

Topological Equivariant Artist Model for Visualization Library Architecture

Hannah Aizenman, Thomas Caswell, and Michael Grossberg, *Member, IEEE*,

Abstract—The abstract goes here.

Index Terms—

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper uses methods from algebraic topology and category theory to develop a model of the transformation from data to graphical representation. This model provides a language to specify how data is structured and how this structure is carried through in the visualization, and serves as the basis for a functional approach to implementing visualization library components. Topology allows us to describe the structure of the data and graphics in a generalizable, scalable, and trackable way. Category theory provides a framework for separating the transformations implemented by visualization libraries from the various stages of visualization and therefore can be used to describe the constraints imposed on the library components [?], [?]. Well constrained modular components are inherently functional [?], and a functional framework yields a library implementation that is likely to be shorter, clearer, and more suited to distributed, concurrent, and on demand tasks [?]. Using this functional approach, this paper contributes a practical framework for decoupling data processing from visualization generation in a way that allows for modular visualization components that are applicable to a variety of data sets in different formats. **is it OK that this is something reviewer 4 wrote**

2 RELATED WORK

This work aims to develop a model for describing visualization transformations that can serve as guidance for how to architecture a general purpose visualization library. We define a general purpose visualization library as one that provides non domain specific building block components [?] for building visualizations, for example functions for converting data to color or encoding data as dots. We propose that visualization library components should preserve continuity and equivariance, which we define as

- H. Aizenman and M. Grossberg are with the department of Computer Science, City College of New York.
E-mail: haizenman@ccny.cuny.edu, mgrossberg@ccny.cuny.edu
- Thomas Caswell is with National Synchrotron Light Source II, Brookhaven National Lab
E-mail: tcaswell@bnl.gov

Manuscript received X XX, XXXX; revised X XX, XXXX.


continuity how elements in a dataset are organized, e.g. discrete rows in a table, networked nodes, pixels in an image, points on a line
equivariance data transformations that have an equivalent effect on the graphical representation, e.g. rotating a matrix has a matching rotation of the image, translating the points on a line has a matching visual shift in the line plot
10 In ?? we discuss how data continuity influences visualization library architecture and in ?? we summarize how visualization researchers have approached equivariance.

13

2.1 Continuity

15

16



(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 1: Continuity is how elements in a data set are connected to each other, which is distinct from how the data is structured. The rows in (a) are discrete, therefore they have discrete continuity as illustrated by the discrete dots. The gaussian in (b) is a 1D continuous function, therefore the continuity of the elements of the gaussian can be represented as a line on an interval (0,1). In (c), every element of the globe is connected to its nearest neighbors, which yields a 2D continuous continuity as illustrated by the square.

32

Continuity describes elements in a data set are organized; this concept is termed topological properties by Wilkinson [?]. Wilkinson provides the examples of values that are isolated from each other, and therefore discrete, and values lying on a continuum or in a compact region. For example, in ??, each station record in the table is independent of the others; therefore, the continuity of the table is discrete. The data provided by the gaussian are points sampled along the curve, therefore the continuity of the points on the line is 1D continuous. Every point on the globe is connected to

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

its 6 nearest cardinal neighboring points (NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W). We propose that a robust model of continuity provides a way to develop library components that can work on the data in small pieces in a manner where the overall continuity of the data is preserved in the visual transformation.



Fig. 2: Continuity is implicit in choice of visualization rather than explicitly in choice of data container. The line plots in (b) are generated by a 2D table (a). Structurally this table can be identical to the 2D matrix (a) that generates the image in (c).

The preservation of continuity can be made explicit, as in the transformation of table to parallel coordinates in Ruchikachorn and Mueller [?], but is often expressed implicitly in the choice of visual algorithm (visualization type), as explored in taxonomies by Tory and Möller [?] and Chi [?].

For example, in ?? the same table can be interpreted as a set of 1D continuous curves when visualized as a collection of line plots or as a 2D surface when visualized as an image. This means that often there is no way to express data continuity independent of visualization type, meaning most visualization libraries will allow, for example, visualizing discrete data as a line plot or an image.

2.2 Equivariance

When introducing the retinal variables, Bertin informally specifies that continuity is preserved in the mark and defines equivariance constraints in terms of data and visual variables being selective, associative, ordered, or quantitative [?]. In the *A Presentation Tool*(APT) model, Mackinlay embeds the continuity constraint in the choice of visualization type and generalizes the equivariance constraint to preserving a binary operator from one domain to another. The algebraic model of visualization [?], proposed by Kindlmann and Scheidegger, restricts equivariance to invertible transformations.

2.3 Visualization Library Architecture

General purpose visualization libraries-such as Matplotlib [?], Vtk [?], [?], and D3 [?]-carry distinct data models as part




Fig. 3: Equivariance is that a transformation on the data has a corresponding transformation in the graphical representation. For example, in this figure the data is scaled by a factor 10. Equivalently the line plot is scaled by factor of 10, resulting in a shrunken line plot. Either a transformation on the data side can induce a transformation on the visual side, or a transformation on the visual side indicates that there is also a transformation on the data side.

of the implementation of each visual algorithm. The lack of unified data model means that each plot in a linked [?], [?] visualization is treated as independent, as are the transforms converting each field in the data to a visual equivalent.

Domain specific libraries can often guarantee consistency because they have a single model of the data in their software design, as discussed in Heer and Agarwal [?]'s survey of visualization software design patterns. For example, the relational database is core to tools influenced by APT, such as Tableau [?], [?], [?] and the Grammar of Graphics [?] inspired ggplot [?], Vega [?] and Altair [?]. Images underpin scientific visualization tools such as Napari [?] and ImageJ [?] and the digital humanities oriented ImagePlot [?] macro; the need to visualize and manipulate graphs has spawned tools like Gephi [?], Graphviz [?], and Networkx [?].

72

3 ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY & CATEGORY THEORY

73 potentially move this to appendix, push Butler & Vickers up to RW

76 Category theory can be used to express software specifications because it provides a method of expressing structure and how that structure can be composed [?] algebraic topology provides a rich language for expressing data continuity. 77 In this section, we introduce concepts from algebraic topology 78 and category theory that we will use in ?? to develop a 79 formal model of specifying visualization component.

80

3.1 Fiber Bundles

83 The model described in this work provides a model for 84 expressing data sets with different topological properties.

85

3.2 Fiber Bundles

86 We obtain this generality by using a mathematical structure 87 called a fiber bundles as the basis of our abstraction, as proposed by Butler, Bryson, and Pendley [?], [?]. As described

91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105

106

107
108

109
110
111
112
113
114
115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122




Fig. 4: A fiber bundle is mathematical construct that allows us to express the relationship between data and continuity. The **total space** E is the topological space in which the data is embedded. The **fiber** space F is embedded in E and is the set of all possible values that any **add big rectangle** E

by them, a fiber bundle is a formal model of the mapping between data points and the underlying topological space they lie in. For example, nodes on a network and the graph of the network, an image and the underlying grid at which the image is sampled, or table columns and the table index.

Formally, a fiber bundle is a mathematical structure (E, K, π, F)

$$F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} K \quad (1)$$

Definition 3.1. The **base space** K is a topological space, which means it is a set K with a collection of open sets [?] surrounding each element in the set. Open sets are a collection of subsets $\{U\}$ in a set K , including the empty set, the whole set K , and every union and intersection of its member subsets. For each point $k \in K$, there is a collection of subsets $\{U\} \subset K$ such that $k \in U \subseteq K$ [?], [?]

intuition about base space

Definition 3.2. The **fiber space** F is a topological space such that for every $k \in K$, the fiber over that point is isomorphic to the preimage of that point $F_k \cong \pi^{-1}(\{k\})$. All fibers F_k are homeomorphic to each other [?], [?]

The fibers can be thought of as encapsulating data types according to Spivak, who proposes that databases can be represented as fiber bundles [?], [?]. Spivak formulates the fiber as a (field name, data domain) simple schema where field names and field types can be formally mapped to the set of values associated with the field type, for example \mathbb{R} for a float column named *temperature*.

Definition 3.3. The **total space** E is the space reachable via the projection map π . The total space is always locally trivial, meaning $E = K \times F$ over any open neighborhood U_k .

The fiber bundle is trivial when $E = K \times F$ is true globally; a non-trivial bundle can be thought of as the twisted fiber product $E = K \times_{\pi} F$ [?], [?]. The twisting refers to the fiber F not aligning in the same direction over all $U \subseteq K$.

There is a map from a point on the base space K which returns values in the fiber space F . This map is called a section $\tau : K \rightarrow E$ of the fiber bundle

$$F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} K \quad (2)$$

$\tau \in \Gamma(K, E)$

and the set of all sections over K is denoted $\Gamma(K, E)$. Sections can also be defined locally, meaning over an open

set $U \subseteq E$ and the set of all sections over an arbitrary open set is $\Gamma(U, E)$.

$$\Gamma(U, E|_U) := \{\tau : U \rightarrow E|_U \mid \pi(\tau(k)) = k \forall k \in U\} \quad (3)$$

Butler et al proposed that [?], [?] sections could be used to model data sets since they are maps from a point on the continuity to a point in the fiber, and therefore they encapsulate both. The set of sections is the space of all data sets with the same continuity and fields.

3.3 Category Theory

In this work, we propose that equivariance constraints can be expressed using category theory. Vickers et. al [?] provide a brief introduction to category theory for visualization practitioners, but their work focuses on reasoning about visualization design, while this paper aims to provide guidance on designing visualization library components. Briefly we introduce concepts in category theory that we use to describe our model in ?? and ??.

Definition 3.4. A **category** \mathcal{C} consists of a collection of objects c with identity $\text{id}_c : C \rightarrow C$ and the set of morphisms between every two objects $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$, [?], [?].

The set of morphisms between objects is termed the hom set $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_1, C_2)$. The morphisms on the category compose

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{id}_{C_2} \\ & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \\ \text{id}_{C_1} & \curvearrowright & C_1 \xrightarrow{f} C_2 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow g \\ & & C_3 \\ & & \curvearrowleft \text{id}_{C_3} \end{array}$$

and are constructed such that the following axioms hold [?]:

associativity if $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$, $g : C_2 \rightarrow C_3$ and $h : C_3 \rightarrow C_4$ then $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$

identity for every $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ there exists identity morphisms $f \circ \text{id}_{C_1} = f = \text{id}_{C_2} \circ f$

Definition 3.5. An opposite category \mathcal{C}^{op} is a category with all the same objects of category \mathcal{C} and morphisms that are reversed. For example $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ in \mathcal{C} is $f : C_2 \rightarrow C_1$ in \mathcal{C}^{op} .


Definition 3.6. A functor is a morphism between objects of any two categories $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. A functor has the properties of identity and composition [?]

A functor preserves the structure of the category, namely morphisms and the source and target objects of those morphisms, composition of morphisms, and identity morphisms [?]. For example, the functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ maps an object in \mathcal{C} into an object in \mathcal{D}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{F} & F(c) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow F(f) \\ c' & \xrightarrow{F} & F(c') \end{array} \quad (4)$$

such that for every morphism $f : c \rightarrow c' \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$ there is a compatible morphism $F(f) : F(c) \rightarrow F(c') \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{D})$. Functors $F : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are called contravariant functors.

Definition 3.7. A **natural transformation** is a morphism of functors $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ where \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . [?], [?]



(5)

The natural transformation composes with a functor such that the output is a compatible functor between the same categories. This means that for every morphism $f : c \rightarrow c'$ between objects in \mathcal{C} , there is a commutating naturality square for the corresponding objects in \mathcal{D} [?].

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \alpha_c & & \\ & F(c) & \xleftarrow{F} & c & \xrightarrow{G} G(c) \\ F(f) \downarrow & \text{---} & f \downarrow & \text{---} & \downarrow G(f) \\ F(c') & \xleftarrow{F} & c' & \xrightarrow{G} & G(c') \\ & \alpha_{c'} & \curvearrowright & & \end{array} \quad (6)$$

unpack this a drop more

3.4 Sheaves

Sheaves provide a mathematical abstraction of data, the space it lives over, and relationships between subsets. Sheaves can be thought of as an "algebraic data structure" [?] for data that lives over topological spaces. A presheaf \mathcal{O} applied to fiber bundles is a map from an open set in a topological space, such as $U \subset K$ where (\mathcal{T}, K) is a topological space, to the set of sections of a bundle E over that open set [?], [?], [?].

$$\mathcal{O} : U \rightarrow \Gamma(U, E|_U) \quad (7)$$

The presheaf preserves the inclusion maps i between open sets and the restriction maps i^* between the sets of sections over that open sets.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(U_1, E|_{U_1}) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & \Gamma(U_2, E|_{U_2}) \\ \mathcal{O}_K \uparrow & \text{---} & \uparrow \mathcal{O}_K \\ U_1 & \xleftarrow{i} & U_2 \end{array} \quad (8)$$

This means that a smaller space U_1 is included in a larger space U_2 and a function in a set of global sections over a bundle $\tau \in \Gamma(U_2, E|_{U_2})$ that is continuous over a larger space U_2 is continuous when restricted to a subspace $\tau \in \Gamma(U_1, E|_{U_1})$, $U_1 \subset U_2$.

A presheaf \mathcal{O} over a limit of open sets that contain a point $k \in K$ is approximately the same as a sheaf over a point k and is called the stalk [?].

$$\mathcal{O}(K)|_k := \lim_{U \ni k} \Gamma(U, E|_U) \quad (9)$$

199 Sheaves are presheaves where sections are continuous 228
200 over all unions of open sets in K [?], [?], [?]. This means 229
201 that a section which is defined over a stalk $\tau \in \mathcal{O}(K)|_{k_i}$ and 230
202 evaluated at a point k_j is equal to a section in the stalk over 231
203 that point $\tau|_{k_j} = \tau_{k_j}$ 232

In category theory, a presheaf \mathcal{O} is generalized to be a 233
contravariant functor [?] from a category to a set. 234

$$\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad (10)$$

204 We can define natural transforms, introduced in ??, between 235
205 sheaves over different spaces, for example spaces repre- 236
206 senting the topology of the data and the graphic, because 237
sheaves can be moved over different topological spaces if 238
207 there is a map between those spaces. Given a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 239
between topological spaces X, Y and sheaves on each space 240
 $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Y$, we can construct a pullback functor f^* and push- 241
forward functor f_* [?] that can be composed with the sheaf 242
functors. 243

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_X(X) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & (f_* \mathcal{O}_X)(Y) & & \\ \mathcal{O}_X \uparrow & \text{---} & \uparrow \mathcal{O}_Y & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & \\ \mathcal{O}_Y \downarrow & \text{---} & \downarrow \mathcal{O}_Y & & \\ (f^* \mathcal{O}_Y)(X) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) & & \end{array} \quad (11)$$

207

208

209 As shown in ??, the pushforward $f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ modifies the sheaf 244
210 \mathcal{O}_X such that sections $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ can be evaluated over 245
211 points in Y 246

$$(s : x \rightarrow \text{Set}) \xrightarrow{f_*} (s_* : y \rightarrow \text{Set}) \quad (12)$$

214

215 while the pullback functor f^* modifies the sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y such 247
216 that sections 248

217 In this section we describe the properties a data to visual- 249
218 ization transform must satisfy to be considered equivariant 250
and continuity preserving. We propose that by explicitly 251
219 defining these properties, we can better incorporate them 252
220 into visualization library design. 253

221 We formulate the visualization transformation as a func- 254
222 tor, which we call the **Artist** A^1 . The artist A converts 255
223 **data**, which we denote \mathcal{E} to **graphics** \mathcal{H} . We formulate this 256
224 association as 257

$$A : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad (14)$$

225 In this section we encapsulate the structure of the data 258
226 and graphic spaces as categories. We then use these defini- 259
227 tions to express the structure that an artist preserves. Finally, 260
228 we introduce rules for composing artists in a way where 261
the structure between the inputs to the individual artists is 262
229 preserved. 263

230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266<br

4.1 Continuity

Fiber bundles, as introduced in ??, serve as the model of data and graphic spaces in our model. In this section, we introduce categorical formulations of the topological spaces that make up a fiber bundle.

We introduce the category \mathcal{K} to encapsulate the continuity of the data, for example if the data are discrete points, continuous lines, images, or networks.

Definition 4.1. The category of open sets \mathcal{K} consists of

- *objects* open sets $U_i \in \{U\}$ in the topological space (K, \mathcal{T}) , including the empty set \emptyset and the maximum set K .
- *morphisms* $\iota : U_i \hookrightarrow U_j$ for all $U_i, U_j \subset E$

We also introduce an analogous category \mathcal{S} that encapsulates the topology of the graphic, which is the topology of the rendering in the display space; for example, this rendering can be a 2D or volumetric image or a 3D print.

Definition 4.2. The category of open sets \mathcal{S} in the topological space (K, \mathcal{T}) consists of open set *objects* $W \in \{W\}$, including the empty set \emptyset and the maximum set H and *morphisms* $\iota : W_i \rightarrow W_j$.

The data space K is a deformation retract of the graphic topology S the letter that regions in S collapse to points in K such that the relative positions of the points are preserved [?]. One method of constructing the graphic space is by expanding the dimensionality of the data K to match the spatial dimensionality of the rendering by multiplying the data space by intervals I .




Fig. 5: Given a 2D screen display, the graphic space S must be 2D. It is constructed by multiplying the line K by the interval $[0,1]$ such that every vertical band in S corresponds to a unique point $k \in K$.

For example, as illustrated in ??, the display space is a 2D screen and the data space is a 1D line K . For that line to have any thickness, it must be rendered as a band. One method of obtaining this graphic continuity while preserving the constraint that it is a deformation retract is by multiplying the base space K by an interval $I = 0, 1$ such that $S = K \times I$. This construction ensures that every region $(k, \alpha) |_{\alpha \in I} \subset S$ maps to a corresponding point $k \in K$.

4.1.1 Data

clean up why sheaf As mentioned in ??, sheaves are a powerful tool for keeping track of data that lies over topological spaces. Therefore, we model the type of data an artist expects as a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_E : \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma(U, E|_U) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Set}) \\ \uparrow \mathcal{O}_E \\ U \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}) \end{array} \quad (15)$$

2The objects of **Set** in this sheaf are the sets of sections $\Gamma(K, E)$ 305
2introduced in ?? and the morphisms are the inclusion maps 306
2(ι, ι^*) introduced in ??.
267 To establish a mapping between graphic and data base 308
2spaces, we introduce the surjective map $\xi : S \rightarrow K$. 309

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{\xi^*} & \xi^* E \\ \uparrow \tau \quad \uparrow \tau \circ \xi & & \uparrow \xi^* \tau \\ K & \xleftarrow{\xi} & S \end{array} \quad (16)$$

273 such that the data continuity K is a deformation retract of S , 310
274 as expressed in ??.
275 As shown in ??, the map between spaces ξ can be 312
276 composed with section map τ such that there is a map from 313
2the graphic base space S to the fiber bundle in which the 314
2data lives E . Since there is a mapping from the graphic space 279
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334




Fig. 6: The map ξ^* is a map from a repetition of the 1D fiber over every point $k \in K$ in data space, turning the fiber into a 2D plane of repeating values over the corresponding region $k = \xi(s)$ in the graphic space S .

to the total bundle $\tau \circ \xi : S \rightarrow E$, the mapping ξ can be pulled through the composition such that there is a map ξ^* between a total space over graphics $\xi^* E$ and its equivalent space over data E . As illustrated in the example given in ??, a bundle E over a point $k \in K$ is pulled back through ξ [?] such that it is copied over every point in the corresponding region in graphic space $\xi(s) = k, s \subset S$. The pullback ξ^* can then be composed with the elements of the set of sections

$$\xi^* : \Gamma(K, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \xi^* E) \quad (17)$$

294 to generate a set of equivalent sections over the graphic 324
295 space $\Gamma(S, \xi^* E)$. As a consequence of ??, there exists a data 325
296 sheaf over graphic space 326
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334

$$\mathcal{O}_{\xi^* E} : W \rightarrow \Gamma(W, \xi^* E) \quad (18)$$

299 which is equivalent to 327

$$\mathcal{O}_{\xi^* E} : \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad (19)$$

301 **4.1.2 Graphic** 328
302 We model the space of all graphic generating functions over 329
303 a specific graphic topology $W \subseteq S$ and targeting a specific 330
304 display as a set of sections 331
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334

$$\Gamma(W, H|_W) := \{p : W \rightarrow H|_W \mid \pi(p(s)) = s \forall s \in W\} \quad (20)$$

The set of sets of sections $\{\Gamma(W, H)\}_{W \in \mathcal{S}}$ is subcategory of **Set**; therefore the full space of graphics is a sheaf

$$\mathcal{O}_H : W \rightarrow \Gamma(W, H) \quad (21)$$

which means it is

$$\mathcal{O}_H : S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad (22)$$

4.1.3 Data to Graphic

Following from ?? and ??, we propose that the artist function A is a natural transformation of sheaf functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\xi^* E}} & \text{Set} \\ & \Downarrow A & \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_H} & \end{array} \quad (23)$$

where each sheaf is a map from an open set in the graphic base space $W \subset S$ into a set of sections of a fiber bundle over that open set. As defined in ??, the artist is a natural transformation. Following from ??, the artist specifies that there are compatible inclusion maps in the data and graphic spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{A}_{W_1} & & \\ \Gamma(W_1, \xi^* E) & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\xi^* E}} & W_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_H} & \Gamma(W_1, H) \\ \downarrow \iota^* & \cdots & \uparrow \iota & \cdots & \downarrow \iota^* \\ \Gamma(W_2, \xi^* E) & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\xi^* E}} & W_2 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_H} & \Gamma(W_2, H) \\ & & \mathcal{A}_{W_2} & & \end{array} \quad (24)$$

Given that $W \subseteq S$, ?? can be generalized to sections over S . This fact can be combined with ?? to describe the path from data sections over data space to graphic sections over graphic space

$$\Gamma(K, E) \xrightarrow{\xi^*} \Gamma(S, \xi^* E) \xrightarrow{A} \Gamma(S, H) \quad (25)$$

which means that the artist can be pulled back through ξ^*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\xi^*} & S^{\text{op}} \\ & \Downarrow A & \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_H} & \text{Set} \end{array} \quad (26)$$

such that the artist can be constructed over data space

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\xi^* E} \circ \xi^* = \mathcal{O}_E} & \text{Set} \\ & \Downarrow \mathcal{A}_{\xi^*} & \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_H} & \end{array} \quad (27)$$

which in turn provides a way to construct the artist as a map from data section in data space to corresponding graphic section

²Definition 3.68 in Seven Sketches in Compositionality by Fong and Spivak

3.4.2 Equivariance

Besides preserving inclusions, as described in ??, the artist function is constructed such that transformations on τ and ρ are equivariant with respect to the morphism between data sheaf functors φ which was introduced in ??.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 338 & \Gamma(U_i, E) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Set}) & \\ & \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}(E) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}(E) \\ U_i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{K}^{\text{op}}) \end{array} & \\ 339 & \text{The map } \varphi : \mathcal{O}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E) \text{ is any arbitrary morphism} & 360 \\ 340 & \text{between sheaf functors } \mathcal{O}(E). \text{ Following from ??, } \varphi \text{ is a natural} & 361 \\ 341 & \text{transform, which means all inclusions } \iota, \iota^* \text{ are preserved as} & 362 \\ 342 & \text{part of any } \varphi \text{ transformation.} & 363 \end{array} \quad (28)$$

3.4.3 Definition 4.3. The fiber category \mathcal{F} is a monoidal category ??, which means it is a category equipped with a bifunctor $\otimes : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$

- *object* a monoid object F . A monoid is a set with a binary operator that is associative, closed, and for which the set contains an identity element i [?].
- *morphisms* $F \otimes F \rightarrow F$ and unit $i \rightarrow F$

The bifunctor \otimes combines categories in a way that preserves identity and composition [?]. For example, given a pair of categories $\mathcal{F}_L, \mathcal{F}_R$, the bifunctor yields a set of pairs $\{(F_a, F_b) | F_a \in \mathcal{F}_L, F_b \in \mathcal{F}_R\}$. For the associated morphisms $g : \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L$ and $h : \mathcal{F}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_R$, the function $(g \times h) : (\mathcal{F}_L \times \mathcal{F}_R) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_L \times \mathcal{F}_R)$ is pointwise $(g \times h)(F_a, F_b) := (g(F_a), h(F_b))$. Because of the bifunctor, objects of the category \mathcal{F} can be the product of any arbitrary number and types of categories, including **Set**, **Graph**, and topological spaces **Top**.

The set of all morphism of the fiber category $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(F, F)$ are all possible morphisms in a given category. This includes any of the functions that serve as the basis of equivariance, such as the binary operations, group actions, and measurement scale discussed in ?? . The generalization to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}$ also allows for the inclusion of structures such as monoid actions [?], which provide a way of applying partial order relations to data [?], such as to build multi-ranked indicators [?].

When a fiber bundle is trivial, we can dispense with localization and directly consider the fiber maps $\text{Hom}(F_k, F_k)$ over a point k as the basis of our equivariance. The fiber space over a point is embedded in the stalk, introduced in

over that point $F_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k$. The sheaf maps φ restricted to the stalk are members of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(F, F)$. The maps $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(F, F)$ include the types of data transformations described in ?? In ??, we assume that the fiber bundles are trivial.

It follows from ?? that an arbitrary morphism φ on the data sheaf has a compatible morphism φ' on the graphic sheaf.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 352 & \mathcal{O}_E \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{\xi^*}} \mathcal{O}_H & \\ 353 & \varphi \downarrow & \downarrow \varphi' := \mathcal{A}_{\xi^*}(\varphi) \\ 354 & \mathcal{O}_E \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{\xi^*}} \mathcal{O}_H & \end{array} \quad (29)$$

As a consequence of ??,

4.2.1 Equivariant Artist

valid ρ is determined by equivariance, which we haven't introduced yet, but we can introduce equivariance w/ just \mathcal{O}_H

$$\Gamma(W, H|_W) \supset \{\rho : W \rightarrow H|_W \mid A \circ (\varphi \circ A^{-1}[\rho]) = \varphi' \circ \rho\}$$

The set of graphics reachable through an artist function is a subset of sections of the full set of graphics $\{\rho\} \subset \Gamma(W, H|_W)$. These sets of reachable graphics over open sets W are also objects of **Set**. This allows us to formulate the graphic output by the artist as a sheaf

$$\mathcal{O}_A : S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad (31)$$

from the graphic base space to the set of reachable graphics.

have I said anything about stalks and fibers yet? this may need to be moved down...

$$A_{\xi^*} : \{\tau : k \rightarrow F_k \mid k \in U \subseteq K\} \rightarrow \{\rho : s \rightarrow D|_s \mid s = W \subseteq S\} \quad (32)$$

where, as defined in ??, $\xi(s) = k$ and ρ is in the set of reachable sections $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_A(S)$

the artists constructs a corresponding graphic generating function. maybe mention here Munzner, Zeminsky, and the other dude on glyphs map back to data possibly more here as this is the type signature of the thing we implement




Fig. 7: In ??, the input object \mathcal{O}_E encodes a continuous function over spaces E_a, E_b and overlapping space E_c . In this figure, the visual transformation is to a point on the line on screen. An artist that preserves the continuity of the function must generate graphics such that functions evaluated $k \in K_a \cap K_b$




Fig. 8: The fiber spaces F_a, F_b have a shared fiber space F_c . If they are input into the same artist A_1 , then an equivariant transformation is one where F_c is transformed to a visual element in a consistent manner. In this figure, F_c is mapped to the x-axis for both F_a and F_b .

4.3 Composition of Artists

Visualizations generally consist of more than one visual element, for example line plots, a legend, axis ticks, spines, and labels. We propose that we can express expected consistency between these elements as a preservation of morphisms between objects of different data categories \mathcal{E} .

4.3.1 Shared Index

An example of overlapping indexing is a parallelized version of a sliding window algorithm where window overlaps must resolve to the same value at the same position [?];

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_c & \xleftarrow{t} & K_b \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow i_{K_b} \\ K_a & \xrightarrow{i_{K_a}} & K_a \sqcup_{K_c} K_b \end{array} \quad (33)$$

where the projection functions i_{K_a}, i_{K_b} behave such that $i_{K_a}(k)|_{k \in K_b} = [k], i_{K_b}(k)|_{k \in K_b} = [k]$, meaning the projection functions yield equivalent points in the disjoint union $K_a \sqcup_{K_c} K_b$. Data that is completely disjoint in the index, as shown in ??, is a special case where $K_c = \emptyset$ and therefore there is no constraint on the artist with regards to how this data is rendered.

4.4.3.2 Shared Fields

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_a \times F_b & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}_a} & F_a \\ & \downarrow \text{proj}_b & \downarrow \text{proj}_c \\ F_b & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}_b} & F_c \end{array} \quad (34)$$

?? and ?? can be composed to specify how different aspects of the structure of a multivariate nested dimensional dataset, such as a spatio-temporal weather dataset, should be preserved in a visualization. this is probably the power of this model and should maybe get a figure?

4.5 CONSTRUCTION AND FORMAL PROPERTIES OF ARTISTS

Following from ??, the artist A is a natural transformation

$$A : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \quad (35)$$




Fig. 9

that we construct to preserve continuity and equivariance.

Definition 5.1. We define the natural transformation Artist_A as the tuple $(\xi, \nu, Q, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H})$ where

- 1) $\nu : E \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a bundle map from data values to the visual variables they are mapped to
- 2) $Q : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A$ is a sheaf map that builds a graphic generating function parameterized by the visual variables
- 3) $\xi : S \rightarrow K$ is a surjective map from the graphic topological base to the data topological base

and \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{V} , and \mathcal{H} are the categorical representations of fiber bundles that model the data, visual variable, and graphic space of the data to visual transformation. We construct the artist to have two stages

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{V} \\ \pi \downarrow & \swarrow \pi & \uparrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}} \\ K & & \mathcal{K} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{Q} & \mathcal{H} \\ \uparrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}} & & \uparrow \mathcal{O}_A \\ \mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{\xi^*} & S \end{array} \quad (36)$$

which are the data to visual variable map ν at the bundle level and the visual variable to graphic map Q at the sheaf level. Usage of the bundle directly in an equation or diagram - , V , H - denotes that the function can be evaluated pointwise over $k \in K$. Use of the categorical form - $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H}$ - denotes that the function is evaluated over an openset object, meaning that the function needs knowledge of both a value over a point and some information about neighboring values.

5.1 Data Domain

We model data as sections of a fiber bundle (E, π, K, F) . We encode the continuity of the data as the base space K . We

model the data as the section τ because, as described in ??, the section is the map from the indexing space K to the space of possible data values F .




Fig. 10: replace with more concrete

One example of encoding data as a section of a fiber bundle is illustrated in ?? In this example, the data is a **not totally decided yet** table of weather station data. Here we are interested in the time series of temperature values in the data, so we encode the continuity as the 1D interval K . In this multivariate data set, the fields we want to visualize are time, temperature, and station. The fiber space F is the cartesian cross product of the fibers of each field

$$F = F_{\text{time}} \times F_{\text{temperature}} \times F_{\text{station}}$$

where each field fiber is the set of values that are valid for the field:

$$F_{\text{time}} = \mathbb{R}$$

$$F_{\text{temperature}} = \mathbb{R}$$

$$F_{\text{station}} = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_i, \dots, s_n\}$$

The section τ is the abstraction of the data being visualized. The section at a point $k \in K$ in the base space returns a value from each field in the fiber.

$$\tau(k) = ((\text{time}, t_k); (\text{precipitation}, p_k); (\text{station name}, n_k))$$

5.2 Graphic Codomain

The object of the graphic category \mathcal{H} is the fiber bundle H . The bundle H has the same structure as the data bundle E

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \hookrightarrow & H \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \rho & \\ S & & \end{array} \quad (37)$$

with a fiber space D embedded in the total space H and a section map $\rho : S \rightarrow H$. The attributes of the graphic bundle (H, π, D, S) encode attributes of the graphic and display space

base space S continuity of display space (e.g. screen, 3D print)

fiber space D attributes of the display space (e.g. a pixel = (x, y, r, g, b, a))

section ρ graphic generating function

We represent the graphic output as a fiber bundle because it is an abstraction that is generalizable to various output mediums (screens, 3D prints) and types of graphics.




Fig. 11

As illustrated in ??, in this work, H assumes the display is an idealized 2D screen. **include some more about the figure** The graphic section ρ is an abstraction of rendering. For example, ρ can be a specification such as PDF [?], SVG [?] or an OpenGL scene graph [?], or a rendering engine such as Cairo [?] or AGG [?].

5.3 Visualization Library Components

5.3.1 Visual Bundle V

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \hookrightarrow & V \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \mu & \\ K & & \end{array} \quad (38)$$

5.3.2 Data to Visual Encodings: ν

maybe figure out how to put these side by side nicely

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\nu} & V \\ \pi \downarrow & \swarrow \nearrow \pi & \\ K & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\nu} & P \\ \tau \uparrow & \nearrow \mu & \\ K & & \end{array} \quad (39)$$

Since the functor ξ is bundle wise, it can be evaluated at the bundle at a point, which is the fiber space; therefore ξ

is a functors from the product category \mathcal{F} to the product category \mathcal{P} . This constraint is expressed in our construction of ν which means equivariance of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mu \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_{\varphi} \\ \tau' & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mu' \end{array} \quad (40)$$

478 Since the functor ν acts on product categories, it can 507
479 be decomposed into n component functors that act on 508
480 corresponding sections τ_i of the fiber F_i . 509

$$\{\nu_0, \dots, \nu_n\} : \{\tau_0, \dots, \tau_n\} \mapsto \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_n\} \quad (41)$$

483 One example of this is





Fig. 12: is a weird nu, colors are a but much



(a)
No
shared
fields

(b) Shared fields

491 Fig. 13: In ??, the input object \mathcal{O}_E encodes a continuous 492 function over spaces E_a , E_b and overlapping space E_c . In 493 this figure, the visual transformation is to a point on the 494 line on screen. An artist that preserves the continuity of 495 the function must generate graphics such that functions 496 evaluated $k \in K_a \cap K_b$ replace a) w/ fiber cross diagram?
497 - use first half introduced in ??

5.3.2.1 Multiview Constraints: The concept that 511 shared data fields should be encoded visually in a consistent 512 is formally expressed by Qu and Hullman [?] as the notion 513 that the same field should have the same scale.

500 We enforce the equivariance constraint specified in ?? by 514 constructing artists that apply ν encoders such that 515

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_a & \xrightarrow{\nu_c} & P_c \\ \text{proj}_a \searrow & & \swarrow \nu_c \\ & F_c & \\ \text{proj}_b \searrow & & \swarrow \nu'_c \\ F_b & \xrightarrow{\nu'_c} & P_c' \end{array} \quad (42)$$

5.3.3 Visual to Graphic: Q







Fig. 14: rework this as a commutative box w/ the r in E row associated w/ this qhat(k)

5.3.4 Graphic to Data: ξ (45)₁

The functor ξ is a deformation retract, which means....

[?], [?]

By homotopy lifting?



(46)





Fig. 15: probably doesn't need the k=0



(47)

talk about ξ even though is not explicitly implemented
 The mapping between graphic and data space expresses how... This is what allows the artist to generate graphics where the subset of data on view is dynamically updated, such as pan and zoom navigation [?] and sliding windows on streaming data [?], [?].

5.6 CASE STUDY




Fig. 16: v&

We implement the arrows in ?? axesArtist is a parent artist that acts as a screen. This allows for the composition described in ??

6.1 A

```
1 for local_tau in axesArtist.artist.data.query(screen_bounds, dpi):
2     mu = axesArtist.artist.graphic.mu(local_tau)
3     rho = axesArtist.artist.graphic.qhat(**mu)
4     H = rho(renderer)
```

518 where the artist is already parameterized with the ξ 533
functions and which fibers they are associated to: 534

1

519 6.1.1 ξ 535
 520 6.1.2 v 536
 521 6.1.3 \hat{Q} 537

7 DISCUSSION

7.1 Limitations

7.2 future work

8 CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank...

Hannah Aizenman Biography text here.

Thomas Caswell Biography text here.

522

523

524

525

Michael Grossberg Biography text here.

526

527