

# Computing isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over finite fields.

Stefano Marseglia

Utrecht University

Curves over Finite Fields:  
Past, Present and Future

# Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$

- Let  $A/\mathbb{C}$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ .

## Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$

- Let  $A/\mathbb{C}$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ .
- Then  $A(\mathbb{C})$  is a **torus**:  $T := \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .

## Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$

- Let  $A/\mathbb{C}$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ .
- Then  $A(\mathbb{C})$  is a **torus**:  $T := \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .
- Moreover,  $T$  admits a non-degenerate **Riemann form**  $\longleftrightarrow$  polarization.

# Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$

- Let  $A/\mathbb{C}$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ .
- Then  $A(\mathbb{C})$  is a **torus**:  $T := \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .
- Moreover,  $T$  admits a non-degenerate **Riemann form**  $\longleftrightarrow$  polarization.
- Actually,  $A \mapsto A(\mathbb{C})$  induces an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C}\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \text{ with } \Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ admitting a Riemann form} \right\}.$$

# Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$

- Let  $A/\mathbb{C}$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ .
- Then  $A(\mathbb{C})$  is a **torus**:  $T := \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .
- Moreover,  $T$  admits a non-degenerate **Riemann form**  $\longleftrightarrow$  polarization.
- Actually,  $A \mapsto A(\mathbb{C})$  induces an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C}\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \text{ with } \Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ admitting a Riemann form} \right\}.$$

- In **char.  $p > 0$**  such an equivalence **cannot exist**: there are (supersingular) elliptic curves with quaternionic endomorphism algebras.

# Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ vs $\mathbb{F}_q$

- Let  $A/\mathbb{C}$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ .
- Then  $A(\mathbb{C})$  is a **torus**:  $T := \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .
- Moreover,  $T$  admits a non-degenerate **Riemann form**  $\longleftrightarrow$  polarization.
- Actually,  $A \mapsto A(\mathbb{C})$  induces an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C}\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda \text{ with } \Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ admitting a Riemann form} \right\}.$$

- In **char.  $p > 0$**  such an equivalence **cannot exist**: there are (supersingular) elliptic curves with quaternionic endomorphism algebras.
- Nevertheless, over finite fields, we obtain analogous results if we restrict ourselves to certain **subcategories** of AVs.

# Isogeny classification over $\mathbb{F}_q$

- $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  comes with a **Frobenius** endomorphism,



## Isogeny classification over $\mathbb{F}_q$

- $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  comes with a **Frobenius** endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\text{Frob}_A : T_\ell A \rightarrow T_\ell A \text{ for any } \ell \neq p,$$

where  $T_\ell(A) = \varprojlim A[\ell^n] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$ .

# Isogeny classification over $\mathbb{F}_q$

- $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  comes with a **Frobenius** endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\text{Frob}_A : T_\ell A \rightarrow T_\ell A \text{ for any } \ell \neq p,$$

where  $T_\ell(A) = \varprojlim A[\ell^n] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$ .

- $h_A(x) := \text{char}(\text{Frob}_A)$  is a  **$q$ -Weil** polynomial and **isogeny invariant**.

# Isogeny classification over $\mathbb{F}_q$

- $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  comes with a **Frobenius** endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\text{Frob}_A : T_\ell A \rightarrow T_\ell A \text{ for any } \ell \neq p,$$

where  $T_\ell(A) = \varprojlim A[\ell^n] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$ .

- $h_A(x) := \text{char}(\text{Frob}_A)$  is a  **$q$ -Weil** polynomial and **isogeny invariant**.
- By **Honda-Tate** theory, the association

$$\text{isogeny class of } A \longmapsto h_A(x)$$

is injective and allows us to **enumerate** all AVs up to isogeny.

# Isogeny classification over $\mathbb{F}_q$

- $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  comes with a **Frobenius** endomorphism, that induces an action

$$\text{Frob}_A : T_\ell A \rightarrow T_\ell A \text{ for any } \ell \neq p,$$

where  $T_\ell(A) = \varprojlim A[\ell^n] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$ .

- $h_A(x) := \text{char}(\text{Frob}_A)$  is a  **$q$ -Weil** polynomial and **isogeny invariant**.
- By **Honda-Tate** theory, the association

$$\text{isogeny class of } A \longmapsto h_A(x)$$

is injective and allows us to **enumerate** all AVs up to isogeny.

- Also,  $h_A(x)$  is squarefree  $\iff \text{End}(A)$  is commutative.

# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^r$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an *equivalence* of categories:

$$\{ \text{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} \quad A$$

# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^r$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an *equivalence* of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} & & A \\ \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \right. & & \end{array}$$

# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^r$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} & & A \\ \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ - \text{half of them are } p\text{-adic units} \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\} & & (T(A), F(A)) \end{array}$$



# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^r$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{ \text{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} & & A \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\
 - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\
 - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\
 - \text{half of them are } p\text{-adic units} \\
 - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\} & & (T(A), F(A))
 \end{array}$$

- Ordinary  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  can be canonically lifted:  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}/\text{Witt}(\mathbb{F}_q) \dots$

# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^r$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} & & A \\ \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ - \text{half of them are } p\text{-adic units} \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\} & & (T(A), F(A)) \end{array}$$

- Ordinary  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  can be canonically lifted:  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}/\text{Witt}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ...
- ... characterized by:  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) = \text{End}_W(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}})$ .

# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^r$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} & & A \\ \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ - \text{half of them are } p\text{-adic units} \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\} & & (T(A), F(A)) \end{array}$$

- Ordinary  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  can be canonically lifted:  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}/\text{Witt}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ...
- ... characterized by:  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) = \text{End}_W(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}})$ .
- Put  $T(A) := H_1(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})$

# Deligne's equivalence

Recall:  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  is **ordinary** if half of the  $p$ -adic roots of  $h_A$  are units.

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let  $q = p^r$ , with  $p$  a prime. There is an **equivalence** of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{ \text{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} & & A \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ - \text{half of them are } p\text{-adic units} \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\} & & (T(A), F(A))
 \end{array}$$

- Ordinary  $A/\mathbb{F}_q$  can be canonically lifted:  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{can}}/\text{Witt}(\mathbb{F}_q)\dots$
- ... characterized by:  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) = \text{End}_W(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}})$ .
- Put  $T(A) := H_1(\mathcal{A}_{\text{can}} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $F(A) :=$  the induced Frobenius.

## Squarefree case

- Fix an **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  :

# Squarefree case

- Fix an **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  :
- $\rightsquigarrow$  an isogeny class  $\mathcal{C}_h/\mathbb{F}_q$ .

# Squarefree case

- Fix an **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  :
- $\rightsquigarrow$  an isogeny class  $\mathcal{C}_h/\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- Put  $K := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(h) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ , an étale algebra = product of number fields.

# Squarefree case

- Fix an **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  :
- $\rightsquigarrow$  an isogeny class  $\mathcal{C}_h/\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- Put  $K := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(h) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ , an étale algebra = product of number fields.
- Put  $V = q/F$ . Deligne's equivalence induces:



# Squarefree case

- Fix an **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  :
- $\rightsquigarrow$  an isogeny class  $\mathcal{C}_h/\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- Put  $K := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(h) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ , an étale algebra = product of number fields.
- Put  $V = q/F$ . Deligne's equivalence induces:

## Theorem

$$\begin{array}{c} \{ \text{abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_h \} / \simeq \\ \updownarrow \\ \{ \text{fractional ideals of } \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K \} / \simeq \end{array}$$

# Squarefree case

- Fix an **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  :
- $\rightsquigarrow$  an isogeny class  $\mathcal{C}_h/\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- Put  $K := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(h) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ , an étale algebra = product of number fields.
- Put  $V = q/F$ . Deligne's equivalence induces:

## Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_h \} / \simeq & & \\ \updownarrow & & \\ \{ \text{fractional ideals of } \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K \} / \simeq & =: & \text{ICM}(\mathbb{Z}[F, V]) \\ & & \text{ideal class monoid} \end{array}$$

# Squarefree case

- Fix an **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  :
- $\rightsquigarrow$  an isogeny class  $\mathcal{C}_h/\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- Put  $K := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(h) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ , an étale algebra = product of number fields.
- Put  $V = q/F$ . Deligne's equivalence induces:

## Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_h \} / \simeq & & \\ \updownarrow & & \\ \{ \text{fractional ideals of } \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K \} / \simeq & =: & \text{ICM}(\mathbb{Z}[F, V]) \\ & & \text{ideal class monoid} \end{array}$$

- **Problem:**  $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$  might not be maximal  $\rightsquigarrow$  **non-invertible** ideals.

# ICM : Ideal Class Monoid

Let  $R$  be an **order** in an étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $K$ .

# ICM : Ideal Class Monoid

Let  $R$  be an **order** in an étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $K$ .

- Recall: for **fractional  $R$ -ideals**  $I$  and  $J$

$$I \simeq_R J \iff \exists x \in K^\times \text{ s.t. } xI = J$$

# ICM : Ideal Class Monoid

Let  $R$  be an **order** in an étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $K$ .

- Recall: for **fractional  $R$ -ideals**  $I$  and  $J$

$$I \simeq_R J \iff \exists x \in K^\times \text{ s.t. } xI = J$$

- We have

$$\mathrm{ICM}(R) \supseteq \mathrm{Pic}(R) = \{\text{invertible fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R$$

with equality  $\Updownarrow$  iff  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$

# ICM : Ideal Class Monoid

Let  $R$  be an **order** in an étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $K$ .

- Recall: for **fractional  $R$ -ideals**  $I$  and  $J$

$$I \simeq_R J \iff \exists x \in K^\times \text{ s.t. } xI = J$$

- We have

$$\mathrm{ICM}(R) \supseteq \mathrm{Pic}(R) = \{\text{invertible fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R$$

with equality  $\Updownarrow$  iff  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$

- ...and actually

$$\mathrm{ICM}(R) \supseteq \bigsqcup_{\substack{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{over-orders}}} \mathrm{Pic}(S) \quad \text{with equality iff } R \text{ is Bass}$$

# ICM : Ideal Class Monoid

Let  $R$  be an **order** in an étale  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $K$ .

- Recall: for **fractional  $R$ -ideals**  $I$  and  $J$

$$I \simeq_R J \iff \exists x \in K^\times \text{ s.t. } xI = J$$

- We have

$$\mathrm{ICM}(R) \supseteq \mathrm{Pic}(R) = \{\text{invertible fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R$$

with equality  $\Updownarrow$  iff  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$

- ...and actually

$$\mathrm{ICM}(R) \supseteq \bigsqcup_{\substack{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{over-orders}}} \mathrm{Pic}(S) \quad \text{with equality iff } R \text{ is Bass}$$

- Hofmann-Sircana '19: computation of over-orders.



## simplify the problem

Study the isomorphism problem locally: (Dade, Taussky, Zassenhaus '62)

## simplify the problem

Study the isomorphism problem locally: (Dade, Taussky, Zassenhaus '62)

- **weak equivalence:**

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for every } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{mSpec}(R)$$

## simplify the problem

Study the isomorphism problem locally: (Dade, Taussky, Zassenhaus '62)

- **weak equivalence:**

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for every } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{mSpec}(R)$$



$$1 \in (I : J)(J : I) \quad \text{easy to check!}$$

## simplify the problem

Study the isomorphism problem locally: (Dade, Taussky, Zassenhaus '62)

- **weak equivalence:**

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for every } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{mSpec}(R)$$



$$1 \in (I : J)(J : I) \quad \text{easy to check!}$$

- Let  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  be the set of weak eq. classes...

## simplify the problem

Study the isomorphism problem locally: (Dade, Taussky, Zassenhaus '62)

- **weak equivalence:**

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for every } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{mSpec}(R)$$



$$1 \in (I : J)(J : I) \quad \text{easy to check!}$$

- Let  $\mathcal{W}(R)$  be the set of weak eq. classes...  
...whose representatives can be found in

$$\left\{ \text{sub-}R\text{-modules of } \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{f}_R \right\} \quad \text{finite! and most of the time not-too-big ...}$$

where  $\mathfrak{f}_R = (R : \mathcal{O}_K)$  is the conductor of  $R$ .

# Compute $ICM(R)$

# Compute $\text{ICM}(R)$

Partition w.r.t. the multiplier ring:

$$\mathcal{W}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{W}_S(R)$$

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \text{ICM}_S(R)$$

# Compute $\text{ICM}(R)$

Partition w.r.t. the multiplier ring:

$$\mathcal{W}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{W}_S(R)$$

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \text{ICM}_S(R)$$

the “pedix”  $-_S$  means  
“only classes with multiplier ring  $S$ ”



# Compute $\text{ICM}(R)$

Partition w.r.t. the multiplier ring:

$$\mathcal{W}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{W}_S(R)$$

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \text{ICM}_S(R)$$

the “pedix”  $-_S$  means  
“only classes with multiplier ring  $S$ ”

## Theorem (M.)

For every over-order  $S$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Pic}(S)$  acts *freely* on  $\text{ICM}_S(R)$  and

$$\mathcal{W}_S(R) = \text{ICM}_S(R) / \text{Pic}(S)$$

# Compute $\text{ICM}(R)$

Partition w.r.t. the multiplier ring:

$$\mathcal{W}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{W}_S(R)$$

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \text{ICM}_S(R)$$

the “pedix”  $-_S$  means  
“only classes with multiplier ring  $S$ ”

## Theorem (M.)

For every over-order  $S$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Pic}(S)$  acts *freely* on  $\text{ICM}_S(R)$  and

$$\mathcal{W}_S(R) = \text{ICM}_S(R) / \text{Pic}(S)$$

Repeat for every  $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ :

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{ICM}(R).$$

# To sum up:

- To sum up:

## To sum up:

- To sum up:
- Given a **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h \dots$

## To sum up:

- To sum up:
- Given a **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  ...
- ...  $\rightsquigarrow$  algorithm to **compute the isomorphism classes** of AVs in  $\mathcal{C}_h$ .

## To sum up:

- To sum up:
- Given a **ordinary squarefree**  $q$ -Weil polynomial  $h$  ...
- ...  $\rightsquigarrow$  algorithm to **compute the isomorphism classes** of AVs in  $\mathcal{C}_h$ .
- We can actually get a lot more!

# Dual varieties and Polarizations

Howe described **dual** varieties and **polarizations** on Deligne modules.

# Dual varieties and Polarizations

Howe described **dual** varieties and **polarizations** on Deligne modules.

## Theorem

*Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  ordinary and squarefree. If  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then:*



# Dual varieties and Polarizations

Howe described **dual** varieties and **polarizations** on Deligne modules.

## Theorem

*Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  ordinary and squarefree. If  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then:*

- $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t := \{\bar{x} \in K : \text{Tr}(xI) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$

# Dual varieties and Polarizations

Howe described **dual** varieties and **polarizations** on Deligne modules.

## Theorem

Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  ordinary and squarefree. If  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then:

- $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t := \{\bar{x} \in K : \text{Tr}(xI) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is **totally imaginary** ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );
  - $\lambda$  is  $\Phi$ -positive, where  $\Phi$  is a CM-type of  $K$  satisf. the **Shimura-Taniyama** formula.

## Dual varieties and Polarizations

Howe described **dual** varieties and **polarizations** on Deligne modules.

### Theorem

Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  ordinary and squarefree. If  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then:

- $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t := \{\bar{x} \in K : \text{Tr}(xI) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is **totally imaginary** ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );
  - $\lambda$  is  $\Phi$ -positive, where  $\Phi$  is a CM-type of  $K$  satisf. the **Shimura-Taniyama** formula.

Also:  $\deg \mu = [\bar{I}^t : \lambda I].$

# Dual varieties and Polarizations

Howe described **dual** varieties and **polarizations** on Deligne modules.

## Theorem

Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  ordinary and squarefree. If  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then:

- $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t := \{\bar{x} \in K : \text{Tr}(xI) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is **totally imaginary** ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );
  - $\lambda$  is  $\Phi$ -positive, where  $\Phi$  is a CM-type of  $K$  satisf. the **Shimura-Taniyama** formula.

Also:  $\deg \mu = [\bar{I}^t : \lambda I].$

- if  $(A, \mu) \leftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$  is a princ. polarized ab. var. and  $S = (I : I)$  then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-isomorphic princ.} \\ \text{polarizations of } A \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\{\text{totally positive } u \in S^\times\}}{\{\bar{v}v : v \in S^\times\}},$$

# Dual varieties and Polarizations

Howe described **dual** varieties and **polarizations** on Deligne modules.

## Theorem

Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  ordinary and squarefree. If  $A \leftrightarrow I$ , then:

- $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t := \{\bar{x} \in K : \text{Tr}(xI) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- a polarization  $\mu$  of  $A$  corresponds to a  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that
  - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$  (isogeny);
  - $\lambda$  is **totally imaginary** ( $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$ );
  - $\lambda$  is  $\Phi$ -positive, where  $\Phi$  is a CM-type of  $K$  satisf. the **Shimura-Taniyama** formula.

Also:  $\deg \mu = [\bar{I}^t : \lambda I].$

- if  $(A, \mu) \leftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$  is a princ. polarized ab. var. and  $S = (I : I)$  then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-isomorphic princ.} \\ \text{polarizations of } A \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\{\text{totally positive } u \in S^\times\}}{\{\bar{v}v : v \in S^\times\}},$$

- and  $\text{Aut}(A, \mu) = \{\text{torsion units of } S\}.$

# Principal Polarizations

We have an **algorithm** to enumerate principal polarizations up to isomorphism:

# Principal Polarizations

We have an **algorithm** to enumerate principal polarizations up to isomorphism:

- 1 Compute  $i_0$  such that  $i_0 I = \bar{I}^t$ .

# Principal Polarizations

We have an **algorithm** to enumerate principal polarizations up to isomorphism:

- 1 Compute  $i_0$  such that  $i_0 I = \bar{I}^t$ .
- 2 Loop over the representatives  $u$  of the finite quotient

$$\frac{S^\times}{\{v\bar{v} : v \in S^\times\}}.$$



# Principal Polarizations

We have an **algorithm** to enumerate principal polarizations up to isomorphism:

- 1 Compute  $i_0$  such that  $i_0 I = \bar{I}^t$ .
- 2 Loop over the representatives  $u$  of the finite quotient

$$\frac{S^\times}{\{v\bar{v} : v \in S^\times\}}.$$

- 3 If  $\lambda := i_0 u$  is totally imaginary and  $\Phi$ -positive ...

# Principal Polarizations

We have an **algorithm** to enumerate principal polarizations up to isomorphism:

- 1 Compute  $i_0$  such that  $i_0 I = \bar{I}^t$ .
- 2 Loop over the representatives  $u$  of the finite quotient

$$\frac{S^\times}{\{v\bar{v} : v \in S^\times\}}.$$

- 3 If  $\lambda := i_0 u$  is totally imaginary and  $\Phi$ -positive ...
- 4 ... then we have one principal polarization.

# Principal Polarizations

We have an **algorithm** to enumerate principal polarizations up to isomorphism:

- 1 Compute  $i_0$  such that  $i_0 I = \bar{I}^t$ .
- 2 Loop over the representatives  $u$  of the finite quotient

$$\frac{S^\times}{\{v\bar{v} : v \in S^\times\}}.$$

- 3 If  $\lambda := i_0 u$  is totally imaginary and  $\Phi$ -positive ...
- 4 ... then we have one principal polarization.
- 5 By the previous Theorem, we have all princ. polarizations up to isom.

# Principal Polarizations

We have an **algorithm** to enumerate principal polarizations up to isomorphism:

- 1 Compute  $i_0$  such that  $i_0 I = \bar{I}^t$ .
- 2 Loop over the representatives  $u$  of the finite quotient

$$\frac{S^\times}{\{v\bar{v} : v \in S^\times\}}.$$

- 3 If  $\lambda := i_0 u$  is totally imaginary and  $\Phi$ -positive ...
- 4 ... then we have one principal polarization.
- 5 By the previous Theorem, we have all princ. polarizations up to isom.

Can modify to compute polarizations of any degree.

## Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .

## Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4.

## Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4.
- Let  $F$  be a root of  $h(x)$  and put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$ .

## Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4.
- Let  $F$  be a root of  $h(x)$  and put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$ .
- 8 over-orders of  $R$ : two of them are not Gorenstein.



# Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4.
- Let  $F$  be a root of  $h(x)$  and put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$ .
- 8 over-orders of  $R$ : two of them are not Gorenstein.
- $\#\text{ICM}(R) = 18 \rightsquigarrow 18$  isom. classes of AV in the isogeny class.

## Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4.
- Let  $F$  be a root of  $h(x)$  and put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$ .
- 8 over-orders of  $R$ : two of them are not Gorenstein.
- $\#\text{ICM}(R) = 18 \rightsquigarrow 18$  isom. classes of AV in the isogeny class.
- 5 are not invertible in their multiplier ring.

## Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4.
- Let  $F$  be a root of  $h(x)$  and put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$ .
- 8 over-orders of  $R$ : two of them are not Gorenstein.
- $\#\text{ICM}(R) = 18 \rightsquigarrow 18$  isom. classes of AV in the isogeny class.
- 5 are not invertible in their multiplier ring.
- 8 classes admit principal polarizations.

## Example

- Let  $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  of dimension 4.
- Let  $F$  be a root of  $h(x)$  and put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$ .
- 8 over-orders of  $R$ : two of them are not Gorenstein.
- $\#\text{ICM}(R) = 18 \rightsquigarrow 18$  isom. classes of AV in the isogeny class.
- 5 are not invertible in their multiplier ring.
- 8 classes admit principal polarizations.
- 10 isomorphism classes of princ. polarized AV.

## Example

Concretely:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = & 2645633792595191\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F + 836920075614551)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2 + 1474295643839839)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus (F^3 + 1372829830503387)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4 + 1072904687510)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5 + F^4 + F^3 + 2F^2 + 2F + 6704806986143610)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{9}(F^6 + F^5 + F^4 + 8F^3 + 2F^2 + 2991665243621169)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{27}(F^7 + F^6 + F^5 + 17F^4 + 20F^3 + 9F^2 + 68015312518722201)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

principal polarizations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,1} = & \frac{1}{27}(-121922F^7 + 588604F^6 - 1422437F^5 + \\ & + 1464239F^4 + 1196576F^3 - 7570722F^2 + 15316479F - 12821193) \\ x_{1,2} = & \frac{1}{27}(3015467F^7 - 17689816F^6 + 35965592F^5 - \\ & - 64660346F^4 + 121230619F^3 - 191117052F^2 + 315021546F - 300025458) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{End}(I_1) = R$$

$$\# \text{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,1}) = \# \text{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,2}) = 2$$

## Example

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 = & 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^3+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5+F^4+F^3+2F^2+2F+3)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{36}(F^6+F^5+10F^4+26F^3+2F^2+27F+45)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{216}(F^7+4F^6+49F^5+200F^4+116F^3+105F^2+198F+351)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

principal polarization:

$$x_{7,1} = \frac{1}{54}(20F^7 - 43F^6 + 155F^5 - 308F^4 + 580F^3 - 1116F^2 + 2205F - 1809)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(I_7) = & \mathbb{Z} \oplus F\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^2\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^3\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5+F^4+F^3+2F^2+2F)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{18}(F^6+F^5+10F^4+8F^3+2F^2+9F+9)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{108}(F^7+4F^6+13F^5+56F^4+80F^3+33F^2+18F+27)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\# \text{Aut}(I_7, x_{7,1}) = 2$$

$I_1$  is invertible in  $R$ , but  $I_7$  is not invertible in  $\text{End}(I_7)$ .

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.
- Every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  is  $A \sim B^r$  for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .



# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.
- Every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  is  $A \sim B^r$  for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .
- Put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K_g := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.
- Every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  is  $A \sim B^r$  for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .
- Put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K_g := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Under these assumption, Deligne's theorem induces:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} \longleftrightarrow \{R\text{-modules } M \subseteq K_g^r\}.$$

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.
- Every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  is  $A \sim B^r$  for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .
- Put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K_g := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Under these assumption, Deligne's theorem induces:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} \longleftrightarrow \{R\text{-modules } M \subseteq K_g^r\}.$$

- Recall: an order  $R$  is **Bass** if all its over-orders  $S$  are **Gorenstein**, ...

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.
- Every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  is  $A \sim B^r$  for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .
- Put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K_g := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Under these assumption, Deligne's theorem induces:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} \longleftrightarrow \{R\text{-modules } M \subseteq K_g^r\}.$$

- Recall: an order  $R$  is **Bass** if all its over-orders  $S$  are **Gorenstein**, ...
- ... or equivalently  $\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_S \text{Pic}(S)$ .

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.
- Every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  is  $A \sim B^r$  for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .
- Put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K_g := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Under these assumption, Deligne's theorem induces:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} \longleftrightarrow \{R\text{-modules } M \subseteq K_g^r\}.$$

- Recall: an order  $R$  is **Bass** if all its over-orders  $S$  are **Gorenstein**, ...
- ... or equivalently  $\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_S \text{Pic}(S)$ .
- Eg: quadratic orders are Bass  $\rightsquigarrow$  powers of ordinary elliptic curves  $E^r$ .

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

- Another case we understand well:  $h = g^r$  for  $g$  square-free and ordinary.
- Every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  is  $A \sim B^r$  for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .
- Put  $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subset K_g := \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Under these assumption, Deligne's theorem induces:

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} \longleftrightarrow \{R\text{-modules } M \subseteq K_g^r\}.$$

- Recall: an order  $R$  is **Bass** if all its over-orders  $S$  are **Gorenstein**, ...
- ... or equivalently  $\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_S \text{Pic}(S)$ .
- Eg: quadratic orders are Bass  $\rightsquigarrow$  powers of ordinary elliptic curves  $E^r$ .
- If  $R$  is Bass, then  $M$  is isomorphic to a **direct sum** of  $\text{frac.}R$ -ideals.

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

## Theorem

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} / \simeq \longleftrightarrow \{I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r : I_j \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal}\} / \simeq$$

*we have a classification:*

$$\longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_j \text{ orders,} \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$$

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

## Theorem

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} / \simeq \longleftrightarrow \{I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r : I_j \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal}\} / \simeq$$

*we have a classification:*

$$\longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_j \text{ orders,} \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$$

## Corollary

If  $A \in \mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  then  $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$ , for  $C_j \in \mathcal{C}_g$ .



# The Power-of-a-Bass case

## Theorem

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} / \simeq \longleftrightarrow \{I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r : I_j \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal}\} / \simeq$$

*we have a classification:*  $\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_j \text{ orders,} \\ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I] \simeq) : I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

## Corollary

If  $A \in \mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  then  $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$ , for  $C_j \in \mathcal{C}_g$ . *everything is a product!*

- Howe's results on polarizations carry over ...

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

## Theorem

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} / \simeq \longleftrightarrow \{I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r : I_j \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal}\} / \simeq$$

*we have a classification:*  $\longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_j \text{ orders,} \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

## Corollary

If  $A \in \mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  then  $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$ , for  $C_j \in \mathcal{C}_g$ . *everything is a product!*

- Howe's results on polarizations carry over ...
- ... but computing them in general is harder!

# The Power-of-a-Bass case

## Theorem

$$\{\text{abelian varieties in } \mathcal{C}_{g^r}\} / \simeq \longleftrightarrow \{I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r : I_j \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal}\} / \simeq$$

*we have a classification:*  $\longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_j \text{ orders,} \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

## Corollary

If  $A \in \mathcal{C}_{g^r}$  then  $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$ , for  $C_j \in \mathcal{C}_g$ . *everything is a product!*

- Howe's results on polarizations carry over ...
- ... but computing them in general is harder!
- Solved for  $E^r$  by Kirschmer-Narbonne-Ritzenthaler-Robert '20.

next  
talk!

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ . Note  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ .

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ . Note  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ . The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass.

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ . Note  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Define  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ . The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass. Observe

$$\mathrm{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let  $I$  be a representatives of a generator of  $\mathrm{Pic}(R)$ .

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ . Note  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Define

$K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ . The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass. Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let  $I$  be a representatives of a generator of  $\text{Pic}(R)$ . We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in  $\mathcal{C}(g^3)$ :

$$M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R$$

$$M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I$$

$$M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2$$

$$M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

## Example

Let  $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$ . Note  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Define

$K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ . The only over-order of  $R$  is the maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  and, since  $R$  is Gorenstein  $R$  is Bass. Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let  $I$  be a representatives of a generator of  $\text{Pic}(R)$ . We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in  $\mathcal{C}(g^3)$ :

$$M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R$$

$$M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I$$

$$M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2$$

$$M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$\text{End}(M_1) = \text{Mat}_3(R) \text{ and } \text{End}(M_2) = \begin{pmatrix} R & R & I \\ R & R & I \\ (R:I) & (R:I) & R \end{pmatrix}$$



# Outside of the ordinary...

# Outside of the ordinary...

## Theorem (Centeleghe-Stix '15)

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{abelian varieties } A \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_p \text{ with } h_A(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0\} & & A \\ \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{p} \\ - \text{char}_F(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0 \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = p \end{array} \right\} & & (T(A), F(A)) \end{array}$$

# Outside of the ordinary...

## Theorem (Centeleghe-Stix '15)

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{\text{abelian varieties } A \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_p \text{ with } h_A(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0\} & & A \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{p} \\ - \text{char}_F(\sqrt{p}) \neq 0 \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = p \end{array} \right\} & & (T(A), F(A))
 \end{array}$$

- Now,  $T(A) := \text{Hom}(A, A_w)$ , where  $A_w$  has minimal End among the varieties with Weil support  $w = w(A)$ .
- $F(A)$  is the induced Frobenius.

## Outside of the ordinary...isomorphism classes

- Everything I told so far about **isomorphism classes** works in the **same way** using the Centeleghe-Stix functor:
- both in the squarefree and Power-of-Bass cases, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

## Outside of the ordinary...isomorphism classes

- Everything I told so far about **isomorphism classes** works in the **same way** using the Centeleghe-Stix functor:
- both in the squarefree and Power-of-Bass cases, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (There are there are other functors:

# Outside of the ordinary...isomorphism classes

- Everything I told so far about **isomorphism classes** works in the **same way** using the Centeleghe-Stix functor:
- both in the squarefree and Power-of-Bass cases, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (There are there are other functors:  
Oswal-Shankar for almost-ordinary abelian varieties, together with generalizations by Bergström-Karemaker-M.;

# Outside of the ordinary...isomorphism classes

- Everything I told so far about [isomorphism classes](#) works in the [same way](#) using the Centeleghe-Stix functor:
- both in the squarefree and Power-of-Bass cases, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (There are there are other functors:  
Oswal-Shankar for almost-ordinary abelian varieties, together with generalizations by Bergström-Karemaker-M.;  
Serre and the work of Kani, Jordan-Keeton-Poonen-Rains-Shepherd-Barron-Tate for  $E^r$ ; [Isabel Vogt's talk](#)

# Outside of the ordinary...isomorphism classes

- Everything I told so far about [isomorphism classes](#) works in the [same way](#) using the Centeleghe-Stix functor:
- both in the squarefree and Power-of-Bass cases, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (There are there are other functors:  
Oswal-Shankar for almost-ordinary abelian varieties, together with generalizations by Bergström-Karemaker-M.;  
Serre and the work of Kani, Jordan-Keeton-Poonen-Rains-Shepherd-Barron-Tate for  $E^r$ ; [Isabel Vogt's talk](#)  
... but we will not use them in this talk.)



# Outside of the ordinary...isomorphism classes

- Everything I told so far about **isomorphism classes** works in the **same way** using the Centeleghe-Stix functor:
- both in the squarefree and Power-of-Bass cases, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (There are there are other functors:  
Oswal-Shankar for almost-ordinary abelian varieties, together with generalizations by Bergström-Karemaker-M.;  
Serre and the work of Kani, Jordan-Keeton-Poonen-Rains-Shepherd-Barron-Tate for  $E^r$ ; **Isabel Vogt's talk**  
... but we will not use them in this talk.)
- For polarizations, the results by Howe do **not apply immediately** to the Centeleghe-Strix case:

# Outside of the ordinary...isomorphism classes

- Everything I told so far about **isomorphism classes** works in the **same way** using the Centeleghe-Stix functor:
- both in the squarefree and Power-of-Bass cases, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (There are there are other functors:  
Oswal-Shankar for almost-ordinary abelian varieties, together with generalizations by Bergström-Karemaker-M.;  
Serre and the work of Kani, Jordan-Keeton-Poonen-Rains-Shepherd-Barron-Tate for  $E^r$ ; **Isabel Vogt's talk**  
... but we will not use them in this talk.)
- For polarizations, the results by Howe do **not apply immediately** to the Centeleghe-Strix case:
- in general we **cannot** lift canonically **each** abelian variety.

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

- New strategy: with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker '21.

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

- New strategy: with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker '21.
- Consider  $\mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  squarefree  $/\mathbb{F}_q \rightsquigarrow K = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

- New strategy: with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker '21.
- Consider  $\mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  squarefree  $/\mathbb{F}_q \rightsquigarrow K = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Chai-Conrad-Oort: A ( $p$ -adic) CM-type  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies the **Residual Reflex Condition** if:

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

- New strategy: with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker '21.
- Consider  $\mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  squarefree  $/\mathbb{F}_q \rightsquigarrow K = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Chai-Conrad-Oort: A ( $p$ -adic) CM-type  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies the **Residual Reflex Condition** if:
  - ① the [Shimura-Taniyama formula](#) holds for  $\Phi$ .

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

- New strategy: with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker '21.
- Consider  $\mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  squarefree  $/\mathbb{F}_q \rightsquigarrow K = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Chai-Conrad-Oort: A ( $p$ -adic) CM-type  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies the **Residual Reflex Condition** if:
  1. the [Shimura-Taniyama formula](#) holds for  $\Phi$ .
  2. the residual field  $k_E$  of the reflex field  $E$  of  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies:  $k_E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ .

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

- New strategy: with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker '21.
- Consider  $\mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  squarefree  $/\mathbb{F}_q \rightsquigarrow K = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Chai-Conrad-Oort: A ( $p$ -adic) CM-type  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies the **Residual Reflex Condition** if:
  1. the **Shimura-Taniyama formula** holds for  $\Phi$ .
  2. the residual field  $k_E$  of the reflex field  $E$  of  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies:  $k_E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ .

## Theorem (Chai-Conrad-Oort)

*If  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies the RRC then in  $\mathcal{C}_h$  there exists an abelian variety  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .*



# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

- New strategy: with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker '21.
- Consider  $\mathcal{C}_h$  with  $h$  squarefree  $/\mathbb{F}_q \rightsquigarrow K = \mathbb{Q}[F]$ .
- Chai-Conrad-Oort: A ( $p$ -adic) CM-type  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies the **Residual Reflex Condition** if:
  1. the **Shimura-Taniyama formula** holds for  $\Phi$ .
  2. the residual field  $k_E$  of the reflex field  $E$  of  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies:  $k_E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ .

## Theorem (Chai-Conrad-Oort)

*If  $(K, \Phi)$  satisfies the RRC then in  $\mathcal{C}_h$  there exists an abelian variety  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- If we understand the polarizations of  $A$  we can 'spread' them to the whole isogeny class.

## Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & & \\ \downarrow \text{red} & \searrow \text{complex unif.} & \\ \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & & (\bar{I}^t : I) \end{array}$$

## Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & & \\ \downarrow \text{red} \swarrow \text{complex unif.} & & \\ \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & & (\bar{I}^t : I) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \simeq \alpha \\ (\mathcal{G}(A) : \mathcal{G}(A^\vee)) & \equiv & (\bar{I}^t : I) \end{array}$$

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
 Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an isogeny.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & \\
 & \downarrow \text{red} & \searrow \text{complex unif.} \\
 \text{Hom}(B, B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{f^* := f^\vee \circ - \circ f} \text{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & (\bar{I}^t : I) \\
 & \downarrow \mathcal{G} & \downarrow \simeq \alpha \\
 & (\mathcal{G}(A) : \mathcal{G}(A^\vee)) & = (\bar{I}^t : I)
 \end{array}$$

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
 Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an isogeny.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{red} & \searrow \text{complex unif.} & \\
 \mathrm{Hom}(B, B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{f^* := f^\vee \circ - \circ f} & \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & & (\bar{I}^t : I) \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \simeq \alpha \\
 (\mathcal{G}(B) : \mathcal{G}(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f^*)} & (\mathcal{G}(A) : \mathcal{G}(A^\vee)) & = & (\bar{I}^t : I)
 \end{array}$$

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
 Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an isogeny.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{red} & \searrow \text{complex unif.} & \\
 \mathrm{Hom}(B, B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{f^* := f^\vee \circ - \circ f} & \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & & (\bar{l}^t : l) \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \simeq \alpha \\
 (\mathcal{G}(B) : \mathcal{G}(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f^*)} & (\mathcal{G}(A) : \mathcal{G}(A^\vee)) & = & (\bar{l}^t : l)
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}(f^*)$  is multiplication by the totally positive element  $\overline{\mathcal{G}(f)}\mathcal{G}(f)$ :

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
 Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an isogeny.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{red} & \searrow \text{complex unif.} & \\
 \mathrm{Hom}(B, B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{f^* := f^\vee \circ - \circ f} & \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & & (\bar{I}^t : I) \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \simeq \alpha \\
 (\mathcal{G}(B) : \mathcal{G}(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f^*)} & (\mathcal{G}(A) : \mathcal{G}(A^\vee)) & = & (\bar{I}^t : I)
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}(f^*)$  is multiplication by the totally positive element  $\overline{\mathcal{G}(f)}\mathcal{G}(f)$ :  
 it sends totally imaginary elements to totally imaginary elements and  
 $\Phi$ -positive elements to  $\Phi$ -positive elements.



# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
 Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an isogeny.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{red} & \searrow \text{complex unif.} & \\
 \text{Hom}(B, B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{f^* := f^\vee \circ - \circ f} & \text{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & & (\bar{I}^t : I) \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \simeq \alpha \\
 (\mathcal{G}(B) : \mathcal{G}(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f^*)} & (\mathcal{G}(A) : \mathcal{G}(A^\vee)) & = & (\bar{I}^t : I)
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}(f^*)$  is multiplication by the totally positive element  $\overline{\mathcal{G}(f)}\mathcal{G}(f)$ :  
 it sends totally imaginary elements to totally imaginary elements and  
 $\Phi$ -positive elements to  $\Phi$ -positive elements. The only 'issue' is the  $\alpha$ .

# Outside of the ordinary...polarizations

Now  $\mathcal{C}_h$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ : let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Centeleghe-Stix functor.  
 Assume that there exists  $A$  admitting a canonical lifting  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be an isogeny.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\vee) & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{red} & \searrow \text{complex unif.} & \\
 \mathrm{Hom}(B, B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{f^* := f^\vee \circ - \circ f} & \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\vee) & & (\bar{I}^t : I) \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \simeq \alpha \\
 (\mathcal{G}(B) : \mathcal{G}(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f^*)} & (\mathcal{G}(A) : \mathcal{G}(A^\vee)) & = & (\bar{I}^t : I)
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}(f^*)$  is multiplication by the totally positive element  $\overline{\mathcal{G}(f)}\mathcal{G}(f)$ :  
 it sends totally imaginary elements to totally imaginary elements and  
 $\Phi$ -positive elements to  $\Phi$ -positive elements. The only 'issue' is the  $\alpha$ .  
 We study when we can 'pretend'  $\alpha = 1$ .

# Final remarks

- Base field extensions and **twists** (ordinary case).

## Final remarks

- Base field extensions and **twists** (ordinary case).
- **Period matrices** of the canonical lift (ordinary case).

## Final remarks

- Base field extensions and **twists** (ordinary case).
- **Period matrices** of the canonical lift (ordinary case).
- with Caleb Springer '21, building on Howe-Kedlaya '21, we proved that every finite abelian group occur as the **group of points** of an ordinary AV over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . [check Wanlin Li's talk!](#)

## Final remarks

- Base field extensions and **twists** (ordinary case).
- **Period matrices** of the canonical lift (ordinary case).
- with Caleb Springer '21, building on Howe-Kedlaya '21, we proved that every finite abelian group occur as the **group of points** of an ordinary AV over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . [check Wanlin Li's talk!](#)
- Magma implementations of the algorithms are on GitHub!

## Final remarks

- Base field extensions and **twists** (ordinary case).
- **Period matrices** of the canonical lift (ordinary case).
- with Caleb Springer '21, building on Howe-Kedlaya '21, we proved that every finite abelian group occur as the **group of points** of an ordinary AV over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . [check Wanlin Li's talk!](#)
- Magma implementations of the algorithms are on GitHub!
- Results of computations will appear on the LMFDB.

Thank you!