

Abelian varieties over finite fields isogenous to a power

Marseglia Stefano

MPIM-Bonn/Stockholms University

06 December 2018

Séminaire "Arithmétique et géométrie algébrique"

IRMA - Strasbourg

Introduction

Today's plan:

- Introduction.
- AV A isogenous to B^r , for B ordinary square-free defined over \mathbb{F}_q .
- Isomorphism classes.
- Polarizations.
- Computations of polarizations and period matrices ($r = 1$).

Also, all **morphisms** are defined **over the field of definition**!

Abelian varieties (\mathbb{C} vs \mathbb{F}_q)

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field** \mathbb{F}_q .

Abelian varieties (\mathbb{C} vs \mathbb{F}_q)

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field** \mathbb{F}_q .
- in dimension $g > 1$ it is not easy to produce equations.

Abelian varieties (\mathbb{C} vs \mathbb{F}_q)

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field** \mathbb{F}_q .
- in dimension $g > 1$ it is not easy to produce equations.
- for $g > 3$ it is not enough to consider Jacobians (for PPAV's).

Abelian varieties (\mathbb{C} vs \mathbb{F}_q)

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field** \mathbb{F}_q .
- in dimension $g > 1$ it is not easy to produce equations.
- for $g > 3$ it is not enough to consider Jacobians (for PPAV's).
- over \mathbb{C} :

$$\{\text{abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C}\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}^g / L \text{ with } L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \\ + \text{ Riemann form} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Abelian varieties (\mathbb{C} vs \mathbb{F}_q)

- Goal: compute **isomorphism classes** of abelian varieties over a **finite field** \mathbb{F}_q .
- in dimension $g > 1$ it is not easy to produce equations.
- for $g > 3$ it is not enough to consider Jacobians (for PPAV's).
- over \mathbb{C} :

$$\{\text{abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C}\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}^g / L \text{ with } L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \\ + \text{ Riemann form} \end{array} \right\}.$$

- in positive characteristic we don't have such equivalence (on the whole category).

Isogeny classes

Recall

- for an abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

Isogeny classes

Recall

- for an abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If h_A is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_l A$, for some $l \neq p$) then

Isogeny classes

Recall

- for an abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If h_A is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_l A$, for some $l \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial

Isogeny classes

Recall

- for an abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If h_A is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_l A$, for some $l \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$

Isogeny classes

Recall

- for an abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If h_A is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_l A$, for some $l \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
 - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$.

Isogeny classes

Recall

- for an abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q there are simple B_i and positive integers e_i s.t.

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} B_1^{e_1} \times \dots \times B_s^{e_s} \quad \text{Poincaré decomposition}$$

- If h_A is the **characteristic polynomial** of Frobenius π_A (acting on $T_l A$, for some $l \neq p$) then
 - $h_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and roots of size \sqrt{q} q-Weil polynomial
 - $h_A = h_{B_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{B_s}^{e_s}$
 - $\deg h_A = 2 \dim A$.

Theorem (Honda-Tate)

There is a bijection between the set of simple abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q up to isogeny and the set of q-Weil numbers up to conjugacy.

Ordinary AV

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension g is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

Ordinary AV

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension g is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(a) $A[p](\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\right)^g$ (i.e. the max possible)

Ordinary AV

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension g is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (a) $A[p](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\right)^g$ (i.e. the max possible)
- (b) exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p -adic units

Ordinary AV

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension g is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (a) $A[p](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\right)^g$ (i.e. the max possible)
- (b) exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p -adic units
- (c) the mid-coefficient of h_A is coprime with p

Ordinary AV

An abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension g is called **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (a) $A[p](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\right)^g$ (i.e. the max possible)
- (b) exactly half of the roots of h_A over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p -adic units
- (c) the mid-coefficient of h_A is coprime with p

Proposition

For B ordinary over \mathbb{F}_q :

$$h_B \text{ is irreducible} \iff B \text{ is simple}$$

Deligne's equivalence

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let $q = p^d$, with p a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:

$$AV^{ord}(q) := \{ \textbf{Ordinary abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \}$$

Deligne's equivalence

Theorem (Deligne '69)

Let $q = p^d$, with p a prime. There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\begin{array}{c} AV^{ord}(q) := \{ \textbf{Ordinary} \text{ abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q \} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}^{ord}(q) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (T, F), \text{ where } T \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \text{ and } T \xrightarrow{F} T \text{ s.t.} \\ - F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is semisimple} \\ - \text{the roots of } \text{char}_{F \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(x) \text{ have abs. value } \sqrt{q} \\ - \text{half of them are } p\text{-adic units} \\ - \exists V : T \rightarrow T \text{ such that } FV = VF = q \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

Deligne's equivalence - the functor

- fix an embedding of $\varepsilon : W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- take $A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q)$
- let A' be the canonical lift of A to W
- put $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A' \otimes_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}$
- finally, let $T(A) := H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$
- the construction is functorial: Frobenius $\pi(A) \rightsquigarrow F(A)$.

Deligne's equivalence - the functor

- fix an embedding of $\varepsilon : W = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- take $A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q)$
- let A' be the canonical lift of A to W
- put $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A' \otimes_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}$
- finally, let $T(A) := H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$
- the construction is functorial: Frobenius $\pi(A) \rightsquigarrow F(A)$.

Observe if $\dim(A) = g$ then $\text{Rank}(T(A)) = 2g$;

AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let g be a q -Weil polynomial which is ordinary and square-free

AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let g be a q -Weil polynomial which is **ordinary** and **square-free**

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \left\{ A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q) : h_A = g^r \right\}$$

AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let g be a q -Weil polynomial which is **ordinary** and **square-free**

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \left\{ A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q) : h_A = g^r \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(g^r) := \left\{ (T, F) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q) : \text{char}_F = g^r \right\}.$$

AV isogenous to a power

Today's setup:

let g be a q -Weil polynomial which is **ordinary** and **square-free**

Put

$$AV(g^r) := \left\{ A \in AV^{\text{ord}}(q) : h_A = g^r \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(g^r) := \left\{ (T, F) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q) : \text{char}_F = g^r \right\}.$$

Observe: if $A \in AV(g^r)$ then

$$A \sim (B_1 \times \dots \times B_s)^r$$

with

$$g = h_{B_1 \times \dots \times B_s}$$

Main theorem

Consider the CM étale \mathbb{Q} -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x] / \textcolor{green}{g} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$

Main theorem

Consider the CM étale \mathbb{Q} -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x] \big/_{\substack{g}} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$

and the order in K given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V], \quad \text{where } V = q/F = \overline{F}$$

Main theorem

Consider the CM étale \mathbb{Q} -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x] \big/_{\substack{g}} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$

and the order in K given by

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V], \quad \text{where } V = q/F = \overline{F}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{B}(g^r) := \{\text{fin. gen. torsion-free } R\text{-modules } M \text{ s.t. } M \otimes_R K \simeq K^r\}$$

Main theorem

Consider the CM étale \mathbb{Q} -algebra

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[F] = \mathbb{Q}[x] / \textcolor{green}{g} \quad \text{where } F = x \bmod g$$

and the order in K given by

$$\textcolor{red}{R} = \mathbb{Z}[F, V], \quad \text{where } V = q/F = \overline{F}$$

Define

$$\textcolor{red}{\mathcal{B}}(g^r) := \{\text{fin. gen. torsion-free } R\text{-modules } M \text{ s.t. } M \otimes_R K \simeq K^r\}$$

Theorem (M.)

There are equivalences of categories

$$\mathrm{AV}(g^r) \xrightarrow{\text{Deligne}} \mathcal{M}(g^r) \longleftrightarrow \textcolor{red}{\mathcal{B}}(g^r)$$

The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an R -module M is **torsion-free** if the canonical morphism

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an R -module M is **torsion-free** if the canonical morphism

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

We can think of modules $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ as **embedded** in K^r .

The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$

Recall that an R -module M is **torsion-free** if the canonical morphism

$$M \rightarrow M \otimes_R K$$

is injective.

We can think of modules $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ as **embedded** in K^r .

The category $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ becomes more **explicit** and **computable** under certain assumption on the order R .

Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional R -ideal** I is a sub- R -module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R -ideal is **invertible** in R if $I(R : I) = R$.

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order R is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional R -ideal** I is a sub- R -module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R -ideal is **invertible** in R if $I(R : I) = R$.

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order R is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is Gorenstein.

Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional R -ideal** I is a sub- R -module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R -ideal is **invertible** in R if $I(R : I) = R$.

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order R is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is Gorenstein.
- every fractional R -ideal I is invertible in $(I : I)$.

Bass orders

Recall

- a **fractional R -ideal** I is a sub- R -module of K which is also a lattice
- a fractional R -ideal is **invertible** in R if $I(R : I) = R$.

Define

$$\text{ICM}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals}\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{ideal class monoid}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{\text{fractional } R\text{-ideals invertible in } R\} / \simeq_R \quad \text{Picard group}$$

An order R is called **Bass** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- every over-order $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is Gorenstein.
- every fractional R -ideal I is invertible in $(I : I)$.
- $\text{ICM}(R) = \bigsqcup_{R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \text{Pic}(S)$.

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order.

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are fractional R -ideals I_1, \dots, I_r such that

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r.$$

*everything is a direct sum
of fractional ideals*

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are fractional R -ideals I_1, \dots, I_r such that

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r. \quad \text{everything is a direct sum of fractional ideals}$$

Moreover, given $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r I_k$ and $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r J_k$ we have that

$$M \simeq_R M' \iff \begin{cases} (I_k : I_k) = (J_k : J_k) \text{ for every } k, \text{ and} \\ \prod_{k=1}^r I_k \simeq_R \prod_{k=1}^r J_k \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Theorem (Bass)

Assume that R is a Bass order. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are fractional R -ideals I_1, \dots, I_r such that

$$M \simeq_R I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r. \quad \text{everything is a direct sum of fractional ideals}$$

Moreover, given $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r I_k$ and $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r J_k$ we have that

$$M \simeq_R M' \iff \begin{cases} (I_k : I_k) = (J_k : J_k) \text{ for every } k, \text{ and} \\ \prod_{k=1}^r I_k \simeq_R \prod_{k=1}^r J_k \end{cases} \quad \text{generalization of Steinitz theory}$$

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a **simple description** of morphisms in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$.
For example, for M as above:

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a **simple description** of morphisms in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$.

For example, for M as above:

$$\mathrm{End}_R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : S_2) & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (S_1 : S_{r-1}) & (S_2 : S_{r-1}) & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : I) & (S_2 : I) & \dots & (S_{r-1} : I) & (I : I) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$\mathcal{B}(g^r)$ in the Bass case

Corollary

Assume that R is Bass. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ there are over orders $S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r$ of R and a fractional ideal I invertible in S_r such that

$$M \simeq S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{r-1} \oplus I$$

We have a **simple description** of morphisms in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$.

For example, for M as above:

$$\text{End}_R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : S_2) & S_2 & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (S_1 : S_{r-1}) & (S_2 : S_{r-1}) & \dots & S_{r-1} & I \\ (S_1 : I) & (S_2 : I) & \dots & (S_{r-1} : I) & (I : I) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{Aut}_R(M) = \{A \in \text{End}_R(M) \cap \text{GL}_r(K) : A^{-1} \in \text{End}_R(M)\}.$$

Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

Corollary

Assume $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is Bass. Then

Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

Corollary

Assume $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is Bass. Then

- $AV(g^r)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

Corollary

Assume $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is Bass. Then

- $AV(g^r)/\simeq \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$
- for every $A \in AV(g^r)$, say $A \sim B^r$ with $h_B = g$, there are $C_1, \dots, C_r \sim B$ such that $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$ everything is a product

Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

Corollary

Assume $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is Bass. Then

- $AV(g^r)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

- for every $A \in AV(g^r)$, say $A \sim B^r$ with $h_B = g$, there are $C_1, \dots, C_r \sim B$ such that $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$ everything is a product

- if $A \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k I_k$ and $B \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k J_k$

then $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \longleftrightarrow \Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(K)$ s.t. $\Lambda_{h,k} \in (J_h : I_k)$

Consequences for $AV(g^r)$

Corollary

Assume $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is Bass. Then

- $AV(g^r)/\simeq \longleftrightarrow \left\{ (S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r, [I]_{\simeq}) : \begin{array}{l} R \subseteq S_1, \\ I \text{ a frac. } R\text{-ideal} \\ \text{with } (I : I) = S_r \end{array} \right\}$

- for every $A \in AV(g^r)$, say $A \sim B^r$ with $h_B = g$, there are $C_1, \dots, C_r \sim B$ such that $A \simeq C_1 \times \dots \times C_r$ everything is a product

- if $A \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k I_k$ and $B \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_k J_k$

then $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \longleftrightarrow \Lambda \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(K)$ s.t. $\Lambda_{h,k} \in (J_h : I_k)$

Moreover, μ is an isogeny if and only if $\det(\Lambda) \in K^\times$

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $AV(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $AV(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $AV(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $AV(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $AV(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let I be a representatives of a generator of $\text{Pic}(R)$.

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $AV(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let I be a representatives of a generator of $\text{Pic}(R)$.

We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in $AV(g^3)$:

$$M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R$$

$$M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I$$

$$M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2$$

$$M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

Example

Let $g = x^6 - 3x^5 + 6x^4 - 10x^3 + 18x^2 - 27x + 27$.

Note $AV(g)$ is an isogeny class of simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Define $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(g) = \mathbb{Q}(F)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$.

The only over-order of R is the maximal order \mathcal{O}_K of K and, since R is Gorenstein R is Bass.

Observe

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}.$$

Let I be a representatives of a generator of $\text{Pic}(R)$.

We now list the representatives of the isomorphism classes in $AV(g^3)$:

$$M_1 = R \oplus R \oplus R$$

$$M_2 = R \oplus R \oplus I$$

$$M_3 = R \oplus R \oplus I^2$$

$$M_4 = R \oplus R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_5 = R \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$M_6 = \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K \oplus \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$\text{End}(M_1) = \text{Mat}_3(R) \text{ and } \text{End}(M_2) = \begin{pmatrix} R & R & I \\ R & R & I \\ (R:I) & (R:I) & R \end{pmatrix}$$

Dual modules

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\text{Tr}: K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$

Dual modules

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\text{Tr} : K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$.
Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M^t} = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Dual modules

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\text{Tr}: K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$
Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M^t} = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In particular if $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$ then $M^\vee = \bigoplus_k \overline{I_k}^t$.

Dual modules

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\text{Tr}: K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$.
Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M^t} = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In particular if $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$ then $M^\vee = \bigoplus_k \overline{I_k}^t$.

Proposition

If $\mu: A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{AV}(g^r)$ corresponds to $\Lambda: M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$,

Dual modules

Let $M \in \mathcal{B}(g^r)$ and let $\text{Tr}: K^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$.
Put

$$M^\vee := \overline{M^t} = \{\bar{x} \in K^r : \text{Tr}(xM) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In particular if $M = \bigoplus_k I_k$ then $M^\vee = \bigoplus_k \overline{I_k^t}$.

Proposition

If $\mu: A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{AV}(g^r)$ corresponds to $\Lambda: M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$, then $\mu^\vee: B^\vee \rightarrow A^\vee$ in $\text{AV}(g^r)$ corresponds to $\Lambda^\vee: N^\vee \rightarrow M^\vee$ in $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$, where

$$\Lambda^\vee := \overline{\Lambda}^T$$

"Proof": Howe (1995) described dual modules in $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q)$.

Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p -adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p -adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p -adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$.

Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p -adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$. Then μ is a **polarization** if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$, and
- for every a in K^r , the element $c = a^T \overline{\Lambda} \overline{a}$ is Φ -non-positive, that is $\text{Im}(\varphi(c)) \leq 0$ for every φ in Φ .

Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p -adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$. Then μ is a **polarization** if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$, and
- for every a in K^r , the element $c = a^T \overline{\Lambda} \overline{a}$ is Φ -non-positive, that is $\text{Im}(\varphi(c)) \leq 0$ for every φ in Φ .

We have $\deg \mu = [M^\vee : \Lambda M]$.

Polarizations

Fix

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v_p(\varphi(F)) > 0\}, \text{ tricky to compute!}$$

where v_p is the p -adic valuation induced by $\varepsilon : W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Observe that Φ is a **CM-type** of K since the isogeny class is ordinary.

Theorem

Let $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ in $AV(g^r)$ be an isogeny, corresponding to $\Lambda : M \rightarrow M^\vee$. Then μ is a **polarization** if and only if

- $\Lambda = -\overline{\Lambda}^T$, and
- for every a in K^r , the element $c = a^T \overline{\Lambda} \overline{a}$ is Φ -non-positive, that is $\text{Im}(\varphi(c)) \leq 0$ for every φ in Φ .

We have $\deg \mu = [M^\vee : \Lambda M]$.

"Proof": Howe (1995) put polarizations in Deligne's category $\mathcal{M}^{\text{ord}}(q)$. We translated this notion to $\mathcal{B}(g^r)$.

Automorphisms

Let (M, Λ) and (M', Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$.

Automorphisms

Let (M, Λ) and (M', Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$.

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

Automorphisms

Let (M, Λ) and (M', Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$.

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

Let $\text{Pol}(M)$ be the set of polarizations of M .

Automorphisms

Let (M, Λ) and (M', Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$.

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

Let $\text{Pol}(M)$ be the set of polarizations of M .

Theorem

There is a degree-preserving action of $\text{Aut}(M)$ on $\text{Pol}(M)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(M) \times \text{Pol}(M) &\longmapsto \text{Pol}(M) \\ (U, \Lambda) &\longmapsto U^\vee \Lambda U \end{aligned}$$

Automorphisms

Let (M, Λ) and (M', Λ') correspond to polarized variety in $AV(g^r)$.

A morphism of polarized abelian varieties is a map $\Psi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that

$$\Psi^\vee \Lambda' \Psi = \Lambda.$$

Let $\text{Pol}(M)$ be the set of polarizations of M .

Theorem

There is a degree-preserving action of $\text{Aut}(M)$ on $\text{Pol}(M)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(M) \times \text{Pol}(M) &\longrightarrow \text{Pol}(M) \\ (U, \Lambda) &\longmapsto U^\vee \Lambda U \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately

$\text{Pol}(M) / \text{Aut}(M)$ is hard to understand if $r \geq 2$

The case $r = 1$

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!



$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow ICM(R)$$

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!



$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$, and

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!

•

$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^\times$ such that

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!

•

$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^\times$ such that
 - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$ (isogeny);

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!



$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^\times$ such that
 - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$ (isogeny);
 - λ is totally imaginary ($\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$);

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!



$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^\times$ such that
 - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$ (isogeny);
 - λ is totally imaginary ($\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$);
 - λ is Φ -positive, where Φ is the CM-type of K . "coming from char p "

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!



$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^\times$ such that
 - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$ (isogeny);
 - λ is totally imaginary ($\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$);
 - λ is Φ -positive, where Φ is the CM-type of K . "coming from char p "

Also: $\deg \mu = [\bar{I}^t : \lambda I]$.

The case $r = 1$

We don't need R Bass now!



$$AV(g)_{/\simeq} \longleftrightarrow \text{ICM}(R)$$

- Concretely, if $A \leftrightarrow I$, then $A^\vee \leftrightarrow \bar{I}^t$, and
- a polarization μ of A corresponds to a $\lambda \in K^\times$ such that
 - $\lambda I \subseteq \bar{I}^t$ (isogeny);
 - λ is totally imaginary ($\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$);
 - λ is Φ -positive, where Φ is the CM-type of K . "coming from char p "

Also: $\deg \mu = [\bar{I}^t : \lambda I]$.

- if $(A, \mu) \leftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$ and $S = (I : I)$ then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-isomorphic} \\ \text{polarizations of } A \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\{\text{totally positive } u \in S^\times\}}{\{v\bar{v} : v \in S^\times\}}$$

and $\text{Aut}(A, \mu) = \{\text{torsion units of } S\}$

Example

- Let $h(x) = x^8 - 5x^7 + 13x^6 - 25x^5 + 44x^4 - 75x^3 + 117x^2 - 135x + 81$;
- \rightsquigarrow isogeny class of an simple ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 of dimension 4;
- Let F be a root of $h(x)$ and put $R := \mathbb{Z}[F, 3/F] \subset \mathbb{Q}(F)$;
- 8 over-orders of R : two of them are not Gorenstein;
- $\# \text{ICM}(R) = 18 \rightsquigarrow 18$ isom. classes of AV in the isogeny class;
- 5 are not invertible in their multiplier ring;
- 8 classes admit principal polarizations;
- 10 isomorphism classes of princ. polarized AV.

Example

Concretely:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = & 2645633792595191\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F + 836920075614551)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2 + 1474295643839839)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus (F^3 + 1372829830503387)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4 + 1072904687510)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5 + F^4 + F^3 + 2F^2 + 2F + 6704806986143610)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{9}(F^6 + F^5 + F^4 + 8F^3 + 2F^2 + 2991665243621169)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{27}(F^7 + F^6 + F^5 + 17F^4 + 20F^3 + 9F^2 + 68015312518722201)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

principal polarizations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,1} = & \frac{1}{27}(-121922F^7 + 588604F^6 - 1422437F^5 + \\ & + 1464239F^4 + 1196576F^3 - 7570722F^2 + 15316479F - 12821193) \\ x_{1,2} = & \frac{1}{27}(3015467F^7 - 17689816F^6 + 35965592F^5 - \\ & - 64660346F^4 + 121230619F^3 - 191117052F^2 + 315021546F - 300025458) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{End}(I_1) = R$$

$$\# \text{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,1}) = \# \text{Aut}(I_1, x_{1,2}) = 2$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} l_7 = & 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^2+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^3+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (F^4+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5+F^4+F^3+2F^2+2F+3)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{36}(F^6+F^5+10F^4+26F^3+2F^2+27F+45)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{216}(F^7+4F^6+49F^5+200F^4+116F^3+105F^2+198F+351)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

principal polarization:

$$x_{7,1} = \frac{1}{54}(20F^7 - 43F^6 + 155F^5 - 308F^4 + 580F^3 - 1116F^2 + 2205F - 1809)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(l_7) = & \mathbb{Z} \oplus F\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^2\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^3\mathbb{Z} \oplus F^4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{3}(F^5+F^4+F^3+2F^2+2F)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{18}(F^6+F^5+10F^4+8F^3+2F^2+9F+9)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{108}(F^7+4F^6+13F^5+56F^4+80F^3+33F^2+18F+27)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\# \text{Aut}(l_7, x_{7,1}) = 2$$

l_1 is invertible in R , but l_7 is not invertible in $\text{End}(l_7)$.

Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_g\}$ be the CM-type.

Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_g\}$ be the CM-type.

Let (A', μ') be the (complex) canonical lift of (A, μ) .

Period matrices

We can also compute the **period matrix** of the canonical lifts of a principally polarized square-free ordinary abelian variety:

Assume

$$(A, \mu) \longleftrightarrow (I, \lambda)$$

Write

$$I = \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_{2g} \mathbb{Z}$$

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_g\}$ be the CM-type.

Let (A', μ') be the (complex) canonical lift of (A, μ) .

We have an isomorphism of complex tori

$$A'(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^g /_{\Phi(I)}, \quad \Phi(I) = \langle (\varphi_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \varphi_g(\alpha_i) : i = 1, \dots, 2g) \rangle.$$

Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a **symplectic** \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g \mathbb{Z},$$

Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a **symplectic** \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0 \text{ for all } h \neq k.$$

Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \text{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a **symplectic** \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0 \text{ for all } h \neq k.$$

Consider the $g \times 2g$ matrix Ω whose i -th row is

$$(\varphi_i(\gamma_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\gamma_g), \varphi_i(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\beta_g)).$$

Period matrices

The Riemann form associated to λ is given by

$$b: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (s, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{t\lambda}s).$$

Pick a **symplectic** \mathbb{Z} -basis of I with respect to the form b , that is,

$$I = \gamma_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_g \mathbb{Z} \oplus \beta_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_g \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$b(\gamma_i, \beta_i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$b(\gamma_h, \gamma_k) = b(\beta_h, \beta_k) = b(\gamma_h, \beta_k) = 0 \text{ for all } h \neq k.$$

Consider the $g \times 2g$ matrix Ω whose i -th row is

$$(\varphi_i(\gamma_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\gamma_g), \varphi_i(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi_i(\beta_g)).$$

This is **big period matrix** of (A', λ') .

Period matrices - Example

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$.

Period matrices - Example

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization.

Period matrices - Example

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I = & \frac{1}{54} (432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{18} (-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus (-\alpha) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-\alpha^2) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} (81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \\
 \lambda = & \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120} \alpha + \frac{1343}{144} \alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60} \alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80} \alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8} \alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720} \alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5} \alpha^7
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 2.8 - i & -2.8 + 0.59i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.7 - 0.29i & 0 & 0 \\ -2.8 + i & 2.8 - 3.4i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.29 + 1.7i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -0.38 - 0.15i & 0 & 0 & -1.6 - 0.62i & -0.15 - 0.15i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2.6 + 6.9i & 0 & 0 & 0.62 - 1.6i & -6.9 + 6.9i \end{pmatrix}$$

Period matrices - Example

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$\begin{aligned} I = & \frac{1}{54} \left(432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{6} \left(63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{6} \left(81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - 1\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{18} \left(-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \\ & \oplus (-\alpha)\mathbb{Z} \oplus (-\alpha^2)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} \left(81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7 \right) \mathbb{Z} \\ \lambda = & \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120}\alpha + \frac{1343}{144}\alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60}\alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80}\alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8}\alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720}\alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5}\alpha^7 \end{aligned}$$

Period matrices - Example

Let $g = (x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 12x + 9)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 6x + 9)$. We compute the principally polarized abelian varieties and we find that 4 isomorphism classes admit a unique principal polarization. Here is one of them with the period matrix of the canonical lift.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I = & \frac{1}{54} (432 - 549\alpha + 441\alpha^2 - 331\alpha^3 + 186\alpha^4 - 81\alpha^5 + 33\alpha^6 - 7\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (63 - 78\alpha + 65\alpha^2 - 49\alpha^3 + 27\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^5 + 5\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{6} (81 - 99\alpha + 84\alpha^2 - 61\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus \frac{1}{18} (-63 + 96\alpha - 86\alpha^2 + 68\alpha^3 - 39\alpha^4 + 18\alpha^5 - 8\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-1) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \\
 & \oplus (-\alpha) \mathbb{Z} \oplus (-\alpha^2) \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{1}{9} (81 - 96\alpha + 81\alpha^2 - 64\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^4 - 15\alpha^5 + 6\alpha^6 - \alpha^7) \mathbb{Z} \\
 \lambda = & \frac{537}{80} - \frac{1343}{120} \alpha + \frac{1343}{144} \alpha^2 - \frac{419}{60} \alpha^3 + \frac{337}{80} \alpha^4 - \frac{15}{8} \alpha^5 + \frac{559}{720} \alpha^6 - \frac{1}{5} \alpha^7
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 2.8 - i & -2.8 + 0.59i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.7 - 0.29i & 0 & 0 \\ -2.8 + i & 2.8 - 3.4i & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.29 + 1.7i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -0.38 - 0.15i & 0 & 0 & -1.6 - 0.62i & -0.15 - 0.15i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2.6 + 6.9i & 0 & 0 & 0.62 - 1.6i & -6.9 + 6.9i \end{pmatrix}$$

Final remarks

- Computations of isomorphism classes can be done in the same way replacing "ordinary over \mathbb{F}_q " with "over \mathbb{F}_p , away from real primes", by using Centeleghe-Stix (2015)...
- ...but polarizations (and period matrices) are still work in progress.
- The Magma code is available on my webpage.

Thank you!