

# Abelian varieties over finite fields isogenous to a power

Marseglia Stefano

Utrecht University

04 April 2019  
Geometry Seminar  
Utrecht University

# Introduction

Today's plan:

- Introduction.
- AV  $A$  isogenous to  $B^r$ , for  $B$  ordinary square-free defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- Isomorphism classes.
- Polarizations.
- Computations of polarizations and period matrices ( $r = 1$ ).

Also, all **morphisms** are defined **over the field of definition**!

# Abelian varieties : basics

- An **abelian variety** over a field  $k$  is a connected complete group variety over  $k$ .

# Abelian varieties : basics

- An **abelian variety** over a field  $k$  is a connected complete group variety over  $k$ .
- AV are projective and commutative.

# Abelian varieties : basics

- An **abelian variety** over a field  $k$  is a connected complete group variety over  $k$ .
- AV are projective and commutative.
- In dimension 1: **elliptic curves**.

# Abelian varieties : basics

- An **abelian variety** over a field  $k$  is a connected complete group variety over  $k$ .
- AV are projective and commutative.
- In dimension 1: **elliptic curves**.
- If  $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$  we can always produce a model:

$$Y^2Z = X^3 + AXZ^2 + BZ^3 \text{ with } 4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$$

# Abelian varieties ( $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$ )

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field**  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

# Abelian varieties ( $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$ )

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field**  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- in dimension  $g > 1$  it is not easy to produce equations.



# Abelian varieties ( $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$ )

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field**  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- in dimension  $g > 1$  it is not easy to produce equations.
- for  $g > 3$  it is not enough to consider Jacobians (for PPAV's).

# Abelian varieties ( $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$ )

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field**  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- in dimension  $g > 1$  it is not easy to produce equations.
- for  $g > 3$  it is not enough to consider Jacobians (for PPAV's).
- over  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\{\text{abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C}\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}^g / L \text{ with } L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \\ \text{(eq. classes of)} + \\ \text{Riemann form} \end{array} \right\}$$

# Abelian varieties ( $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$ )

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field**  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- in dimension  $g > 1$  it is not easy to produce equations.
- for  $g > 3$  it is not enough to consider Jacobians (for PPAV's).
- over  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\{\text{abelian varieties} / \mathbb{C}\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}^g / L \text{ with } L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \\ \text{(eq. classes of)} + \\ \text{Riemann form} \end{array} \right\}$$

- in positive characteristic we don't have such an equivalence (at least for the whole category).

# Isogeny classes

## Recall

- for an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  there are simple  $B_i$  and positive integers  $e_i$  s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

# Isogeny classes

## Recall

- for an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  there are simple  $B_i$  and positive integers  $e_i$  s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If  $h_A$  is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius  $\pi_A$  (acting on  $T_l A$ , for some  $l \neq p$ ) then

# Isogeny classes

## Recall

- for an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  there are simple  $B_i$  and positive integers  $e_i$  s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If  $h_A$  is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius  $\pi_A$  (acting on  $T_l A$ , for some  $l \neq p$ ) then
  - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and roots of size  $\sqrt{q}$   $q$ -Weil polynomial

# Isogeny classes

## Recall

- for an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  there are simple  $B_i$  and positive integers  $e_i$  s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If  $h_A$  is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius  $\pi_A$  (acting on  $T_l A$ , for some  $l \neq p$ ) then
  - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and roots of size  $\sqrt{q}$  q-Weil polynomial
  - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$

# Isogeny classes

## Recall

- for an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  there are simple  $B_i$  and positive integers  $e_i$  s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If  $h_A$  is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius  $\pi_A$  (acting on  $T_l A$ , for some  $l \neq p$ ) then
  - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and roots of size  $\sqrt{q}$  q-Weil polynomial
  - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
  - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$ .



# Isogeny classes

## Recall

- for an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  there are simple  $B_i$  and positive integers  $e_i$  s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If  $h_A$  is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius  $\pi_A$  (acting on  $T_l A$ , for some  $l \neq p$ ) then
  - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and roots of size  $\sqrt{q}$  q-Weil polynomial
  - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \cdots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
  - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$ .

## Theorem (Honda-Tate)

*There is a bijection between the set of simple abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  up to isogeny and the set of q-Weil numbers up to conjugacy.*

# Ordinary AV

An abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $g$  is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

# Ordinary AV

An abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $g$  is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

Ⓐ  $\#A[p](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = p^g$

# Ordinary AV

An abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $g$  is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (a)  $\#A[p](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = p^g$
- (b) exactly half of the roots of  $h_A$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  are  $p$ -adic units

# Ordinary AV

An abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $g$  is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (a)  $\#A[p](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = p^g$
- (b) exactly half of the roots of  $h_A$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  are  $p$ -adic units
- (c) the mid-coefficient of  $h_A$  is coprime with  $p$

# Ordinary AV

An abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $g$  is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (a)  $\#A[p](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = p^g$
- (b) exactly half of the roots of  $h_A$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  are  $p$ -adic units
- (c) the mid-coefficient of  $h_A$  is coprime with  $p$

## Proposition

For  $B$  ordinary over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$h_B \text{ is irreducible} \iff B \text{ is simple}$$

# Deligne's equivalence

## Theorem (Deligne '69)

*Let  $q = p^d$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:*

$$AV^{ord}(q) := \{\textbf{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

# Deligne's equivalence

## Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^d$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\begin{aligned} AV^{ord}(q) &:= \{ \textbf{Ordinary} \text{ abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} \\ &\quad \updownarrow \\ \mathcal{M}^{ord}(q) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \\ \text{s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ - \text{half of them are } p\text{-adic units} \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$



# Deligne's equivalence - the functor

- fix an embedding of  $\varepsilon : W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- take  $A \in \text{AV}^{\text{ord}}(q)$
- let  $A'$  be the canonical lift of  $A$  to  $W$
- put  $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A' \otimes_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}$
- finally, let  $T(A) := H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$
- the construction is functorial: Frobenius  $\pi(A) \rightsquigarrow F(A)$ .

# Deligne's equivalence - the functor

- fix an embedding of  $\varepsilon : W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- take  $A \in \text{AV}^{\text{ord}}(q)$
- let  $A'$  be the canonical lift of  $A$  to  $W$
- put  $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A' \otimes_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}$
- finally, let  $T(A) := H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$
- the construction is functorial: Frobenius  $\pi(A) \rightsquigarrow F(A)$ .

Observe if  $\dim(A) = g$  then  $\text{Rank}(T(A)) = 2g$ ;

# AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

# $AV$ isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let  $g$  be a  $q$ -Weil polynomial which is ordinary and square-free

# AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let  $g$  be a  $q$ -Weil polynomial which is ordinary and square-free

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \left\{ A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q) : h_A = g^r \right\}$$

# AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let  $g$  be a  $q$ -Weil polynomial which is ordinary and square-free

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \left\{ A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q) : h_A = g^r \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(g^r) := \left\{ (T, F) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q) : \text{char}_F = g^r \right\}.$$

# AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let  $g$  be a  $q$ -Weil polynomial which is ordinary and square-free

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \left\{ A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q) : h_A = g^r \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(g^r) := \left\{ (T, F) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q) : \text{char}_F = g^r \right\}.$$

Observe: if  $A \in AV(g^r)$  then

$$A \sim (B_1 \times \dots \times B_s)^r$$

with

$$g = h_{B_1 \times \dots \times B_s}$$

# Main theorem

Consider the CM étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x]_{/g} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$



# Main theorem

Consider the CM étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x]_{/g} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$

and the order in  $K$  given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V], \quad \text{where } V = q/F = \overline{F}$$

# Main theorem

Consider the CM étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x] \big/_{\substack{\color{teal}{g}}} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$

and the order in  $K$  given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V], \quad \text{where } V = q/F = \overline{F}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{B}(g^r) := \{\text{fin. gen. torsion-free } R\text{-modules } M \text{ s.t. } M \otimes_R K \simeq K^r\}$$

# Main theorem

Consider the CM étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x] \big/_{\substack{g}} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$

and the order in  $K$  given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V], \quad \text{where } V = q/F = \overline{F}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{B}(g^r) := \{\text{fin. gen. torsion-free } R\text{-modules } M \text{ s.t. } M \otimes_R K \simeq K^r\}$$

Theorem (M.)

*There are equivalences of categories*

$$\text{AV}(g^r) \overset{\text{Deligne}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{M}(g^r) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{B}(g^r)$$

# The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is **torsion-free** if the canonical morphism

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

# The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is **torsion-free** if the canonical morphism

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

We can think of modules  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  as **embedded** in  $K^r$ .

# The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is **torsion-free** if the canonical morphism

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

We can think of modules  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  as **embedded** in  $K^r$ .

The category  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$  becomes more **explicit** and **computable** under certain assumptions on the order  $R$ .

# Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional  $R$ -ideal**  $I$  is a sub- $R$ -module of  $K$  which is also a lattice
- a fractional  $R$ -ideal is **invertible** in  $R$  if  $I(R : I) = R$ .

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order  $R$  is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

# Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional  $R$ -ideal**  $I$  is a sub- $R$ -module of  $K$  which is also a lattice
- a fractional  $R$ -ideal is **invertible** in  $R$  if  $I(R : I) = R$ .

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order  $R$  is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order  $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is Gorenstein.



# Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional  $R$ -ideal**  $I$  is a sub- $R$ -module of  $K$  which is also a lattice
- a fractional  $R$ -ideal is **invertible** in  $R$  if  $I(R : I) = R$ .

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order  $R$  is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order  $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is Gorenstein.
- every fractional  $R$ -ideal  $I$  is invertible in  $(I : I)$ .

# Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional  $R$ -ideal**  $I$  is a sub- $R$ -module of  $K$  which is also a lattice
- a fractional  $R$ -ideal is **invertible** in  $R$  if  $I(R : I) = R$ .

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order  $R$  is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order  $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is Gorenstein.
- every fractional  $R$ -ideal  $I$  is invertible in  $(I : I)$ .
- $\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \text{Pic}(S)$ .

## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Theorem (Bass)

*Assume that  $R$  is a Bass order.*

## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Theorem (Bass)

*Assume that  $R$  is a Bass order. Then for every  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  there are fractional  $R$ -ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_r$  such that*

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r.$$

*everything is a direct sum  
of fractional ideals*

## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Theorem (Bass)

Assume that  $R$  is a Bass order. Then for every  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  there are fractional  $R$ -ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_r$  such that

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r. \quad \text{everything is a direct sum of fractional ideals}$$

Moreover, given  $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r I_k$  and  $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r J_k$  we have that

$$M \simeq_R M' \iff \begin{cases} (I_k : I_k) = (J_k : J_k) \text{ for every } k, \text{ and} \\ \prod_{k=1}^r I_k \simeq_R \prod_{k=1}^r J_k \end{cases}$$

## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Theorem (Bass)

Assume that  $R$  is a Bass order. Then for every  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  there are fractional  $R$ -ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_r$  such that

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r. \quad \text{everything is a direct sum of fractional ideals}$$

Moreover, given  $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r I_k$  and  $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r J_k$  we have that

$$M \simeq_R M' \iff \begin{cases} (I_k : I_k) = (J_k : J_k) \text{ for every } k, \text{ and} \\ \prod_{k=1}^r I_k \simeq_R \prod_{k=1}^r J_k \end{cases} \quad \text{generalization of Steinitz theory}$$

## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Corollary

*Assume that  $R$  is Bass. Then for every  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  there are over orders  $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$  of  $R$  and a fractional ideal  $I$  invertible in  $S_r$  such that*

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Corollary

*Assume that  $R$  is Bass. Then for every  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  there are over orders  $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$  of  $R$  and a fractional ideal  $I$  invertible in  $S_r$  such that*

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a **simple description** of morphisms in  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ .  
For example, for  $M$  as above:



## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Corollary

Assume that  $R$  is Bass. Then for every  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  there are over orders  $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$  of  $R$  and a fractional ideal  $I$  invertible in  $S_r$  such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a **simple description** of morphisms in  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ .

For example, for  $M$  as above:

$$\mathrm{End}_R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : S_2) & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (S_1 : S_{r-1}) & (S_2 : S_{r-1}) & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : I) & (S_2 : I) & \dots & (S_{r-1} : I) & (I : I) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

## $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

### Corollary

Assume that  $R$  is Bass. Then for every  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  there are over orders  $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$  of  $R$  and a fractional ideal  $I$  invertible in  $S_r$  such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a **simple description** of morphisms in  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ .

For example, for  $M$  as above:

$$\mathrm{End}_R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : S_2) & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (S_1 : S_{r-1}) & (S_2 : S_{r-1}) & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : I) & (S_2 : I) & \dots & (S_{r-1} : I) & (I : I) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Aut}_R(M) = \{A \in \mathrm{End}_R(M) \cap \mathrm{GL}_r(K) : A^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)\}.$$

# Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

## Corollary

*Assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$  is Bass. Then*

# Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

## Corollary

Assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$  is Bass. Then

$$\bullet \quad AV(g^r)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$$

# Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

## Corollary

Assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$  is Bass. Then

- $AV(g^r)/\simeq \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$
- for every  $A \in AV(g^r)$ , say  $A \sim B^r$  with  $h_B = g$ , there are  $C_1, \dots, C_r \sim B$  such that  $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$  everything is a product

# Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

## Corollary

Assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$  is Bass. Then

- $AV(g^r)/\simeq \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

- for every  $A \in AV(g^r)$ , say  $A \sim B^r$  with  $h_B = g$ , there are  $C_1, \dots, C_r \sim B$  such that  $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$  everything is a product

- if 
$$A \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k I_k \text{ and } B \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k J_k$$

then  $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \longleftrightarrow \Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(K) \text{ s.t. } \Lambda_{h,k} \in (J_h : I_k)$

# Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

## Corollary

Assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$  is Bass. Then

- $AV(g^r)/\simeq \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

- for every  $A \in AV(g^r)$ , say  $A \sim B^r$  with  $h_B = g$ , there are  $C_1, \dots, C_r \sim B$  such that  $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$  everything is a product

- if 
$$A \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k I_k \text{ and } B \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k J_k$$

then  $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \longleftrightarrow \Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(K)$  s.t.  $\Lambda_{h,k} \in (J_h : I_k)$

Moreover,  $\mu$  is an isogeny if and only if  $\det(\Lambda) \in K^\times$

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .



## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .

Note  $AV(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .

Note  $AV(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ .

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .

Note  $AV(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ .

The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass.

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .

Note  $AV(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ .

The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .

Note  $AV(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ .

The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let  $I$  be a representatives of a generator of  $\text{Pic}(R)$ .

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .

Note  $AV(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ .

The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let  $I$  be a representatives of a generator of  $\text{Pic}(R)$ .

We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in  $AV(g^3)$ :

$$\begin{array}{lll} M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R & M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I & M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2 \\ M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K & M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K & M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \end{array}$$

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ .

Note  $AV(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ .

The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let  $I$  be a representatives of a generator of  $\text{Pic}(R)$ .

We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in  $AV(g^3)$ :

$$\begin{array}{lll} M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R & M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I & M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2 \\ M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K & M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K & M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \end{array}$$

$$\text{End}(M_1) = \text{Mat}_3(R) \text{ and } \text{End}(M_2) = \begin{pmatrix} R & R & I \\ R & R & I \\ (R:I) & (R:I) & R \end{pmatrix}$$

# Dual modules

Let  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  and let  $\text{Tr} : K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the map induced by  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$



# Dual modules

Let  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  and let  $\text{Tr} : K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the map induced by  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ . Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M^t} = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

## Dual modules

Let  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  and let  $\text{Tr} : K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the map induced by  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ . Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M}^t = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In particular if  $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$  then  $M^\vee = \bigoplus_k \overline{I_k}^t$ .

## Dual modules

Let  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  and let  $\text{Tr} : K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the map induced by  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ . Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M}^t = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In particular if  $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$  then  $M^\vee = \bigoplus_k \overline{I}_k^t$ .

### Proposition

If  $\mu : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{AV}(g^r)$  corresponds to  $\Lambda : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ ,

## Dual modules

Let  $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$  and let  $\text{Tr} : K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the map induced by  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ . Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M}^t = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In particular if  $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$  then  $M^\vee = \bigoplus_k \overline{I}_k^t$ .

### Proposition

If  $\mu : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{AV}(g^r)$  corresponds to  $\Lambda : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ , then  $\mu^\vee : B^\vee \rightarrow A^\vee$  in  $\text{AV}(g^r)$  corresponds to  $\Lambda^\vee : N^\vee \rightarrow M^\vee$  in  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ , where

$$\Lambda^\vee := \overline{\Lambda}^T$$

"Proof": Howe (1995) described dual modules in  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q)$ . We translated this notion to  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ .

# Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where  $v_p$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation induced by  $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

# Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where  $v_p$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation induced by  $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Observe that  $\Phi$  is a **CM-type** of  $K$  since the isogeny class is ordinary.

# Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where  $v_p$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation induced by  $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Observe that  $\Phi$  is a **CM-type** of  $K$  since the isogeny class is ordinary.

## Theorem

Let  $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  in  $AV(g^r)$  be an isogeny, corresponding to  $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$ .

# Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where  $v_p$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation induced by  $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Observe that  $\Phi$  is a **CM-type** of  $K$  since the isogeny class is ordinary.

## Theorem

Let  $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  in  $\text{AV}(g^r)$  be an isogeny, corresponding to  $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$ . Then  $\mu$  is a **polarization** if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$ , and
- for every  $a$  in  $K^r$ , the element  $c = a^T \overline{\Lambda} a$  is  $\Phi$ -non-positive, that is  $\text{Im}(\varphi(c)) \leq 0$  for every  $\varphi$  in  $\Phi$ .



# Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where  $v_p$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation induced by  $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Observe that  $\Phi$  is a **CM-type** of  $K$  since the isogeny class is ordinary.

## Theorem

Let  $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  in  $AV(g^r)$  be an isogeny, corresponding to  $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$ . Then  $\mu$  is a **polarization** if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$ , and
- for every  $a$  in  $K^r$ , the element  $c = a^T \overline{\Lambda} a$  is  $\Phi$ -non-positive, that is  $\text{Im}(\varphi(c)) \leq 0$  for every  $\varphi$  in  $\Phi$ .

We have  $\deg \mu = [M^\vee : \Lambda M]$ .

# Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where  $v_p$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation induced by  $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Observe that  $\Phi$  is a **CM-type** of  $K$  since the isogeny class is ordinary.

## Theorem

Let  $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  in  $\text{AV}(g^r)$  be an isogeny, corresponding to  $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$ . Then  $\mu$  is a **polarization** if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$ , and
- for every  $a$  in  $K^r$ , the element  $c = a^T \overline{\Lambda} \overline{a}$  is  $\Phi$ -non-positive, that is  $\text{Im}(\varphi(c)) \leq 0$  for every  $\varphi$  in  $\Phi$ .

We have  $\deg \mu = [M^\vee : \Lambda M]$ .

"Proof": Howe (1995) put polarizations in Deligne's category  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q)$ . We translated this notion to  $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ .

# Automorphisms

Let  $(M, \Lambda)$  and  $(M', \Lambda')$  correspond to polarized variety in  $AV(g^r)$ .

# Automorphisms

Let  $(M, \Lambda)$  and  $(M', \Lambda')$  correspond to polarized variety in  $AV(g')$ .

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map  $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$  such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

# Automorphisms

Let  $(M, \Lambda)$  and  $(M', \Lambda')$  correspond to polarized variety in  $AV(g^r)$ .

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map  $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$  such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

Let  $\text{Pol}(M)$  be the set of polarizations of  $M$ .

# Automorphisms

Let  $(M, \Lambda)$  and  $(M', \Lambda')$  correspond to polarized variety in  $AV(g^r)$ .

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map  $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$  such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

Let  $\text{Pol}(M)$  be the set of polarizations of  $M$ .

## Theorem

*There is a degree-preserving action of  $\text{Aut}(M)$  on  $\text{Pol}(M)$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(M) \times \text{Pol}(M) &\longmapsto \text{Pol}(M) \\ (U, \Lambda) &\longmapsto U^\vee \Lambda U \end{aligned}$$

# Automorphisms

Let  $(M, \Lambda)$  and  $(M', \Lambda')$  correspond to polarized variety in  $AV(g^r)$ .

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map  $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$  such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

Let  $\text{Pol}(M)$  be the set of polarizations of  $M$ .

## Theorem

*There is a degree-preserving action of  $\text{Aut}(M)$  on  $\text{Pol}(M)$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(M) \times \text{Pol}(M) &\longmapsto \text{Pol}(M) \\ (U, \Lambda) &\longmapsto U^\vee \Lambda U \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately

$\text{Pol}(M) / \text{Aut}(M)$  is hard to understand if  $r \geq 2$

The case  $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case



The case  $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!

# The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!



$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

## The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!



$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then  $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$ , and

# The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!

•

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then  $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$ , and
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^{\times}$  such that

# The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!



$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then  $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$ , and
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^{\times}$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);

# The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!

•

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then  $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$ , and
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^{\times}$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is totally imaginary ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );

# The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!



$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then  $A^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$ , and
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^{\times}$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is totally imaginary ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );
  - $\lambda$  is  $\Phi$ -positive, where  $\Phi$  is the CM-type of  $K$ . "coming from char  $p$ "

# The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!

•

$$AV(g)/_{\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then  $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$ , and
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is totally imaginary ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );
  - $\lambda$  is  $\Phi$ -positive, where  $\Phi$  is the CM-type of  $K$ . "coming from char  $p$ "

Also:  $\deg \mu = [\bar{I}^t : \lambda I]$ .



# The case $r = 1$ , i.e. the square-free case

We don't need  $R$  Bass now!



$$AV(g)/\simeq \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then  $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$ , and
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is totally imaginary ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );
  - $\lambda$  is  $\Phi$ -positive, where  $\Phi$  is the CM-type of  $K$ . "coming from char  $p$ "

Also:  $\deg \mu = [\bar{I}^t : \lambda I]$ .

- if  $(A, \mu) \leftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$  and  $S = (I : I)$  then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-isomorphic} \\ \text{polarizations of } A \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\{\text{totally positive } u \in S^\times\}}{\{v\bar{v} : v \in S^\times\}}$$

and  $\text{Aut}(A, \mu) = \{\text{torsion units of } S\}$

## Example

- Let
$$h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81;$$
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4;
- Let  $F$  be a root of  $h(x)$  and put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$ ;
- 8 over-orders of  $R$ : two of them are not Gorenstein;
- $\# \text{ICM}(R) = 18 \rightsquigarrow 18$  isom. classes of AV in the isogeny class;
- 5 are not invertible in their multiplier ring;
- 8 classes admit principal polarizations;
- 10 isomorphism classes of princ. polarized AV.

# Example

Concretely:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = & 2645633792595191\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F + 836920075614551)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2 + 1474295643839839)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus (F^3 + 1372829830503387)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4 + 1072904687510)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5 + F^4 + F^3 + 2F^2 + 2F + 6704806986143610)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{9}(F^6 + F^5 + F^4 + 8F^3 + 2F^2 + 2991665243621169)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{27}(F^7 + F^6 + F^5 + 17F^4 + 20F^3 + 9F^2 + 68015312518722201)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

principal polarizations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,1} = & \frac{1}{27}(-121922F^7 + 588604F^6 - 1422437F^5 + \\ & + 1464239F^4 + 1196576F^3 - 7570722F^2 + 15316479F - 12821193) \\ x_{1,2} = & \frac{1}{27}(3015467F^7 - 17689816F^6 + 35965592F^5 - \\ & - 64660346F^4 + 121230619F^3 - 191117052F^2 + 315021546F - 300025458) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{End}(I_1) = R$$

$$\# \text{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,1}) = \# \text{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,2}) = 2$$

## Example

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 = & 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^3+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5+F^4+F^3+2F^2+2F+3)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{36}(F^6+F^5+10F^4+26F^3+2F^2+27F+45)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{216}(F^7+4F^6+49F^5+200F^4+116F^3+105F^2+198F+351)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

principal polarization:

$$x_{7,1} = \frac{1}{54}(20F^7 - 43F^6 + 155F^5 - 308F^4 + 580F^3 - 1116F^2 + 2205F - 1809)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(I_7) = & \mathbb{Z} \oplus F\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^2\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^3\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5+F^4+F^3+2F^2+2F)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{18}(F^6+F^5+10F^4+8F^3+2F^2+9F+9)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{108}(F^7+4F^6+13F^5+56F^4+80F^3+33F^2+18F+27)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\# \text{Aut}(I_7, x_{7,1}) = 2$$

$I_1$  is invertible in  $R$ , but  $I_7$  is not invertible in  $\text{End}(I_7)$ .

# Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

# Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

# Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

# Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_g\}$  be the CM-type.



# Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_g\}$  be the CM-type.

Let  $(A', \mu')$  be the (complex) canonical lift of  $(A, \mu)$ .

# Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_g\}$  be the CM-type.

Let  $(A', \mu')$  be the (complex) canonical lift of  $(A, \mu)$ .

We have an isomorphism of complex tori

$$A'(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^g / \Phi(I), \quad \Phi(I) = \langle (\varphi_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \varphi_g(\alpha_i) : i = 1, \dots, 2g) \rangle.$$

# Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$b : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

## Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$b : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a **symplectic**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $I$  with respect to the form  $b$ , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g \mathbb{Z},$$

## Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$b : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a **symplectic**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $I$  with respect to the form  $b$ , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0 \text{ for all } h \neq k.$$

## Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$b : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t}\lambda s).$$

Pick a **symplectic**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $I$  with respect to the form  $b$ , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g\mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g\mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0 \text{ for all } h \neq k.$$

Consider the  $g \times 2g$  matrix  $\Omega$  whose  $i$ -th row is

$$(\varphi_i(\gamma_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\gamma_g), \varphi_i(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\beta_g)).$$

## Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$b : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t}\lambda s).$$

Pick a **symplectic**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $I$  with respect to the form  $b$ , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g\mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g\mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0 \text{ for all } h \neq k.$$

Consider the  $g \times 2g$  matrix  $\Omega$  whose  $i$ -th row is

$$(\varphi_i(\gamma_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\gamma_g), \varphi_i(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\beta_g)).$$

This is **big period matrix** of  $(A', \lambda')$ .

## Period matrices - Example

Let  $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$ .



## Period matrices - Example

Let  $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$ . We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization.

## Period matrices - Example

Let  $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$ . We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I = & \frac{1}{54} (432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{18} (-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus (-\alpha)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (-\alpha^2)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} (81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120}\alpha + \frac{1343}{144}\alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60}\alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80}\alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8}\alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720}\alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^7$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 2.8 - i & -2.8 + 0.6i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.7 - 0.3i & 0 & 0 \\ -2.8 + i & 2.8 - 3.4i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.3 + 1.7i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -0.4 - 0.2i & 0 & 0 & -1.6 - 0.6i & -0.2 - 0.2i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2.6 + 6.9i & 0 & 0 & 0.6 - 1.6i & -6.9 + 6.9i \end{pmatrix}$$

## Period matrices - Example

Let  $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$ . We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$\begin{aligned} I = & \frac{1}{54} (432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{18} (-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus (-\alpha)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (-\alpha^2)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} (81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \\ \lambda = & \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120}\alpha + \frac{1343}{144}\alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60}\alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80}\alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8}\alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720}\alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^7 \end{aligned}$$

## Period matrices - Example

Let  $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$ . We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I = & \frac{1}{54} (432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{18} (-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus (-\alpha)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (-\alpha^2)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} (81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \\
 \lambda = & \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120}\alpha + \frac{1343}{144}\alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60}\alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80}\alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8}\alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720}\alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^7
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 2.8 - i & -2.8 + 0.6i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.7 - 0.3i & 0 & 0 \\ -2.8 + i & 2.8 - 3.4i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.3 + 1.7i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -0.4 - 0.2i & 0 & 0 & -1.6 - 0.6i & -0.2 - 0.2i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2.6 + 6.9i & 0 & 0 & 0.6 - 1.6i & -6.9 + 6.9i \end{pmatrix}$$

## Final remarks

- Computations of isomorphism classes can be done in the same way replacing "ordinary over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ " with "over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , away from real primes", by using Centeleghe-Stix (2015)...
- ...but polarizations (and period matrices) are still work in progress.
- The Magma code is available on my webpage.

Thank you!