



Uniform Initialization; Initializer Lists

ITP 435 – Spring 2016

Week 6, Lecture 2

Lecturer: Sanjay Madhav

Making Copy Constructors Unusable



- The old way of disallowing copy constructors was to declare them private, like:

```
class Test {  
public:  
    // Allow default constructor  
    Test() { }  
    // (Destructor is implicit)  
private:  
    // Disallow copy/assignment  
    Test(const Test& other) { }  
    Test& operator=(const Test& other) { }  
};
```

Default/Delete Syntax



- In C++11, there is a more succinct way to describe the same:

```
class Test {  
public:  
    // Use default constructor for no parameters  
    Test() = default;  
    // (Destructor is implicit)  
    // Delete copy constructor/assignment operator  
    Test(const Test& other) = delete;  
    Test& operator=(const Test& other) = delete;  
};
```

- ***A deleted operator/constructor cannot be invoked!***

Basic Initialization in C++11



- There are way too many ways to initialize variables in C++11:

```
int w(0);           // Initializer in parenthesis
```

```
int x = 0;          // Initializer after =
```

```
int y{ 0 };         // Initializer in braces
```

```
int z = { 0 };      // Equals and braces!
```

Problems w/ Basic Initialization



- Not all forms of initialization work in all cases – for example, if the copy constructor is deleted...

// Clang error: Copying invokes deleted constructor

```
std::atomic<int> a1 = 5;
```

```
std::atomic<int> a2(5);      // Okay
```

```
std::atomic<int> a3{ 5 };    // Okay
```

```
std::atomic<int> a4 = { 5 }; // Okay
```

All of these forms actually work in Visual Studio, since it has very relaxed C++11 conformity

Initializing Non-Static Member Data



- In C++98, the best way to initialize member data is in the initializer list:

```
class Test {  
public:  
    Test()  
        : x(20)  
    { }  
private:  
    int x;  
};
```

Initializing Non-Static Member Data, Cont'd



- In C++11, you are allowed to initialize non-static data at the point of declaration – provided you use either equals **or** braces (or both):

```
class Test {  
public:  
private:  
    int x = 5;          // Works  
    int y = { 25 };    // Works  
    int z{ 125 };      // Works  
};
```

If you look at a constructed Test in the debugger, the member variables will be initialized to 5, 25, and 125!

Initializing Non-Static Member Data, Cont'd



- **However**, parenthesis syntax is rejected:

```
class Test {  
public:  
private:  
    int x = 5;          // Works  
    int y = { 25 };    // Works  
    int z{ 125 };      // Works  
    int a(125);         // ERROR!  
};
```


Uniform Initialization



- **Uniform initialization** is the idea of one initialization syntax that is valid wherever initialization is valid
- In order to maintain backwards compatibility in old code, the **braces initialization** was selected as the uniform initialization:

```
// This is a uniform initialization
```

```
int y{ 0 };
```

```
// This is (almost always) also a uniform initialization
```

```
int z = { 0 };
```

Personally, I prefer the second syntax to the first

Difference Between Brace Syntaxes



- `= { }` will ignore explicit constructors...

```
class Test {  
public:  
    explicit Test(int i) : x(i) { }  
private:  
    int x;  
};
```

- Then:

```
// Uniform Initialization - Works!
```

```
Test t1{ 5 };
```

```
// = { } ignores explicit constructors - ERROR! :(
```

```
Test t2 = { 5 };
```

Narrowing



- A novel aspect of uniform initialization is that it does not allow **narrowing** from a less constrained to a more constrained type

- Examples:

```
char c1{ 50 }; // Fine, 50 can fit in a char
```

```
char c2{ 1234 }; // ERROR - Can't narrow 1234 -> char
```

```
int i1{ 10 }; // Fine
```

```
int t2{ 10.0 }; // ERROR - Can't narrow float -> int
```

Aggregate Initialization



- You can also use the uniform initialization to initialize a class, struct, or union, provided that:
 - There's no private/protected members
 - No constructors (other than default/delete)
 - No base class
 - No virtual functions
 - No brace/equal initializers for non-static members

Aggregate Initialization, Cont'd



```
struct Test1 {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
    int z;  
};
```

- You can initialize each member via the uniform initialization (in the order in which they are declared)

```
// Initializes  
// x = 50  
// y = -50  
// z = 25  
Test1 t{ 50, -50, 25 };
```

Aggregate Initialization, Cont'd



- Also works when nesting aggregates that satisfy the conditions!

Declaration	Initialization
<pre>struct Point { int x; int y; int z; }; struct Test2 { Point topLeft; Point botRight; };</pre>	<pre>Test2 t2{ {5, 10, 15}, // Top left {2, 4, 6}, // Bottom right };</pre>

Uniform Initialization and STL Collections



- The great thing about uniform initialization is it works to initialize STL collections!

```
// Initialize a vector of even numbers  
std::vector<int> v{ 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 };
```

```
// Initialize a list of odd numbers  
std::list<int> l{ 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 };
```

```
// Create a pair  
std::pair<std::string, int> p{ "Hello", 5 };
```

Vector of Pairs



- Works even with nesting!

```
// Vector of pairs
std::vector<std::pair<int, std::string>> months{
    { 1, "January" },
    { 2, "February" },
    { 3, "March" },
    // ...
};
```

- *Q: How does this actually work?*



- Included in the header `<initializer_list>`
- It's a templated and lightweight proxy class to a temporary array
- Only supports the following operations:
 - Size
 - Begin and end iterators
 - That's it!
- This can be used to create an `initializer_list` constructor

Example



- Suppose we have a standardish dynamic array:

```
template <typename T>
class DynArray {
public:
    // Functions here ...
private:
    size_t mSize;
    T* mData;
};
```

Example, Cont'd



- Some standard functions:

```
// Default Constructor
DynArray()
: mSize(10)
{
    mData = new T[mSize];
}
// Destructor
~DynArray() {
    delete[] mData;
}
// Constructor to specify initial size
DynArray(size_t size)
: mSize(size)
{
    mData = new T[mSize];
}
```

Example, Cont'd



- The initializer list constructor:

```
// Initializer list constructor
DynArray(const std::initializer_list<T>& list) {
    mSize = list.size();
    mData = new T[mSize];
    int i = 0;
    for (const T& val : list) {
        mData[i] = val;
        i++;
    }
}
```

Example, Cont'd



- Now we can call the `initializer_list` constructor using the uniform initialization syntax:

```
// Calls the initializer_list constructor  
DynArray<int> test{ 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 };
```

- A side effect is that if there is an `initializer_list` constructor, it'll always be preferred when using uniform initialization

Example, Cont'd



- A side effect is that if there is an `initializer_list` constructor, it'll **always** be preferred when using uniform initialization:

```
// This STILL calls the initializer list constructor  
// (Creates a list of size 1 with the value 5)  
DynArray<int> test2{ 5 };
```

```
// To call the constructor that takes the initial size  
// only, you have to use the old syntax...  
// (Creates a list of size 5 with no data)  
DynArray<int> test3(5);
```

- This is the one thing to watch out for when using uniform initialization!

Something that's annoying...



- Why can't I just do:

```
// Test 2
```

```
someFunctionThatTakesAList({1, 2, 3});
```

- “In Python you could totally do this!” – Random Python programmer



- Great way to make a function that can take an arbitrary number of arguments, provided they are all the same type.

```
#include <initializer_list>
int addList(const std::initializer_list<int>& list)
{
    int retVal = 0;
    for (auto i : list)
    {
        retVal += i;
    }

    return retVal;
}
```

- Then later this function can be called like this:

```
// Outputs 33
std::cout << addList({1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13}) << std::endl;
```


std::initializer_list Constraint



- Remember, it only works if all elements in the list are the same type
- But this should usually be the case...
- And you can use pairs/tuples if you want to pack them in further

std::initializer_list with std::pair



```
void printMonths(const std::initializer_list<std::pair<int,
               std::string>> & list)
{
    for (auto i : list) {
        std::cout << i.first << ":" << i.second << std::endl;
    }
}

// Later...
printMonths({
    { 1, "January" },
    { 2, "February" },
    { 3, "March" },
    // ...
});
```

A more real use



- I use initializer lists in some of the code in ITP 439:

```
// Returns true if the current token matches one of the tokens
// in the list.
bool Parser::peekIsOneOf(const std::initializer_list<Token::Tokens>& list)
    noexcept
{
    for (Token::Tokens t : list)
    {
        if (t == peekToken())
        {
            return true;
        }
    }
    return false;
}
```

Pair



- `std::pair` is a pair of elements, it's not restricted to just maps
- You could make a vector like this, for example:

```
#include <vector>
// This vector stores pairs of month numbers and names
std::vector<std::pair<int, std::string>> v;
// Add January
v.push_back(std::pair<int, std::string>(1, "January"));
// This would output 1,January
std::cout << v[0].first << "," << v[0].second;
```

Tuple



- `std::tuple` is like `pair` but you can have an unlimited number of elements

```
#include <vector>
#include <tuple>
// This vector stores tuples with month num, short name, long name
std::vector<std::tuple<int, std::string, std::string>> v;
// Add January
v.push_back(std::make_tuple(1, "Jan", "January"));
// This would output 1,Jan,January
std::cout << std::get<0>(v[0]) << "," << std::get<1>(v[0]) << "," <<
    std::get<2>(v[0]);
```