Lemma: Let (b_1, \ldots, b_n) be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n , where $B := (b_1, \ldots, b_{n-p})$ contains a basis for X's null space (kernel). If c is random vector that satisfies:

$$Bc \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}))$$
 (1)

then

$$\boldsymbol{c} \sim \mathcal{N}_{n-p}(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_{n-p}) \tag{2}$$

where \boldsymbol{H} denotes the hat matrix.

Proof: Let c be a random vector of (n-p) elements. Properties of the multivariate Gaussian distribution imply that, if $Bc \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}))$, then:

$$\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{c} \sim \mathcal{N}_{n-p}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{B}^{T}(\mathbf{I}_{n} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{B})$$
 (3)

The definition of **B** implies that $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}_{n-p}$. Consequently,

$$c \sim \mathcal{N}_{n-p}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{B}^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{B})$$
 (4)

By definition, $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T$. \mathbf{B} 's columns are orthogonal to \mathbf{X} 's columns, so $\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0}$, meaning that the above expression can be simplified to:

$$\boldsymbol{c} \sim \mathcal{N}_{n-p}(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{B}) \tag{5}$$

$$\implies c \sim \mathcal{N}_{n-p}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{n-p}) \tag{6}$$

Relevance to least-squares linear regression

Assume that

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j \mathbf{b}_j \tag{7}$$

where the d_j are random variables. Since \boldsymbol{b}_j lies in \boldsymbol{X} 's columnspace for $j=(n-p+1),\ldots,n$ and is orthogonal to it otherwise, and \boldsymbol{H} is a projection matrix for \boldsymbol{X} 's columnspace, we have:

$$\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{b}_{j} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{0} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, (n-p) \\ \boldsymbol{b}_{j} & \text{for } j = (n-p+1), \dots, n \end{cases}$$
 (8)

It follows that:

$$(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-p} d_j \mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{d}_{1:(n-p)}$$

$$(9)$$

Lemma 1 then implies that if $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{d}_{1:(n-p)} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{I}_n - \boldsymbol{H}))$, then $\boldsymbol{d}_{1:(n-p)} \sim \mathcal{N}_{n-p}(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma^2\boldsymbol{I}_{n-p})$.

This result means that if the OLS residuals are consistent with $\mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}))$, then \mathbf{y} can be decomposed into a centred spherical Gaussian component restricted to $\text{null}(\mathbf{X})$ and another component, restricted to $\text{col}(\mathbf{X})$, that can be of any distribution:

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-p} d_j \mathbf{b}_j + \sum_{j=n-p+1}^{n} d_j \mathbf{b}_j$$
 (10)

Gaussian variation on null(X) Unrestricted variation on col(X)

The assumption underpinning the normal linear model is that $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_n)$. Notice that the mean of this distribution lies on $\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{X})$. The residuals being consistent with this distribution verifies that the mean of \mathbf{y} is in $\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{X})$ (i.e $\exists \boldsymbol{\beta} : \mathbb{E}[y] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$), but it does not test that variation of the response in $\operatorname{col}(\mathbf{X})$ follows a spherical Gaussian distribution. In other words, the reverse implication very nearly holds.