1 How do we check entailments?

- 1. Create set of "axioms" based on the program and the entailment to check
 - (a) Datatype Declarations
 - Enumeration types: Variables, Classes, Fields
 - ADT: Path
 - (b) Function Declarations
 - Declared: path-equivalence, instance-of, instantiated-by
 - Defined recursively: substitute
 - (c) Calculus rules as all quantified formulas
 - Static Rules: C-Refl, C-Class, C-Subst
 - Template Rules: C-Prog
 - (d) Assert entailment to be checked: $c_1, ..., c_n \vdash c \Rightarrow \neg(c_1 \land ... \land c_n \rightarrow c)$
- 2. Obtain Solution: Does the entailment contradict the rules?
 - If unsat: valid/correct entailment.
 - If sat: invalid entailment.

1.1 General First-Order Encoding Template

Variable ()	(1)
Field ()	(2)
Class ()	(3)
${\tt Path}\;(({\tt Variable})\;({\tt Path}\;{\tt Field}))$	(4)
\equiv : Path $ imes$ Path $ o$ ${\cal B}$	(5)
$::: \mathtt{Path} imes \mathtt{Class} o \mathcal{B}$	(6)
$.\mathbf{cls} \equiv : \mathtt{Path} imes \mathtt{Class} o \mathcal{B}$	(7)
$_{-\{\!\{\mapsto\}\!\}}$: Path $ imes$ Variable $ imes$ Path $ o$ Path	(8)
$orall p$:Path. $p \equiv p$	(9)
$orall a \colon \mathcal{B}, p \colon \mathtt{Path}, c \colon \mathtt{Class}.$	(10)
$(a \to p.\mathbf{cls} \equiv c) \to (a \to p :: c)$	(11)
$\forall a \colon\! \mathcal{B}, p \colon\! \mathtt{Path}, q \colon\! \mathtt{Path}, r \colon\! \mathtt{Path}, s \colon\! \mathtt{Path}, x \colon\! \mathtt{Variable}.$	(12)
$(a \to p_{\{\!\!\{ x \mapsto r \}\!\!\}} \equiv q_{\{\!\!\{ x \mapsto r \}\!\!\}} \land (a \to s \equiv r)) \to$	(13)
$(a \to p_{\{\!\!\{x \mapsto s\}\!\!\}} \equiv q_{\{\!\!\{x \mapsto s\}\!\!\}})$	(14)
$\forall a \colon\! \mathcal{B}, p \colon\! \mathtt{Path}, c \colon\! \mathtt{Class}, r \colon\! \mathtt{Path}, s \colon\! \mathtt{Path}, x \colon\! \mathtt{Variable}.$	(15)
$(a \to p_{\{\!\!\{ x \mapsto r \}\!\!\}} :: c \land (a \to s \equiv r)) \to$	(16)
$(a \to p_{\{x \mapsto s\}} :: c)$	(17)
$\forall a \!:\! \mathcal{B}, p \!:\! \mathtt{Path}, c \!:\! \mathtt{Class}, r \!:\! \mathtt{Path}, s \!:\! \mathtt{Path}, x \!:\! \mathtt{Variable}.$	(18)
$(a \to p_{\{\!\!\{ x \mapsto r \}\!\!\}}.\mathbf{cls} \equiv c \land (a \to s \equiv r)) \to$	(19)
$(a \to p_{\{\!\!\{x \mapsto s\}\!\!\}}.\mathbf{cls} \equiv c)$	(20)
$orall a \colon \mathcal{B}, p \colon \mathtt{Path.} \ (a o igwedge) o (a o p :: _)$	(21)

1.2 Natural Numbers Program

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Zero}(x.\ \epsilon) & (22) \\ &\operatorname{Succ}(x.\ x.p :: \operatorname{Nat}) & (23) \\ &\forall x.\ x :: \operatorname{Zero} \Rightarrow x :: \operatorname{Nat} & (24) \\ &\forall x.\ x :: \operatorname{Succ}, x.p :: \operatorname{Nat} \Rightarrow x :: \operatorname{Nat} & (25) \\ &\operatorname{prev}(x.\ x :: \operatorname{Nat}) : [y.\ y :: \operatorname{Nat}] & (26) \\ &\operatorname{prev}(x.\ x :: \operatorname{Zero}) : [y.\ y :: \operatorname{Nat}] := \operatorname{new} \operatorname{Zero}() & (27) \\ &\operatorname{prev}(x.\ x :: \operatorname{Succ}, x.p :: \operatorname{Nat}) : [y.\ y :: \operatorname{Nat}] := x.p & (28) \end{aligned}$$

1.3 C-Prog Rules for Natural Numbers Program

$$\forall a:\mathcal{B}, p: \mathtt{Path}. \tag{29}$$

$$(a \to p :: \mathtt{Zero}) \to \tag{30}$$

$$(a \to p :: \mathtt{Nat}) \tag{31}$$

$$\forall a:\mathcal{B}, p: \mathtt{Path}. \tag{32}$$

$$(a \to p :: \mathtt{Succ} \land x. p_{\{\!\!\{x \mapsto p\}\!\!\}} :: \mathtt{Nat}) \to \tag{33}$$

$$(a \to p :: \mathtt{Nat}) \tag{34}$$

2 Example Entailments

2.1 Working valid entailment

 $p.\mathbf{cls} \equiv \mathtt{Zero} \vdash p :: \mathtt{Nat}$

- Checks unsatisfiable
- Unsat Core: C-Class, C-Prog-Zero

2.2 Working invalid entailment

 $\vdash x \equiv y$

- Checks satisfiable
- Model: $p \equiv q \doteq p = q$

 $a \equiv b \vdash a \equiv c$

Checks satisfiable

Model:

```
helper(q:Path) :=
  q = a ? a :
     q = c ? c :
        q = x ? x :
           q = b ? b :
             q = b.p ? b.p :
                q = a.b ? a.b : c.p
p:\mathtt{Path} \equiv q:\mathtt{Path} :=
  let a_1 := helper(p) = a.p \land helper(q) = b.p
       a_2 := helper(p) = a.p \land helper(q) = a.p
       a_3 := helper(p) = c.p \land helper(q) = c.p
       a_4 := helper(p) = b.p \land helper(q) = b.p
       a_5 := helper(p) = b.p \land helper(q) = a.p
         \bigvee a_i
      i \in \{j | 1 \le j \le 5\}
   \vee helper(p) = a \wedge helper(q) = a
   \vee helper(p) = b \wedge helper(q) = b
   \vee helper(p) = b \wedge helper(q) = a
   \vee helper(p) = c \wedge helper(q) = c
   \vee helper(p) = x \wedge helper(q) = x
   \lor helper(p) = a \land helper(q) = b
```

2.3 Non-working invalid entailment

 $x :: \mathtt{Succ}, x.p :: \mathtt{Zero} \vdash x :: \mathtt{Zero}$

- Location: /paper/dep-classes/smt/semantic_entailment/fieldAccessTimeout.smt
- Solver has "infinite" runtime.
- Wanted behavior: Checks satisfiable.
- Current Solution: Impose a timeout on the solver.
 - Assume an entailment to be invalid if the solver times out (to retain soundness).
 - Procedure isn't complete anymore.
 - Balance the timeout between the amount of checkable valid entailments and tolerable waiting time. (Set it such that the majority of valid entailments can still be checked.)

3 Undefinedness

- 3.1 adding $\neg x \equiv x.p$ results in ????
- 3.2 asserting $x :: Zero \land x :: Nat is SAT$

But with further investigation, again doesn't seem to be a problem, as we are searching conflicts

4 Faithful encoding

5 Change entailment translation

Instead $\bigwedge c_i \to conclusion$, use multiple asserts. for c_i in context. assert c_i assert $\neg conclusion$

6 Explicitly define constraint predicates

6.1 Non-working invalid entailment

 $x \equiv y \vdash x \equiv z$

- Checks unsatisfiable, but shouldn't
- Unsat Core: C-Subst-PathEq
- TODO: possible reason? (check instantiation of subst rule)