A Quasi-linear Theory of Impurity Transport in Circular, Axisymmetric Tokamaks

Stefan Tirkas

University of Colorado, Boulder

September 25, 2020

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Impurity Transport

Impurity transport is an important issue for fusion plasmas as it can strongly affect the plasma performance. In order to achieve continuous operation, particle control is essential.[1] For D-T burning plasmas, helium ash exhaust is an important requirement; the helium density as well as impurity ion density must be kept sufficiently low in order to minimize the dilution of fuel ions. The requirement that the fraction of helium ash remains acceptable ($\lesssim 10\%$) can only be met if the outward transport of helium is sufficiently rapid.[2] Impurity accumulation also leads to radiative losses and radiative instabilities, which will further lower confinement times and fusion power output. The neoclassical theory of impurity transport is highly developed, and it provides a model for the transport of particles, heat, and momentum due to Coulomb collisions; however, predictions by this theory are rarely well-matched by experiment. A simple representation of particle flux can be expressed as the sum of a diffusive and convective term,

$$\Gamma = -D\frac{dn}{dr} - vn, \tag{1.1.1}$$

where D is the diffusivity, and the second term describes an inward pinch with velocity v. Diffusivities are generally observed to be much larger than the neoclassical values predicted, and experiments on JET, for instance, indicate a sharp transition from approximately neoclassical values of D in the core to very anomalous values in the outer region.[3] Turbulent transport is considered a plausible candidate for explaining this anomalous transport.

1.2 Units

The units employed in this theory are cgs. However the units when comparing results to GENE are given in specialized GyroBohm units, normalized according to the GENE manual. This normalization is found in Section 5.1.

1.3 Distribution Function

Perhaps the most important piece of information used here is the particle distribution function - the function that describes the probability of finding particles at a certain position with a certain velocity in 6-D phase-space. The distribution function is taken to be the standard adiabatic form for now, with plans to update the perturbed distribution function with gyrokinetic effects as well as with trapped or passing particle dynamics as necessary. We start by assuming a Maxwellian distribution modified by a potential term and with T_j represented in terms of energy, i.e. $k_B T_j \Rightarrow T_j$,

$$f_{0,j} = n_j \left(\frac{m_j}{2\pi T_j}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m_j \epsilon_j^*}{T_j}} = f_{M,j} e^{-\frac{q_j \delta\Phi}{T_j}} \simeq f_{M,j}(1 - \frac{q_j \delta\Phi}{T_j}), \tag{1.3.1}$$

$$\Phi = \delta\Phi \tag{1.3.2}$$

with $\epsilon_j^* \equiv \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{q_j \Phi}{m_j}$, the particle energy per unit mass, and the approximation that that $\frac{q\delta\Phi}{T_j} \ll 1$. (1.3.1) makes clear the following definition for the total distribution function in the case of a Maxwellian,

$$f_j = f_{0,j} + \delta f_j = f_{M,j} - \frac{q_j \delta\Phi}{T_j} f_{M,j}, \tag{1.3.3}$$

or, more generally as given by Frieman and Chen,[4]

$$\delta f_j = -\frac{q_j}{m_j} \delta \Phi \partial_{\epsilon^*} f_{0,j} \ . \tag{1.3.4}$$

We also assume a condition for quasi-neutrality, which states that as a whole the plasma remains charge-neutral,

$$\sum_j q_j n_j = 0, \tag{1.3.5}$$

where the density n_j is defined as the integration of the distribution function over velocity space,

$$n_j = \int f_j d^3v \ . \tag{1.3.6}$$

Because quasi-neutrality has to be satisfied in the equilibrium state before perturbations are created, as well as afterwards, (1.3.5) applies to the equilibrium densities as well as the perturbed densities since the equilibrium densities will cancel from the totals and leave just the perturbed densities in the final state. This means we have, in total,

$$\sum_j q_j n_{0,j} = 0, \tag{1.3.7}$$

$$\sum_j q_j \delta n_j = 0 \ . \tag{1.3.8}$$

Liouville's theorem gives, using Hamiltonian theory, the time-evolution of the phase-space distribution function of a species, namely,[5]

$$\frac{df_j(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}{\partial t} = 0. \tag{1.3.9}$$

(1.3.9) can be used to get the Vlasov equation,[5] which uses the Lorentz force law to determine the time-evolution of the distribution function for a species in a plasma,

$$\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v_j} \cdot \nabla f_j + \frac{q_j}{m_j}(\boldsymbol{E} + \frac{\boldsymbol{v_j}}{c} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \nabla_v f_j = 0 \ . \tag{1.3.10}$$

It is trivial to show that a Maxwellian distribution with constant density and temperature satisfies (1.3.10). In the case of allowing collisions/interactions between species the Vlasov equation is amended to the Fokker-Planck equation which contains on the right hand side terms for interactions between each pair of species,[5]

$$\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v_j} \cdot \nabla f_j + \frac{q_j}{m_j}(\boldsymbol{E} + \frac{\boldsymbol{v_j}}{c} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \nabla_v f_j = \left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial t}\right)_c \ . \tag{1.3.11}$$

Note that when (1.3.11) is summed over all species, the pairs of collision terms will cancel due to Newton's 3rd law, giving again 0 on the right-hand side.

1.4 Tokamak Geometry

For the tokamak geometry, we consider, for simplicity, an axisymmetric, large aspect-ratio, circular tokamak. Using the Grad-Shafranov equation, the following definition for the equilibrium magnetic field can be found,

$$\boldsymbol{B} = B_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + B_\zeta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta = B_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + B_0(1 - \epsilon \cos\theta)\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta = B_0[\frac{\epsilon}{q}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + (1 - \epsilon \cos\theta)\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta], \tag{1.4.1}$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{r}{R_0} \ll 1$ is the inverse aspect ratio, with $R = R_0 + r\cos\theta$, for r the minor radius, and R_0 the major radius, and $q \simeq \frac{rB_\zeta}{R_0 B_\theta} \sim 1$ is the safety factor - the number of toroidal turns required for one poloidal turn of magnetic field lines. The term $\epsilon\cos\theta$ in R takes into account the change in toroidal radius along the tokamak midplane. Working to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, the magnetic field magnitude, magnetic field unit vector, and toroidal gradient terms can be written as,

$$B \equiv \sqrt{\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}} = \sqrt{B_0^2[(1 - \epsilon\cos\theta)^2 + (\frac{\epsilon}{q})^2]} = B_0\sqrt{1 - 2\epsilon\cos\theta} \simeq B_0(1 - \epsilon\cos\theta), \qquad (1.4.2)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{b}} \equiv \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{B} = \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{q}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + (1 - \epsilon\cos\theta)\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta}{1 - \epsilon\cos\theta} \simeq \frac{\epsilon}{q}(1 + \epsilon\cos\theta)\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta \simeq \frac{\epsilon}{q}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta, \qquad (1.4.3)$$

$$\nabla = \partial_r\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \frac{1}{R}\partial_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta = \partial_r\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \frac{1}{R_0 + r\cos\theta}\partial_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta . \qquad (1.4.4)$$

2 Quasi-linear Theory

The theoretical picture of turbulent transport is that the free energy released by an instability drives a steady level of fluctuations in associated perturbed quantities, which results in radial transport of particles and energy. Precise relationships between the fluctuations and the corresponding transport can be obtained by quasi-linear theory.[6] In quasi-linear theory, it is assumed that the plasma is weakly unstable, and that the instability leads to a broad spectrum of waves that modify the background plasma in a self-consistent way via nonlinear interactions.[7] Generally, quantities of interest are taken to be a main spatially-averaged part which varies slowly with time compared to the frequency of perturbations, summed with a fluctuation quantity. Within our plasma description, the density and velocity contributing to the flux are written as,

$$n = \langle n \rangle_s + \delta n, \qquad (2.0.1)$$

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \delta\boldsymbol{v}, \qquad (2.0.2)$$

where $\langle ... \rangle_s$ represents a flux-surface average, and terms with δ tacked on represent fluctuations.

2.1 Anomalous Transport

(2.0.1) and (2.0.2) together give a possibility for the anomalous turbulent transport, namely,

$$\Gamma_r = \langle \delta v_r \delta n \rangle_s, \qquad (2.1.1)$$

noting that we are considering flow in the radial direction, so averaging over the poloidal and toroidal angles (the flux surface) when considering the tokamak geometry described in the following section. The lowest order term is second-order in perturbed quantities because $\langle\langle n\rangle_s \delta v_r\rangle_s = \langle n\rangle_s\langle\delta v_r\rangle_s = 0$, since the average of an averaged quantity is itself, and the average of truly random fluctuations is zero. The density perturbation can be written in terms of a perturbed distribution function integrated over all velocity space, and the perturbed velocity as an $\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B}$ drift where the perturbed $\boldsymbol{E}$ field and the background $\boldsymbol{B}$ field are used. Taking the poloidal average and bringing the drift velocity into the velocity-space integral, we get the following result for the quasi-linear particle flux,

$$\Gamma_r = \langle \int \delta f \frac{c}{B}(\delta\boldsymbol{E} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{b}})d^3v\rangle_s = \frac{c}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int \delta f \frac{\delta E_\theta}{B}d\theta d\zeta d^3v . \qquad (2.1.2)$$

Note there is a subtle point that only the θ-component of δE remains because we have dropped the term of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ in the cross product with (1.9). For quasi-linear theory, equations will be linearized and a Fourier transform can be taken to give a flux for each mode,

$$\Gamma_r = \frac{c}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int \delta \widetilde{f} \frac{\widetilde{(-\nabla_\theta(\delta\widetilde{\Phi}))}}{B} d\theta d\zeta d^3 v, \tag{2.1.3}$$

where a $\sim$ over a quantity represents a Fourier mode. (2.1.3) can be made more general by allowing $\delta \widetilde{f}$ and $\delta \widetilde{\Phi}$ to be complex when calculating a real flux value. Plugging the results from Appendix A into the terms in (2.1.3) gives the final result for the flux,

$$\Gamma_r = \frac{ik_\theta c}{2\pi B} \int_0^{2\pi} \int (\delta \widetilde{f} \delta \widetilde{\Phi}^* - \delta \widetilde{f}^* \delta \widetilde{\Phi}) d\theta d\zeta d^3 v \; . \tag{2.1.4}$$

3 Gyrokinetics

Gyrokinetics provides a framework to study plasma behavior on perpendicular scales comparable to that of the particle gyroradius ρ and frequencies much lower than the particle cyclotron frequencies $\omega_c \equiv \frac{qB}{m}$. This model assumes the following: $\rho \ll L$, with L the characteristic macroscopic plasma scale; $\omega \ll \omega_{c,i} \ll \omega_{c,e}$; and $k_\perp \rho_i \sim 1$.[8] The trajectory of these particles is decomposed into a slow guiding center motion along the field line and a fast circular motion around the field line. The gyrokinetic Vlasov equation is found by converting the standard Vlasov equation to guiding center coordinates $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}$ and averaging over the gyrophase angle $\alpha \equiv \omega_c t$, to account for the fast circular motion, where,[4]

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x} + \frac{\boldsymbol{v} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}}{\omega_c} = \boldsymbol{x} - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_\perp}{\omega_c} = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\rho}, \tag{3.0.1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \boldsymbol{V}(\epsilon^*, \mu, \alpha) = \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}, \tag{3.0.2}$$

where ϵ^* is defined in section 1.2, $\mu \equiv \frac{v_\perp^2}{2B}$, and $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \rho[\cos\alpha\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 - \sin\alpha\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1]$, with $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2$ being local orthogonal unit vectors such that $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{b}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1$. We now note that the gradient defined in (1.4.4) is the gradient in guiding center coordinates because the geometry of the field lines is that of the guiding center - i.e. $\nabla = \nabla_X$ from now on.

3.1 Gyrokinetic Vlasov Equation

The perturbed, gyrokinetic distribution function is given as a combination of adiabatic and non-adiabatic terms,[4]

$$\delta F = \frac{q}{m} \delta F_a + \delta G, \tag{3.1.1}$$

where,

$$\delta F_a = \delta\Phi \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon^*} + \frac{\partial}{B \partial \mu} \right] F_0, \tag{3.1.2}$$

$$\delta G_0 = -\frac{q}{m} \langle \delta\Phi \rangle_\alpha \frac{\partial F_0}{B \partial \mu} + \delta H_0, \tag{3.1.3}$$

$$\langle \ldots \rangle_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\ldots) d\alpha \; . \tag{3.1.4}$$

Higher order terms in δG have been dropped, as well as magnetic fluctuation terms - i.e. we choose to work in the electrostatic limit. We can simplify things further by choosing for F_0 a

Maxwellian equilibrium distribution function, f_M, so that it only depends on ϵ^* and not μ or α. This gives us a final distribution function,

$$\delta F = \frac{q}{m}\delta\Phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon^*}f_M + \delta H_0 \,. \tag{3.1.5}$$

This distribution function can be plugged into the Vlasov equation and gyrophase-averaged to give the standard gyrokinetic Vlasov equation for a species j,[4]

$$\partial_t\delta H_0 + v_\parallel\nabla_{X_\parallel}\delta H_0 + (\boldsymbol{v}_d + \frac{c}{B}(\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}\times\nabla_X\langle\delta\Phi\rangle_\alpha))\cdot\nabla_X\delta H_0$$
$$= -\frac{q_j}{m_j}[\partial_t\langle\delta\Phi\rangle_\alpha\partial_{\epsilon^*}f_M - \frac{1}{\omega_{c,j}}(\nabla_X\langle\delta\Phi\rangle_\alpha\times\hat{\boldsymbol{b}})\cdot\nabla_X f_M], \tag{3.1.6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}_d$, the sum of magnetic curvature and gradient drift terms, is defined as,

$$\boldsymbol{v}_d = \frac{v_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_\perp^2}{\omega_{c,j}}\frac{\boldsymbol{B}\times\nabla B}{B^2}, \tag{3.1.7}$$

with, simplifying to lowest order in ϵ,

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{B}\times\nabla B}{B^2} = \frac{B_0[(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta + \frac{\epsilon}{q}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta]\times[(\partial_r\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \frac{1}{R}\partial_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta)B_0(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)]}{B_0^2(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)^2}$$
$$= \frac{[(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta + \frac{\epsilon}{q}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta]\times[-\frac{1}{R_0}\cos\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \frac{r}{rR_0}\sin\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta]}{(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)^2}[-\frac{(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)\cos\theta}{R_0}(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta\times\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r) - \frac{(1-\epsilon\cos\theta)\cos\theta}{R_0}(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta\times\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta)$$
$$- \frac{\epsilon}{qR_0}\cos\theta(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta\times\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r)] \tag{3.1.8}$$
$$\simeq (1+2\epsilon\cos\theta)[-\frac{\cos\theta}{R_0}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta - \frac{\sin\theta}{R_0}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r] \simeq -\frac{1}{R_0}(\sin\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \cos\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta) \,.$$

The second and third terms on the left-hand side of (3.1.6) can be simplified to lowest order in ϵ using (1.4.1)-(1.4.4) and (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) as,

$$v_\parallel\nabla_{X_\parallel} = v_\parallel(\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}\cdot\nabla) = v_\parallel(\frac{\epsilon}{q}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta)\cdot(\partial_r\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \frac{1}{R_0 + r\cos\theta}\partial_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta)$$
$$= v_\parallel(\frac{\epsilon}{qr}\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{R}\partial_\zeta) = v_\parallel(\frac{1}{qR_0}\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{R}\partial_\zeta) = \frac{v_\parallel}{qR}(\frac{R}{R_0}\partial_\theta + q\partial_\zeta) \tag{3.1.9}$$
$$= \frac{v_\parallel}{qR}((1+\epsilon\cos\theta)\partial_\theta + q\partial_\zeta) \simeq \frac{v_\parallel}{qR}(\partial_\theta + q\partial_\zeta) = v_\parallel\frac{\partial}{\partial l},$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_d\cdot\nabla_X = \boldsymbol{v}_d\cdot\nabla = -\frac{v_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_\perp^2}{\omega_{c,j}}(\sin\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \cos\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta)\cdot(\partial_r\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta)$$
$$= -\frac{v_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_\perp^2}{\omega_{c,j}R_0}(\sin\theta\partial_r + \frac{\cos\theta}{r}\partial_\theta), \tag{3.1.10}$$

with l being the length along the field lines. Note that we have dropped the non-linear $\boldsymbol{E}\times\boldsymbol{B}$ drift term on the left-hand side of (3.1.6) because we are interested in linearizing this equation.

It is beneficial to simplify the conversion between regular coordinates and guiding-center coordinates with a Taylor expansion in the small parameter $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. We take (3.0.1) as a starting

point, and apply a vector Taylor expansion, giving,

$$A(\boldsymbol{X}) = A(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\rho}) = A(\boldsymbol{x}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial A(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_j} \rho_j + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) + \ldots$$
$$= A(\boldsymbol{x})[1 - \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla A(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) + \ldots]$$
$$= e^{-\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}\perp}} A(\boldsymbol{x}) = e^{i\rho \cdot k_\perp \sin\alpha} A(\boldsymbol{x}),$$

(3.1.11)

while the reverse transform can be found to be,

$$A(\boldsymbol{x}) = e^{\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}\perp}} A(\boldsymbol{X}) = e^{-i\rho k_\perp \sin\alpha} A(\boldsymbol{X}),$$

(3.1.12)

where $k_\perp$ in (3.1.11) and (3.1.12) is different depending on the current coordinate system choice. Note that for macroscopic quantities $k_\perp \rho \simeq \rho/L \ll 1$, meaning $A(\boldsymbol{x}) \simeq A(\boldsymbol{X})$. It is also important to note that the $\pm \sin\alpha$ terms come from the choice of direction of $\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$, which is arbitrary when gyrophase-averaging. To see this, we can choose an arbitrary angle, ϕ, for $\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$ to give,

$$e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}} = e^{-i\frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_c} \cos(\alpha - \phi)} = e^{-iz \cos(\alpha - \phi)},$$

(3.1.13)

where $z = \frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_c}$. Then the gyrophase-average gives a final result independent of ϕ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-iz \cos(\alpha - \phi)} d\alpha = J_0(z) .$$

(3.1.14)

Because of this arbitariness, the standard choice is to pick $\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$ in the direction $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1$, as defined in $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ in the gyrokinetic introduction above. This leads to the following form for gyrophase-averages,

$$\langle A(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} A(\boldsymbol{X}) e^{-iz \sin\alpha} d\alpha$$
$$= J_0(z) A(\boldsymbol{X}) = J_0(z) A(\boldsymbol{X}) = J_0(z) A(\boldsymbol{X}),$$

(3.1.15)

with the following definition for the zeroth-order Bessel function,

$$J_0(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\pm iz \sin\alpha} d\alpha .$$

(3.1.16)

You can try $\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$ equally in $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2$ and see that the integral (3.1.14) ends up over $e^{-iz(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\alpha)}$, which still results in $J_0(z)$.

3.2 Gyrokinetic Distribution Function

We can simplify (3.1.6) further by plugging in (3.1.9), (3.1.10), and (3.1.15) and then taking the Fourier transform, giving,

$$(-i\omega + v_\| \frac{\partial}{\partial l} + i\boldsymbol{v}_d \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_X) \delta \widetilde{H}_0 = -\frac{q_j}{m_j} [-i\omega J_0(z_j) \delta \widetilde{\Phi} \partial_{\epsilon^*} f_{M,j}$$
$$-\frac{i}{\omega_{c,j}} J_0(z_j) \delta \widetilde{\Phi} (\boldsymbol{k} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}) \cdot \frac{d}{dr} f_{M,j} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r] = i \frac{q_j}{m_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \widetilde{\Phi} [\omega \partial_{\epsilon^*} + \frac{k_\theta}{\omega_{c,j}} \frac{d}{dr}] f_{M,j},$$

(3.2.1)

$$\Rightarrow (v_\| \partial_l - i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj})) \delta \widetilde{H}_0 = i \frac{q_j}{m_j} J_0(z_j) \delta \widetilde{\Phi} [\omega \partial_{\epsilon^*} + \frac{k_\theta}{\omega_{c,j}} \frac{d}{dr}] f_{M,j},$$

(3.2.2)

with the following definitions,

$$\bar{\omega}_{dj} \equiv -\boldsymbol{v}_d \cdot \boldsymbol{k} = \frac{k_\theta(v_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_\perp^2)}{\omega_{c,j}R_0}(\cos\theta + \frac{k_r}{k_\theta}\sin\theta)$$

$$= \frac{\omega_{d,j}}{2}\left(\left(\frac{v_\parallel}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v_\perp}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2\right)(\cos\theta + \hat{s}\theta\sin\theta), \tag{3.2.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{k}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{b}} = (k_r\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r + k_\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + k_\zeta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta)\times(\frac{\epsilon}{q}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\zeta) \simeq -k_r\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_\theta + k_\theta\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_r, \tag{3.2.4}$$

where $\partial_r = ik_\theta\hat{s}\theta \Rightarrow k_r = k_\theta\hat{s}\theta$, for magnetic shear $\hat{s}$.[9] $\omega_{d,j}$ represents the magnetic curvature drift frequency,

$$\omega_{d,j} \equiv 2\frac{n}{dn/dr}\frac{\omega_{*,j}}{R_0} = 2\frac{k_\theta T_j c}{q_j B R_0}, \tag{3.2.5}$$

and $\omega_{*,j}$ the diamagnetic drift frequency,

$$\omega_{*,j} \equiv \frac{k_\theta T_j c}{q_j B}\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr}. \tag{3.2.6}$$

These frequencies come from examining different drifts, in these cases the diamagnetic and curvature drifts, when pluggined into the continuity equation and linearizing/Fourier transforming to solve for the mode frequencies.[10] Note that $f_{M,j}$ depends only on r due to it being a function of $n_j(r)$ and $T_j(r)$ which are only changing across the circular flux-surfaces - therefore only functions of radius. Next, we can simplify further by plugging in the definition of the Maxwellian, giving the following values for derivatives,

$$\partial_{\epsilon^*}f_{M,j} = -\frac{m_j}{T_j}n_j\left(\frac{m_j}{2\pi T_j}\right)^{3/2}e^{-\frac{m_j\epsilon^*}{T_j(r)}} = -\frac{m_j}{T_j}f_{M,j}, \tag{3.2.7}$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}f_{M,j} = \frac{dn}{dr}\frac{d}{dn}f_{M,j} + \frac{dT}{dr}\frac{d}{dT}f_{M,j} = \frac{dn}{dr}e^{-\frac{m_j\epsilon^*}{T_j(r)}} + \frac{dT}{dr}\frac{du}{dT}\frac{d}{du}[n_j\left(\frac{m_ju(T(r))}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2}e^{-m_j\epsilon^*u(T_j(r))}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr}f_{M,j} + \frac{dT}{dr}\frac{du}{dT}n_j[\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{m_ju}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{m_j}{2\pi}\right) - m_j\epsilon^*\left(\frac{m_ju}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2}]e^{-m_j\epsilon^*u(T_j(r))}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr}f_{M,j} + \frac{dT}{dr}\frac{du}{dT}[\frac{3}{2}u^{-1} - m_j\epsilon^*]f_{M,j} = [\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr} + \frac{dT}{dr}(-\frac{1}{T^2})(\frac{3}{2}u^{-1} - m_j\epsilon^*)]f_{M,j}$$

$$= [\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr} - \frac{1}{T}\frac{dT}{dr}(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_jv^2}{2T_j})]f_{M,j} = [\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr} - \frac{1}{T}\frac{dT}{dr}(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{v^2}{2v_{T,j}^2})]f_{M,j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr}[1 + (\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2})\eta_j]f_{M,j}, \tag{3.2.8}$$

given that $u = T^{-1}$, $du = -T^{-2}dT$, $v_{T,j} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{T_j}{m_j}}$, and $\eta_j \equiv \frac{n}{T}\frac{dT}{dn}$. Putting these derivatives into (3.2.2) then gives a nice form for the gyrokinetic equation,

$$(v_\parallel\partial_l - i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj}))\delta\widetilde{H}_0 =$$

$$- i\frac{q_j}{m_j}J_0(z_j)\delta\widetilde{\Phi}[-\frac{m_j}{T_j}\omega + \frac{k_\theta}{\omega_{c,j}}\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr}[1 + (\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2})\eta_j]]f_{M,j}$$

$$\Rightarrow (v_\parallel\partial_l - i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj}))\delta\widetilde{H}_0 =$$

$$- i\frac{q_j}{T_j}J_0(z_j)\delta\widetilde{\Phi}[\omega - \frac{k_\theta T_j m_j}{q_j B m_j}\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr}[1 + (\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2})\eta_j]]f_{M,j} \tag{3.2.9}$$

$$\Rightarrow (-v_\parallel\partial_l + i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj}))\delta\widetilde{H}_0 =$$

$$i\frac{q_j}{T_j}J_0(z_j)\delta\widetilde{\Phi}[\omega - \omega_{*,j}[1 + (\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2})\eta_j]]f_{M,j}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ (-v_\parallel \partial_l + i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj}))\delta\widetilde{H}_0 = i\frac{q_j}{T_j}J_0(z_j)\delta\widetilde{\Phi}[\omega - \omega^T_{*,j}]f_{M,j}, \tag{3.2.10}$$

with the following definition,

$$\omega^T_{*,j} \equiv \omega_{*,j}[1 + (\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2})\eta_j] \ . \tag{3.2.11}$$

3.3 Physical Approximations

(3.2.10) can be simplified further and solved for $\delta\widetilde{H}_0$ if a few physical assumptions are made for electron drift and ITG modes. First off, trapped particle effects are neglected, i.e.,

$$\omega \gg \omega_{bj}, \tag{3.3.1}$$

for ω_{bj} the bounce frequency. We also assume electrons move rapidly in response to the electrostatic potential,

$$k_\parallel v_{T,e} \gg \omega \gg k_\parallel v_{T,i}, \tag{3.3.2}$$

noting that $v_{T,j} \simeq v_{\parallel,j}$, since the perpendicular velocities come from the smaller drift terms. Finally we assume that the frequency associated with magnetic drifts of ions is much smaller than that of the perturbed modes,

$$\omega \gg \omega_{di} \ . \tag{3.3.3}$$

Now, (3.3.2) and (3.3.3) allow the left-hand side of (3.2.10) to be rewritten for ions as,

$$i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di})(1 + i\frac{v_\parallel}{\omega - \omega_{di}}\frac{\partial}{\partial l}) \simeq i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di})(1 - \frac{k_\parallel v_{\parallel i}}{\omega}) \simeq i(\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}) \ . \tag{3.3.4}$$

Finally, we can solve for $\delta\widetilde{H}_{0,i}$ explicitly by replacing the left-hand side of (3.2.10) with (3.3.4), giving the perturbed, non-adiabatic distribution function for ions,

$$\delta\widetilde{H}_{0,i} = \frac{q_i}{T_i}J_0(z_i)\delta\widetilde{\Phi}f_{M,i}\frac{\omega - \omega^T_{*i}}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}}, \tag{3.3.5}$$

or, converting back to $\boldsymbol{x}$ coordinates using (3.1.12) for the velocity-space integration to get n_j,

$$\delta\widetilde{H}_{0,i}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{q_i}{T_i}J_0(z_i)\delta\widetilde{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x})f_{M,i}\frac{\omega - \omega^T_{*i}}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}}e^{iz\sin\alpha} \ . \tag{3.3.6}$$

Note that again the arbitrary choice in the direction of $\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$ is invokable because α must be integrated over again when the distribution function is integrated over velocity-space to give the flux. We continue to treat electrons as adiabatic for now.

3.4 Quasi-linear Flux

We can now plug our perturbed distribution functions into the quasi-linear estimate for radial flux, (2.1.5). The total distribution functions are now given as,

$$\delta\widetilde{f}_j = -\frac{q_j}{T_j}\delta\widetilde{\Phi}f_{M,j} + \delta\widetilde{H}_{0,j}, \tag{3.4.1}$$

where $\delta H_0 = 0$ for adiabatic electrons. Plugging into (2.1.5) then gives,

$$\Gamma_{r,j} = \frac{ik_\theta c}{2\pi B}\int_0^{2\pi}d\theta\int d^3v[\frac{q_j}{T_j}\delta\widetilde{\Phi}\delta\widetilde{\Phi}^*f_{M,j}(-1 + J_0(z_j)\frac{\omega - \omega^T_{*,j}}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{d,j}}e^{-iz\sin\alpha})$$
$$- \frac{q_j}{T_j}\delta\widetilde{\Phi}\delta\widetilde{\Phi}^*f_{M,j}(-1 + J_0(z_j)\frac{\omega^* - \omega^T_{*,j}}{\omega^* - \bar{\omega}_{d,j}}e^{iz\sin\alpha})] \ . \tag{3.4.2}$$

Ignoring the adiabatic perturbation because it can't lead to a real flux due to the i out front and simplifying the integral over α with (3.1.16) then gives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{r,j} &= \frac{ik_\theta c}{B}\frac{q_j}{T_j}|\delta\widetilde{\Phi}|^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel \int_0^{\infty} v_\perp dv_\perp J_0^2(\frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_{c,j}})[\frac{\omega - \omega_{*,j}^T}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{d,j}} - \frac{\omega^* - \omega_{*,j}^T}{\omega^* - \bar{\omega}_{d,j}}]f_{M,j} \\
&= \frac{ik_\theta c}{B}\frac{n_{j,0}q_j}{T_j}|\delta\widetilde{\Phi}|^2 \left(\frac{m_j}{2\pi T_j}\right)^{3/2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel e^{-\frac{v_\parallel^2}{2v_{T,j}^2}} \int_0^{\infty} v_\perp dv_\perp e^{-\frac{v_\perp^2}{2v_{T,j}^2}} \\
&\quad \times J_0^2(\frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_{c,j}})[\frac{\omega - \omega_{*,j}^T}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{d,j}} - \frac{\omega^* - \omega_{*,j}^T}{\omega^* - \bar{\omega}_{d,j}}]
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4.3}
$$

3.5 Quasi-neutrality Condition

Taking adiabatic electrons and kinetic ions with the quasi-neutrality conditions (1.3.5) and (1.3.7) gives,

$$
q_e n_e + q_i n_i = 0
$$

$$
q_e n_{e,0} + q_i n_{i,0} = 0 \Rightarrow -en_{e,0} + en_{i,0} = 0 \Rightarrow n_{e,0} = n_{i,0} = n_0,
\tag{3.5.1}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \int (f_{M,e} - \frac{q_e}{T_e}\delta\widetilde{\Phi}f_{M,e})d^3v = \int (f_{M,i} - \frac{q_i}{T_i}\delta\widetilde{\Phi}f_{M,i} + \delta\widetilde{H}_{0,i})d^3v
$$

$$
\Rightarrow n_0 + \frac{e}{T_e}n_0\delta\widetilde{\Phi} = n_0 - \frac{e}{T_i}n_0\delta\widetilde{\Phi} + \int \delta\widetilde{H}_{0,i}d^3v
\tag{3.5.2}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow en_0\delta\widetilde{\Phi}(\frac{1}{T_e} + \frac{1}{T_i}) = \frac{e}{T_i}\delta\widetilde{\Phi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel \int_0^{\infty} v_\perp dv_\perp J_0(\frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_{c,i}})\frac{\omega - \omega_{*i}^T}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}}f_{M,i} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-iz\sin\alpha}d\alpha
$$

$$
\Rightarrow n_0(\frac{1}{T_e} + \frac{1}{T_i}) = \frac{2\pi}{T_i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel \int_0^{\infty} v_\perp dv_\perp J_0^2(\frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_{c,i}})\frac{\omega - \omega_{*i}^T}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}}f_{M,i}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow (\frac{T_i}{T_e} + 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{m_i}{T_i}\right)^{3/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel e^{-\frac{v_\parallel^2}{2v_{T,i}^2}} \int_0^{\infty} v_\perp dv_\perp J_0^2(\frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_{c,i}})\frac{\omega - \omega_{*i}^T}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{di}}e^{-\frac{v_\perp^2}{2v_{T,i}^2}} .
\tag{3.5.3}
$$

4 Impurities

4.1 Impurity Flux and Quasi-Neutrality

A third species, the impurity term, can easily be added to the above theory. The radial flux for the impurity ion is calculated the same way as for the main ion species by using (3.4.3). The basic quasi-neutrality conditions, (1.3.5), (1.3.7), and (1.3.8) become,

$$
n_e = n_i + Z_I n_I,
\tag{4.1.1}
$$

$$
n_{e,0} = n_{i,0} + Z_I n_{I,0},
\tag{4.1.2}
$$

$$
\delta n_e = \delta n_i + Z_I \delta n_I,
\tag{4.1.3}
$$

with Z_I the charge of the impurity. (4.1.1) leads to an updated form of (3.5.2) with an impurity contribution,

$$
\Rightarrow n_{e,0} + \frac{e}{T_e}n_{e,0}\delta\widetilde{\Phi} = n_{i,0} - \frac{e}{T_i}n_{i,0}\delta\widetilde{\Phi} + \int \delta\widetilde{H}_{0,i}d^3v + Z_I n_{I,0} - \frac{Z_I e}{T_I}n_{I,0}\delta\widetilde{\Phi} + \int \delta\widetilde{H}_{0,I}d^3v .
\tag{4.1.4}
$$

The lowest order terms will cancel from (4.1.2), proving where (4.1.3) comes from and giving an updated version of (3.5.3),

$$
\left(\frac{n_{e,0}}{T_e} + \frac{n_{i,0}}{T_i} + \frac{Z_I n_{I,0}}{T_I}\right) =
$$
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{ions} \frac{Z_j n_{j,0}}{T_j} \left(\frac{m_j}{T_j}\right)^{3/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel e^{-\frac{v_\parallel^2}{2v_{T,j}^2}} \int_0^{\infty} v_\perp dv_\perp J_0^2\left(\frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\omega_{c,j}}\right) \frac{\omega - \omega_{*,j}^T}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_{dj}} e^{-\frac{v_\perp^2}{2v_{T,j}^2}} \ . \tag{4.1.5}
$$

5   GENE

GENE (Gyrokinetic Electromagnetic Numerical Experiment) is a 5-D gyrokinetic code dedicated to solving the nonlinear gyrokinetic equations in a flux-tube or radially global domain. Alternatively it can be operated in a linear mode, thus calculating the properties (like complex frequencies, parallel mode structures, and quasilinear transport coefficients) of the microinstabilities driving the turbulence.[11] The necessary variables required from GENE output to solve for (3.4.3) or (4.1.5) are the $\widetilde{\Phi}$ modes taken from the saturated - post-linear-growth - state of non-linear runs, and the growth rates $\omega = \omega_r + i\gamma$ from linear runs. The GENE cases have been run for both adiabatic and kinetic electron behavior.

5.1   Normalization

Per the GENE manual,[11] we take the following normalizations using GENE reference parameters, with subscript G for GENE, to give a GyroBohm flux value,

$$
v \Rightarrow v_G = \frac{v}{c_{ref}} = \frac{v}{v_{T,i}},
$$
$$
\omega \Rightarrow \omega_G = \frac{\omega}{c_{ref}/L_{ref}} = \frac{\omega}{v_{T,i}/R_0},
$$
$$
\Phi \Rightarrow \Phi_G = \frac{e\Phi}{T_{ref}\rho_{ref}^*} = \frac{e\Phi}{T_i \rho_i^*}, \tag{5.1.1}
$$
$$
\Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma_G = \Gamma_{GB} = \frac{\Gamma}{n_{ref} c_{ref} \rho_{ref}^{*2}} = \frac{\Gamma}{n_e v_{T,i} \rho_i^{*2}},
$$

with the following useful definitions,

$$
v_{T,j}\rho_j = v_{T,j}\frac{v_{T,j}}{\omega_{c,j}} = \frac{T_j/m_j}{q_j B_j/c m_j} = \frac{cT_j}{q_j B_j}, \tag{5.1.2}
$$

$$
\text{omt} = \frac{L_{ref}}{L_T} = -L_{ref}\frac{d(lnT)}{dr} = -L_{ref}\frac{1}{T}\frac{dT}{dr}
$$
$$
\Rightarrow L_T = -\frac{T}{dT/dr}, \tag{5.1.3}
$$

$$
\text{omn} = \frac{L_{ref}}{L_n} = -L_{ref}\frac{d(lnn)}{dr} = -L_{ref}\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dr}
$$
$$
\Rightarrow L_n = -\frac{n}{dn/dr}, \tag{5.1.4}
$$

where omt and omn are values defined by GENE.[11] GENE outputs values in the forms given by (5.1.1), so (3.4.3) needs to be converted to the following normalized form using (5.1.1)-(5.1.4),

$$\Gamma_{r,j} = ik_\theta \rho_i \frac{cq_j n_e}{\rho_i B T_j} \frac{n_{j,0}}{n_e} \left(\frac{T_i \rho_i^*}{e}\right)^2 \left|\frac{e\delta\widetilde{\Phi}}{T_i \rho_i^*}\right|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_j}{T_j}\right)^{3/2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_{T,i} \frac{dv_\parallel}{v_{T,i}} e^{-\frac{v_\parallel^2}{2v_{T,i}^2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} v_{T,i}^2 \frac{v_\perp}{v_{T,i}} \frac{dv_\perp}{v_{T,i}} e^{-\frac{v_\perp^2}{2v_{T,i}^2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i \frac{v_\perp v_{T,i}}{v_{T,i}\rho_i\omega_{c,j}})$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\omega/(v_{T,i}/R_0) - \omega_{*,j}^T/(v_{T,i}/R_0)}{\omega/(v_{T,i}/R_0) - \bar\omega_{d,j}/(v_{T,i}/R_0)} - \frac{\omega^*/(v_{T,i}/R_0) - \omega_{*,j}^T/(v_{T,i}/R_0)}{\omega^*/(v_{T,i}/R_0) - \bar\omega_{d,j}/(v_{T,i}/R_0)}\right]\frac{v_{T,i}/R_0}{v_{T,i}/R_0}$$

$$= ik_\theta \rho_i \frac{cT_i Z_j}{eB\rho_i} \frac{T_i}{T_j} \frac{n_{j,0}}{n_e} (n_e \rho_i^{*2})|\delta\widetilde{\Phi}_G|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{v_{T,i}}{v_{T,j}}\right)^3 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{G_\parallel} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}v_{G_\parallel}^2} \qquad (5.1.5)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} v_{G_\perp} dv_{G_\perp} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}v_{G_\perp}^2} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i v_{G_\perp} \frac{\omega_{c,i}}{\omega_{c,j}})[\frac{\omega_G - \omega_{G*,j}^T}{\omega_G - \bar\omega_{G_{d,j}}} - \frac{\omega_G^* - \omega_{G*,j}^T}{\omega_G^* - \bar\omega_{G_{d,j}}}]$$

$$= ik_\theta \rho_i Z_j \frac{T_i}{T_j} \frac{n_{j,0}}{n_e} (n_e v_{T,i}\rho_i^{*2})|\delta\widetilde{\Phi}_G|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{v_{T,i}}{v_{T,j}}\right)^3 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{G_\parallel} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}v_{G_\parallel}^2}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} v_{G_\perp} dv_{G_\perp} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}v_{G_\perp}^2} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i v_{G_\perp} \frac{\omega_{c,i}}{\omega_{c,j}})[\frac{\omega_G - \omega_{G*,j}^T}{\omega_G - \bar\omega_{G_{d,j}}} - \frac{\omega_G^* - \omega_{G*,j}^T}{\omega_G^* - \bar\omega_{G_{d,j}}}],$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Gamma_{r,j}}{(n_e v_{T,i}\rho_i^{*2})} = \Gamma_{GB_{r,j}} = ik_\theta \rho_i Z_j \frac{T_i}{T_j} \frac{n_{j,0}}{n_e} |\delta\widetilde{\Phi}_G|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{v_{T,i}}{v_{T,j}}\right)^3 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{G_\parallel} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}v_{G_\parallel}^2} \int_0^{\infty} v_{G_\perp} dv_{G_\perp} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}v_{G_\perp}^2} \qquad (5.1.6)$$

$$\times J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i v_{G_\perp} \frac{\omega_{c,i}}{\omega_{c,j}})[\frac{\omega_G - \omega_{G*,j}^T}{\omega_G - \bar\omega_{G_{d,j}}} - \frac{\omega_G^* - \omega_{G*,j}^T}{\omega_G^* - \bar\omega_{G_{d,j}}}],$$

where Z_j is the charge factor for a species and $e = q_i$ if the main ion is a proton, and the normalized forms for (3.2.3), (3.2.5), and (3.2.6) become,

$$\omega_{G_{d,j}} = \omega_{d,j}/(v_{T,i}/R_0) = 2k_\theta \rho_i \frac{cT_j}{\rho_i q_j B R_0} \frac{R_0}{v_{T,i}} = 2k_\theta \rho_i \frac{v_{T,j}}{v_{T,i}} \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_i}, \qquad (5.1.7)$$

$$\bar\omega_{G_{d,j}} = \frac{\omega_{G_{d,j}}}{2}(v_{G_\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_{G_\perp}^2)\left(\frac{v_{T,i}}{v_{T,j}}\right)^2 (\cos\theta + \hat s \theta \sin\theta) \qquad (5.1.8)$$

$$\omega_{G*,j} = \omega_{*,j}/(v_{T,i}/R_0) = k_\theta \rho_i \frac{cT_j}{\rho_i q_j B} \frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dr} \frac{R_0}{v_{T,i}} = -k_\theta \rho_i \frac{v_{T,j}}{v_{T,i}} \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_i} \frac{R_0}{L_n} . \qquad (5.1.9)$$

Similarly, the quasi-neutrality condition (4.1.5) becomes,

$$(1 + \frac{n_{i,0}}{n_{e,0}} \frac{T_e}{T_i} + Z_I \frac{n_{I,0}}{n_{e,0}} \frac{T_e}{T_I}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{ions} Z_j \frac{T_e}{T_j} \frac{n_{j,0}}{n_{e,0}} \left(\frac{v_{T,i}}{v_{T_j}}\right)^3 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{G_\parallel} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}^2}v_{G_\parallel}^2}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} v_{G_\perp} dv_{G_\perp} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i v_{G_\perp} \frac{\omega_{c,i}}{\omega_{c,j}}) \frac{\omega_G - \omega_{G*,j}^T}{\omega_G - \bar\omega_{G_{dj}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}v_{G_\perp}^2 \frac{v_{T,i}^2}{v_{T,j}}} . \qquad (5.1.10)$$

5.2 Adiabatic Electron Results

13

Appendix

A Complex Notation

In quasi-linear theory we are interested in the effects of linear perturbations and so assume the form for a single Fourier mode,

$$\widetilde{A}(x, \boldsymbol{k}, t) = \widetilde{A}_{0,\boldsymbol{k}} e^{-i\omega t + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} , \tag{A.1}$$

where $\widetilde{A}_{0,\boldsymbol{k}}$ is a complex number that can be generally represented as,

$$\widetilde{A}_{0,\boldsymbol{k}} = \widetilde{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}} e^{i\psi}, \quad \widetilde{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{A.2}$$

In (2.1.3) we are interested only in the real parts of $\delta\widetilde{f}$ and $\delta\widetilde{\Phi}$, and in order to deal with complex variables we can choose to use the general notation,

$$\mathbb{R}e\{\widetilde{A}\} = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{A} + c.c.) = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{A} + \widetilde{A}^*) = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{A}_{0,\boldsymbol{k}} e^{-i\omega t + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{A}_{0,\boldsymbol{k}} e^{i\omega t - i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}, \tag{A.3}$$

Using this notation, though I'll drop the $\boldsymbol{k}$ subscript at this point, we can find that the term $\widetilde{f}(-\nabla_\theta\widetilde{\Phi})$ reduces to,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}(-\nabla_\theta\widetilde{\Phi}) &= \frac{1}{4}(\widetilde{f} + \widetilde{f}^*)(-\nabla_\theta(\widetilde{\Phi} + \widetilde{\Phi}^*)) = ik_\theta\frac{1}{4}(\widetilde{f} + \widetilde{f}^*)(\widetilde{\Phi}^* - \widetilde{\Phi}) \\
&= ik_\theta\frac{1}{4}[(Ae^{i\psi}e^{-i\omega t + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} + Ae^{-i\psi}e^{i\omega t - i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}})(Be^{i\phi}e^{-i\omega t + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} - Be^{-i\phi}e^{i\omega t - i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}})] \\
&= ik_\theta\frac{AB}{4}[(e^{i(\psi-\phi)} - e^{-i(\psi-\phi)}) + (e^{i[2(\omega t - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) - (\psi+\phi)]} - e^{-i[2(\omega t - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) - (\psi+\phi)]})] \\
&= ik_\theta\frac{AB}{2}[i\sin(\psi - \phi) + i\sin(2(\omega t - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) - (\psi - \phi))] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}
$$

where $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ have been expanded using (A.1) and (A.2),

$$\widetilde{f} = Ae^{i\psi}e^{-i\omega t + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} , \tag{A.5}$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi} = Be^{i\phi}e^{-i\omega t + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} . \tag{A.6}$$

If we consider the flux-surface average, over θ and ζ, from the flux equation (2.1.3), and take into account the form of $\widetilde{\Phi}$ in toroidal coordinates from Appendix B, we get that the second sin term in (A.4) will disappear because,

$$\langle ... \rangle_x = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sin(ax + b)dx = 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mathbb{Z}_- \tag{A.7}$$

where x in the integral above can be replaced with either θ or ζ when flux-surface averaging, and a would be m or n, the toroidal or poloidal mode number. This means we need only include two of the terms in the first line of (A.4), namely $[\widetilde{\Phi}^*\widetilde{f} - \widetilde{f}^*\widetilde{\Phi}]$, proving equation (2.1.4).

B   Ballooning Representation

We take,

$$\widetilde{\Phi} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{im\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{g}(\eta) e^{-i(m-n_0 q(r))\eta} d\eta\, e^{-i\omega t + in\zeta}, \tag{B.1}$$

then $\widetilde{\Phi}\widetilde{\Phi}^*$ in the quasi-linear flux expression becomes,

$$\widetilde{\Phi}\widetilde{\Phi}^* = \sum_{m,m'=0}^{\infty} e^{i(m-m')\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{g}(\eta) \widetilde{g}^*(\eta') e^{i(m'-n_0 q(r))\eta'} e^{-i(m-n_0 q(r))\eta} d\eta d\eta' \,. \tag{B.2}$$

We can simplify this with the definition of the δ-function,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x - x') &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x-x')} dk, \\ \delta(x - x') &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x-x')} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

References

[1] ITER Physics Expert Groups on Confinement and Transport and Confine-ment Modelling and Database, Nucl. Fusion **39**, 2175 (1999).

[2] Wesson J., & Campbell D. J., *Tokamaks* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2004), p.219

[3] Wesson J., & Campbell D. J., *Tokamaks* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2004), p.196-197

[4] Frieman E. A., Chen L., The Physics of Fluids **25**, 502 (1982).

[5] Wesson J., & Campbell D. J., *Tokamaks* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2004), p.55-56

[6] Wesson J., & Campbell D. J., *Tokamaks* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2004), p.202-210

[7] Gurnett, D., & Bhattacharjee, A., *Introduction to Plasma Physics: With Space and Laboratory Applications*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005), p.428

[8] G.G. Howes, S.C. Cowley, W. Dorland, G.W. Hammett, E. Quataert, and A.A. Schekochihin. Astrophysical gyrokinetics: Basic equations and linear theory. ApJ, **651**(1):590, (2006).

[9] See Haotian...

[10] Wesson J., & Campbell D. J., *Tokamaks* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2004), p.420-421

[11] GENE Development Team, GENE: User Manual, (2018).