

Succinctness and choosing between grammars (Solutions)

EXERCISE 1.

For $n \geq 2$, no grammar ever needs to contain every member of Σ_E^n . Explain why.

Solution

There are two answers here. First, if Σ_E^n is taken to contain useless n -grams like $\bowtie\bowtie$ or $\bowtie ab$, then these do not need to be included in the grammar because no string will ever have \bowtie preceding another symbol that is not \bowtie .

Second, it is also true that there is always a more compact alternative to using a grammar that contains every member of Σ_E^n . Suppose that you have a positive grammar that contains every possible n -gram over Σ_E , where $n \geq 2$. In this case, the grammar generates Σ^* , the set of all possible strings over Σ . But Σ^* could have just as well been defined by a negative grammar G that forbids no n -grams at all (formally, $G = \emptyset$). Similarly, suppose that you have a negative grammar that contains every possible n -gram over Σ_E , with $n \geq 2$. In this grammar, nothing is allowed at all, not even the empty string, so the grammar generates the empty language \emptyset . But the empty language could also be generated by the positive n -gram grammar G that contains no n -grams at all (formally, $G = \emptyset$).

The same strategy of switching polarities can be used to show that for every n -grammar G that contains more than half of all possible n -grams (rounded up) there is a smaller grammar of opposite polarity that generates the same string language as G .