

# An Introduction to Reactive Programming (2)

Guido Salvaneschi

Software Technology Group

# Outline

- Analysis of languages for reactive applications
- Details of reactive frameworks
- Advanced conversion functions
- Examples and exercises
- Related approaches

# **REACTIVE APPLICATIONS: ANALYSIS**

# How to implement Reactive Systems ?

- Observer Patter
  - The *traditional* way in OO languages
- Language-level events
  - Event-based languages
- Signals, vars, events and combinations of.
  - Reactive languages


# **OBSERVER PATTERN: ANALYSIS**

# Observer for change propagation

- Main advantage:

*Decouple the code that changes a value from the code that updates the values depending on it*

- “Sources” doesn’t know about “Constraint”
- Temp/Smoke sensors do not know about fire detector



# The (*good?* old) Observer Pattern

- Events are often used to enforce data dependency **constraints**
  - `boolean highTemp := (temp.value > 45);`

# The example

**val** c = a + b

**val** a = 3

**val** b = 7

a = 4

b = 8



# The Example: Observer

```
trait Observable {  
  val observers = scala.collection.mutable.Set[Observer]()  
  def registerObserver(o: Observer) = { observers += o }  
  def unregisterObserver(o: Observer) = { observers -= o }  
  def notifyObservers(a: Int,b: Int) = { observers.foreach(_.notify(a,b)) }  
}  
trait Observer {  
  def notify(a: Int,b: Int)  
}
```

```
class Sources extends Observable {  
  var a = 3  
  var b = 7  
}  
class Constraint(a: Int, b: Int) extends Observer {  
  var c = a + b  
  def notify(a: Int,b: Int) = { c = a + b }  
}
```

```
val s = new Sources()  
val c = new Constraint(s.a,s.b)  
s.registerObserver(c)  
s.a = 4  
s.notifyObservers(s.a,s.b)  
s.b = 8  
s.notifyObservers(s.a,s.b)
```

# The (*good?* old) Observer Pattern

Long story of criticism...

- Inversion of *natural* dependency order
  - “Sources” updates “Constraint” but in the code “Constraint” calls “Sources” (to register itself)

- Boilerplate code

```
tempSensor.register(this);  
smokeSensor.register(this);
```

```
trait Observable {  
  val observers = scala.collection.mutable.Set[Observer]()  
  def registerObserver(o: Observer) = { observers += o }  
  def unregisterObserver(o: Observer) = { observers -= o }  
  ....  
}
```

# The (*good?* old) Observer Pattern

- Reactions do not compose, return void
  - How to define new constraints based on the existing ones

```
class Constraint(a: Int, b: Int) ... {  
  var c = a + b  
  def notify(a: Int, b: Int) = {  
    c = a + b  
  }  
}
```

+


```
class Constraint2(d: Int) ... {  
  var d = c * 7  
  def notify(d: Int) = {  
    d = c * 7  
  }  
}
```

= ??

# The (*good?* old) Observer Pattern

- Scattering and tangling of triggering code
  - **Fail to update** all functionally dependent values.
  - Values are often update too much (**defensively**)

```
val s = new Sources()
val c = new Constraint(s.a,s.b)
s.registerObserver(c)
s.a = 4
s.notifyObservers(s.a,s.b)
s.b = 8
s.notifyObservers(s.a,s.b)
```



# The (*good?* old) Observer Pattern

- Imperative updates of state

```
class Constraint(a: Int, b: Int) extends Observer {  
  var c = a + b  
  def notify(a: Int, b: Int) = { c = a + b }  
}
```

- No separation of concerns

```
class Constraint(a: Int, b: Int) extends Observer {  
  var c = a + b  
  def notify(a: Int, b: Int) = { c = a + b }  
}
```

} Update logic  
+  
Constraint definition

# **EVENT-BASED LANGUAGES: ANALYSIS**

# Event-based Languages

- Language-level support for events

- C#, Ptolemy, REScala, ...

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
e += { println(_) }  
e(10)
```

- Imperative events

```
val update = new ImperativeEvent[Unit]()
```

- Declarative events, ||, &&, map, ...

```
val changed[Unit] = resized || moved || afterExecSetColor  
val invalidated[Rectangle] = changed.map( _ => getBounds() )
```

# Event-based Languages

```
val update = new ImperativeEvent[Unit]()  
val a = 3  
val b = 7  
val c = a + b // Functional dependency
```

```
update += ( _ => {  
  c = a + b  
})
```

```
a = 4  
update()  
b = 8  
update()
```



# Event-based Languages

- More composable
  - Declarative events are composed by existing events (not in the example)
- Less boilerplate code
  - Applications are easier to understand
- Good integration with Objects and imperative style:
  - Imperative updates and side effects
  - Inheritance, polymorphism, ...



# Event-based Languages

- Dependencies still encoded manually
  - Handler registration
- Updates must be implemented explicitly
  - In the handlers
- Notifications are still error prone:
  - Too rarely / too often



```
class Connector(val start: Figure, val end: Figure) {  
    start.changed += updateStart  
    end.changed += updateEnd  
    ...  
    def updateStart() { ... }  
    def updateEnd() { ... }  
    ...  
}
```

# REACTIVE LANGUAGES: ANALYSIS

# Reactive Languages

- Functional-reactive programming (FRP) -- Haskell
  - **Time-changing values** as dedicated language abstractions.  
*[Functional reactive animation, Elliott and Hudak. ICFP '97]*
- More recently:
  - FrTime *[Embedding dynamic dataflow in a call-by-value language, Cooper and Krishnamurthi, ESOP'06]*
  - Flapjax *[Flapjax: a programming language for Ajax applications. Meyerovich et al. OOPSLA'09]*
  - Scala.React *[I.Maier et al, Deprecating the Observer Pattern with Scala.React. Technical report, 2012]*

# Reactive Languages and FRP

- Signals

- Dedicated language abstractions for **time-changing** values

```
val a = Var(3)
val b = Var(7)
val c = Signal{ a() + b() }
```

- An alternative to the Observer pattern and inversion of control

```
println(c.get)
> 10
a()= 4
println(c.get)
> 11
```

# Reactive Languages

- Easier to understand
  - Declarative style
  - Local reasoning
  - No need to follow the control flow to reverse engineer the constraints
- Dependent values are automatically consistent
  - No boilerplate code
  - No update errors (no updates/update defensively)
  - No scattering and tangling of update code
- Reactive behaviors are composable
  - In contrast to callbacks, which return void



# NOW...

Signals allow a good design.  
But they are *functional* (only).

```
val a = Var(3)
val b = Var(7)
val c = Signal{ a() + b() }
val d = Signal{ 2 * c() }
val e = Signal{ "Result: " + d() }
```

Functional programming is great! But...


The sad story:

- The world is **event-based**, ...
- Often **imperative**, ...
- And mostly **Object-oriented**




# Reactive Languages

- In practice, both are supported:
  - Signals (continuous)
  - Events (discrete)
- Conversion functions
  - Bridge signals and events
  - Allow interaction with objects state and imperative code



**Changed** :: Signal[T] -> Event[T]

**Latest** :: Event[T] -> Signal[T]





# **ADVANCED INTERFACE FUNCTIONS**

# Fold

- Creates a signal by folding events with a function  $f$ 
  - Initially the signal holds the **init** value.
- `fold[T,A](e: Event[T], init: A)(f : (A,T)=>A): Signal[A]`

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
val f = (x:Int,y:Int)=>(x+y)  
val s: Signal[Int] = e.fold(10)(f)  
assert(s.get == 10)  
e(1)  
e(2)  
assert(s.get == 13)
```

# LatestOption

- Variant of latest.
  - The Option type for the case the event did not fire yet.
  - Latest value of an event as Some(value) or None
- latestOption[T](e: Event[T]): Signal[Option[T]]

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()
val s: Signal[Option[Int]] = e.latestOption(e)
assert(s.get == None)
e(1)
assert(s.get == Option(1))
e(2)
assert(s.get == Option(2))
e(1)
assert(s.get == Option(1))
```

# Last

- Generalizes **latest**
  - Returns a signal which holds the last **n** events
  - Initially an empty list
- `last[T](e: Event[T], n: Int): Signal[List[T]]`

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
val s: Signal[List[Int]] = e.last(5)
```

```
assert(s.get == List())  
e(1)  
assert(s.get == List(1))  
e(2)  
assert(s.get == List(2,1))
```

```
e(3);e(4);e(5)  
assert(s.get == List(5,4,3,2,1))  
e(6)  
assert(s.get == List(6,5,4,3,2))
```

# List

- Collects the event values in a (ever growing) list
- Use carefully... potential memory leaks
- `list[T](e: Event[T]): Signal[List[T]]`



# Iterate

- Repeatedly applies **f** to a value when **e** occurs
  - The return signal is constant
  - F is similar to a handler
- `iterate[A](e: Event[_], init: A)(f: A=>A) :Signal[A]`

```
var test: Int = 0
```

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()
```

```
val f = (x:Int)=>{test=x; x+1}
```

```
val s: Signal[Int] = e.iterate(10)(f)
```

```
e(1)
```

```
assert(test == 10)
```

```
assert(s.get == 10)
```

```
e(2)
```

```
assert(test == 11)
```

```
assert(s.get == 10)
```

```
e(1)
```

```
assert(test == 12)
```

```
assert(s.get == 10)
```

# Count

- Returns a signal that counts the occurrences of e
  - Initially, the signal holds 0.
  - The argument of the event is discarded.
- `count(e: Event[_]): Signal[Int]`

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
val s: Signal[Int] = e.count  
assert(s.get == 0)  
e(1)  
e(3)  
assert(s.get == 2)
```

# Snapshot

- Returns a signal updated only when **e** fires.
  - Other changes of **s** are ignored.
  - The signal is updated to the current value of **s**.
  - Returns the signal itself before **e** fires
- `snapshot[V](e : Event[_], s: Signal[V]): Signal[V]`

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
val v = Var(1)  
val s1 = Signal{ v() + 1 }  
val s = e.snapshot(s1)
```

S !?

```
assert(s.get == 2)  
e(1)  
assert(s.get == 2)  
v.set(2) // s1 == 3  
assert(s.get == 2)  
e(1)  
assert(s.get == 3)
```



# Change

- Similar to changed
  - `changed[U]: Event[U]`
  - Provides both the old and the new value in a tuple
  - `change[U]: Event[(U, U)]`

```
val s = Signal{ ... }  
val e: Event[(Int,Int)] = s.change  
e += (x: (Int,Int)=> {  
    ...  
})
```

# ChangedTo

- Similar to changed
  - The event is fired only if the signal holds the given value
  - The value of e is discarded
- `changedTo[V](value: V): Event[Unit]`

```
var test = 0
val v = Var(1)
val s = Signal{ v() + 1 }
val e: Event[Unit] = s.changedTo(3)
e += ((x:Unit)=>{test+=1})
```

```
assert(test == 0)
v set 2
assert(test == 1)
v set 3
assert(test == 1)
```

test !?

# Toggle

- Switches between signals on the occurrence of e.
  - The value attached to the event is discarded
  - `toggle[T](e : Event[_], a: Signal[T], b: Signal[T]): Signal[T]`

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
val v1 = Var(1)  
val s1 = Signal{ v1() + 1 }  
val v2 = Var(11)  
val s2 = Signal{ v2() + 1 }  
val s = e.toggle(s1,s2)
```

S !?

```
assert(s.get == 2)  
e(1)  
assert(s.get == 12)  
v2.set(12)  
assert(s.get == 13)  
v1.set(2)  
assert(s.get == 13)  
e(1)  
v1.set(3)  
assert(s.get == 4)  
v2.set(13)  
assert(s.get == 4)
```

# switchTo

- Switches the signal on the occurrence of the event e.
  - The final result is a constant signal
  - The value of the retuned signal is carried by the event e.
- `switchTo[T](e : Event[T], original: Signal[T]): Signal[T]`

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
val v = Var(1)  
val s1 = Signal{ v() + 1 }  
val s2 = s1.switchTo(e)
```

```
assert(s2.get == 2)  
e(1)  
assert(s2.get == 1)  
e(100)  
assert(s2.get == 100)  
v.set(2)  
assert(s2.get == 100)
```

# switchOnce

- Switches to a new signal provided as a parameter once, on the occurrence of e

switchOnce[T]

(e: Event[\_], original: Signal[T], newSignal: Signal[T]): Signal[T]

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Int]()  
val v1 = Var(0)  
val v2 = Var(10)  
val s1 = Signal{ v1() + 1 }  
val s2 = Signal{ v2() + 1 }  
val s3 = s1.switchOnce(e,s2)
```

```
assert(s3.get == 1)  
v1.set(1)  
assert(s3.get == 2)  
e(1)  
assert(s3.get == 11)  
e(2)  
v2.set(11)  
assert(s3.get == 12)
```

# Note on the interface

- We showed the “non OO” signature for most of the interface functions
  - In practice, the signature is in OO style
  - One of the parameters is the receiver of the method
- For example

```
IFunctions.snapshot(e,s) // snapshot[V](e : Event[_], s: Signal[V]): Signal[V]
```

- Can be called as:

```
e.snapshot(s) // e.snapshot[V](s: Signal[V]): Signal[V]
```

```
s.snapshot(e) // s.snapshot[V](e : Event[_]): Signal[V]
```


# **DETAILS ON THE REACTIVE MODEL**

# Implementation: Challenges

- In-language reactive abstractions
  - DSL/Compiler
  - Build the dependency model
- Language runtime
  - Dependency graph
    - Evaluation
    - Change propagation
    - Model maintenance

```
val e, f, g = Var(1)
val d = Var(true)

c = Signal { f() + g() }
b = Signal { e() * 100 }
a = Signal {
  if (d) c
  else b
}
```







# DSL Implementation

- Building the graph
  - `Var(3)` -> leaf
  - `Var(4)` -> leaf
  - “`a() + b()`” saved in a closure
  - `Signal{...}` -> dependent node
- Signal expression evaluation
  - Reactive values -> edges
  - Signal = result of the evaluation

```
val a = Var(3)  
val b = Var(4)  
val c = Signal { a() + b() }
```



# Pull vs. Push Models



E.g., REScala, Rx, bacon.js

# Glitches


Temporary *spurious* values due to propagation order.

- Update order abdc
- $a()=2$      $b<-4$ ,  $d<-7$ ,  $c<-6$ ,  $d<-10$

- Effects:


- d redundantly evaluated 2 times
- First value of d has *no meaning*
- e erroneously fired two times

```
val a = Var(1)
val b = Signal{ a()*2 }
val c = Signal{ a()*3 }
val d = Signal{ b() + c() }
val e = d.changed
```



# Glitch Freedom


- Ensured by updates *in topological order*
  - Nodes are assigned to levels **L<sub>n</sub>**
  - Levels are updates in order
  - E.g., “abcde” or “acbde”
- Technical solutions:
  - Priority queue
  - Nodes wait for children



# Dynamic dependencies


- Dependencies based on runtime conditions
  - In case  $c == \text{true}$ ,  $d$  must change:
    - If  $a$  changes
    - Not if  $b$  changes
  - $d$  depends on  $a$  or  $b$  based on current  $c$
  - Change dependencies at runtime

```
val a = Var(3)
val b = Var(7)
val c = Var(false)
val d = Signal{
  if c()
    a()
  else
    b()
}
val e = Signal { 2 * d() }
```



# (Lack of) Dynamic dependencies

- Easier implementation
- Redundant evaluations
  - d is executed upon b assignments
  - even if the d does not change



```
val a = Var(3)
val t = Var(7)
val c = Var(true)
val d = Signal{
  if c()
    a()
  else
    b()
}
while(true){
  b()= ... // system time
}
```

# About Loops

- Reject loops
  - Responsibility to the programmer (REScala, Flapjax)
  - Loops rejected by the compiler
- Accept loops: which semantics ?
  - Delay to the next propagation round
  - Fix point semantics
    - Time consuming ?
    - Termination ?



```
val x = Signal { y() + 1 }  
val y = Signal { x() + 1 }
```

# EXAMPLES AND EXERCISES



# Example: Interface Functions

- Count mouse clicks

```
val click: Event[(Int, Int)] = mouse.click
val nClick = Var(0)
click += { _ =>
  nClick() += 1 }
}
```

- Better with interface functions

```
val click: Event[(Int, Int)] = mouse.click
val nClick: Signal[Int] = click.fold(0)( (x, _) => x+1 )
```

- Even better: use *count*!

```
val click: Event[(Int, Int)] = mouse.click
val nClick: Signal[Int] = click.count()
```

Conciseness  
vs.  
Generality

# Example: Interface Functions

- Keep the position of the last click in a signal

```
val clicked: Event[Unit] = mouse.clicked
val position: Signal[(Int,Int)] = mouse.position
var lastClick = Var(0,0)
clicked += { _ =>
  lastClick() = position()
}
```

- Better with interface functions

```
val clicked: Event[Unit] = mouse.clicked
val position: Signal[(Int,Int)] = mouse.position
val lastClick: Signal[(Int,Int)] = position snapshot clicked
```


# Mean Over Window

- Events collect *Double* values from a sensor
- Mean over a shifting window of the last n events
- Print the mean only when it changes

OLD

NEW

e(2) e(1) e(3) e(2) e(1) e(3) e(4) e(1) e(3) e(4)



# Mean Over Window

- Mean over a shifting window of the last n events
- Print the mean only when it changes

```
val e = new ImperativeEvent[Double]
```

```
val window = e.last(5)
```

```
val mean = Signal { window().sum / window().length }
```

```
mean.changed += {println(_)}
```

```
e(2); e(1); e(3); e(4); e(1); e(1)
```

2.0

1.5

2.0

2.5

2.2

2.0

# Example: Interface Functions



```
/* Compose reactive values */
```

```
val mouseChangePosition = mouseMovedE || mouseDraggedE
```

```
val mousePressedOrReleased = mousePressedE || mouseReleasedE
```

```
val mousePosMoving: Signal[Point] = mouseChangePosition.latest( new Point(0, 0) )
```

```
val pressed: Signal[Boolean] = mousePressedOrReleased.toggle( Signal{ false }, Signal{ true } )
```

# Dependency Graph



*/\* Compose reactive values \*/*

**val** mouseChangePosition = mouseMovedE || mouseDraggedE

**val** mousePressedOrReleased = mousePressedE || mouseReleasedE

**val** mousePosMoving: Signal[Point] = mouseChangePosition.**latest**( **new** Point(0, 0) )

**val** pressed: Signal[Boolean] = mousePressedOrReleased.**toggle**( Signal{ **false** }, Signal{ **true** } )



# Example: Time Elapsing

- We want to show the elapsing time on a display
- (second,minute,hour,day)

(0,0,0,0)	(1,2,0,0)
(1,0,0,0)	...
(2,0,0,0)	(59,59,0,0)
...	(0,0,1,0)
(59,0,0,0)	...
(0,1,0,0)	(59,59,23,0)
(1,1,0,0)	(0,0,0,1)
(2,1,0,0)	....
...	
(59,1,0,0)	
(0,2,0,0)	

# Time Elapsing: First Attempt

```
object TimeElapsing extends App {
```

```
    println("start!")
```

```
    val tick = Var(0)
```

```
    val second = Signal{ tick() % 60 }
```

```
    val minute = Signal{ tick()/60 % 60 }
```

```
    val hour = Signal{ tick()/(60*60) % (60*60) }
```

```
    val day = Signal{ tick()/(60*60*24) % (60*60*24) }
```

```
    while(true){
```

```
        Thread.sleep(0)
```

```
        println((second.get, minute.get, hour.get, day.get))
```

```
        tick.set(tick.get + 1)
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

But day is still circular.

At some point day==0 again

Also, conceptually hard to follow



# Time Elapsing

```
object AdvancedTimeElapsing extends App {  
  println("start!")  
  val tick = new ImperativeEvent[Unit]()  
  
  val numTics = tick.count  
  val seconds = Signal{ numTics() % 60 }  
  val minutes = Signal{ seconds.changedTo(0).count() % 60 }  
  val hours = Signal{ minutes.changedTo(0).count() % 24 }  
  val days = hours.changedTo(0).count  
  
  while(true){  
    Thread.sleep(0)  
    println((seconds.get, minutes.get, hours.get, days.get))  
    tick()  
  }  
}
```


Use

- s.changedTo(v)
- Fires and event if s holds v
- e.count
- Counts the occurrences of e


# Exercise: draw dependency graph

```
val tick = new ImperativeEvent[Unit]()
val numTics = tick.count
val seconds = Signal{ numTics() % 60 }
val minutes = Signal{ seconds.changedTo(0).count() % 60 }
val hours = Signal{ minutes.changedTo(0).count() % 24 }
val days = hours.changedTo(0).count
```


- Which variables are affected by a change to tick ?



# Example: Smashing Particles



- Particles
  - Get bigger
  - Move bottom-right



```
val toDraw = ListBuffer[Function1[Graphics2D,Unit]]()  
type Delta = Point
```

```
class Oval(center: Signal[Point], radius: Signal[Int]) {  
  toDraw += ((g: Graphics2D) =>  
    {g.fillOval(center.get.x,center.get.y, radius.get, radius.get)})  
}
```

```
val base = Var(0)
```

```
val time = Signal{base() % 200} // time is cyclic :)
```

```
val point1 = Signal{ new Point(20+time(), 20+time())}
```

```
new Oval(point1, time)
```

```
val point2 = Signal{ new Point(40+time(), 80+time())}
```

```
new Oval(point2, time)
```

```
...
```

```
override def main(args: Array[String]){  
  while (true) {  
    frame.repaint  
    Thread sleep 20  
    base()= base.get + 1  
  }  
}
```

- Signals are used inside objects!

# Training with RP - Resources

- Examples in the lecture slides
  - Observer
  - Reactive programming
- Homework assignments
- REScala examples (online, RP and OO version)
- REScala manual (online)



# QUESTIONS?