

**NVS EXTRA HOMEWORK**

Before i begin statments and the solution of the propositions, i want to address that the proof i'm about to propose for these Propositions is "My own proof" and includes the possibility of a mistake.

The main goal of this document is to improve my proof writing skills as well as to reflect my current understanding of this subject to my teacher.

PROPOSITION 1:

Consider the N.V.S.  $E := (\mathcal{C}^0([0,1], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  and consider the vector subspace :

$$S := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}^0([0,1]) : f(x) = c \quad \forall x \in [0,1], \quad c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

then the quotient space :

$$(E_{\setminus S}, \|\cdot\|_{E_{\setminus S}}) \text{ is } \underline{\text{Banach}}$$

PROPOSED SOLUTION :

We will prove that every convergent series of  $E_{\setminus S}$  is convergent in  $E_{\setminus S}$ . For instance consider the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E_{\setminus S}^1$ , where  $x_n = cl(f_n)$  (we did not lose the generality since every element of  $E_{\setminus S}$  is class of some function, just without abusing the notations we chose to denote it like that), and :

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_{E_{\setminus S}} < +\infty$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{E_{\setminus S}}$  denotes the natural norm defined on the quotient space by :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\cdot\|_{E_{\setminus S}} : E_{\setminus S} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ cl(f) &\longmapsto \inf_{x \in cl(f)} \|x\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

we will show that :

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n \in E_{\setminus S}$$

now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n\|_{E_{\setminus S}} &:= \inf_{g \in cl(f_n)} \|g\|_\infty \\ &= \inf_{h \in \mathbb{R}} \|f_n + h\|_\infty \\ &= d(f_n, S) \end{aligned}$$

By the characterization of the infimum, we have for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n^2}$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the existence of some function say  $g_n$  in  $x_n$  such that :

$$\begin{aligned} \iff \|x_n\|_{E_{\setminus S}} + \frac{1}{n^{1000}} &> \|g_n\|_\infty \\ \iff \|x_n\|_{E_{\setminus S}} &> \|g_n\|_\infty - \frac{1}{n^{1000}} \\ \iff \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_{E_{\setminus S}} &> \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|g_n\|_\infty - \frac{1}{n^{1000}} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>"i like how the concept of sequences is becoming more and more ambiguous sophisticated"

Now since  $(C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is Banach (an exercise from the worksheet), and we have that the series :

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|g_n\|_\infty < \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_{E \setminus S} < +\infty$$

hence the series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n$  is convergent and in  $E$ , now consider the map :

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : E &\longrightarrow E \setminus S \\ y &\longmapsto y + S \end{aligned}$$

this map is continuous, since the norm on  $E \setminus S$  was put such that this map is continuous, and it's linear, since for any  $z, y \in E$  we have :

$$\Psi(z + y) = \Psi(z) + \Psi(y) = z + S + y + S = (z + y) + S$$

therefore :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n g_k\right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \Psi(g_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi(g_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

we have that  $\Psi(g_k) = x_k$  because  $g_k \in x_k$

and from the other side, we have by the continuity of  $\Psi$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n g_k\right) &= \Psi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n g_k\right) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k\right) \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

combining  $(*)$  and  $(**)$  we get :

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k = \Psi\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k\right)$$

and since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k$  is convergent and is in  $E$ , then the LHS, is well defined and is in  $E \setminus S$  hence we get that  $E \setminus S$  is Banach.

☞ This completes the proof

✕

PROPOSITION 2:

Let  $E$  be an N.V.S. if  $V$  is a schauder basis and is countable, then  $E$  is separable, i.e. there exists a countable dense subset of  $E$ .

PROPOSED SOLUTION :

Let  $E$  be an N.V.S. over  $\mathbb{R}$  (this idea can be generalized to  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and suppose that  $V$  is a set of schauder basis that is countable, then we can find an enumeration  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $V$ , i.e. :

$$V = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{q_n\}$$

i'm going to defin a map :

$$\begin{aligned} g_n : \overbrace{\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Q}}^{n \text{ times}} &\longrightarrow E \\ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &\longmapsto \lambda_1 q_1 + \dots + \lambda_n q_n \end{aligned}$$

we will prove that the set :

$$H := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Q} \right) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Im}(g_n)$$

is dense, trivially  $H$  is countable, since its a countable union of countable sets.

Let  $x \in E$  and consider the open ball of radius  $r \in ]0, \infty]$  around  $x$ , we will show that there exists an element  $z \in H$ , such that :

$$\|x - z\| < r$$

since  $V$  is schauder basis then :

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n q_n \quad (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R})$$

and by the characterization of the limit, we have for  $\varepsilon = \frac{r}{2}$  we have the existence of  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > n_0$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow & \left| \|x\| - \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\| \right| < \frac{r}{2} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left\| \sum_{k>n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\| < \left| \|x\| - \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\| \right| < \frac{r}{2} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left\| \sum_{k>n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\| < \frac{r}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and put :

$$M := \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\|$$

then we can construct a sequence  $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  such that :

$$|h_n - \lambda_n| < \frac{r}{2M} \quad (\forall n \leq n_0)$$

we are allowed to choose  $h_n$  sufficiently closed to  $\lambda_n$  due to the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , put  $v = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} h_k q_k$  clearly  $v \in \text{Im}(g_{n_0})$  and we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - v\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k q_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} (\lambda_k - h_k) q_k \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k>n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\| \\ &< \left| \frac{r}{2M} \right| \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} q_k \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k>n_0} \lambda_k q_k \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{r}{2M} \cdot M + \frac{r}{2} \leq \frac{r}{2} \end{aligned}$$

hence we deduce :

$$\|x - v\| < r \implies v \in B_E(x, r)$$

therefore  $v \in B_E(x, r)$ . Hence  $H$  is dense in  $E$ .

☞ This completes the proof

✕

---

I may have missed some details in the proofs, & I apologize for sending this late, due to lack of time i couldn't write this in latex, as i wanted it to be well written, i will appreciate any feedback on the proofs. Thanks for your time sir. 🙏