1	STATE OF MICHIGAN	
2	IN THE 70th JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FOR SAGINAW COUNTY	
3		
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,	
5	vs. District No. 23-106-FY	
6	EUGENE WARREN THERRIEN, Circuit No. 23-00292-FH	
7	Defendant /	
8	Defendant /	
9	PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION	
10		
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE M. RANDALL JURRENS, DISTRICT COURT JUD	GE
12	SAGINAW, MICHIGAN - Tuesday, April 4, 2023	
13	I accum, memoral raceau, memoral raceau,	
14	APPEARANCES:	
15		
16	For the People: MR. DAN G. VAN NORMAN (P34222)	
17	Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 111 S. Michigan Avenue	
18	Saginaw, Michigan 48602 (989) 790-5330	
19		
20	For the Defendant: MR. SHAWN H. HEAD (P72599) The Head Law Firm, PLC	
21	34705 W. 12 Mile Road, Suite 160 Farmington Hills, Michigan 48331	
	(248) 939-5405	
22	RECORDED AND	
23	TRANSCRIBED BY: MS. CAROLYNN BENAVIDES CER 8145	
24	Certified Electronic Recorder 111 S. Michigan Avenue	
25	Saginaw, Michigan 48602 (989) 790-5365	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1		
2	WITNESSES: PEOPLE	PAGE:
3	AMY WOODRUFF	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Van Norman Cross-Examination by Mr. Head	4 11
5	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Van Norman Cross-Examination by Mr. Head (continued)	35 37
6	,	
7		
8	WITNESSES: DEFENSE	
9	None	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	EXHIBITS: IDENTIFIED:	RECEIVED:
15	DX#1 - Video 34	get Arrest Get and and the
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		•

1	Saginaw, Michigan
2	Tuesday, April 4, 2023 - 2:03 p.m.,
3	THE COURT: Eugene Therrien, 23-106-FY.
4	MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, Good afternoon. For
5	the record Dan Van Norman for the People.
6	MR. HEAD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Shawn Head
7	appearing on behalf of Eugene Therrien who is with me to my
8	left.
9	THE COURT: This is set for an exam this afternoon
10	MR. HEAD: It is.
11	THE COURT: Any preliminary matters?
12	MR. VAN NORMAN: We're obtaining our first and
13	only witness, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Head, any preliminary
15	matters? Any preliminary matters?
16	MR. HEAD: No, Your Honor.
17	MR. VAN NORMAN: People call Amy Woodruff, Your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: Come forward, ma'am. Raise your right
20	hand.
21	Do you swear the testimony you're about to give
22	will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
23	truth, so help you, God?
24	MS. WOODRUFF: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Have a seat, please.

1 AMY WOODRUFF 2 (At 2:04 p.m., sworn as a witness, testified as 3 follows) 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. VAN NORMAN: 5 6 Ms. Woodruff, good afternoon. Your full name for the 7 record, please? 8 Amy Sue Woodruff. lΑ 9 Okay. Ms. Woodruff, do you know somebody by the name of Eugene Warren Therrien? 10 11 Yes. lΑ 12 Q And how is it that you know Mr. Therrien? 13 We dated for 10 and a half years and lived together. 14 Q Okay. Do the two of you have any children in common? 15 $||_{\mathbf{A}}$ Yes. 16 The person you know as Mr. Therrien is he present in the ΙQ 17 courtroom? 18 |A Yes. 19 Would you indicate for the Court and for the record where 20 he's seated and what he's wearing? 21 He's seated over there and I think he's wearing a suit. 22 MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, may the record 23 reflect identification of the Defendant? 24 THE COURT: I'm going to guess it's the person

furthest away in the suit?

1		THE WITNESS: Yes.
2		THE COURT: Yes.
3		MR. VAN NORMAN: Thank you.
4	BY MR	. VAN NORMAN:
5	Q	You said you did live together?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And I want to direct your attention to January 7th of this
8		year. Were you living together at that time?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And where did you reside?
11	A	3105 North River Road, Saginaw, Michigan.
12	Q	Okay. We can agree that's in Saginaw County, Michigan, can't
13		we?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. I want to direct your attention on that date to the
16		late morning hours. Did you have occasion to be present at
17		the house you lived in?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Was Mr. Therrien present?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And did there come a time if ever when the two of you had a
22		discussion that turned into an argument?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And what was the topic of that, the discussion, what was the
25		topic of that discussion that evolved or devolved into an

argument?

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

- It was actually Austin, Gene's son had gotten up late that 2 3 morning. And he was upset that he got up at 8:30 in the 4 morning and he had - was yelling at him. And then at some 5 point it turned into me going in the room and, he had said to 6 me, Now it's your turn, Austin, go get ready; and then he 7 started yelling at me. There really wasn't a discussion. 8 was him yelling at me. Me staying on the opposite side of 9 the wall while he yelled at me about things.
- 10 Okay. And what if anything was he yelling - you say yelling at you about things. What was he yelling at you about?
 - Just I am a horrible person. I've ruined our kids. worthless. He would be a lot farther in life if he would've never met me. Just things like that.
 - Did he, did he call you any specific names or use any profanity when he did this?
- 17 Yeah.
- MR. HEAD: Objection, relevance.
- 19 MR. VAN NORMAN: I'll move on, Your Honor.
- 20 BY MR. VAN NORMAN:
 - Did there come a time if ever when, when the discussion or argument became physical?
- 23 Yes.
- 24 And who initiated that physicality?
- 25 Α He did.

Would you tell the court what happened? 1 He was standing in the living room and he was yelling and 2 3 throwing. Like he had picked up a giant gnome and threw it 4 across at the Christmas tree and he was throwing things 5 around. And he had smashed the Christmas tree and broken 6 ornaments around the house. And he was screaming at me and I 7 was trying to stay away from him. We ended up --8 MR. HEAD: Objection, nonresponsive. 9 MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, it's my question. think I'm the one that determines what response it is or 10 11 isn't. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: I think he gets to make the objection. 13 How is it unresponsive if he asked her what he was doing? 14 MR. HEAD: I thought it was - the question was, was 15 there any physical interaction. 16 MR. VAN NORMAN: No, I did ask the court to tell -17 - or I did ask the witness to tell the court what happened 18 after that. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. 20 MR. VAN NORMAN: Thank you. 21 BY MR. VAN NORMAN: 22 Ma'am, continue, please. 23 So he was, it ended up in the living room and he - my mom had

24

25

Ι

called and I had a hoodie on and my cellphone was in my

pocket, so I slid it out to look to turn the off button

- because he was yelling and I didn't want to answer during
 that. And he seen my cellphone and he charged at my, for my
- 3 cellphone and he grabbed it and I was like this with him.
- 4 And then I let go and he took it and he grabbed it like this
- and he started smashing it over his knee.
- 6 | Q Let me stop you there. He took the cellphone from you?
- 7 | A Yes.
- 8 | Q Did you give him permission to take it?
- 9 | A No.
- 10 Q Did you voluntarily surrender the phone?
- 11 || A No.
- 12 | Q And you indicated there was at least a call in progress,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A My mom was calling me, but I had turned it I had silenced
- 15 || it.
- 16 | Q Okay. And after the phone was taken what happened?
- 17 || A He took it and he smashed it over his knee and then he took
- 18 | it, and he threw it into the kitchen and it hit the kitchen
- 19 | floor. And then he --
- 20 Q Let me stop you there. Did you did there come a time when
- 21 you were able to get to the phone?
- 22 | A No. It was I was in the living room. We had a really big
- 23 house, it's a 6400 square foot home.
- 24 ||Q Was the phone damaged in any way once you did observe it?
- 25 || A Yes.

- 1 Okay. Was it workable?
- 2 Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. Continue please.
- 4 So he was then in the living room and he started coming at me 5 again and I had slippers on without backs, and I went to step 6 back and I couldn't get, like I stumbled. So then he come at 7
- 8 When you say he grabbed you, did he place hands on 9 your throat?

me and he grabbed me around the throat.

- 10 And he grabbed me by the throat and he was screaming at 11 me to get the fuck out of his house, get out of here you 12 cunt, whore, bitch, all sorts of things.
- 13 Let me stop you there. When you say his house, it was where 14 the two of you resided, correct?
- 15 Α Yes.
- 16 Q Thank you. Continue please.
- 17 So then he picked me up and threw me to the ground and got me 18 in a chokehold where he had this arm on the front of my 19 throat and this arm on the back of me and he was --
- 20 Let me stop you there. Just for the record the first, this 21 arm was your right arm?
- 22 Yeah, right.
- 23 And the second one was your left? Q
- 24 Α Yes.
- 25 Okay.

And he was on top of me, laying on top of me and I couldn't move. And I was like -- and he was screaming in my face: Get the fuck out of my life. Get out of here. And I was telling -- I was screaming for him to please don't kill me, please don't kill me, please don't kill me.

I'm sorry, I forgot though - when he grabbed me the first time I had screamed for somebody to call 911. And it was actually I believe I said: Austin, call 911. Because I knew he was upstairs. And I knew something bad was going to happen.

- Okay. You indicated that he had taken you by the neck, that at some point he was on top of you. You were on the floor at that time?
- 14 || A Mm-hmm.

- Q And he had his arms up against your throat?
- A Mm-hmm. And he was holding me like this. And he was screaming in my face. And it was about like the fifth time that I was like please don't kill me. And then he like just his whole body was just like..., and then he got up.
- Q Let me stop you there. You said that several times before he --
- 22 | A Yes
- \mathbb{Q} Okay. Continue please.
- And then he got up and he was like, he screamed upstairs to
 Austin: Austin, we need to get out of here before I do

1		something worse. He picked up the couch and he flipped it
2		back over like nothing was the matter. And he grabbed my
3		coffee cup that had spilled all over the floor because I was
4		holding that when he came for my cellphone, and he carried it
5		out to the sink like nothing was the matter.
6	Q	Besides getting on top of you and after taking your throat
7		and besides the placing of his arms up against your throat
8		while you were pinned to the floor, did he strike any other
9		blows, did he hit you with?
10	A	Well he had hit me in the face when he was like coming at me.
11		Like he had, when he grabbed me by the throat there was like
12		an altercation where I was like trying to stop it, like I
13		didn't just stand there and let it happen. I was like trying
14		to get away. And when he did that he had hit me in the face
15		I had my eyes closed. And then ended up on the floor.
16	Q	As a result of being struck in the face were you injured at
17		all?
18	A	There was a red mark.
19	Q	Okay. Ms. Woodruff, I don't have any further questions for
20		you at this point. I'm sure counsel does.
21		MR. HEAD: I've got plenty.
22		THE COURT: Mr. Head.
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR	. HEAD:

Hi, Ms. Woodruff.

Hi. We know each other, don't we? 2 3 Mm-hmm. 4 I've known you for about 10 years? 5 Α Mm-hmm. I'll try not to be overly casual because we've known each 6 7 other for a long time. 8 Prior to January 7th Mr. Therrien had asked you to have been 9 moving out - to move out of the home, right, multiple times? 10 MR. VAN NORMAN: Objection as to relevance, Your 11 We're talking about an event that happened on the 12 If there was an eviction proceeding and a notice to 13 quit or something like that I might withdraw the objection. 14 But whatever happened prior to the 7th has nothing to do with 15 any of that. 16 MR. HEAD: Your Honor, it's just as relevant to the 17 background related to topic of the argument, because this 18 goes directly to the topic of the argument. 19 MR. VAN NORMAN: And I think I've moved on from 20 that in like one question, Your Honor. 21 MR. HEAD: I asked one question. 22 THE COURT: So you're asking that on the day of the 23 argument or some other day? MR. HEAD: Both.

THE COURT: Well let's start with one. What were

1 you asking? MR. HEAD: The first argument was prior to that 2 3 incident he's been asking for some time for you to move out. THE COURT: So how is that relevant to the assault? 4 5 MR. HEAD: I'll get there. It goes to the topic of 6 the argument, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Let's say they have an argument, 8 what does that got to do with the assault? 9 MR. HEAD: I can, I can move on. Your Honor, can 10 take it or leave it, but the, you know the question has been 11 asked. 12 THE COURT: I had an objection so I'll trying to deal with it. 13 14 MR. HEAD: Okay. 15 BY MR. HEAD: 16 All right. That day on January 7th he asked you to leave, correct? 17 18 Yeah, he told me to get out several times. 19 Several times. But that wasn't the first time, right? 20 Every time he gets mad and throws things and yells and 21 screams, yes. 22 Okay. Are you on any medications that would affect your 23 testimony today? 24 No.

All right. Did you review any recordings or written

25

documents prior to testifying today? 1 2 No. 3 You didn't review any body-cam footage? I have not seen any body-cam footage. 4 Α 5 Q All right. What about the recordings that you took? You 6 did take recordings on January 7th, right? 7 Α Yes. 8 Did you review those prior to testifying today? No. 9 Α Were you aware there was body-cam footage with 10 All right. 11 your interaction with the police on that day? 12 I assume there would be because police usually wear them. 13 Okay. THE COURT: Are you telling us that you have video 14 15 camera footage of the incident? 16 MR. HEAD: No. No. I have video of, of - it was in my 17 THE WITNESS: hoodie pocket that was - I recorded after he assaulted me and 18 he was breaking things and throwing things around the house. 19 20 THE COURT: The question had to do with video. It was a video recording. 2.1 THE WITNESS: 22 THE COURT: In your pocket? 23 Yeah - well it comes out, there is THE WITNESS: video and in my pocket, voice and video on it. 24

Two different things. That's why I'm

THE COURT:

1 asking that question. 2 MR. HEAD: There was no video of the alleged 3 assault, Your Honor, if that was your question. 4 THE COURT: My question is to her. 5 MR. HEAD: I apologize. 6 THE COURT: Do you have any video footage of this 7 incident? Video that I can see. 8 THE WITNESS: There is a video, yeah. You can see 9 him spitting at me and walking down the hall and slammed the 10 door and come back in. It was -11 THE COURT: Somebody says that the camera is in the 12 pocket. It may be running, but that doesn't produce video. 13 THE WITNESS: I had, yes, I had the camera in my 14 I pulled it out and aimed it at him in video, that 15 part of it, yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. That helps. Thank you. Go 17 ahead. 18 MR. HEAD: Thank, Your Honor. BY MR. HEAD: 19 20 So we've established the fact that there was an argument that 21 started between you and Eugene on the morning of January 7th? 22 A It didn't start between Gene and I. It started between 23 Austin and Gene. 24 Okay. At some point after that you and Gene had been 25 arguing, right, on that morning?

- 1 || A We weren't arguing. He was yelling at me.
- 2 ||Q Okay. And he actually grabbed your phone from the
- 3 | entertainment center while you were sitting on the couch?
- 4 | A No.
- 5 ||Q That's not what happened?
- 6 | A That is not what happened. It was in my hoodie pocket.
- 7 Q So if other witnesses said that that's what happened they'd
- 8 | be lying?
- 9 | A They would be lying.
- 10 | Q Okay. So when he had the phone in his hand you actually went
- 11 | to grab it from him, right?
- 12 A He grabbed it out of my hoodie pocket that was in my hand, he
- grabbed it from my hood, in my hand, took it out of my
- 14 pocket. I tried to hold onto it and he ripped it out of my
- 15 | hand.
- 16 ||Q And then you went to grab it from him, right?
- 17 || A Yes.
- 18 | Q And that's when --
- 19 | A No, I had -- he -- I still had it in my hand. I didn't try
- 20 | to take it back from him. Once he took it and I could no
- 21 | longer have possession of it I didn't go after it. I'm not
- 22 an idiot. He's a lot bigger than me.
- 23 ||Q Okay. So it's your testimony -- you remember you're under
- 24 | oath, right?
- 25 | A Yes, I do.

- 1 ||Q All right. And your testimony here today is that you did
- 2 not try to wrestle the phone away from him?
- 3 || A I did not.
- 4 ||Q So whether witnesses said that they'd be lying?
- 5 | A There was nobody in the room when that happened.
- 6 | Q Okay. So there was nobody in the room when you were
- 7 | struggling over a phone?
- 8 || A Not over the phone, no.
- 9 | Q Okay. At some point -- I'm sorry -- you did talk to the
- 10 police on January 7th, right?
- 11 || A Yes.
- 12 ||Q And actually you called, you called 911 that day too?
- 13 || A Yes.
- 14 ||Q All right. You called 911 after Gene left, right?
- 15 || A Yes.
- 16 ||Q All right, we'll get back to that. But you had a conversation
- 17 | with the police?
- 18 || A Yes.
- 19 ||Q And you told the police that he punched you, right?
- 20 || A Yes.
- 21 ||Q All right. Now you just said he's a large man, right?
- 22 | A Mm-hmm.
- 23 ||Q 6 foot 4; 240, right?
- 24 | A No verbal response.
- 25 ||Q He's significantly larger than you are?

1 Yes. You'd agree? 3 Α Yes. 4 If he punched you it would leave a mark, wouldn't it? 5 It did. Calls for speculation, Your 6 MR. VAN NORMAN: 7 Honor. THE COURT: You've already had her testify it would 8 9 leave a mark. 10 THE WITNESS: It did, there's photos of it. 11 BY MR. HEAD: 12 Okay. There's photos that you took after you called 911, 13 right? 14 No, before I called 911. 15 Okay. All right. But before the police arrived? 16 Α It was right when I picked my phone up off the kitchen floor. 17 Okay. 18 Because that - he wasn't done throwing things and breaking 19 things yet. 20 Okay. 21 He was still in the house, he had not left. 22 All right. So you said you had a red mark on your face and 23 then and that was before the police arrived? 24 Yes.

And then you took a photo of it?

- And I still had the mark on my face actually when Carrie and Julie came.
- Okay. And you know there are several witnesses that saw you the very next day, the day of, right?
- 5 A Yeah. You came to the house.
- 6 Q And you didn't have any mark on your face the next day after the incident, did you?
- 8 | A No.
- 9 Q No bruising?
- 10 || A No.
- 11 || Q No abrasions?
- 12 | A No.
- 13 | Q No cuts?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 || Q No swelling?
- 16 | A No.
- 17 Q Let's get back. I believe your testimony earlier today was
- that he came up and he grabbed you, right?
- 19 | A He did.
- 20 ||Q And did he grab you and he grabbed you by the throat?
- 21 || A Yes.
- 22 ||Q Which hand did he use?
- 23 A Like this.
- 24 ||Q Both hands?
- 25 | A Yes.

- Q So he grabbed you with both hands around your neck?
- $2 \parallel A$ Yes. I was trying to back away from him and tripped on my
- 3 slipper and he grabbed me like that. And I was trying to get
- away from him but I couldn't. And then he ended up like it
- was a really fast motion of somehow he had me by the throat,
- flung me, my eyes were closed, got me in this position to the
- 7 ground.

- 8 ||Q There were no marks on your neck, right?
- 9 | A Yes, there was actually.
- 10 ||Q There were?
- 11 | A Yeah, there was a red mark right here.
- 12 | Q So when the police arrived do you remember that was all
- 13 | recorded, right? There was body camera footage of your
- 14 | interaction with the police?
- 15 || A Yes.
- 16 ||Q Do you remember telling the police that you don't have any
- 17 | marks on your neck?
- 18 || A I went like this and he looked at my neck. And I don't
- 19 || believe there there was a red mark but it was not like a
- 20 | red, red mark where you could see it during that time. Wher
- 21 Carrie and Julie came, she sat down next to me and she looked
- 22 | at my neck and she said there is a mark there. Because I
- 23 || told her that I didn't think there was, but there was.
- 24 ||Q And you didn't take any photographs of that though, did you?
- 25 \parallel A I just took a picture like this and you could see the red

- 1 | mark on my face.
- 2 | Q So as you sit here it's your testimony that he hit you on
- 3 | your right cheek, your right cheek?
- 4 | A I believe it was this cheek.
- 5 ||Q And then you're pointing to your right cheek? There's going
- 6 to be a transcript here.
- 7 || A Yes.
- 8 ||Q Okay. So you're pointing at your right cheek?
- 9 | A I believe so. I don't remember.
- 10 || Q So now you don't remember?
- 11 | A I don't remember exactly which, no, I don't. This was four
- months ago. I, I just know that I got hit. And I really
- believe it's this side but I don't want to testify that it
- 14 | was if I'm wrong. I, there's picture proof of which side it
- 15 || was.
- 16 ||Q Sounds like you're not very sure. Is that, is that accurate?
- 17 || A I'm not very sure of that question, yes.
- 18 ||Q Okay. Do you think you would've been more sure on the day of
- 19 | the incident when you had a conversation with the police
- 20 || officer?
- 21 || A Yes.
- 22 ||Q So are you -- so if you have any variation or distinction
- 23 between your testimony here under oath and your conversation
- 24 | with the police officer which do you think would be true?
- 25 | A With the police officer.

Q Okay. So what you're telling us today might not be true because of your memory is not accurate?

That's not - no. That's not what I'm saying at all.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, this has been asked and answered in about four different ways. The point has been made.

THE COURT: Mr. Head.

MR. HEAD: I'll move on.

BY MR. HEAD:

- Q So you testified that after he grabbed you by the neck he then threw you to the ground, is that, is that what I recall?
- ∥A Yes.
- Q Okay. And then your testimony, he put his arms around you on top of your neck?
 - A He had one, like he grabbed me by the neck, there was like this struggle and that's when he hit me. And in that time when he got me like this and threw me to the floor when he had me like this.
 - Q All right. Time out. So your testimony here is he picked you up by your head, was putting his arms around your neck?
 - He had me like it was no, it was his whole he threw me to the body with him on top of me. Like he went like that, got down on the floor. I'll show you. He had me like this, he went like this, threw me to the floor like this and then got on top of me like this. And then he screamed in my face:

1 Get the fuck out of my life you bitch, fuck, cunt, whore. 2 That is what he did. 3 MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, the record should 4 reflect that she was laying face down indicating to counsel 5 what the Defendant was doing to her. And then her arms were 6 crossed --7 THE WITNESS: Like this. MR. VAN NORMAN: -- as if she was in the position 8 9 of the Defendant. 10 THE COURT: Did you understand that? 11 My head was in between his arms. THE WITNESS: 12 BY MR. HEAD: 13 Your head was in between his arms? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. So he had his left arm behind your neck? 16 A Yes. 17 And his right arm in front of your neck? 18 Α And this arm on top of my throat, yes. 19 All right. That's a very vivid picture you paint here 20 today. I can see it. 21 A So can I. 22 0 Did he fall with his weight on top of you then? 23 He feel with his weight to his knee then he laid on top of 24 me.

And but you could still yell out and call out, correct?

25

Q

- 1 | A Yes.
- 2 ||Q So you didn't lose any, any ability to talk?
- 3 A I did not. It made it harder to talk. I was first I was
- able to yell for help and then or to don't kill me and then
- 5 it was, don't kill me, don't kill me. And like my breath was
- 6 getting lose. And then he let up.
- 7 | Q Do you remember talking to Officer Chad Sulkowi, right, that
- 8 | day?
- 9 | A Yeah, I think that was his name.
- 10 | Q All right. And do you remember him, do you remember telling
- 11 | him, I don't even know how I fell?
- 12 | A I remember that when we were talking I was very like, this
- 13 | whole incident just happened and I was having a hard time
- 14 | just with everything. So I don't know exactly what I said.
- 15 ||Q All right. Did you lie to the police?
- 16 | A No.
- 17 | Q So everything you told them was true?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 ||Q Everything you're testifying here today is true?
- 20 || A Yes.
- 21 ||Q When you spoke to the police you didn't leave any important
- 22 || information out?
- 23 | A No.
- 24 | Q And you testified you called 911 after Mr. Therrien left,
- 25 correct?

- 1 || A Yes.
- 2 | Q All right. So let's talk about what happened after the
- 3 | alleged incident. So at some point you got off the ground,
- 4 | right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And your testimony earlier is that if I recall correctly Gene
- 7 | kind of went and wouldn't let go and then stood up, right?
- 8 | A Yes.
- 9 ||Q And then you stood up?
- 10 | A I ran to the kitchen and I grabbed my phone.
- 11 ||Q And a cup of coffee, right?
- 12 | A No. The coffee I had in my hand when he ripped the phone out
- 13 || of my hand.
- 14 ||Q Oh, you didn't go grab a cup of coffee after you got up?
- 15 | A No.
- 16 ||Q Okay. There was a period of time after you got up before
- 17 Gene left with his son, correct?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 ||Q And that period of time was more than 20 minutes, right?
- 20 | A No.
- 21 ||Q Austin was ready to go?
- 22 || A Austin came sobbing down the stairs apologizing to Gene, and
- 23 || screaming, I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. Gene grabbed him
- 24 | and threw him out the door. It happened, there is a
- 25 | timestamp from when my mom called to whenever I called 911 on

- 1 | my phone that will show how long that is.
- 2 ||Q And it wasn't longer than 20 minutes?
- $3 \parallel A$ No.
- 4 Q So if there's testimony from individuals that were there they
- 5 | would be lying?
- 6 | A Yes.
- Q Okay. Well let me ask you this. Because you testified you recorded some interaction between you and Gene after you guys
- got up off the ground, right?
- 10 A Yep. From that recording on, from the first time of the
 11 recording to when he walks out the door and spits at me is
- 12 how long it took for him to leave.
- 13 Q So your testimony earlier is that you were afraid he was going to kill you, that's what you said to him?
- 15 A Yes, I was afraid he was going to kill me.
- Okay. But you said and I'm sorry, you said you had a 6400 square foot house?
- 18 || A Yes.
- 19 Q That's a really big house.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 ||Q There's a lot of rooms in that house, right?
- 22 | A Yes.
- 23 Q A lot of rooms you could've gone to.
- 24 A I was trying to and he kept following me.
- 25 || Q He kept following you?

- 1 | A He kept following me.
- 2 | Q How many rooms do you have on the first floor?
- Well they're all shut off. There's only when he would come at me the only thing I could do is run around the stairs and
- stay on the opposite side from him. If I got in a room where
- 6 he was at he could get me.
- 7 ||Q So when you -- at some point you started recording on your phone?
- 9 A Yes. I was on the opposite side of the wall when I started recording.
- 11 || Q And you said --
- 12 A Until I heard him go after Austin and then I went towards him
 13 because I wasn't going to let him hurt Austin.
- Okay. And you were making statements to him, weren't you as you were recording?
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 Q And you were saying things about....
- 18 A He started yelling at me some nonsense.
- 19 Q I didn't ask you a question. Let me finish my question and you can answer the question. Okay?
- 21 | A Okay.
- 22 | Q You were swearing at him, right?
- 23 A I did say shit I believe.
- 24 Q Oh, you don't, you don't remember saying get your fucking
 25 hands off of me when he was clear, obviously not even close

- 1 | to you?
- 2 | A No. When he started coming at me I said, don't you fucking
- 3 | touch me, I believe.
- 4 ||Q Okay. You haven't watched those videos in a while, have you?
- $5 \parallel A \parallel I \text{ have not.}$
- 6 || Q Okay.
- 7 | A I try not to think about this actually.
- 8 ||Q All right. And your memory can change, right?
- $9 \parallel A$ No.
- 10 | Q Oh, okay.
- 11 || A I can forget things though.
- 12 ||Q You can forget things?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 || Q Okay. Do you think you forgot some things?
- 15 | A I may have forgot exactly what I said in the video.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. And you may have forgotten what you told the police?
- 17 | A No. I know what I told the police.
- 18 ||Q But you told the police that I don't know how I fell, right?
- 19 | A I guess I don't remember saying that.
- 20 ||Q Okay. So there -- you don't remember what you told the
- 21 police, right?
- 22 A I guess not.
- 23 Q Okay. The fact is you didn't go to another room, you didn't
- go another area of the house?
- 25 | A I did. I did. I left the living room and I went to the

- kitchen. He was standing in, by his office area, by the
 stairs, yelled at Austin to get ready to leave. I was in the
 kitchen by the kitchen couch and when I started recording you
 could hear him --
 - Q And there's a door right there to the outside, right, right next to where you're standing?
- 7 A No. There is no. Where I was standing my daughter was in the bedroom hiding because she came out and watched everything happen.
- 10 | Q Your bedroom is not in your kitchen?
- 11 A No. She was in the bedroom. He was at the front door. I am

 12 not going to leave my house without my daughter. I'm not

 13 going to leave her. He's in a raging fit. I didn't want to

 14 leave either of the kids there.
- But you didn't go to another, another room other than the kitchen, you didn't go outside when there's a door right next to you, right?
- 18 A No, I did not.

5

- 19 Q And you didn't go to the basement, correct?
- 20 A There was no way to get to the basement.
- 21 Q He's in his office. You didn't go to the bedroom and shut the door.
- 23 A He wasn't in the office. He was standing at the stairway by
 24 his office. He was by the front door.
- 25 | Q And you didn't go in your bedroom. You could've gotten there

1 from two different entrances to your bedroom, right? No, I couldn't. Our bedroom door is right here. He's right 2 3 here. I was staying visibly out of his sight. 4 Isn't there another entryway to your bedroom? 5 Α His office. 6 And isn't there another entryway to your bedroom next to the 7 couch? Through, there's no way for me to get it to it. There's a 8 Α 9 sunroom back over that way. 10 So if you're standing - there's a sunroom to your left. 11 You're pointing to your left. 12 But I don't even know if - I wouldn't even know if the door Α 13 was locked or unlocked to go into there. 14 You didn't try to go to your bedroom, did you? 15 Obviously you have never been in a situation like that 16 because you wouldn't try to figure out, where do I go. You 17 just want to get, make sure your kid is safe. 18 While you're cussing out Gene and recording it? 19 While I'm cussing him out? 20 Yes. 21 He was yelling at me. 22 MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, this is getting 23 argumentative and beyond the scope, beyond relevance. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Head, any response?

MR. HEAD: I'll move on.

BY MR. HEAD: 1 When you called 911 did you tell the operator the truth? 2 3 Α Yes. You didn't tell the operator that he punched you though, did 4 5 he - did you? 6 A I didn't tell them - I just told them I was assaulted I 7 believe. 8 Actually you told them you were kicked, do you remember that? I never said anything about being kicked. Α You didn't? 10 11 I don't believe so. 12 Okay. I'm going to show you just a snapshot of one of the 13 videos that you took. Okay? Α 14 Okay. 15 MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, unless he's admitting 16 it as an exhibit and/or his laptop as an exhibit I don't.... 17 MR. HEAD: I have a disc and the People produced 18 it, Your Honor. 19 MR. VAN NORMAN: That's fine. But he wants to, he 20 wants to show things that I presume he's going to try and 21 admit it as an exhibit off his computer. That's fine. 22 I'm lost as to --23 MR. HEAD: Why don't you give me a second, Dan,

MR. VAN NORMAN: I'm given him a half an hour.

Your Honor. Can I approach?

24

THE COURT: Well I guess that depends. If you're going to show her - are you going to want something marked so it becomes part of the record?

MR. HEAD: I may and I can produce it. The People produced it. I can just make a copy of it and produce it to the Court. It's a one minute, 3 second video. I'm not going to play the video. I'm going to produce a snapshot from the video. Do you object to that?

THE COURT: So is it just to - you're not offering it into evidence for me to see?

MR. HEAD: Yeah, I might. I want to show it to her first and then I might offer that snapshot, just this one as an exhibit.

THE COURT: Well again -

THE WITNESS: Well my whole video is there.

THE COURT: Just wait. Wait. It perhaps depends. If you're offering to - if you want show, to show to her to refresh her memory for instance, that's fine. Again if you want to enter it as an exhibit for me to see and consider I think we have to go a different route.

MR. HEAD: I can show -- I do want to probably admit it as an exhibit, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So which, if you're going to show it to her now what are we doing? Which one?

MR. HEAD: I would like to show it to her and then

admit, then offer it as an exhibit. 1 THE COURT: Okay. And do - are we going to mark it 2 then and have a disc, how are we going to do this? 3 MR. HEAD: I can email it to the court right now. 4 It's a 1 minute video. I'm really just asking for a 5 screenshot. I can take the screenshot and email it to the 6 7 court. THE COURT: Is this the disc that you have, Mr. Van 8 9 Norman? MR. VAN NORMAN: Yes, it is, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I just want to make sure for future 11 reference, I mean frankly Mr. Head I normally get a, it's old 12 school, but we normally get a disc, that somehow gets marked 13 14 MR. HEAD: Computers don't even come with those 15 16 anymore, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'm just letting you know I usually 17 don't have somebody offer their laptop. One step at a time. 18 Show it to her and see what we can accomplish. 19 MR. HEAD: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach? 20 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MR. HEAD: Thank you. BY MR. HEAD: 23 Ms. Woodruff, would you agree that this is the beginning of 24

one of the videos you took?

- 1 Yes. 2 And you would agree that that shows your entire face? 3 Α That is the red mark right there. 4 Do you see a red mark right there? 5 Α Yes. Do you see a difference in color between your left cheek and 6 7 your right cheek? 8 Yes. Do you wear glasses? 10 I do, but not for up close. 11 Are you wearing glasses right now? 12 Α No, but I am up close and I don't wear them for up close. 13 0 All right. And do you see red mark right there? Not on that one. Yep, that's the angle and the lighting I 14 15 see a quick.... You have to catch the exact track to see it 16 because the lighting - that's not - you can't see it there. 17 But once you start going over it you can see it. right there. 18 19 MR. HEAD: Your Honor, I can show you and then I'll 20 email the court --21 THE WITNESS: That's not the --22 THE COURT: I'm not looking. That's not the 23 exhibit.
 - MR. HEAD: May I move to admit I would like to move to admit this as Defense Number 1.

24

1		THE WITNESS: That's not the snapshot that you
2		showed me though. You showed me
3		MR. HEAD: I move to admit the first 5 seconds of
4		the video. Will you admit the first 1 second of the video?
5		THE WITNESS: Why don't you just show it all.
6		THE COURT: Mr. Van Norman.
7		MR. VAN NORMAN: May I ask some voir dire?
8		THE COURT: Yes.
9		MR. VAN NORMAN: I guess since it's been offered.
10		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
11	BY MR	. VAN NORMAN:
12	Q	Ms. Woodruff, does what's been shown to you accurately
13		reflect the photos or the videos that were taken on that day?
14	A	Kind of the lighting for that is not great because I was in
15		front of the window and I was
16	Q	So it's different lighting?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And that lighting is not the lighting that was present for
19		when the video was taken, originally taken?
20	A	Well that's the original video.
21	Q	Okay. But it's on his computer, correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Subject to whatever that computer may or may not do?
24	A	Yes.
25		MR. VAN NORMAN: The People object, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: The basis for the objection?
2	MR. VAN NORMAN: It's not an accurate
3	representation of the original.
4	MR. HEAD: Your Honor, we I'm sorry, may I
5	respond?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MR. HEAD: We can easily resolve that, I'll email
8	it to the court, the court can play it on any computer it
9	chooses. I see five of them.
10	THE WITNESS: I have the original on my phone
11	that's over there.
12	MR. HEAD: There's that too. That's the best
13	evidence.
14	THE COURT: Where do you want me to go?
15	MR. HEAD: It's a digital file. Your Honor, you
16	can choose which of these multiple screens you would prefer
17	to look at. I'm looking to admit the first 1 second.
18	THE COURT: So on that issue, are you willing to
19	have 1 second admitted or do you want the whole thing
20	admitted?
21	MR. VAN NORMAN: I'll go with 1 second.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Show me what you got.
23	MR. HEAD: Thank, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: I just to confirm, Mr. Van Norman, this
25	is a disc you have?

MR. VAN NORMAN: 1 It is. 2 THE COURT: Either disc or digital recording, 3 whatever. MR. VAN NORMAN: But it's not, that is now on his 4 5 - it is now situated on his laptop subject to whatever may or may not have been done by him or by the instrument itself. 6 7 MR. HEAD: Would you prefer to use yours? MR. VAN NORMAN: 8 No. 9 THE COURT: Can you represent to us that you haven't modified it somehow? 10 11 MR. HEAD: I have not modified the file in any way. 12 I have not changed any color settings or screen settings in 13 any way in months if not years. There's been no attempt to 14 manipulate or doctor the coloring --THE COURT: So you're going to show me what's 15 16 essentially a screenshot of a longer video to show that she 17 doesn't have a red mark? That's the purpose of all this, right? 18 19 MR. HEAD: Correct, Your Honor 20 THE COURT: Show it to me. 21 (At 2:41 p.m., court reviews screenshot) 22 MR. HEAD: Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 24 BY MR. HEAD:

Was that video taken before or after you took a photo of your

| face?

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 2 ||A The videos were after I took the photos before.
- 3 ||Q I'm sorry?
- $4 \parallel A$ I took the photos first and then I --
- 5 ||Q You took the photos first.
- 6 A -- took the video.
- 7 ||Q You took the photos first while Gene was chasing you around the kitchen?
 - He was no. He was at the I grabbed my phone, he was at the stairs blocking where the doorway was. He screamed for Austin to get his stuff down and he was standing there and I snapped the picture like in between the living room kitchen area, and then I walked into the kitchen by the couch and then looked at my phone and figured out, oh, wow I can get to the video, and I put video on and I stuck it in my hoodie.
 - Q I'm sorry. But you took I missed the part where you took a photo?
- 18 A I took the photo how did you miss that? I said --
 - Q I didn't hear you.
- 20 A -- I walked I picked up my phone off of the kitchen floor,

 I walked over to the carpet area to see where he was going,

 he was standing in front of the door office area yelling up

 the stairs to Austin, I took a picture of my face, then I

 went over into the kitchen, put the video on, stuck it in

 that way and walked this way.

- Okay. When you spoke to the police and you were describing your interaction and going to the ground, you actually told the responding officer that you thought Gene loosened up because he didn't want to hurt you, right?
- No. I said I thought he loosened up because he made me realized what he was doing.
- Q What does that mean?
- Like I don't even know if he was like, like he just went into a fit of rage. It was like, like he I didn't know what he was going to do because it was like he was just this mad man coming at me. And like he was screaming and then all of a sudden like he snapped back and realized, oh, shit. Because then when he got up he walked over to Austin and he said, we need to get out of here before I do something worse, so.
 - Okay. So I mean his size versus your size. Don't you think that if he actually tried to hurt you he could've?

MR. VAN NORMAN: Calls for speculation, Your Honor. And the fact that this is the first time I've heard in 40 years that his size or the disparity in size is a justification or a defense to something.

THE COURT: Mr. Head.

MR. HEAD: It goes to credibility. It's not a justification or a defense. It goes to the credibility of what she's testified to.

THE COURT: The question was because he is bigger

he could hurt you. I think it was --1 MR. HEAD: Yes. 2 3 THE COURT: -- more readily. I don't see how that's relevant. 4 MR. HEAD: It's relevant to the elements of the 5 charged offense, Your Honor. It's actually one of the three. 6 7 THE COURT: How big the Defendant is? MR. HEAD: No, Your Honor. The ability to cause 8 injury. That is a necessary element is the second of three. 9 So the ability to cause injury is absolutely relevant. 10 one of the elements of the charged offense. 11 12 THE COURT: Your question was, he could have 13 injured you if he wanted to, to that effect. MR. HEAD: I don't believe that was my question. 14 15 can reask it. 16 THE COURT: Please. BY MR. HEAD: 17 He certainly had the ability to injure you, correct? 18 19 Α Yeah. 20 But you left that encounter without any medical treatment, 21 right? Yes. But he's also --22 23 And you left that encounter without any, any request for an ambulance, right? 24 25 A Right. Right.

- 1 ||Q And you didn't have any bruising the next day?
- 2 A No.

- 3 Q So if he actually intended to physically harm you he would've.
 - A He did physically harm me. He assaulted me.
 - Q That's not what I asked. Do you not understand my question?
 - A You didn't ask a question. You said if he wanted to assault me he would've.
 - Q Okay. Maybe you didn't hear me.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to lodge an objection as to eliciting any testimony from her about his intention. And counsel as I'm sure aware, and as the court's aware, actual injury is not necessarily, not a necessary element for assault GBH or even AWIM for that matter.

THE COURT: Mr. Head.

MR. HEAD: Intent is. And lack of evidence of any intent is certainly relevant.

THE COURT: Sometimes we do but we don't always get the benefit of the Defendant saying you know I'm here because it was my intention to great bodily harm to you at this moment. It doesn't always happen that way. You don't get that clear expression of intent. You can ask her the question if you want.

MR. HEAD: Thank, Your Honor.

BY MR. HEAD:

certainly go to the germane issue of the ability and the intent to cause great bodily harm.

THE COURT: And she's testified that he had the ability. She's testified as to intent. She thought he was going to kill her. So we can dwell on this all you'd like but it seems to be we're kicking a dead horse.

MR. HEAD: I'll move on.

BY MR. HEAD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q During the interval of time after you both got off the ground and before Mr. Therrien left you did have a back and forth exchange conversation, right?
- ||A Yes.
- 13 | Q Between you and Mr. Therrien?
- 14 || A | Mm-hmm.
- And during that conversation you told him, you threatened that you were going to take his kids away, right?
- 17 || A No, I did not.
- 18 | Q You didn't?
- 19 | A No.
- 20 ||Q You weren't trying to antagonize him with your conversation?
- 21 || A No.
- 22 ||Q You weren't cussing at him during your conversation?
- 23 A No. I cussed at him in the video, yeah, but.
- 24 ||Q The video you recorded?
- 25 || A Yes.

1 So you recorded an interaction where you're cussing at Mr. Therrien? 2 3 Yeah, I believe I said the F word or something along that. And I said shit. I know I did that. 4 5 And you weren't trying to antagonize him? Q 6 No. He just beat me up. Was I supposed to be happy? Α 7 Were you afraid? 8 Yes, I was afraid. A So both are true, you were antagonizing him and you're 9 10 afraid? 11 Except I live with him and I know how his explosions go. 12 This happens; he freaks out, breaks things. 13 You know how to push his buttons, right? 14 Your Honor, this is --MR. VAN NORMAN: 15 THE WITNESS: Pushing somebody's buttons does not even give a right to for them to put their hands on anybody. 16 17 MR. VAN NORMAN: Ms. Woodruff, hold on. This is 18 getting argumentative. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, there's an objection. 20 Everybody stop. Just so everyone understands rules. 21 attorneys don't, listen up. Ma'am, when there's an objection 22 everything stops. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I hear from the attorneys, I make a

ruling if I need to. Let's just follow the rules. Mr. Head,

24

I appreciate your desire to try the case today. Let's move 1 2 on. 3 MR. HEAD: Thank, Your Honor. BY MR. HEAD: 4 You filed a custody law suit four days after the incident, 5 didn't you? 6 I don't know when it was I called --7 MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, this is completely 8 irrelevant and inappropriate. 9 MR. HEAD: It goes to credibility and motivation, 10 Your Honor. And People v Yost requires the court to access 11 credibility of the witnesses at the preliminary examination. 12 THE WITNESS: My daughter was a witness. 13 THE COURT: Ma'am. 14 15 MR. VAN NORMAN: Hold up. 16 THE COURT: Just, ma'am. 17 THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: So now we're going after the incident. 18 MR. HEAD: Almost immediately. 19 THE COURT: After the incident. 20 MR. HEAD: Yes. 21 MR. VAN NORMAN: Four days is not almost 22 immediately with all due respect, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: I really.... So apparently there are 24 children involved. My impression so far is there's not a 25

1	marriage but there's children involved. You're suggesting to
2	me now there's a custody battle. I'm not inclined to go down
3	that road very far. I already sense the level of alienation
4	between the parties predating the incident. What happens
5	after the fact is less relevant to me.
6	MR. HEAD: Okay. Fair enough. Your Honor, can I
7	just have a couple minutes?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. HEAD: Thank you.
10	BY MR. HEAD:
11	Q All right. After the after you guys both got up off the
12	ground, you grabbed your phone and were able to take a
13	picture and some videos, right?
14	A Mm-hmm.
15	Q Why didn't you call 911?
16	A Because he was in the house and if he heard me call 911 he
17	would've came after me.
18	Q Okay.
19	MR. HEAD: I don't have any other questions.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Van Norman.
21	MR. VAN NORMAN: I have no redirect, Your Honor.
22	Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You're excused.
24	(At 2:53 p.m., witness excused)

MR. VAN NORMAN: No further proofs, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Head, any proofs today?

MR. HEAD: We do not, Your Honor. Other than that

that one second video I can, I can submit that to the Court.

THE COURT: No testimony

MR. HEAD: Correct.

THE COURT: Mr. Van Norman.

Your Honor, the People would move MR. VAN NORMAN: to bind over on the original charge of assault with intent to commit great bodily harm.

I think the testimony has shown despite with lengthy cross-examination on a number of topics that something happened on January 7th of this year in Saginaw County, specifically two parties that were living together got in an argument.

As a result of that argument this Defendant who by his counsel's own questioning has demonstrated to be substantially larger and heavier than his victim, took that victim by the neck and at some point caused her to fall, put him - his full self on top of her and used his arms to in effect apply pressure to the neck.

Now I'm not, I'm not here to talk about strangulation because that's, there's not the necessary cut off of breathing. But great bodily harm is a different story.

In addition to that, Your Honor, this man who again

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

by his counsel's own questioning is substantially larger and heavier than this victim, punches her in the face at least, at least once, maybe twice and then it goes from there.

Now there's a lot of discussion about cussing and swearing and that sort of thing. Interesting I suppose if somebody that was 6 foot 4, and 240 hit me, I might not say the nicest things either. Certainly somebody in his victim's situation is very understandably inclined to use language that counsel takes issue with now.

Your Honor, addition - in addition to the original charge the People move to add a count of interference with communications device pursuant to MCL 750.540(5)(a).

Specifically the testimony of the Defendant's victim is that while she had a cellphone in her hand, he decided to try and take it from her, succeeded in taking it from her, and threw it into another room.

In other words, in the way I read the elements of that crime one of the options available or one of the options under is that the defendant prevented, obstructed, or delayed her ability to make the call on..., and then it lists a number of possible devices, any other electronic device, I would submit it's a cellular telephone.

So I think that count is appropriate to be added to this. I would ask the Court to bind over as charged with the misdemeanors to trail. Thank you.

THE COURT: If I could before I allow you to respond. 750.540, and you mentioned (5)(a) which is just the penalty provision.

MR. HEAD: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I can't hear you. I apologize.

THE COURT: He referenced 750.540(5)(a), now (5)(a) is the penalty provision, so I'm trying to focus in on what part of the substance of the charge.

MR. VAN NORMAN: One moment, Your Honor. I'm sorry I read the -- I was giving the Court the PACC code. It is, yeah, 540 sub (5)(a), its --

THE COURT: It's the penalty provision.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Oh, the --

THE COURT: I'm, I'm looking for the substance of the charge that makes taking the phone that mom was calling to and breaking it, crushing it and throwing in the kitchen. Where does that fall in the statute?

MR. VAN NORMAN: Oh. Counsel, approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(At 2:57 p.m. to 2:58 p.m., conference at the bench)

MR. VAN NORMAN: Thank you for the clarification,
Your Honor. The statute as I understand it lists a number of
options. There's the cut, break, disconnect, et cetera, but

among them is - then we have read, copy messages, that's not applicable, make unauthorized use of, that's not applicable. But the last option is, prevent, obstruct, or delay by any means the sending, conveyance or delivery of any authorized communication, by or through, and then the options after that deal with the device. And the device in this instance would be an electronic medium of communication to-wit a cellular telephone.

His taking that phone from her, attempting to break it, and throwing it across the room certainly prevented, obstructed, and delayed the sending, conveyance, or delivery of an authorize communication.

It was sometime before she could get to the phone to call 911. It was sometime before she could get to the phone to do anything. And whatever she intended to do or didn't intend to do was delayed as the direct result of an action by the Defendant.

THE COURT: So it's not, just for my clarity, you want to charge not because mom was calling and she didn't answer, and their struggle ensued, but after the fact when he took the phone from her?

MR. VAN NORMAN: Well the fact that, the fact that there was an ongoing call is an additional.

THE COURT: So I'm trying to get you to tell me how you want to charge it.

MR. VAN NORMAN: I think I can charge both, Your Honor, but certainly the inter — the incoming call that she was attempting to do something with that he decided was not permissible in his world and then again took the phone from her after a struggle, or during it, struggling to take the phone from her.

MR. HEAD: Your Honor, I'd object. The argument does not conform with what the testimony was, at all. It's a very creative interpretation that's adding facts not in evidence.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Respectfully disagree, Your
Honor. You heard, you heard the victim's testimony. As she
had the phone as the call was coming in, as she took the
phone from her hoodie pocket he decided he didn't want her to
have it, he attempted to grab it from her, there was a
struggle, he succeeded in grabbing it from her, he then
attempted to break it and then threw it into another room.
So, yes, he did interfere with the call. Call it creative
you want to, it's there and it's in the evidence. I don't
care how creative he thinks it is. I think the evidence bears
it out.

THE COURT: So if I can back up for a second. You want to charge under subparagraph (4), right?

MR. VAN NORMAN: I don't have the same text the Court does. But I'll take the Court's --

THE COURT: Well that's what you were essentially quoting.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Right.

THE COURT: A person shall not willfully and maliciously prevent, obstruct, or delay by any means the sending or delivery. That subparagraph.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Correct.

THE COURT: Any further argument then?

MR. VAN NORMAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Head.

MR. HEAD: Thank you, Your Honor. With respect the motion to add the second felony charge for interfering with a communication device, Your Honor, the testimony was that Ms. Woodruff saw that her mom called, she pulled out the phone from her hoodie pocket and cancelled the call, and then went to put it back in her pocket. At that point there was no ongoing call. At that point Eugene grabbed his phone, he pays for it, he bought it. He pays the -- he pays the line.

THE COURT: Wait a second.

MR. HEAD: And then he tossed his phone --

THE COURT: Stop. Stop. We just got done saying we can't use facts not in evidence.

MR. HEAD: I apologize. You're right, Your Honor. He grabbed a phone.

THE COURT: The phone that was in her pocket.

MR. HEAD: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. HEAD: And then, and then he did but there was no ongoing call, that she had already cancelled the call.

She - the next time she did actually go to retrieve her phone she didn't make a call to 911, she decided to instead make recordings of her, her boyfriend.

So there was no interference with any communication. There was no attempted interference with any communication. She pulled out her phone, she cancelled a call. And it's not against the law to then, to toss a phone aside. She was not trying to make any communication at that time. He was not interfering with any attempt to make any communication, text message, phone call or otherwise.

Her next attempt to use the phone was not to make a communication, it was to record. So there was no interference with the communication that she voluntarily cancelled before he even went to touch her phone or his phone, whoever's phone.

So, Your Honor, it simply doesn't meet the elements of attempting to willfully, maliciously, prevent, obstruct or delay the sending, conveyance, or delivery of a communication. That's not what happened. That's not what the testimony was.

The testimony was that the call was cancelled and

it was only then that the phone was grabbed. That is not an interference with the sending of a communication. That does not comply with the statute, MCL 750.54 -(sic) subsection (4) which is what the People have cited to.

With respect to the rest of the charges, Your

Honor. The elements of the charged felony offense of assault

with intent to do great bodily harm less than murder, the

People have to prove three elements. They have to show

probable cause for the existence of these three elements:

First, that the defendant actually tried to physically injure the complainant. It's not enough to touch or simple assault, but must actually try to physically injure.

Second, the government has to show that at the time of the assault the defendant had the ability to cause an injury or at least that she believed that he did. We'll concede on that issue.

And, third, the government has to show that the defendant actually intended to cause great bodily harm. And while actual injury is not necessary, if there was an injury or not, that can be considered into evidence in deciding whether the defendant actually intended to cause great bodily harm.

Now here there's no evidence that he actually tried to cause physical injury. And it is important to look at the

actual reality and the facts and circumstances that occurred on that day. And it's readily apparent that the size differential is important. Had he actually tried he could have and would have injured. It is not enough for a simple assault. That's not what's charged. They have to show more. They have to show actual evidence of an attempt, where the defendant tried to physically injure. They have not shown that today.

2.2

And finally the last element is that the defendant intended to cause great bodily harm. And in that the court can consider the fact that there was no injury. And if there were an injury that could also be considered to discern and decide that element.

Here it's very important that there was no injury.

Because that is evidence that there was no intent to cause great bodily harm. And that's also consistent with the testimony from Ms. Woodruff where she says that he, he all of a sudden stopped, maybe he realized what he was doing and he got up. There's no evidence that he actually intended to injure, much less intended to cause, not only injure, but cause great bodily harm. There's simply no evidence of those two elements that are necessary to bind over on the charged offense.

So the People have not met their burden. And the Court should deny the bind over on both of the requested

felony charges.

THE COURT: Mr. Van Norman.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Respectfully disagree. You have a similar - ignoring his discussion was the Defendant's initial act when he approached his victim and as you heard rather emotionally, very emotionally and rather graphically, put both of his hands around her neck. Now whether he succeeded in strangling her or whatever or not, there's an act there.

We can see her -- Counsel conceded after proving it the disparity in size, subsequently after taking her by the neck he puts her to the ground and lays on top of her or gets on top of her and has both of his arms situated so that they are applying pressure to the neck.

As Counsel has conceded, actual injury isn't necessary. But what we have here are at least two acts, make it three or four because we have the punches to the face, punch or punches to the face that left red marks or not, depending on which computer you look at.

But we have a number of acts by this Defendant that certainly had the ability given what's acknowledged already, as his disparity in size to cause injury, what his intent was whether he snapped out of something, or whether he intended to do it and changed his mind or whether he intended and failed, that's a question for the jury, Your Honor.

Respectfully I think bind over is appropriate on both the original charge and the other one. Thank you.

1.9

THE COURT: So to the Defendant is charged is Count

1 with Assault with Intent to do Great Bodily Harm less than

Murder or by Strangulation, and the complaint on its face

alleges that the Defendant did make an assault upon Amy Sue

Woodruff by strangulation or suffocation.

The statute MCL 750.84 indicates that: A person who does either of the following is guilty of a felony, et cetera.

So paragraph (a): Assaults another person with intent to do great bodily harm, less than murder. And (b), separately: Assaults another person by strangulation or suffocation. Two distinct aspects of the crime.

Under the statute in subparagraph (2):
...strangulation or suffocation intentionally impeding normal
breathing or circulation of the blood by applying pressure to
the throat or neck, or by blocking the nose or mouth of
another person.

So you can look the case down either path, but as charged is it by strangulation or suffocation.

The purpose of today's hearing is to determine whether or not there's probable cause to believe the defendant committed the felony offense as charged.

And I should then bring in also the Interference of

Electronic Communication Device in violation of MCL 750.540(4). Which as counsel has read, indicates that: A persona shall not willfully and maliciously prevent, obstruct, or delay by any means the sending, conveyance, or delivery of an authorized communication, by or through a number of different types of electronic devices.

In any event, we need to determine whether there's probable cause today not proof beyond a reasonable doubt. As counsel knows probable cause is a fairly modest standard signifying evidence sufficient to cause a person of ordinary prudence and caution to conscientiously entertain the reasonable belief of the accused guilt.

Probable cause may be established by direct evidence, testimony, or documents, or exhibits or by circumstantial evidence and reasonable inferences arising from the evidence.

As to the electronic communication device. Counsel makes a compelling argument, counsel meaning Mr. Head, makes a compelling argument, depending on what the facts are. And while you were making arguments, going back through my notes. And these are just notes, so it's not the transcript. But I've got she didn't disconnect, she silenced her phone. That's perhaps a different twist than mom called, I cancelled it, I'm now in - no one is trying to come in, I'm not trying to go out, the phone is just dormant in my pocket. If she

silenced the phone and as she testified the Defendant took
the phone, smashed it over his knee, and threw it into the
kitchen then I think there's probable cause to believe that
750.540 has been violated.

As to the assault, again as charged, I don't think I even need to wade into who is bigger than who, how red was the red mark. All of those factual intensive questions, but whether or not she was strangled or suffocated, which as I read the definition she said not on one occasion but on two occasions he had throttled her. She was having a hard time speaking. Her voice was becoming softer and softer. She didn't black out. But we're going down that path. I think there's certainly probable cause to believe that he committed that offense also.

So he'll be bound over to Circuit Court on the two felonies, both as charged and as amended, plus the two misdemeanors that will follow.

While we're here I want to review bond and make sure there's no problems there. I know he's still on GPS.

MR. HEAD: Yes, Your Honor. We'd like to address bond.

THE COURT: Let me first find out. Mr. Van Norman, are you aware of any problems with bond?

MR. VAN NORMAN: I've not been made - I've not been made aware of any problems. I've not been made any

aware of any problems with bond since, I know it was - I think it was amended once relative to some scheduling.

THE COURT: Right. Mr. Head.

MR. HEAD: Thank, Your Honor. Mr. Therrien has now been bond with a tether for almost 3 months, next week it will be 3 months. There's been no issues, no violations, no alleged violations.

Mr. Therrien regularly works with the public. He owns a roofing and siding, and window company. He regularly has to climb ladders. He just had to climb one yesterday in front of a customer. There's absolutely no need for him to be wearing a tether. Both individuals are represented by counsel in civil proceedings. There are no allegations or problems in that regard. Mr. Therrien has no desire to have any contact with Ms. Woodruff at all, except with counsel as he has for the last three months.

It's not in Ms. Woodruff's interest for his business to be interrupted by having to wear a tether and the stigma that comes from it when working with the public. It could interfere with his business relationships, and his ability to do business.

THE COURT: So your argument is that she's financially advantaged to have the tether taken off?

MR. HEAD: She is. Absolutely, Your Honor. She absolutely is. But, but regardless of that fact there's no

violations, there's no need to continue it. He has no intention or desire to try to speak with her. The only reason to continue it at this point would be punitive. And that's not what the condition is for, Your Honor. So we'd ask for it to be removed.

THE COURT: Mr. Van Norman.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Your Honor, I'm advised that the Defendant's employment primarily consists of employment from his home. So either that's, the tether certainly doesn't interfere with his ability to do that.

The other thing that I heard is that somehow, and keeping in mind this is the first four days of April, that somehow in the last few days, maybe the end of March or the end of winter, that he had it on his leg and was on a ladder and there was a customer there. Now if a customer is looking up his leg in March on a jobsite I submit there's bigger problems here that the presence of the tether has nothing to do with whatsoever.

MR. HEAD: Maybe it's just me. When I have a roofer at my house I'm outside with him. Yesterday when it's in the 60 or upper 50's in Michigan. Maybe that's just me. But it did actually interfere with his ability to do business yesterday and that's a fact.

THE COURT: So part of me responds, Mr. Head, there's been no problems because the tether is working. What

I've heard today is very unsettling. I live in a different world. People don't treat each other the way I've heard today, much less people who are married or live together for a long time, have children together. This doesn't happen in my world. People don't talk the way I've heard people talk today, not in private, not ever.

So with due respect I'm going to deny the request. Thank you.

MR. VAN NORMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

(At 3:17 p.m., proceeding concluded)

STATE OF MICHIGAN COUNTY OF SAGINAW I certify that this transcript, consisting of 63 pages, is a complete, true, and correct transcript, of the Preliminary Examination proceedings and testimony taken in this case, Eugene Warren Therrien, District Court Case Number 23-106-FY on Tuesday. April 4, 2023. CAROLYNN BENAVIDES CER 8145 Certified Electronic Recorder 111 S. Michigan Avenue Saginaw, MI 48602 (989) 790-5365 Dated: April 25, 2023