warming under reasonable assumptions, aligning with the consensus that without significant mitigation, each new decade will be warmer than the last.

Regional Trend Studies Using GISTEMP

Though GISTEMP is a global analysis, its gridded data have enabled regional climate trend studies. One particularly influential application has been in quantifying **Arctic amplification**. The Arctic's rapid warming has been analyzed using GISTEMP's high-latitude data (among other sources). **Rantanen et al. (2022)**, published in *Nature Communications Earth & Environment* (peer-reviewed), compared observed Arctic temperature trends to the global average. Using datasets including GISTEMP, they reported that **since the late 1970s the Arctic has warmed about 3.8 times faster than the global mean** (The Arctic has warmed 'nearly four times faster' than the global average - Carbon Brief). This ratio ("four times faster") is significantly higher than earlier estimates of ~2× or ~3×, and it highlights an intensified regional trend (link). The authors note that different definitions of "Arctic" and short data intervals caused previous literature to vary, but the **consistent message is extraordinary polar warming** (link). This result, widely covered by climate scientists and even the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report, is considered reliable and important. It shows the power of GISTEMP and similar datasets in revealing regional disparities: while the globe as a whole warms, **the Arctic is experiencing about 0.6–0.7 °C per decade recently, far above the global rate** (link). Such regional studies (often peer-reviewed) demonstrate the robustness of GISTEMP data even when subset to smaller areas, though uncertainties increase in data-sparse regions.

Other regional or sub-global analyses using GISTEMP include comparisons of **land vs. ocean warming** (land areas warm roughly twice as fast, consistent with theory) and **hemispheric differences**. For instance, Hansen et al. (1987) already showed both hemispheres warmed ~0.5–0.7 °C in that century, dispelling the notion that one hemisphere drove the trend (Pubs.GISS: Hansen and Lebedeff 1987: Global trends of measured surface air temperature). GISTEMP has also been used to track **continental-scale changes** (e.g. North America or Asia warming trends) and **national-level averages**. While national meteorological agencies often use their own data, GISTEMP's globally homogeneous analysis provides an important independent check. For example, GISTEMP's estimate for the contiguous United States trend aligns closely with NOAA's independent US dataset after accounting for baseline differences (Analyses reveal record-shattering global warm temperatures in 2015 – Climate Change: Vital Signs of the Planet).

A notable study by **Hansen et al. (2012)** (PNAS, peer-reviewed) leveraged GISTEMP to examine the changing *probability distribution* of seasonal mean temperatures. They found that the bell curve of Northern Hemisphere summer temperatures shifted dramatically toward warmer values from the 1951–1980 period to the 2000s, illustrating how **extremely hot summers that were once rare now occur regularly** (a concept dubbed "climate dice loaded in favor of heat"). This work, though focusing on extremes, fundamentally relied on the regional time series from GISTEMP to quantify the change in occurrence of 3σ hot anomalies (link) (link). It underscores that beyond mean trends, the dataset can be used for statistical analysis of variability and extremes over time.

Ongoing Updates and Data Reliability

It is important to note that GISTEMP itself has evolved, and literature often discusses the dataset's methodology and uncertainty. **Lenssen et al. (2019)** (peer-reviewed in *J. Geophys. Res.*; co-authored by GISS scientists) introduced *GISTEMP v4* with improved uncertainty quantification (Pubs.GISS: Lenssen et al. 2019: Improvements in the GISTEMP uncertainty model) (link). They assessed sources of error (station coverage gaps, measurement uncertainty, urban biases, etc.) and provided formal confidence intervals. They found that the **95% confidence interval for annual global mean temperature is about $\pm$0.05 °C in recent decades**, widening to $\pm$0.15 °C in the late 19th century (link). This small uncertainty means the warming signal (~1 °C) is highly significant. Notably, Lenssen et al. used their uncertainty model to calculate the probability that a given year is the warmest on record. They concluded there was an 86% chance that **2016 was the warmest year** since 1880 (as of the end of their study period) (link). Such technical developments, documented in peer-reviewed and NASA technical reports, confirm that GISTEMP's trend findings are robust and come with quantified confidence. In 2024, the GISS team went further by releasing an *observational uncertainty ensemble* for GISTEMP (Lenssen et al., 2024, JGR; NASA Technical Report), enabling researchers to propagate uncertainties into any downstream time-series analysis (Pubs.GISS: Lenssen et al. 2024: A GISTEMPv4 observational uncertainty ensemble) (link). These efforts by NASA (an authoritative source) enhance trust in the dataset and ensure that studies using GISTEMP are built on a solid foundation.

Summary of Key Studies and Findings

Below is a table summarizing influential studies that have utilized GISTEMP for climate trend analysis, highlighting their focus, methods, and main conclusions.

Study (Year)	Authors	Region	Methodology	Key Findings	Source Type
Global Trends of Measured Surface Air Temperature (1987)	Hansen, Lebedeff (1987)	Global (8 latitudinal zones)	Aggregated station records (1880–1985); spatial interpolation	~0.5–0.7 °C global warming since 1880; warming in both hemispheres; 1965–1980 saw a sharp rise bringing 1980–81 to highest recorded temperatures. The 1940s warm spell was mainly high-latitude, whereas recent warming is globally widespread (link) (link).	**Peer-reviewed** (Journal of Geophys. Research)
Global Surface Temperature Change (2010)	Hansen, Ruedy, Sato, Lo (2010)	Global	Updated GISTEMP analysis (1880–2009); urban adjustment; alt. SST data; 12-month smoothing	Confirmed continued rapid warming into the 2000s “despite large year-to-year fluctuations.” Urban heat effects are minimal after correction. **2005–2010 were the warmest years on record**, with 2010 the record high. Recommended 12-month running means to reveal the persistent trend (link).	**Peer-reviewed** (Reviews of Geophysics)
GISTEMP v4 Uncertainty Assessment (2019)	Lenssen et al. (2019)	Global	Comprehensive uncertainty model; ensemble approaches	Provided refined uncertainty estimates: ± 0.05 °C (last ~50 yrs). Showed GISTEMP warming trend is highly significant. With 95% confidence, **2016 was likely the warmest year on record** (86% likelihood up to 2018) (link). Demonstrated interpolation improves coverage with manageable uncertainty (link).	**Peer-reviewed** (J. Geophys. Res. & NASA Technical Report)
Trend Analysis of Climate Time Series: Methods Review (2019)	Mudelsee (2019)	Global (GISTEMP example)	Statistical methods review; bootstrap, Monte Carlo, nonparametric trends	Detected an **accelerated warming from ~1974 onward** in GISTEMP (link). Found the mid-20th-century “bump” likely spurious (data issues). Crucially, **no statistically significant ‘pause’** post-1998 when proper intervals are considered – the post-1970 warming trend is unbroken (link).	**Peer-reviewed** (Earth-Science Reviews, review article)
Global Temperature Evolution 1979–2010 (2011)	Foster, Rahmstorf (2011)	Global (land/ocean & satellite series)	Multivariate regression to remove ENSO, volcanic, solar signals	After filtering out short-term variability, all major temperature datasets (including GISTEMP) show a **uniform underlying warming ~0.17 °C/decade** with no slowdown (link). In the adjusted data, **2009–2010 emerge as the warmest years** up to 2010. Confirms that the apparent differences between datasets were due to short-term noise, not the long-term trend (link).	**Peer-reviewed** (Environ. Research Letters)
Change-Points of Global Temperature (2015)	Cahill, Rahmstorf, Parnell (2015)	Global	Bayesian change-point analysis; piecewise linear fit to series	Identified 3 significant warming phases in 20th century but **no change-point in recent decades**. Concluded the **“hiatus” 1998–2013 was not a statistically significant shift** in trend (link). Warming of ~0.16 °C/decade since ~1970 has continued unabated (link). The study statistically invalidates claims of a pause.	**Peer-reviewed** (Environ. Research Letters)
How Will Earth’s Surface Temperature Change in Future Decades? (2009)	Lean, Rind (2009)	Global (plus regional hints)	Regression-based decomposition (anthropogenic vs natural factors); projection 2009–2030	Predicted short-term warming based on GISTEMP trends: **+0.15 °C from 2009–2014** due to GHG and a solar upswing, followed by ~stable temps by 2019 as solar output dipped (link). Noted that a strong volcanic eruption or El Niño could perturb this. Indeed, 2015–16 El Niño caused a spike, but overall their forecast illustrated how natural variability superimposes on the steady anthropogenic rise (link).	**Peer-reviewed** (Geophys. Res. Lett.; NASA-affiliated study)
Arctic Amplification, 1979–2021 (2022)	Rantanen et al. (2022)	**Arctic vs Global**	Observational trend analysis across datasets (GISTEMP, ERA5, etc.)	Found the **Arctic ($\geq$65°N) warmed ~3.8$\times$ faster than the global average since 1979** (link) – a much higher ratio than IPCC AR5’s “$>2\times$”. Showed models underestimate this amplification. Implies ~1 °C/decade regional warming in some Arctic areas. Highlights significant regional trend differences, using GISTEMP as one data source.	**Peer-reviewed** (Nature Comm. Earth & Env.)
State of the Climate – Global Climate (2021)	World Meteorological Organization (WMO), et al.	Global	Consolidation of multiple datasets (NASA GISTEMP, NOAA, HadCRUT, Berkeley)	Reported **1.2 °C of global warming by 2020 relative to pre-industrial levels** (link). 2015–2020 all fell among the top warm years (with 2016 and 2020 essentially tied). Declared 2011–2020 the hottest decade on record (link). Noted that even a strong La Niña in 2020 barely dented the long-term trend (link). This underscores consensus from institutional data – extremely high reliability.	**Intergovernmental Report** (WMO Statement – combines multiple authoritative datasets)

(Table abbreviations: ENSO = El Niño/Southern Oscillation; GHG = greenhouse gases; SST = sea surface temperature.)

Conclusion

GISTEMP has been a cornerstone dataset for analyzing climate change, and a wide array of time-series methodologies have been applied to glean insights from it. From straightforward linear trend calculations to sophisticated change-point detection, the literature consistently finds a robust warming trend over the past ~140 years, dramatically accelerating in recent decades. Trend *magnitude* estimates using GISTEMP (approximately 0.2 °C/decade in the last 30–40 years (Global temperature change | PNAS)) agree with other datasets and with climate model expectations, lending confidence to our understanding of global warming. Studies that strip away short-term noise (volcanic cooling, ENSO swings, solar cycles) unanimously reveal an **unabated upward temperature trajectory** (Foster and Rahmstorf All). Forecast-oriented analyses using GISTEMP suggest that absent large volcanic eruptions or prolonged La Niñas, we will continue to set new record highs as greenhouse gas concentrations rise.

Regionally, analyses of GISTEMP have illuminated phenomena like **Arctic amplification**, showing the polar North's extraordinary response, as well as differences between land and ocean warming rates and hemisphere-specific patterns. These regional trends carry important implications for impacts (e.g. Arctic sea ice loss, continental extreme heat events).

In terms of source reliability, this review has prioritized peer-reviewed research (in high-quality journals such as *JGR*, *ERL*, *Rev. Geophys.*, and *Nature* family journals) and reputable assessments (NASA reports, WMO and IPCC findings). NASA's own technical documentation and updates (e.g. Lenssen et al. 2019/2024) ensure that the GISTEMP data and methods remain transparent and trusted in the scientific community. The convergence of evidence from independent groups worldwide – using GISTEMP alongside other datasets – is a testament to the **dataset's reliability** and the robustness of detected climate trends.

In summary, the body of literature using GISTEMP for time-series analysis overwhelmingly shows that:

1. Earth's surface has warmed significantly since the 19th century (on the order of ~1.1–1.3 °C to date) (link) (link);

2. this warming is ongoing, with the most recent years being the warmest on record in the GISTEMP analysis (link) (link);

3. statistical analyses find no meaningful evidence of a halt or reversal in the long-term trend, only variability superposed on a steady increase (link) (link); and

4. certain regions (notably the Arctic) are warming even faster than the global mean (link).

These conclusions, drawn from the collective work of scientists over decades, make GISTEMP one of the keystone datasets in climate science – invaluable for detecting, understanding, and communicating climate trends.