# **Cardinality**

## **Prerequisites**

- sets (notation, operations)
- functions (basic notation, domain terminology)

Sets can be compared based on how many elements they contain.

## EXAMPLE 1.

The set  $A := \{a, b, c\}$  contains exactly as many elements as the set  $X := \{x, y, z\}$ , namely 3. Each set has fewer members than  $A \cup X$ , which contains 6 elements, whereas  $A \cap X$  has 0 members.

This is commonly called the **size** of a set, but the more accurate term is **cardinality**. The cardinality of a set A is denoted |A|. We say that  $|A| \le B$  iff there is a function f such that every element of A is mapped to some element of B and every element of B has at most one element of A mapped to it.

#### EXAMPLE 2.

Suppose that  $A := \{a, b, c\}$  and  $D := \{d, e, f, g\}$ . Then  $|A| \le |D|$ , as every element of A can be mapped to some distinct element of D. For instance, we could have a function with  $a \mapsto f$ ,  $b \mapsto d$ ,  $c \mapsto g$ .

In the other direction,  $|D| \nleq |A|$ . No matter how one maps the elements of D to members of A, at least two members of D will have to be mapped to the same element in A.

Clearly |A| = |B| iff  $|A| \le |B|$  and  $|B| \le |A|$  are both true. But there is a more direct definition: |A| = |B| iff there is a function f such that f maps every element of A to some element of B and every element of B has exactly one element of A mapped to it. We also say that there is a **bijection** between A and B, which is a technical term for a 1-to-1 correspondence between the elements of A and B.

### EXAMPLE 3.

We already saw that |A| = |X| in the previous example. A possible choice of f would be  $a \mapsto x$ ,  $b \mapsto y$ ,  $c \mapsto z$ .

# Example 4.

The sets  $A := \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $B := \{2, 3\}$  obviously have distinct cardinality. The set A contains 3 elements, the set B only 2. But let us see how we get the same result via our mathematical definition.

Suppose we have some arbitrary function  $f: A \to B$ . If f is a bijection, then

it must map every element of A to some element of B. But since there are three elements in A and only two in B, some element of B must be the output for at least two elements of A. But then f is not a bijection.

In the other direction, consider some arbitrary function  $g: B \to A$ . Since a function maps each input to at most one output, the two elements of B are mapped to at most two elements of A. But A has three elements, so one element of A cannot be an output for any element of B. Again we find that g cannot be bijection.

This exhausts all cases we need to consider, and we may conclude that no function from A to B, or the other way round, can be a bijection. Hence A and B must have distinct cardinality.

### Exercise 1.

Show that  $|\{0 \le n < 10 \mid n \text{ is odd}\}| = |\{0 \le n < 10 \mid n \text{ is even}\}||$ .

For finite sets, our intuitive notion of size closely matches the technical term of cardinality. However, size and cardinality diverge once we look at infinite sets.

#### Example 5.

Consider the set  $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  of all natural numbers and the set  $\mathbb{N}_+ := \{1, 2, ...\}$  of all positive natural numbers. Intuitively,  $\mathbb{N}$  is larger than  $\mathbb{N}_+$  because it contains all members of  $\mathbb{N}_+$  as well as 0, which is not in  $\mathbb{N}_+$ . But the function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}_+$  with  $n \mapsto n + 1$  is a bijection. Hence  $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}_+|$  even though intuitively the two sets have distinct size.

#### Exercise 2.

Show that the set of natural numbers has the same cardinality as the set of all even natural numbers.

In a later unit, we will see that our definition of cardinality entails that there are different "sizes" of infinity, and that we want one specific infinity size to talk about language.