

Quasilinear Theory of Anomalous Transport in Axisymmetric Tokamaks

Stefan Tirkas

University of Colorado, Boulder

July 21, 2020

1 Introduction

2 Quasilinear Theory

3 Tokamak Geometry

For simplicity, we consider an axisymmetric, large aspect-ratio, circular tokamak. This gives the following definition for the equilibrium magnetic field,

$$\mathbf{B} = B_\theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + B_\zeta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta = B_\theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + B_0(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta = B_0 \left[\frac{\epsilon}{q} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + (1 - \epsilon \cos \theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{r}{R_0} \ll 1$ is the inverse aspect ratio, with $R = R_0 + r \cos \theta$, for r the minor radius, and R_0 the major radius, and $q \simeq \frac{r B_\zeta}{R_0 B_\theta} \sim 1$ is the safety factor¹ - the number of toroidal turns required for one poloidal turn of magnetic field lines. The term $\epsilon \cos \theta$ in R takes into account the change in toroidal radius along the tokamak midplane. Working to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, the magnetic field magnitude, magnetic field unit vector, and toroidal gradient terms can be written as,

$$B = \sqrt{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} = \sqrt{B_0^2 [(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta)^2 + (\frac{\epsilon}{q})^2]} = B_0 \sqrt{1 - 2\epsilon \cos \theta} \simeq B_0(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta), \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B} = \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{q} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + (1 - \epsilon \cos \theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta}{1 - \epsilon \cos \theta} \simeq \frac{\epsilon}{q} (1 + \epsilon \cos \theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta \simeq \frac{\epsilon}{q} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla = \partial_r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + \frac{1}{R} \partial_\zeta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta = \partial_r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + \frac{1}{R_0 + r \cos \theta} \partial_\zeta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\zeta. \quad (4)$$

4 Gyrokinetics

Talk about gyrophase-averaging and guiding center coordinates.

4.1 Vlasov Equation

The perturbed, gyrokinetic distribution function is given as a combination of adiabatic and non-adiabatic terms,²

$$\delta F = \frac{q}{m} \delta F_a + \delta G, \quad (5)$$

where,

$$\delta F_a = [\delta \Phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon^*} + (\delta \Phi - \frac{v_{\parallel} \delta A_{\parallel}}{c}) \frac{\partial}{B \partial \mu}] F_0, \quad (6)$$

$$\delta G_0 = -\frac{q}{m} \langle \delta L \rangle_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{B \partial \mu} + \delta H_0, \quad (7)$$

$$\langle \dots \rangle_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\dots) d\alpha, \quad (8)$$

with α as the gyro-phase angle, $\delta L = \delta \Phi - \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \mathbf{A}}{c}$, $\epsilon^* = \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{q\Phi_0}{m}$, and $\mu = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2B}$. Higher order terms in δG , the perturbed, non-adiabatic distribution function, are dropped. We can simplify things further by choosing for F_0 a Maxwellian equilibrium distribution function, f_M , so that it only depends on ϵ^* and not μ . This gives us a final distribution function,

$$\delta F = \frac{q}{m} \delta \Phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon^*} f_M + \delta H_0. \quad (9)$$

This distribution function can be plugged into the Vlasov equation and gyrophase-averaged to give the standard gyrokinetic Vlasov equation for a species j ,²

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \delta H_0 + v_{\parallel} \nabla_{X_{\parallel}} \delta H_0 + (\mathbf{v}_d + \frac{c \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla_X \langle \delta \Phi \rangle_{\alpha}}{B}) \cdot \nabla_X \delta H_0 \\ &= -\frac{e_j}{m_j} [\partial_t \langle \delta \Phi \rangle_{\alpha} \partial_{\epsilon^*} f_M - \frac{1}{\omega_{cj}} (\nabla_X \langle \delta \Phi \rangle_{\alpha} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \nabla_X f_M], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where \mathbf{v}_d , the sum of magnetic curvature and gradient drift terms, is defined as,

$$\mathbf{v}_d = \frac{v_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2} v_{\perp}^2}{\omega_{cj}} \frac{\mathbf{B} \times \nabla B}{B^2}, \quad (11)$$

with, simplifying to lowest order in ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{B} \times \nabla B}{B^2} &= \frac{B_0 [(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta} + \frac{\epsilon}{q} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}] \times (\partial_r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R} \partial_{\zeta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta}) B_0 (1 - \epsilon \cos \theta)}{B_0^2 (1 - \epsilon \cos \theta)^2} \\ &= \frac{[1 - \epsilon \cos \theta] \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta} + \frac{\epsilon}{q} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} \times [-\frac{1}{R_0} \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{r}{r R_0} \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}]}{(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta)^2} \left[-\frac{(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta) \cos \theta}{R_0} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r) - \frac{(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta) \cos \theta}{R_0} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\epsilon}{q R_0} \cos \theta (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r) \right] \\ &\simeq (1 + 2\epsilon \cos \theta) \left[-\frac{\cos \theta}{R_0} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} - \frac{\sin \theta}{R_0} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \right] \simeq -\frac{1}{R_0} (\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The second and third terms on the left-hand side of (10) can be simplified to lowest order in ϵ using (1)-(4) and (11)-(12) as,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{\parallel} \nabla_{X_{\parallel}} &\simeq v_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} = v_{\parallel} (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) = v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{q} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta} \right) \cdot (\partial_r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R_0 + r \cos \theta} \partial_{\zeta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta}) \\
&= v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{qr} \partial_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R} \partial_{\zeta} \right) = v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{1}{qR_0} \partial_{\theta} + \frac{1}{R} \partial_{\zeta} \right) = \frac{v_{\parallel}}{qR} \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \partial_{\theta} + q \partial_{\zeta} \right) \\
&= \frac{v_{\parallel}}{qR} ((1 + \epsilon \cos \theta) \partial_{\theta} + q \partial_{\zeta}) \simeq \frac{v_{\parallel}}{qR} (\partial_{\theta} + q \partial_{\zeta}) = v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial l},
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_d \cdot \nabla_X &\simeq \mathbf{v}_d \cdot \nabla = -\frac{v_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_{\perp}^2}{\omega_{cj}} (\sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}) \cdot (\partial_r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}) \\
&= -\frac{v_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_{\perp}^2}{\omega_{cj} R_0} (\sin \theta \partial_r + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \partial_{\theta}),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with l being the infinitesimal length along the field lines. Note that we have dropped the non-linear $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift term on the left-hand side of (10) because we are interested in linearizing this equation.

4.2 Non-Adiabatic Distribution Function

Using a WKB ansatz the gyrophase-averaged terms can be simplified as,

$$\langle A(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{\alpha} = J_0(\sqrt{m_j} \frac{k_{\perp} v_{\perp j}}{\omega_{cj}}) A(\mathbf{X}) = J_0(z_j) A(\mathbf{X}). \tag{15}$$

Then, simplifying (10) using (13)-(15) and taking the Fourier transform, gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
-i\omega \delta \tilde{H} + v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \delta \tilde{H} + i \mathbf{v}_d \cdot \mathbf{k}_X \delta \tilde{H} &= -\frac{e_j}{m_j} [-i\omega J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} \partial_{\epsilon^*} f_M \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{\omega_{cj}} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} (\mathbf{k} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \frac{d}{dr} f_M \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r] = i \frac{e_j}{m_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} [\omega \partial_{\epsilon^*} + \frac{k_{\theta}}{\omega_{cj}} \frac{d}{dr}] f_M,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\Rightarrow (v_{\parallel} \partial_l - i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj})) \delta \tilde{H} = i \frac{e_j}{m_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} [\omega \partial_{\epsilon^*} + \frac{k_{\theta}}{\omega_{cj}} \frac{d}{dr}] f_M, \tag{17}$$

with the following definitions,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\omega}_{dj} = -\mathbf{v}_d \cdot \mathbf{k} &= \frac{k_{\theta} (v_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_{\perp}^2)}{\omega_{cj} R_0} (\cos \theta + \frac{k_r}{k_{\theta}} \sin \theta) \\
&= \frac{\omega_{dj}}{2} \left(\left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{v_{Tj}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v_{\perp}}{v_{Tj}} \right)^2 \right) (\cos \theta + \frac{k_r}{k_{\theta}} \sin \theta),
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbf{k} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} = (k_r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + k_{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + k_{\zeta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta}) \times \left(\frac{\epsilon}{q} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\zeta} \right) \simeq -k_r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} + k_{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r, \tag{19}$$

where ω_{dj} represents the magnetic curvature drift frequency,

$$\omega_{dj} = 2 \frac{n}{dn/dr} \frac{\omega_{*j}}{R_0}, \tag{20}$$

and ω_{*j} the diamagnetic drift frequency,

$$\omega_{*j} = \frac{k_{\theta} T_j}{q_j B} \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr}. \tag{21}$$

Note that f_M depends only on r due to it being a function of $n_j(r)$ and $T_j(r)$ which are only changing across the circular flux-surfaces - therefore only functions of radius - and that $\nabla_X \simeq \nabla$

to lowest order for the perturbed distribution functions and potential. Next, we can simplify further by plugging in the definition of the Maxwellian, with $k_B T_j \Rightarrow T_j$,

$$f_M(r) = n_j(r) \left(\frac{m_j}{2\pi T_j(r)} \right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m_j \epsilon^*}{T_j(r)}}, \quad (22)$$

giving the following values for derivatives,

$$\partial_{\epsilon^*} f_M = -\frac{m_j}{T_j} n_j \left(\frac{m_j}{2\pi T_j} \right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m_j \epsilon^*}{T_j}} = -\frac{m_j}{T_j} f_M, \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} f_M &= \frac{dn}{dr} \frac{d}{dn} f_M + \frac{dT}{dr} \frac{d}{dT} f_M = \frac{dn}{dr} e^{-\frac{m_j \epsilon^*}{T_j(r)}} + \frac{dT}{dr} \frac{du}{dT} \frac{d}{du} \left[n_j \left(\frac{m_j u(T(r))}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m_j \epsilon^* u(T_j(r))} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} f_M + \frac{dT}{dr} \frac{du}{dT} n_j \left[\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{m_j u}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_j}{2\pi} \right) - m_j \epsilon^* \left(\frac{m_j u}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2} \right] e^{-m_j \epsilon^* u(T_j(r))} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} f_M + \frac{dT}{dr} \frac{du}{dT} \left[\frac{3}{2} u^{-1} - m_j \epsilon^* \right] f_M = \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} + \frac{dT}{dr} \left(-\frac{1}{T^2} \right) \left(\frac{3}{2} u^{-1} - m_j \epsilon^* \right) \right] f_M \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} - \frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dr} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_j v^2}{2T_j} \right) \right] f_M = \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} - \frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dr} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{v^2}{2v_{Tj}^2} \right) \right] f_M \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v}{v_{Tj}} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \eta_j \right] f_M, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

given that $u = T^{-1}$, $du = -T^{-2} dT$, $v_{Tj} = \sqrt{\frac{T_j}{m_j}}$, and $\eta_j = \frac{n}{T} \frac{dT}{dn}$. Putting these derivatives into (17) then gives,

$$\begin{aligned} (v_{\parallel} \partial_l - i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj})) \delta \tilde{H} &= i \frac{e_j}{m_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} \left[-\frac{m_j}{T_j} \omega + \frac{k_{\theta}}{\omega_{cj}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v}{v_{Tj}} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \eta_j \right] \right] f_M \\ \Rightarrow (v_{\parallel} \partial_l - i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj})) \delta \tilde{H} &= -i \frac{e_j}{T_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} \left[\omega - \frac{k_{\theta} T_j m_j}{q_j B m_j} \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v}{v_{Tj}} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \eta_j \right] \right] f_M \quad (25) \\ \Rightarrow (-v_{\parallel} \partial_l + i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj})) \delta \tilde{H} &= i \frac{e_j}{T_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} \left[\omega - \omega_{*j} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v}{v_{Tj}} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \eta_j \right] \right] f_M \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (-v_{\parallel} \partial_l + i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj})) \delta \tilde{H} = i \frac{e_j}{T_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} [\omega - \omega_{*j}^T] f_M, \quad (26)$$

with the following definition,

$$\omega_{*j}^T = \omega_{*j} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v}{v_{Tj}} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \eta_j \right]. \quad (27)$$

Then (26) can be simplified further and solved for $\delta \tilde{H}$ if a few physical assumptions are made for electron drift and ITG modes. First off, trapped particle effects are neglected, i.e.,

$$\omega \gg \omega_{bj}, \quad (28)$$

for ω_{bj} as the trapped particle frequency. We also assume electrons move rapidly in response to the electrostatic potential,

$$k_{\parallel} v_{Te} \gg \omega \gg k_{\parallel} v_{Ti}, \quad (29)$$

noting that $v_{Tj} \simeq v_{\parallel j}$. Finally we assume that the frequency associated with magnetic drifts of ions is much smaller than that of the perturbed modes,

$$\omega \gg \omega_{di} . \quad (30)$$

Now, (29) and (30) allow the left-hand side of (26) to be rewritten for ions as,

$$i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di})(1 + i \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\omega - \omega_{di}} \frac{\partial}{\partial l}) \simeq i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di})(1 + \frac{k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel i}}{\omega}) \simeq i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}) . \quad (31)$$

Finally we can solve for $\delta \tilde{H}$ explicitly by replacing the left-hand side of (26) with (31), giving the perturbed, non-adiabatic distribution function for ions,

$$\delta \tilde{H} = \frac{e_j}{T_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \tilde{\Phi} f_M \frac{\omega - \omega_{*i}^T}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}} . \quad (32)$$

References

¹ Wesson 2004

² Frieman, Chen 1982