

Dimerization in GPCR mobility and signaling

Martin J Lohse^{1,2}

Many types of cell surface as well as intracellular DNA-binding receptors exist and function as dimers; formation of homodimers or heterodimers appears to not only provide molecular mechanisms for agonist-induced activation but also increase specificity of ligand recognition and versatility of downstream signaling. G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) were long thought to be an exception, but in recent years a lot of evidence has accumulated that GPCRs also *can* form dimers, even though it is far from certain when and where they actually *do* so under physiological conditions. Dimerization of GPCRs does not generally seem to be required for ligand recognition or signaling. However, dimerization may serve to affect receptor mobility at the cell surface and in intracellular trafficking, and may be involved in and affect their signaling functions.

Addresses

¹ Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Versbacher Str. 9, 97078 Würzburg, Germany

² Rudolf Virchow Center, DFG-Research Center for Experimental Biomedicine, University of Würzburg, Versbacher Str. 9, 97078 Würzburg, Germany

Corresponding author: Lohse, Martin J (lohse@toxi.uni-wuerzburg.de)

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2010, **10**:53–58

This review comes from a themed issue on
 GPCR

Edited by Rafael Franco and Sergi Ferré

Available online 10th November 2009

1471-4892/\$ – see front matter

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI [10.1016/j.coph.2009.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2009.10.007)

Introduction

Most receptors exist and function as dimers — this is true for cytosolic/nuclear DNA-binding receptors as well as for many families of cell surface receptors [1,2]. Dimerization can occur between identical (homodimerization) or different (heterodimerization) proteins, and both processes have been observed for receptors. There are many ways in which dimers can be formed. Interactions with entirely different types of proteins have been observed and dimerization may occur with just one or with a variety of partners, leading to a multitude of receptor homomers and heteromers as well as to homomeric and heteromeric receptor complexes [3].

Why would a receptor ‘want’ to dimerize? It appears that dimerization allows the receptor to acquire a number of

properties that a monomer does not have. Some of these are listed in Table 1. For example, both protomers within a dimeric complex can contribute to the binding site for the ligand, and thus the nature of the second protomer can determine ligand specificity of a receptor in a dimer. A prominent case for this are the receptors for transforming growth factor (TGF) superfamily members, which are composed of two classes of serine/threonine kinase receptors, termed type I and type II. The seven type I and five type II receptors together with the many ligands generate a plethora of distinct ligand/receptor complexes [4].

Moreover, many cell surface receptors have a single membrane spanning domain, and it is difficult to see how this can transduce a signal across the membrane; however, in a dimer the two moieties can change their relative distance or orientation and thus extracellular ligand binding can change intracellular properties of a dimeric receptor. This is the case for many receptor tyrosine kinases that can often be activated not only by their (monomeric or dimeric) ligands, but also by antibodies that cross-bridge two receptor monomers [5].

And third, an interaction of the intracellular parts of the two protomers may provide an activation mechanism, as in the case of tyrosine kinase receptors where the intracellular tyrosine kinase moiety of one protomer phosphorylates the intracellular part of the other protomer, which can lead to enhanced activity of the tyrosine kinases and to the creation of phosphotyrosines as docking sites for signaling proteins [6].

G-protein-coupled receptors have classically been perceived as receptors that do not ‘need’ to dimerize [1]; their heptahelical structure allows plenty of movements and rearrangements that permit a transfer of the activation signal across the cell membrane, and in fact such agonist-induced structural alterations have begun to be elucidated both in structural and in kinetic terms [7–11]. The tightly packed structure would also assure ligand specificity, and the docking of G-proteins to the intracellular face of receptors in their activated form provided a suitable transduction mechanism.

And in fact, recent data have confirmed that monomeric signaling of GPCRs is possible and occurs with physiological speed: single (i.e. monomeric) β_2 -adrenergic receptors as well as rhodopsin and μ -opioid receptors in small lipid vesicles have been shown to couple to their respective G-proteins [12[•],13,14], and monomeric rhodopsin in solution has been shown to activate its G-protein transducin at the diffusion limit [15[•]]. These

Table 1

Mechanistic effects of receptor dimerization	
Mechanism	Properties acquired by dimerization
Ligand recognition	Specificity through interactions of ligands with two subunits in a dimer Increased affinity through multiple contact points Increased ligand repertoire via different binding partners
Receptor activation	Changes in distance between subunits as an activation mechanism Crossphosphorylation as an activation mechanism
Signal transduction	Multiple contact points and specificities for intracellular signaling proteins Crossregulation of activity in protomers
Trafficking	Changes in cell surface delivery Crossregulation in receptor internalization
Cell surface mobility	Crossregulation in cell surface mobility

experiments indicate that indeed GPCRs do not need to dimerize in order to execute their basic function of transducing a signal from ligand binding to G-protein activation.

Evidence for GPCR dimerization

However, during the last two decades, an increasing number of observations have indicated that dimer and presumably higher order oligomer formation occurs in GPCRs. These observations are reviewed in more detail elsewhere in this volume [16]. Early findings have been reviewed in depth by Hébert and Bouvier [17]; they included functional complementation of dysfunctional receptors, coprecipitation of differently tagged GPCRs, dimer visualization in SDS-polyacrylamide gels and their disruption by receptor peptides. Even earlier, studies showing that GPCRs could be activated by antibodies or by antagonists bound to antibodies suggested that inducing GPCR dimer formation *per se* might activate GPCRs [18] — a hypothesis that is remarkably similar to the one made over 20 years later on the basis of class C GPCR crystal structures that moving the transmembrane cores of two receptors closer together constitutes their activation principle [19]. Another line of evidence for GPCR dimers was provided by radiation inactivation studies yielding unexpectedly high apparent sizes [17]. For example, the radiation inactivation size of the A₁ adenosine receptor was determined at ≈60 kDa [20], almost twice the size of the receptor protein determined by photoaffinity labeling at ≈35 kDa [21]. However, later studies showed that the radiation inactivation size was reduced to ≈33 kDa by guanine nucleotides [22], raising — in retrospect — the possibility that the large apparent size might be caused by a tight GPCR/G-protein interaction [23] rather than by a GPCR dimer.

Subsequent support for dimer formation of GPCRs was in particular obtained in FRET and BRET studies, employing either fusions of fluorescent and luminescent proteins to the receptors' intracellular C-termini (reviewed in [24]), or antibodies or tags that could be attached to the extracellular side of the receptors [25^{••}]. While there has been methodological criticism of the interpretation [26] and the experimental techniques [27,28] of these studies, there seems to be over-riding consensus that many GPCRs can form dimers, and that they do not only do this in overexpression systems but also *in vivo* [29]. However, in many instances proof for the physiological occurrence and function needs to be provided, and consensus criteria have been developed how this should be done [29].

Physiologically, the most evident case was the GABA_B-receptor, where a dimer between the GABA_{B1} and GABA_{B2} subunits is required both for cell surface targeting and for function [30], since GABA_{B1} binds the agonist (but does not couple) and GABA_{B2} couples to G-proteins (but does not bind agonist). FRET experiments using defined extracellularly labeled GABA_B-receptors have indicated that the receptors appear to form tetramers, with two GABA_{B2}-protomers being distant from each other, while the GABA_{B1}-protomers are close to each other (i.e. they presumably form the center of the tetramer) [25^{••}].

GPCR mobility and assembly

Most early data on GPCR dimers suggested that dimerization of GPCRs occurred early on in the biosynthetic pathway [31]. This was evident, for example, in the case of the GABA_B-receptor just mentioned, where the interaction of the C-termini of the B₁-subunit and the B₂-subunit is required for cell surface targeting, and also from studies of mutants of the V₂-vasopressin receptor that cause diabetes insipidus and are retained already as dimers in the endoplasmic reticulum [32]. This would indicate that such dimers are quite static. A static interaction would require either a very high affinity of two protomers for each other (presumably in the pM range), or a covalent S–S bond, which can be found in some class C GPCRs [33].

In order to address the dynamics of GPCR dimerization, we have employed fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments [34^{••}]. These experiments use receptors that carry a yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) at the extracellular N-terminus, or a cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) at the intracellular C-terminus. An area of the cell membrane is bleached, and the subsequent 'return' of fluorescence in this area is then monitored. Although at the microscopic level the mobility of GPCRs appears to be a complex phenomenon across small 'fenced' areas [35[•]], the overall return of fluorescent receptors into such a bleached area (the 'recovery')

appears to follow an exponential time-course [34^{••}]. Binding of an antibody to the extracellular YFP-tag markedly impaired the return of receptors into the photo-bleached area — while an unrelated receptor carrying an intracellular CFP returned rapidly. If, however, the YFP-labeled and the CFP-labeled receptors formed a dimer, then restricting the mobility of the YFP-labeled receptor also slowed the recovery of the CFP-labeled receptor. Such experiments cannot prove a direct interaction of the two labeled proteins, but they strongly suggest that the two ‘travel together’ in a microscopically small micro-environment.

Using such experiments, we observed that β_1 -adrenergic receptors (CFP-tagged at their C-terminus) were only moderately retarded in their recovery by an immobilized partner receptor (tagged with YFP at their N-terminus). This suggests that these receptors showed only transient interactions, either by dynamically forming transient dimers or by transiently associating in a larger complex of unknown nature, and challenges prevailing views about the static nature of GPCR dimers.

By contrast, β_2 -adrenergic receptors appeared to form large and stable oligomeric complexes. First, there was virtually no recovery of intracellularly CFP-labeled receptors when the extracellularly YFP-labeled receptors were immobilized — indicating a robust and long-lived interaction of the two. And second, a single immobilized β_2 -receptor seemed to be able to restrict the mobility of 8 (or even more) β_2 -receptors — suggesting that they were part of large complexes. Whether these complexes contained additional proteins or components is currently unknown; they might represent plain oligomers, but they might also contain specific scaffolding proteins or lipids. In this context, an interesting claim is that formation of B_2 -bradykinin receptor heterodimers, which have not been observed in most laboratories [36], may be facilitated by the expression of calreticulin, suggesting that GPCR dimerization might be regulated by additional proteins [37].

Using the same antibody-immobilization/FRAP technique, Fonseca and Lambert recently reported that D_2 -dopamine receptors also show only transient interactions with each other [38[•]] — a finding that contrasts with a biochemical report suggesting more stable, tetrameric structures of these receptors [39].

Larger oligomeric GPCR assemblies, initially suggested on the basis of much discussed atomic force microscopy images of rhodopsin ‘arrays’ in the retina (reviewed in [40]), have also been observed by other techniques, including energy transfer and fluorescence complementation studies, which suggest a tetrameric structure for the D_2 -dopamine [39] and also other GPCRs. The tetrameric structure of the GABA_B-receptor [25^{••}] has been men-

tioned above. An interesting hypothesis in the assembly of such larger structures comes from the A_2 -adenosine receptor, where it has been suggested that these receptors are synthesized and reach the cell surface as dimers and then form larger assemblies [41].

A recent study on the assembly of purified β_2 -adrenergic receptors upon reconstitution into phospholipid vesicles also observed a tetrameric structure [42]; interestingly, this structure was not affected by agonists, but inverse agonists induced tighter packing, raising the possibility that even stable oligomers are dynamic.

Signaling in GPCR dimers

GPCR dimerization and oligomerization have been mostly studied in terms of assembly and intracellular trafficking. A much less studied question concerns the effects that dimerization may have on receptor signaling. The most conclusive evidence in this field comes, again, from the GABA_B-receptor. As noted above, this receptor functions only as a heterodimer, where the B_1 -protomer is responsible for ligand binding and the B_2 -protomer for G-protein coupling and signaling. This indicates two major features: firstly, only one protomer in this dimer needs to be active and secondly, the signal must be able to be transmitted from one (the B_1) protomer to the other (the B_2). The first point appears to be a general one, and full activation by only one protomer in a GPCR dimer has been reported for mGlu receptors [43[•]], and also for leukotriene B_4 -receptors, where it has been suggested that the asymmetry in the dimer (with one protomer binding the agonist) is induced by binding of a single G-protein to the receptor dimer [44[•]]. On the other hand, in a careful analysis of signaling by mGluR5 homodimers Kniazeff *et al.* [45^{••}] observed that while agonist binding to only one protomer (of mGluR1) induced an active state of the receptor, binding to both protomers was required for full activity. Furthermore, the authors suggested that essentially the two protomers were symmetrical, and that activation and signaling could proceed within a single protomer or from one (ligand binding) protomer to another (G-protein coupling) protomer. This raises the possibility that depending on the specific dimer, only one protomer may be sufficient for ligand binding and signaling, while in other cases both protomers may contribute to signaling in a — more or less — additive manner.

In order to monitor the signal transfer within a heterodimer, we have used a FRET technology that permits the monitoring of conformational changes in a GPCR. Introduction of suitable labels — such as YFP and CFP, or FAsH and CFP — into the third loop and the C-terminus of GPCRs resulted changes in FRET between the labels, when such receptors, expressed and studied in intact cells, were stimulated by agonists [46[•],47]. This was investigated for the α_2 -adrenergic/ μ -opiate receptor dimer [48^{••}], which has been shown to occur *in vivo* [49].

When a FAsH/CFP-labeled α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor was coexpressed with a μ -opiate receptor, it acquired sensitivity to morphine [48**]. The labeled α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor alone responded only to noradrenaline (but not to morphine) with a decrease in FRET. However, when coexpressed with a μ -opiate receptor, the responsiveness to noradrenaline was still the same, but now the presence of morphine reduced this responsiveness to noradrenaline. Similar inhibitory effects were seen when G-protein activation was monitored, again with FRET methods. These data suggest that the active μ -receptor blocked the activity of the α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor — in line with the models mentioned above that only one receptor in a GPCR dimer is active. This inhibitory effect was very rapid (occurring with a half-life of less than 500 ms), suggesting that it was due to a direct protein–protein interaction rather than a competition for G-protein subunits [50] or other indirect effects.

Inhibitory interactions within a receptor dimer have very recently also been observed for the dopamine D₂ receptor using a functional complementation assay [51*]. These assays revealed — as in the case of the leukotriene B₄-receptor [44*] — that the minimal functional unit consists of two receptors and one G-protein. Crosstalk between the two receptors was shown by the observations that inverse agonist binding to the second protomer enhanced signaling, whereas agonist binding to the second protomer blunted signaling. Thus, these data support the view that in a GPCR dimer only one of the two protomers is active.

Movements within a GPCR dimer have also been studied using FRET technology by inserting the labels (CFP and YFP) into either the first or the second intracellular loop of the two protomers of the mGluR1 [52**]. Agonists increased this *intermolecular* FRET within between subunits that were labeled in the second loop, while it was decreased when both subunits were labeled in the first loop. These studies illustrated that agonist-induced rearrangement of the two protomers in a GPCR dimer may play a crucial role in the activation and signaling process. By contrast, an *intramolecular* rearrangement (in receptors labeled both in the first or second intracellular loops plus the C-terminus) was not detected. However, labeling mGluR1 receptors in the third intracellular loop — the standard site to detect *intramolecular* changes in class A GPCRs [46*,47,53,54] — disturbed membrane targeting. Therefore it is not clear, whether the lack of *intramolecular* rearrangements is a characteristic of these specific receptors (or more generally of class C receptors), or whether it was just not detected.

While Tateyama *et al.* [52**] reported agonist-induced changes in *intersubunit* FRET that occurred over several seconds, Marcaggi *et al.* [55*] recently reported FRET changes between the same mGluR1 subunits (labeled in the first intracellular loop) that were not only opposite in

direction to the changes reported by Tateyama *et al.* (i.e. an increase in FRET), but also faster by two orders of magnitude, with a half-time of less than 10 ms. This is not only about 100 times faster than the *intermolecular* kinetics reported by Tateyama *et al.* [52**], but also considerably faster than the 50–80 ms activation times observed with most class A *intramolecular* GPCR FRET constructs [11,46*,47,53,54,56]. Whether these fast kinetics are a property of the mGluR1, whether they are a characteristic of *intermolecular* FRET, or whether they are due to the fact that all these constructs were deficient in G-protein coupling, remains to be investigated. Interestingly, a noncompetitive inverse agonist caused no effect opposite to that of agonists, whereas competitive inverse agonists have been observed to induce inverse *intramolecular* FRET signals in class A GPCRs [57].

Taken together, these studies indicate that rapid agonist-induced conformational changes occur in GPCR dimers which permit not only activation of the dimer, but also signal transfer from one protomer to the other — usually apparently in an inhibitory manner. Inhibitory interactions have, furthermore, been uncovered between the dimers in the GABA_B-receptor tetramer [25**]. Disruption of these tetramers markedly increased signaling, suggesting that in a GABA_B-receptor tetramer only one of the dimers may be signaling. This is quite reminiscent of the situation in GPCR homodimers, where only one of the protomers appears to be signaling.

Conclusion and future perspectives

Although GPCRs can in many cases function as monomers, they often appear to assemble into dimers, and in some cases even tetramers and higher order complexes. Dimer assembly may occur during biosynthesis, as is certainly the case for receptors that only reach the cell surface in a dimeric form. But GPCR assemblies may also be more transient and dynamic — presumably just as a consequence of various affinities that the protomers in such an assembly may have for each other. The regulation and dynamics of these assemblies will be a major topic of future research.

An even more interesting question is how the protomers in such assemblies may affect each other. A pattern seems to be emerging that consists of several inhibitory mechanisms. Thus, these assemblies may represent a ‘reservoir’ of signaling that can be liberated upon disassembly. When and how this happens, and the molecular mechanisms of these processes, will be major questions for the future.

Acknowledgements

Research in the author's laboratory is supported by an Advanced Investigator Grant of the European Research Council, by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB487 and 688), and by a grant of the Fondation Leducq. The sponsors had no involvement in study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, writing or in the decision to

submit the paper for publication. The contributions of former and present coworkers to the research discussed in this review are gratefully acknowledged; in particular thanks are due to Moritz Bünemann, Sandra Dorsch, Carsten Hoffmann, Karl-Norbert Klotz, Viacheslav Nikolaev, and Alexander Zürn.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Heldin CH: **Dimerization of cell surface receptors in signal transduction.** *Cell* 1995, **80**:213-223.
2. Bain DL, Heneghan AF, Connaghan-Jones KD, Miura MT: **Nuclear receptor structure: implications for function.** *Annu Rev Physiol* 2007, **69**:201-220.
3. Ferré S, Baler R, Bouvier M, Caron MG, Devi LA, Durroux T, Fuxe K, George SR, Javitch JA, Lohse MJ *et al.*: **Building a new conceptual framework for receptor heteromers.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2009, **5**:131-134.
4. Heinecke K, Seher A, Schmitz W, Mueller TD, Sebald W, Nickel J: **Receptor oligomerization and beyond: a case study in bone morphogenetic proteins.** *BMC Biol* 2009, **7**:59.
- This interesting study on BMP receptors explains how heterodimerization of different receptor chains can create a plethora of distinct binding sites.
5. Choudhuri K, Parker M, Milicic A, Cole DK, Shaw MK, Sewell AK, Stewart-Jones G, Dong T, Gould KG, van der Merwe PA: **Peptide-major histocompatibility complex dimensions control proximal kinase-phosphatase balance during T cell activation.** *J Biol Chem* 2009, **284**:26096-26105.
- This paper shows that elongation of the ligand/receptor interaction sites reduces T-cell receptor activation, consistent with models of T-cell receptor triggering requiring segregation or mechanical pulling of the receptors.
6. Ferguson KM: **Structure-based view of epidermal growth factor receptor regulation.** *Annu Rev Biophys* 2008, **37**:353-373.
7. Rosenbaum DM, Rasmussen SG, Kobilka BK: **The structure and function of G-protein-coupled receptors.** *Nature* 2009, **459**:356-363.
8. Park JH, Scheerer P, Hofmann KP, Choe HW, Ernst OP: **Crystal structure of the ligand-free G-protein-coupled receptor opsin.** *Nature* 2008, **454**:183-187.
9. Scheerer P, Park JH, Hildebrand PW, Kim YJ, Krauss N, Choe HW, Hofmann KP, Ernst OP: **Crystal structure of opsin in its G-protein-interacting conformation.** *Nature* 2008, **455**:497-502.
10. Lohse MJ, Nikolaev VO, Hein P, Hoffmann C, Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M: **Optical techniques to analyze real-time activation and signaling of G-protein-coupled receptors.** *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2008, **29**:159-165.
11. Zürn A, Zabel U, Vilardaga JP, Schindelin H, Lohse MJ, Hoffmann C: **Fluorescence resonance energy transfer analysis of α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor activation reveals distinct agonist-specific conformational changes.** *Mol Pharmacol* 2009, **75**:534-541.
12. Whorton MR, Bokoch MP, Rasmussen SG, Huang B, Zare RN, Kobilka B, Sunahara RK: **A monomeric G protein-coupled receptor isolated in a high-density lipoprotein particle efficiently activates its G protein.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007, **104**:7682-7687.
- Demonstration that a single purified receptor, reconstituted into a very small phospholipid vesicle, is capable of coupling to and activating G-proteins, illustrating that monomeric GPCRs are functional.
13. Whorton MR, Jastrzebska B, Park PS, Fotiadis D, Engel A, Palczewski K, Sunahara RK: **Efficient coupling of transducin to monomeric rhodopsin in a phospholipid bilayer.** *J Biol Chem* 2008, **283**:4387-4394.
14. Kuszak AJ, Pithchiya S, Anand JP, Mosberg HI, Walter NG, Sunahara RK: **Purification and functional reconstitution of monomeric mu-opioid receptors: allosteric modulation of agonist binding by G₁₂.** *J Biol Chem* 2009, June 19 [Epub ahead of print], PMID: 19542234.
15. Ernst OP, Gramse V, Kolbe M, Hofmann KP, Heck M: **Monomeric G protein-coupled receptor rhodopsin in solution activates its G protein transducin at the diffusion limit.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007, **104**:10859-10864.
- Demonstration that in solution monomeric rhodopsin is capable of activating G-proteins at diffusion limited speed, illustrating full functionality of a monomeric GPCR.
16. Fuxe K, Marcellino D, Leo G, Agnati LF: **Molecular integration via allosteric interactions in receptor heteromers. History, concepts and function in neurons.** *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2009, in press.
17. Hébert TE, Bouvier M: **Structural and functional aspects of G protein-coupled receptor oligomerization.** *Biochem Cell Biol* 1998, **76**:1-11.
18. Conn PM, Rogers DC, Stewart JM, Nidel J, Sheffield T: **Conversion of a gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist to an agonist.** *Nature* 1982, **296**:653-655.
19. Tateyama M, Abe H, Nakata H, Saito O, Kubo Y: **Ligand-induced rearrangement of the dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 α .** *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2004, **11**:637-642.
20. Frame LT, Yeung SM, Venter JC, Cooper DM: **Target size of the adenosine Ri receptor.** *Biochem J* 1986, **235**:621-624.
21. Klotz K-N, Cristalli G, Grifantini M, Vittori S, Lohse MJ: **Photoaffinity labeling of A₁ adenosine receptors.** *J Biol Chem* 1985, **260**:14659-14664.
22. Reddington M, Klotz K-N, Lohse MJ, Hietel B: **Radiation inactivation analysis of the A1 adenosine receptor of rat brain. Decrease in radiation inactivation size in the presence of guanine nucleotide.** *FEBS Lett* 1989, **252**:125-128.
23. Klotz K-N, Lohse MJ, Schwabe U: **Characterization of the solubilized A₁ adenosine receptor from rat brain membranes.** *J Neurochem* 1986, **46**:1528-1534.
24. Milligan G, Bouvier M: **Methods to monitor the quaternary structure of G protein-coupled receptors.** *FEBS J* 2005, **272**:2914-2925.
25. Maurel D, Comps-Agrar L, Brock C, Rives ML, Bourrier E, Ayoub MA, Bazin H, Tinel N, Durroux T, Prézeau L *et al.*: **Cell-surface protein-protein interaction analysis with time-resolved FRET and snap-tag technologies: application to GPCR oligomerization.** *Nat Methods* 2008, **5**:561-567.
- Employing extracellular tags ('snap' and 'clip') or that can be labeled with fluorescent dyes, the authors show dimerization and tetramerization of mGlu₆-receptors and GABA_B-receptors.
26. Chabre M, Deterre P, Antonny B: **The apparent cooperativity of some GPCRs does not necessarily imply dimerization.** *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2009, **30**:182-187.
27. James JR, Oliveira MI, Carmo AM, Iaboni A, Davis SJ: **A rigorous experimental framework for detecting protein oligomerization using bioluminescence resonance energy transfer.** *Nat Methods* 2006, **3**:1001-1006.
28. Lohse MJ: **G protein-coupled receptors: too many dimers?** *Nat Methods* 2006, **3**:972-973.
29. Pin J-P, Neubig R, Bouvier M, Devi L, Filizola M, Javitch JA, Lohse MJ, Milligan G, Palczewski K, Parmentier M, Spieding M: **International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXVII. Recommendations for the recognition and nomenclature of G protein-coupled receptor heteromultimers.** *Pharmacol Rev* 2007, **59**:5-13.
30. Kaupmann K, Malitschek B, Schuler V, Heid J, Froestl W, Beck P, Mosbacher J, Bischoff S, Kulik A, Shigemoto R *et al.*: **GABA_B-receptor subtypes assemble into functional heteromeric complexes.** *Nature* 1998, **396**:683-687.
31. Bouvier M: **Oligomerization of G-protein-coupled transmitter receptors.** *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2001, **2**:274-286.
32. Zhu X, Wess J: **Truncated V₂ vasopressin receptors as negative regulators of wild-type V₂ receptor function.** *Biochemistry* 1998, **37**:15773-15784.

33. Gurevich VV, Gurevich EV: **How and why do GPCRs dimerize?** *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2008, **29**:234-240.
34. Dorsch S, Klotz K-N, Engelhardt S, Lohse MJ, Bünemann M: **Analysis of receptor oligomerization by FRAP microscopy.** *Nat Methods* 2009, **6**:225-230.
Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) microscopy of receptors bearing different fluorescent tags was used to investigate, whether receptors move together at the cell surface. β_1 -Adrenergic receptors were found to show transient interactions, whereas β_2 -adrenergic receptors formed stable larger complexes.
35. Suzuki K, Ritchie K, Kajikawa E, Fujiwara T, Kusumi A: **Rapid hop diffusion of a G-protein-coupled receptor in the plasma membrane as revealed by single-molecule techniques.** *Biophys J* 2005, **88**:3659-3680.
Single molecule analysis of GPCRs revealed that receptors are freely mobile only in tiny areas at the cell surface, but may hop from one area into another.
36. Hansen JL, Hansen JT, Speerschneider T, Lyngsø C, Erikstrup N, Burstein ES, Weiner DM, Walther T, Makita N, Iiri T *et al.*: **Lack of evidence for AT₁R/B₂R heterodimerization in COS-7, HEK293, and NIH3T3 cells: how common is the AT₁R/B₂R heterodimer?** *J Biol Chem* 2009, **284**:1831-1839.
37. Abd Alla J, Reeck K, Langer A, Streichert T, Quitterer U: **Calreticulin enhances B₂ bradykinin receptor maturation and heterodimerization.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2009, **387**:186-190.
38. Fonseca JM, Lambert NA: **Instability of a class A G protein-coupled receptor oligomer interface.** *Mol Pharmacol* 2009, **75**:1296-1299.
Using the same approach as in Ref. [34**] it was observed that D₂-dopamine receptors show transient interactions when expressed in HEK293 cells.
39. Fotiadis D, Jastrzebska B, Philippsen A, Müller DJ, Palczewski K, Engel A: **Structure of the rhodopsin dimer: a working model for G-protein-coupled receptors.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2006, **16**:252-259.
40. Guo W, Urizar E, Kralikova M, Mobarec JC, Shi L, Filizola M, Javitch JA: **Dopamine D₂ receptors form higher order oligomers at physiological expression levels.** *EMBO J* 2008, **27**:2293-2304.
41. Vidi PA, Chen J, Irudayaraj JM, Watts VJ: **Adenosine A_{2A} receptors assemble into higher-order oligomers at the plasma membrane.** *FEBS Lett* 2008, **582**:3985-3990.
42. Fung JJ, Deupi X, Pardo L, Yao XJ, Velez-Ruiz GA, Devree BT, Sunahara RK, Kobilka BK: **Ligand-regulated oligomerization of β_2 -adrenoceptors in a model lipid bilayer.** *EMBO J* 2009. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 19763081.
43. Hlavackova V, Goudet C, Kniazeff J, Zikova A, Maurel D, Vol C, Trojanova J, Prézeau L, Pin J-P, Blahos J: **Evidence for a single heptahelical domain being turned on upon activation of a dimeric GPCR.** *EMBO J* 2005, **24**:499-509.
This paper describes that activation of a single protomer is sufficient to fully activate a metabotropic glutamate receptor dimer.
44. Damian M, Martin A, Mesnier D, Pin JP, Banères JL: **Asymmetric conformational changes in a GPCR dimer controlled by G-proteins.** *EMBO J* 2006, **25**:5693-5702.
The leukotriene B₄-receptor forms a homodimer binding to a single G-protein, and it is proposed that the G-protein induces an asymmetric state where only one receptor protomer is activated to transmit a signal.
45. Kniazeff J, Bessis A-S, Maurel D, Ansanay H, Prézeau L, Pin J-P: **Closed state of both binding domains of homodimeric mGlu receptors is required for full activity.** *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2004, **11**:706-713.
Using a number of mGluR5 receptor mutants and the expression control system of the GABA_B receptors to produce defined dimers, the authors observe that activation of one protomer in a receptor dimer is sufficient activation and signaling, but that agonist binding to both protomers is necessary for full activity.
46. Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M, Krasel C, Castro M, Lohse MJ: **Measurement of the millisecond activation switch of G-protein-coupled receptors in living cells.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2003, **21**:807-812.
This is the first study investigating GPCR activation in intact cells using intramolecular FRET.
47. Hoffmann C, Gaietta G, Bünemann M, Adams S, Oberdorff-Maass S, Behr B, Vilardaga JP, Tsien RY, Ellisman MH, Lohse MJ: **A FIAH-based FRET approach to determine G-protein coupled receptor activation in living cells.** *Nat Methods* 2005, **2**:171-176.
48. Vilardaga JP, Nikolaev VO, Lorenz K, Ferrandon S, Zhuang Z, Lohse MJ: **Conformational cross-talk between α_{2A} -adrenergic and μ -opioid receptors controls cell signaling.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2008, **4**:126-131.
Activation of an α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor carrying two fluorescent labels was monitored by FRET. Simultaneous activation of a coexpressed μ -opioid receptor inhibited the α_{2A} -receptor activation, suggesting a negative signal across a GPCR dimer.
49. Jordan BA, Gomes C, Rios J, Filipovska J, Devi LA: **Functional interaction between μ -opioid and α_{2A} -adrenergic receptors.** *Mol Pharmacol* 2003, **64**:1317-1324.
50. Quitterer U, Lohse MJ: **Crosstalk between G α_i - and G α_q -coupled receptors is mediated via G $\beta\gamma$ exchange.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1999, **96**:10626-10631.
51. Han Y, Moreira IS, Urizar E, Weinstein H, Javitch JA: **Allosteric communication between protomers of dopamine class A GPCR dimers modulates activation.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2009, **5**:688-695.
In the minimal functional unit consisting of two receptors and one G-protein only one of the two receptors is activated and signals to the G-protein.
52. Tateyama M, Abe H, Nakata H, Saito O, Kubo Y: **Ligand-induced rearrangement of the dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 α .** *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2004, **11**:637-642.
This study using fluorescently labeled subunits of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 demonstrates that in a class C GPCR dimer agonists induce a movement of the two GPCR subunits relative to each other.
53. Nikolaev VO, Hoffmann C, Bünemann M, Lohse MJ, Vilardaga JP: **Molecular basis of partial agonism at the neurotransmitter α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor and G $\beta\gamma$ -protein heterotrimer.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281**:24506-24511.
54. Rochais F, Vilardaga JP, Nikolaev VO, Bünemann M, Lohse MJ, Engelhardt S: **Real-time optical recording of β_1 -adrenergic receptor activation reveals supersensitivity of the Arg389 variant to carvedilol.** *J Clin Invest* 2007, **117**:229-235.
55. Marcaggi P, Mutoh H, Dimitrov D, Beato M, Knöpfel T: **Optical measurement of mGluR1 conformational changes reveals fast activation, slow deactivation, and sensitization.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:11388-11393.
FRET between two fluorescently labeled subunits of the mGluR1 increases in response to agonists, suggesting a movement of the subunits toward each other.
56. Hein P, Rochais F, Hoffmann C, Dorsch S, Nikolaev VO, Engelhardt S, Berlot CH, Lohse MJ, Bünemann M: **Gs activation is time-limiting in initiating receptor-mediated signaling.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281**:33345-33351.
57. Vilardaga JP, Steinmeyer R, Harms GS, Lohse MJ: **Molecular basis of inverse agonism in a G protein-coupled receptor.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2005, **1**:25-28.