Isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over finite fields

Stefano Marseglia

Utrecht University

Stockholm University - Number Theory Seminar - 04 March 2020

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 1/28

Introduction

Today's plan:

- Introduction.
- Equivalence of categories.
- AVs A isogenous to B^r , for B square-free defined over \mathbb{F}_q .
- Isomorphism classes.
- Polarizations (only in the ordinary case).
- Computations of polarizations and period matrices (only ordinary and r = 1).

Also, all morphisms are defined over the field of definition!

• An abelian variety over a field k is a projective geometrically connected group variety over k.

- An abelian variety over a field k is a projective geometrically connected group variety over k.
- e.g. AVs of dim 1 are elliptic curves:

when
$$\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2, 3 \rightsquigarrow Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B$$

- An abelian variety over a field k is a projective geometrically connected group variety over k.
- e.g. AVs of dim 1 are elliptic curves:

when
$$\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2, 3 \rightsquigarrow Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B$$

• Goal: compute isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over a finite field (+ extra structure, like polarizations, period matrices, etc.)

- An abelian variety over a field k is a projective geometrically connected group variety over k.
- e.g. AVs of dim 1 are elliptic curves:

when
$$\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2, 3 \rightsquigarrow Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B$$

- Goal: compute isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over a finite field (+ extra structure, like polarizations, period matrices, etc.)
- in dimension d > 1 is not easy to produce equations.

- An abelian variety over a field k is a projective geometrically connected group variety over k.
- e.g. AVs of dim 1 are elliptic curves:

when
$$\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2, 3 \rightsquigarrow Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B$$

- Goal: compute isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over a finite field (+ extra structure, like polarizations, period matrices, etc.)
- in dimension d > 1 is not easy to produce equations.
- over ℂ:

$$\left\{ \text{abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mathbb{C}^d / L \text{ with } L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2d} \text{ with } \\ \text{eq.cl. of Riemann form} \end{matrix} \right\}$$

- 4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 恵 ト 4 恵 ト 9 Q (C)

- An abelian variety over a field k is a projective geometrically connected group variety over k.
- e.g. AVs of dim 1 are elliptic curves:

when
$$\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2, 3 \rightsquigarrow Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B$$

- Goal: compute isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over a finite field (+ extra structure, like polarizations, period matrices, etc.)
- in dimension d > 1 is not easy to produce equations.
- over C:

{abelian varieties
$$/\mathbb{C}$$
} \longleftrightarrow $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}^d/L \text{ with } L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2d} \text{ with } \\ \text{eq.cl. of Riemann form} \end{array} \right\}$

• in positive characteristic we don't have such equivalence.

↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□ ♥ ♀○

• A and B are isogenous if $\dim A = \dim B$ and there exists a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$.

- A and B are isogenous if $\dim A = \dim B$ and there exists a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$.
- Being isogenous is an equivalence relation.

- A and B are isogenous if dim $A = \dim B$ and there exists a surjective homomorphism $\varphi: A \to B$.
- Being isogenous is an equivalence relation.
- For A/k there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_k B_1^{e_1} \times ... \times B_s^{e_s}$$
 Poincaré decomposition

- A and B are isogenous if $\dim A = \dim B$ and there exists a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$.
- Being isogenous is an equivalence relation.
- For A/k there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_k B_1^{e_1} \times ... \times B_s^{e_s}$$
 Poincaré decomposition

• Recall: $T_{\ell}(A) = \varprojlim A[\ell^n] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{2d}$ for any $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$.

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

ullet A/\mathbb{F}_q comes with a Frobenius endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

• If h_A is the characteristic poly of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_\ell A$, for any $\ell \neq p$) then

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- If h_A is the characteristic poly of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_\ell A$, for any $\ell \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- If h_A is the characteristic poly of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_\ell A$, for any $\ell \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- If h_A is the characteristic poly of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_\ell A$, for any $\ell \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
 - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$.

ullet A/\mathbb{F}_q comes with a Frobenius endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- If h_A is the characteristic poly of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_\ell A$, for any $\ell \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
 - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$.
- By Honda-Tate theory: we can enumerate all AVs up to isogeny:

ullet A/\mathbb{F}_q comes with a Frobenius endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- If h_A is the characteristic poly of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_\ell A$, for any $\ell \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $\bullet \ h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
 - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$.
- By Honda-Tate theory: we can enumerate all AVs up to isogeny:
 - the association

isogeny class of
$$A \mapsto h_A$$

is well defined and injective, and

イロト (個) (重) (重) (重) の(で

ullet A/\mathbb{F}_q comes with a Frobenius endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\pi_A: T_\ell A \to T_\ell A$$
 for any $\ell \neq p = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- If h_A is the characteristic poly of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_\ell A$, for any $\ell \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $\bullet \ h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
 - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$
- By Honda-Tate theory: we can enumerate all AVs up to isogeny:
 - the association

isogeny class of
$$A \mapsto h_A$$

is well defined and injective, and

• there is a bijection between the set of simple abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q up to isogeny and the set of q-Weil numbers (up to conjugacy).

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 5/28

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called ordinary if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called ordinary if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called ordinary if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- ullet exactly half of the roots of $h_{\mathcal{A}}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p-adic units

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called <u>ordinary</u> if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- lacktriangle exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p-adic units
- \bigcirc the mid-coefficient of h_A is coprime with p

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called <u>ordinary</u> if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- lacktriangle exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p-adic units

We can lift ordinary AVs in a "nice" way:

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called ordinary if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- lacktriangle exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p-adic units

We can lift ordinary AVs in a "nice" way:

• fix an embedding of $\varepsilon: W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called ordinary if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- lacktriangle exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p-adic units
- \bigcirc the mid-coefficient of h_A is coprime with p

We can lift ordinary AVs in a "nice" way:

- fix an embedding of $\varepsilon: W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- let A' be the canonical lift of A to W, i.e. $\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}(A) = \operatorname{End}_W(A)$

◆ロ ト ◆ 個 ト ◆ 差 ト ◆ 差 ・ 夕 Q ○

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called ordinary if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- lacktriangle exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p-adic units
- \bigcirc the mid-coefficient of h_A is coprime with p

We can lift ordinary AVs in a "nice" way:

- fix an embedding of $\varepsilon: W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- let A' be the canonical lift of A to W, i.e. $\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}(A) = \operatorname{End}_W(A)$
- put $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A' \otimes_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}$



An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension d is called ordinary if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- lacktriangle exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p-adic units
- \bigcirc the mid-coefficient of h_A is coprime with p

We can lift ordinary AVs in a "nice" way:

- fix an embedding of $\varepsilon: W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- let A' be the canonical lift of A to W, i.e. $\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}(A) = \operatorname{End}_W(A)$
- put $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A' \otimes_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}$
- ullet the construction is functorial: $A_{\mathbb C}$ has a Frobenius.

◆ロト ◆問 ト ◆ 恵 ト ◆ 恵 ・ 夕 Q ②

Theorem (Deligne 1969)

Let $q = p^d$, with p a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:

 $\mathsf{AV}^{ord}(q) := \{ \mathit{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \}$

Theorem (Deligne 1969)

Let $q = p^d$, with p a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:

```
\mathsf{AV}^{ord}(q) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \\ \downarrow \\ \\ \mathcal{M}^{ord}(q) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{pairs } (T,F), \textit{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2d} \textit{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \textit{ s.t.} \\ -F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \textit{ is semisimple} \\ -\textit{ the roots of } \mathsf{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \textit{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ -\textit{ half of them are } p\textit{-adic units} \\ -\exists V: T \to T \textit{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\}
```

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

Theorem (Deligne 1969)

Let $q = p^d$, with p a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathsf{AV}^{ord}(q) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Ordinary \ abelian \ varieties \ over \ \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \\ \updownarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}^{ord}(q) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} pairs \ (T,F), \ where \ T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2d} \ \ and \ T \xrightarrow{F} T \ \ s.t. \\ -F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \ \ is \ semisimple \\ - \ the \ roots \ \ of \ \mathrm{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \ \ have \ \ abs. \ \ value \ \sqrt{q} \\ - \ \ half \ \ of \ \ them \ \ are \ \ p-adic \ \ units \\ -\exists \ V: T \rightarrow T \ \ such \ \ that \ \ FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\}$$

The functor : $A \mapsto (T(A), F(A)) := (H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}), \text{ induced Frob.}).$

◆ロト ◆問ト ◆恵ト ◆恵ト ・恵 ・ 夕久○

Theorem (Deligne 1969)

Let $q = p^d$, with p a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathsf{AV}^{ord}(q) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \\ \downarrow \\ \\ \mathcal{M}^{ord}(q) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{pairs } (T,F), \textit{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2d} \textit{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \textit{ s.t.} \\ -F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \textit{ is semisimple} \\ -\textit{ the roots of } \mathsf{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \textit{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ -\textit{ half of them are } p\textit{-adic units} \\ -\exists V: T \to T \textit{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\}$$

The functor : $A \longmapsto (T(A), F(A)) := (H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}), \text{ induced Frob.}).$ A similar result holds for almost-ordinary AVs (Oswal-Shankar 2019).

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 7/28

Theorem (Centeleghe-Stix 2015)

There is an equivalence of categories:

 $\mathsf{AV}^{\mathsf{cs}}(p) := \left\{ \mathsf{Abelian} \ \mathsf{varieties} \ \mathsf{over} \ \mathbb{F}_p \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{h}(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0 \ \right\}$

Theorem (Centeleghe-Stix 2015)

There is an equivalence of categories:

```
\mathsf{AV}^{cs}(p) := \left\{ Abelian \ varieties \ over \ \mathbb{F}_p \ with \ h(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0 \ \right\}
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow
pairs (T,F), \ where \ T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2d} \ and \ T \xrightarrow{F} T \ s.t.
- F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \ is \ semisimple
- the \ roots \ of \ \mathsf{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \ have \ abs. \ value \ \sqrt{p}
- \mathsf{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0
- \exists V : T \to T \ such \ that \ FV = VF = p
```

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆注ト ◆注ト 注 りへぐ

Theorem (Centeleghe-Stix 2015)

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathsf{AV}^{cs}(p) := \left\{ Abelian \ varieties \ over \ \mathbb{F}_p \ with \ h(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0 \ \right\}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$pairs (T,F), \ where \ T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2d} \ and \ T \xrightarrow{F} T \ s.t.$$

$$- F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \ is \ semisimple$$

$$- the \ roots \ of \ \mathsf{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \ have \ abs. \ value \ \sqrt{p}$$

$$- \mathsf{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0$$

$$- \exists V : T \to T \ such \ that \ FV = VF = p$$

The functor : $A \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A, A_w) = T(A)$, where A_w is an abelian varieties satisfying certain minimality conditions.

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 8 / 28

Today's setup:

Today's setup: let g = g(x) be a q-Weil poly which is ordinary and square-free or a squarefree p-Weil poly without real roots.

Marseglia Stefano

9 / 28

Today's setup: let g = g(x) be a q-Weil poly which is ordinary and square-free or a squarefree p-Weil poly without real roots.

$$AV(g^r) := \{ A \in AV^{ord}(q) \text{ or } AV^{cs}(p) : h_A = g^r \}$$

9 / 28

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020

Today's setup: let g = g(x) be a q-Weil poly which is ordinary and square-free or a squarefree p-Weil poly without real roots.

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \{A \in AV^{ord}(q) \text{ or } AV^{cs}(p) : h_A = g^r\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(g^r) := \left\{ (T, F) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q) \text{ or } \mathcal{M}^{\text{cs}}(p) : char_F = g^r \right\}.$$

Today's setup: let g = g(x) be a q-Weil poly which is ordinary and square-free or a squarefree p-Weil poly without real roots.

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \{A \in AV^{ord}(q) \text{ or } AV^{cs}(p) : h_A = g^r\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(g^r) := \{ (T, F) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q) \text{ or } \mathcal{M}^{\text{cs}}(p) : char_F = g^r \}.$$

Observe: if $A \in AV(g^r)$ then

$$A \sim (B_1 \times ... \times B_s)^r$$

with

$$g = h_{B_1 \times ... \times B_s} = h_{B_1} \cdots h_{B_s}$$

Consider the CM étale Q-algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x]/g$$
 where $F = x \mod g$

Consider the CM étale Q-algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x]/g$$

where $F = x \mod g$

and the order in K given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V],$$

 $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V],$ where $V = q/F = \overline{F}$

Consider the CM étale Q-algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x]/g$$
 where $F = x \mod g$

and the order in K given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V],$$
 where $V = q/F = \overline{F}$

Define

$$\mathscr{B}(g^r) := \{\text{fin. gen. torsion-free } R\text{-modules } M \text{ s.t. } M \otimes_R K \simeq K^r \}$$

Consider the CM étale Q-algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x]_g$$
 where $F = x \mod g$

and the order in K given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V],$$
 where $V = q/F = \overline{F}$

Define

$$\mathscr{B}(g^r) := \{\text{fin. gen. torsion-free } R\text{-modules } M \text{ s.t. } M \otimes_R K \simeq K^r \}$$

Theorem (M.)

There are equivalences of categories

$$\mathsf{AV}(g^r) \overset{\mathsf{Del}/\mathsf{CS}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathscr{M}(g^r) \longleftrightarrow \mathscr{B}(g^r)$$

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020

10 / 28

The category $\mathscr{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an R-module M is torsion-free if the canonical morphism

$$M \to M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

The category $\mathscr{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an R-module M is torsion-free if the canonical morphism

$$M \to M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

We can think of modules $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ as **embedded** in K^r .

The category $\mathscr{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an R-module M is torsion-free if the canonical morphism

$$M \to M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

We can think of modules $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ as **embedded** in K^r .

The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ becomes more explicit and computable under certain assumptions on the order R.

Recall

- a fractional R-ideal I is a sub-R-module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R-ideal is invertible in R if I(R:I) = R.

Define

$$ICM(R) = \{fractional \ R-ideals\}_{\cong R}$$
 ideal class monoid

and

$$Pic(R) = \{ fractional \ R - ideals invertible in \ R \}_{\cong R}$$
 Picard group

An order R is called Bass if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

Recall

- a fractional R-ideal I is a sub-R-module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R-ideal is invertible in R if I(R:I) = R.

Define

$$ICM(R) = \{fractional \ R-ideals\}_{\cong R}$$
 ideal class monoid

and

$$Pic(R) = \{fractional \ R-ideals \ invertible \ in \ R\}_{\cong R}$$
 Picard group

An order R is called Bass if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

• every over-order $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is Gorenstein.

Recall

- a fractional R-ideal I is a sub-R-module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R-ideal is invertible in R if I(R:I) = R.

Define

$$ICM(R) = \{fractional \ R-ideals\}_{\cong R}$$
 ideal class monoid

and

$$Pic(R) = \{fractional \ R - ideals \ invertible \ in \ R\}_{\cong R}$$
 Picard group

An order R is called Bass if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is Gorenstein.
- every fractional R-ideal I is invertible in (I:I).

◆ロト ◆団ト ◆恵ト ◆恵ト ・恵 ・ 夕久で

Recall

- a fractional R-ideal I is a sub-R-module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R-ideal is invertible in R if I(R:I) = R.

Define

$$ICM(R) = \{fractional \ R-ideals\}_{\cong R}$$
 ideal class monoid

and

$$Pic(R) = \{fractional \ R - ideals \ invertible \ in \ R\}_{\cong R}$$
 Picard group

An order R is called Bass if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is Gorenstein.
- every fractional R-ideal I is invertible in (I:I).
- $ICM(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} Pic(S)$.

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order.

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are fractional R-ideals $I_1, ..., I_r$ such that

 $M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus ... \oplus I_r$. everything is a direct sum of fractional ideals

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are fractional R-ideals I_1, \ldots, I_r such that

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus ... \oplus I_r$$
. everything is a direct sum of fractional ideals

Moreover, given $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} I_k$ and $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} J_k$ we have that

$$M \simeq_R M' \iff \begin{cases} (I_k : I_k) = (J_k : J_k) \text{ for every } k \text{ (up to permutation), and} \\ \prod_{k=1}^r I_k \simeq_R \prod_{k=1}^r J_k \end{cases}$$

◆ロト ◆問 ト ◆ 恵 ト ◆ 恵 ・ 夕 Q ②

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are fractional R-ideals I_1, \ldots, I_r such that

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus ... \oplus I_r$$
. everything is a direct sum of fractional ideals

Moreover, given $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r I_k$ and $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r J_k$ we have that

$$M \simeq_R M' \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} (I_k:I_k) = (J_k:J_k) \text{ for every } k \text{ (up to permutation), and} \\ \prod_{k=1}^r I_k \simeq_R \prod_{k=1}^r J_k \end{cases}$$

Ste

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 13 / 28

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a simple description of morphisms in $\mathscr{B}(g^r)$. For example, for M as above:

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a simple description of morphisms in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$. For example, for M as above:

$$\operatorname{End}_{R}(M) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1} & S_{2} & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_{1}:S_{2}) & S_{2} & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (S_{1}:S_{r-1}) & (S_{2}:S_{r-1}) & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_{1}:I) & (S_{2}:I) & \dots & (S_{r-1}:I) & (I:I) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 種 ト 4 種 ト 2 種 の 9 (で)

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a simple description of morphisms in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$. For example, for M as above:

$$\operatorname{End}_{R}(M) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1} & S_{2} & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_{1}:S_{2}) & S_{2} & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (S_{1}:S_{r-1}) & (S_{2}:S_{r-1}) & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_{1}:I) & (S_{2}:I) & \dots & (S_{r-1}:I) & (I:I) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\operatorname{Aut}_R(M) = \{ A \in \operatorname{End}_R(M) \cap \operatorname{GL}_r(K) : A^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}_R(M) \}.$

Corollary

Assume $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is Bass. Then

Corollary

Assume
$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$$
 is Bass. Then $R \subseteq S_1$, $AV(g^r)_{\cong} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\cong}) : I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \right\}$ with $(I:I) = S_r$

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 15 / 28

Corollary

Assume
$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$$
 is Bass. Then $R \subseteq S_1$, $AV(g^r)_{\cong} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq ... \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\cong}) : I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \right\}$ with $(I:I) = S_r$

• for every $A \in AV(g^r)$, say $A \sim B^r$ with $h_B = g$, there are $C_1, \ldots, C_r \sim B$ such that $A \simeq C_1 \times \ldots \times C_r$ everything is a product

Corollary

Assume
$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F,V]$$
 is Bass. Then $R \subseteq S_1$, $AV(g^r)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq ... \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \right\}$ with $(I:I) = S_r$

- for every $A \in AV(g^r)$, say $A \sim B^r$ with $h_B = g$, there are everything $C_1, \ldots, C_r \sim B$ such that $A \simeq C_1 \times \ldots \times C_r$ is a product
- if $A \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_{k} I_{k} \text{ and } B \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_{k} J_{k}$

then $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \longleftrightarrow \Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(K) \text{ s.t. } \Lambda_{h,k} \in (J_h : I_k)$

Corollary

Assume
$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F,V]$$
 is Bass. Then $R \subseteq S_1$, $AV(g^r)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq ... \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \right\}$ with $(I:I) = S_r$

- for every $A \in AV(g^r)$, say $A \sim B^r$ with $h_B = g$, there are $C_1, \ldots, C_r \sim B$ such that $A \simeq C_1 \times \ldots \times C_r$ everything is a product
- if $A \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_{k} I_{k} \text{ and } B \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_{k} J_{k}$

then
$$\mu \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \longleftrightarrow \Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(K) \text{ s.t. } \Lambda_{h,k} \in (J_h : I_k)$$

Moreover, μ is an isogeny if and only if $\det(\Lambda) \in K^{\times}$

ペロト (型) (型) (重) (重) (重) (重) (重) (型) (March 2020 15 / 28

Let
$$g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$$
.

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note AV(g) is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

16 / 28

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $\mathsf{AV}(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note AV(g) is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 . Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note AV(g) is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 . Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\operatorname{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/_{3\mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } \operatorname{Pic}(\mathscr{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note AV(g) is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 . Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\operatorname{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/_{3\mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } \operatorname{Pic}(\mathscr{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let I be a representatives of a generator of Pic(R).

16 / 28

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note AV(g) is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 . Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\operatorname{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{3\mathbb{Z}}$$
 and $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathscr{O}_K) = \{1\}$.

Let I be a representatives of a generator of Pic(R).

We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in $AV(g^3)$:

$$M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R \qquad M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I \qquad M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2$$

$$M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \qquad M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \qquad M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note AV(g) is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 . Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\operatorname{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/_{3\mathbb{Z}}$$
 and $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}$.

Let I be a representatives of a generator of Pic(R).

We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in $AV(g^3)$:

$$M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R$$
 $M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I$ $M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2$ $M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$ $M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$ $M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$

$$\operatorname{End}(M_1) = \operatorname{Mat}_3(R) \text{ and } \operatorname{End}(M_2) = \begin{pmatrix} R & R & I \\ R & R & I \\ (R:I) & (R:I) & R \end{pmatrix}$$

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 16 / 28

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\mathrm{Tr}: K^r \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\mathrm{Tr}: K^r \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ Put

$$M^{\vee} := \overline{M^t} = \{ \overline{x} \in K^r : \operatorname{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \}$$
 "bar" = CM-conj.

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\operatorname{Tr}: K^r \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ Put

$$M^{\vee} := \overline{M^t} = \{ \overline{x} \in K^r : \operatorname{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \}$$
 "bar" = CM-conj.

In particular if $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$ then $M^{\vee} = \bigoplus_k \overline{I_k}^t$.

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\operatorname{Tr}: K^r \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ Put

$$\underline{M}^{\vee} := \overline{M^t} = \{ \overline{x} \in K^r : \operatorname{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \} \quad \text{"bar"} = \operatorname{CM-conj}.$$

In particular if $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$ then $M^{\vee} = \bigoplus_k \overline{I_k}^t$.

Proposition

If $\mu: A \to B$ in $AV(g^r)$ corresponds to $\Lambda: M \to N$ in $\mathscr{B}(g^r)$,

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\operatorname{Tr}: K^r \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ Put

$$M^{\vee} := \overline{M^t} = \{ \overline{x} \in K^r : \operatorname{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \}$$
 "bar" = CM-conj.

In particular if $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$ then $M^{\vee} = \bigoplus_k \overline{I_k}^t$.

Proposition

If $\mu:A\to B$ in $AV(g^r)$ corresponds to $\Lambda:M\to N$ in $\mathscr{B}(g^r)$, then $\mu^\vee:B^\vee\to A^\vee$ in $AV(g^r)$ corresponds to $\Lambda^\vee:N^\vee\to M^\vee$ in $\mathscr{B}(g^r)$, where

$$\Lambda^{\vee} := \overline{\Lambda}^{T}$$

"Proof": Howe (1995) described dual modules in $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q)$. We translated this notion to $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$.

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 17 / 28

Fix

$$\Phi := \{ \varphi : K \to \mathbb{C} : \nu_p(\varphi(F)) > 0 \}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p-adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon:W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}$.

Fix

$$\Phi := \{ \varphi : K \to \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0 \}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p-adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

18 / 28

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020

Fix

$$\Phi := \{ \varphi : K \to \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0 \}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p-adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu: A \to A^{\vee}$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda: M \to M^{\vee}$.

Fix

$$\Phi := \{ \varphi : K \to \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0 \}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p-adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu: A \to A^{\vee}$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda: M \to M^{\vee}$. Then μ is a polarization if and only if

- for every a in K^r , the element $c = \overline{a}^T \Lambda a$ is Φ -non-negative, that is $\Im(\varphi(c)) \ge 0$ for every φ in Φ .

Fix

$$\Phi := \{ \varphi : K \to \mathbb{C} : \nu_p(\varphi(F)) > 0 \}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p-adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu: A \to A^{\vee}$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda: M \to M^{\vee}$. Then μ is a polarization if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$, and
- for every a in K^r , the element $c = \overline{a}^T \Lambda a$ is Φ -non-negative, that is $\Im(\varphi(c)) \ge 0$ for every φ in Φ .

We have $\deg \mu = [M^{\vee} : \Lambda M]$.

Fix

$$\Phi := \{ \varphi : K \to \mathbb{C} : \nu_p(\varphi(F)) > 0 \}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p-adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu: A \to A^{\vee}$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda: M \to M^{\vee}$. Then μ is a polarization if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$, and
- for every a in K^r , the element $c = \overline{a}^T \Lambda a$ is Φ -non-negative, that is $\Im(\varphi(c)) \ge 0$ for every φ in Φ .

We have $\deg \mu = [M^{\vee} : \Lambda M]$.

"Proof": Howe (1995) put polarizations in Deligne's category $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q)$. We translated this notion to $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$.

Let (M, Λ) and (M', Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$.

Let (M,Λ) and (M',Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $\mathsf{AV}(g^r)$. A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi:M\to M'$ such that

$$\Psi^{\vee}\Lambda'\Psi=\Lambda.$$

Let (M,Λ) and (M',Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$. A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi:M\to M'$ such that

$$\Psi^{\vee}\Lambda'\Psi=\Lambda.$$

Let Pol(M) be the set of polarizations of M.

Let (M,Λ) and (M',Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $\mathsf{AV}(g^r)$. A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi:M\to M'$ such that

$$\Psi^{\vee}\Lambda'\Psi=\Lambda.$$

Let Pol(M) be the set of polarizations of M.

Theorem

There is a degree-preserving action of Aut(M) on Pol(M) given by

$$\operatorname{Aut}(M) \times \operatorname{Pol}(M) \longmapsto \operatorname{Pol}(M)$$
$$(U, \Lambda) \longmapsto U^{\vee} \Lambda U$$

Let (M,Λ) and (M',Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$. A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi:M\to M'$ such that

$$\Psi^{\vee}\Lambda'\Psi = \Lambda.$$

Let Pol(M) be the set of polarizations of M.

Theorem

There is a degree-preserving action of Aut(M) on Pol(M) given by

$$\operatorname{Aut}(M) \times \operatorname{Pol}(M) \longmapsto \operatorname{Pol}(M)$$
$$(U, \Lambda) \longmapsto U^{\vee} \Lambda U$$

Unfortunately

 $\operatorname{Pol}(M)$ Aut(M) is hard to understand if $r \ge 2$

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 19 / 28

We don't need R Bass now!

We don't need R Bass now!

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

We don't need R Bass now!

•

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

• Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \overline{I}^{t}$, and

We don't need R Bass now!

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \overline{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^{\times}$ such that

We don't need R Bass now!

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \overline{I}^t$, and
- ullet a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^{\times}$ such that

We don't need R Bass now!

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \overline{I}^t$, and
- ullet a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^{\times}$ such that

 - \bullet λ is totally imaginary $(\overline{\lambda} = -\lambda)$;

We don't need R Bass now!

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \overline{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^{\times}$ such that

 - \bullet λ is totally imaginary $(\overline{\lambda} = -\lambda)$;
 - \bullet λ is Φ -positive, where Φ is the CM-type of K. "coming from char ρ "

We don't need R Bass now!

•

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \overline{I}^t$, and
- ullet a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^{\times}$ such that
 - $\lambda I \subseteq \overline{I}^t$ (isogeny);
 - \bullet λ is totally imaginary $(\overline{\lambda} = -\lambda)$;
 - λ is Φ -positive, where Φ is the CM-type of K. "coming from char ρ "

Also: $\deg \mu = [\overline{I}^t : \lambda I].$

We don't need R Bass now!

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \overline{I}^t$, and
- ullet a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^{\times}$ such that

 - \bullet λ is totally imaginary $(\overline{\lambda} = -\lambda)$;
 - λ is Φ-positive, where Φ is the CM-type of K. "coming from char p"

Also:
$$\deg \mu = [\overline{I}^t : \lambda I].$$

• if $(A, \mu) \leftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$, deg $\mu = 1$ and S = (I : I) then

and Aut $(A, \mu) = \{\text{torsion units of } S\}$

20 / 28

- Let $h(x) = x^8 5x^7 + 13x^6 25x^5 + 44x^4 75x^3 + 117x^2 135x + 81$;
- → isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over F₃ of dimension 4;
- Let F be a root of h(x) and put $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$;
- 8 over-orders of R: two of them are not Gorenstein;
- $\#ICM(R) = 18 \leftrightarrow 18$ isom. classes of AV in the isogeny class;
- 5 are not invertible in their multiplicator ring;
- 8 classes admit principal polarizations;
- 10 isomorphism classes of princ. polarized AV.

Concretely:

$$\begin{split} I_1 = & 2645633792595191\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F + 836920075614551)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2 + 1474295643839839)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus (F^3 + 1372829830503387)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4 + 1072904687510)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5 + F^4 + F^3 + 2F^2 + 2F + 6704806986143610)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{9}(F^6 + F^5 + F^4 + 8F^3 + 2F^2 + 2991665243621169)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{27}(F^7 + F^6 + F^5 + 17F^4 + 20F^3 + 9F^2 + 68015312518722201)\mathbb{Z} \end{split}$$

principal polarizations:

$$x_{1,1} = \frac{1}{27} \left(-121922F^7 + 588604F^6 - 1422437F^5 + \right.$$

$$+ 1464239F^4 + 1196576F^3 - 7570722F^2 + 15316479F - 12821193 \right)$$

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{1}{27} \left(3015467F^7 - 17689816F^6 + 35965592F^5 - \right.$$

$$- 64660346F^4 + 121230619F^3 - 191117052F^2 + 315021546F - 300025458 \right)$$

$$\operatorname{End}(I_1) = R$$

$$\#\operatorname{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,1}) = \#\operatorname{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,2}) = 2$$

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 22 / 28

$$\begin{split} I_7 = & 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^3+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5+F^4+F^3+2F^2+2F+3)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{36}(F^6+F^5+10F^4+26F^3+2F^2+27F+45)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{216}(F^7+4F^6+49F^5+200F^4+116F^3+105F^2+198F+351)\mathbb{Z} \end{split}$$

principal polarization:

$$x_{7,1} = \frac{1}{54} (20F^7 - 43F^6 + 155F^5 - 308F^4 + 580F^3 - 1116F^2 + 2205F - 1809)$$

$$\operatorname{End}(I_7) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus F \mathbb{Z} \oplus F^2 \mathbb{Z} \oplus F^3 \mathbb{Z} \oplus F^4 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3} (F^5 + F^4 + F^3 + 2F^2 + 2F) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{18} (F^6 + F^5 + 10F^4 + 8F^3 + 2F^2 + 9F + 9) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{108} (F^7 + 4F^6 + 13F^5 + 56F^4 + 80F^3 + 33F^2 + 18F + 27) \mathbb{Z}$$
#Aut $(I_7, x_{7,1}) = 2$

 I_1 is invertible in R, but I_7 is not invertible in $\operatorname{End}(I_7)$

We can also compute the period matrix of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

We can also compute the period matrix of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A,\mu) \longleftrightarrow (I,\lambda)$$

We can also compute the period matrix of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A,\mu) \longleftrightarrow (I,\lambda)$$

Write

$$I=\alpha_1\mathbb{Z}\oplus\ldots\oplus\alpha_{2d}\mathbb{Z}$$

We can also compute the period matrix of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I=\alpha_1\mathbb{Z}\oplus\ldots\oplus\alpha_{2d}\mathbb{Z}$$

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d\}$ be the CM-type.

We can also compute the period matrix of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A,\mu) \longleftrightarrow (I,\lambda)$$

Write

$$I=\alpha_1\mathbb{Z}\oplus\ldots\oplus\alpha_{2d}\mathbb{Z}$$

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d\}$ be the CM-type. Let $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mu_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the (complex) canonical lift of (A, μ) .

We can also compute the period matrix of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \alpha_{2d} \mathbb{Z}$$

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d\}$ be the CM-type. Let $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mu_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the (complex) canonical lift of (A, μ) .

We have an isomorphism of complex tori

$$A_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^d/_{\Phi(I)}, \qquad \Phi(I) = \langle (\varphi_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \varphi_d(\alpha_i) : i = 1, \dots, 2d \rangle.$$

- 4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 恵 ト 4 恵 ト - 恵 - 夕 Q ()

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \to \mathbb{Z} \quad (s,t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \to \mathbb{Z} \quad (s,t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a symplectic \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b, that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \gamma_d \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \beta_d \mathbb{Z},$$

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \to \mathbb{Z} \quad (s,t) \mapsto \mathsf{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a symplectic \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b, that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \gamma_d \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \beta_d \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i,\beta_i)=1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$b(\gamma_h,\gamma_k)=b(\beta_h,\beta_k)=b(\gamma_h,\beta_k)=0 \text{ for all } h\neq k.$$

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \to \mathbb{Z} \quad (s,t) \mapsto \mathsf{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a symplectic \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b, that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \gamma_d \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \beta_d \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1$$
 for all i, and

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0$$
 for all $h \neq k$.

Consider the $d \times 2d$ matrix Ω whose *i*-th row is

$$(\varphi_i(\gamma_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\gamma_d), \varphi_i(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\beta_d)).$$

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \to \mathbb{Z} \quad (s,t) \mapsto \mathsf{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a symplectic \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b, that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \gamma_d \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus \beta_d \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1$$
 for all i, and

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0$$
 for all $h \neq k$.

Consider the $d \times 2d$ matrix Ω whose *i*-th row is

$$(\varphi_i(\gamma_1),\ldots,\varphi_i(\gamma_d),\varphi_i(\beta_1),\ldots,\varphi_i(\beta_d)).$$

This is big period matrix of $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mu_{\mathbb{C}})$.

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めのぐ

Let
$$g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9).$$

Marseglia Stefano

26 / 28

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization.

26 / 28

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$I = \frac{1}{54} \left(432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{6} \left(63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{6} \left(81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{18} \left(-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \left(-1 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \left(-\alpha \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \left(-\alpha^2 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} \left(81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120}\alpha + \frac{1343}{144}\alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60}\alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80}\alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8}\alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720}\alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^7$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 2.8 - i & -2.8 + 0.6i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.7 - 0.3i & 0 & 0 \\ -2.8 + i & 2.8 - 3.4i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.3 + 1.7i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -0.4 - 0.2i & 0 & 0 & -1.6 - 0.6i & -0.2 - 0.2i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2.6 + 6.9i & 0 & 0 & 0.6 - 1.6i & -6.9 + 6.9i \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$\begin{split} I &= \frac{1}{54} \Big(432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7 \Big) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ &\oplus \frac{1}{6} \Big(63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \Big) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ &\oplus \frac{1}{6} \Big(81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \Big) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ &\oplus \frac{1}{18} \Big(-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7 \Big) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ &\oplus (-\alpha) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-\alpha^2) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} \Big(81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7 \Big) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ \lambda &= \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120}\alpha + \frac{1343}{144}\alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60}\alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80}\alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8}\alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720}\alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^7 \end{split}$$

◆ロ > ◆個 > ◆ き > ◆き > き の < ○</p>

Marseglia Stefano

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

 $I = \frac{1}{54} \left(432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{6} \left(63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{6} \left(81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \frac{1}{18} \left(-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \left(-1 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \left(-\alpha \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \left(-\alpha^2 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} \left(81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120}\alpha + \frac{1343}{144}\alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60}\alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80}\alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8}\alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720}\alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^7$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 2.8 - i & -2.8 + 0.6i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.7 - 0.3i & 0 & 0 \\ -2.8 + i & 2.8 - 3.4i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.3 + 1.7i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -0.4 - 0.2i & 0 & 0 & -1.6 - 0.6i & -0.2 - 0.2i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2.6 + 6.9i & 0 & 0 & 0.6 - 1.6i & -6.9 + 6.9i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2.6 + 6.9i & 0 & 0 & 0.6 - 1.6i & -6.9 + 6.9i \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

Marseglia Stefano 04 March 2020 26 / 28

Final remarks

- Compute base field extensions and twists (ordinary case) (soon on arXiv).
- Polarizations (and period matrices) in the Centeleghe-Stix case are work in progress.
- The Magma code is available on my webpage.
- Results of computations will appear on the LMFDB.

Thank you!

Marseglia Stefano