

Table 1: Please cite appropriately!

Study citation	Data source (repository) citation
Chan <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Chan <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Chant & Turnbull (1966)	?
Chong & Oetting (2006)	Chong (2020)
Colton (1983; 1987)	?
Crowley & Martin (1989)	?
Edwards (1961)	?
Elliott (2005)	
Elliott (2006)	
Eveleigh & Chant (1982)	?
Fussmann <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Fussmann (2020)
Griffen & Delaney (2007)	?
Hassan (1976)	?
Hossie & Murray (2016)	Hossie & Murray (2020)
Huffaker & Matsumoto (1982)	?
Iyer & Rao (1996)	?
Johnson (2006)	?
Jones (1986); Jones & Hassell (1988)	?
Katz (1985)	Arditi & Akçakaya (1990)
Kfir (1983)	?
Kratina <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Kratina (2020)
Krylov (1992)	?
Kumar & Tripathi (1985)	?
Lang <i>et al.</i> (2012)	Lang (2020)
Lester & Harmsen (2002)	Lester (2020)
Long <i>et al.</i> (2012b)	Long (2020a)
Long <i>et al.</i> (2012a)	Long (2020b)
Mansour & Lipcius (1991)	?
Mattila & Bonsdorff (1998)	?
Médoc <i>et al.</i> (2013)	
Médoc <i>et al.</i> (2015)	
Mertz & Davies (1968)	?
Mills & Lacan (2004)	?
Montoya <i>et al.</i> (2000)	?
Prokopenko <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Prokopenko (2020)
Ranta & Nuutinen (1985)	?
Reeve (1997)	Reeve (2020)
Salt (1974)	?
Uttley (1980)	?
Vahl <i>et al.</i> (2005)	?
Von Westernhagen & Rosenthal (1976)	?
Vucetich <i>et al.</i> (2002)	
Walde & Davies (1984)	?
Wong & Barbeau (2005)	Wong & Barbeau (2020)

References

- Arditi, R. & Akçakaya, H. R. (1990). Underestimation of mutual interference of predators. *Oecologia*, 83, 358–361.
- Chan, K., Boutin, S., Hossie, T. J., Krebs, C., O'Donoghue, M. & Murray, D. L. (2017). Improving the assessment of predator functional responses by considering alternate prey and predator interactions. *Ecology*, 98, 1787–1796.
- Chant, D. A. & Turnbull, A. L. (1966). Effects of predator and prey densities on interactions between goldfish and *Daphnia pulex* (De Geer). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 44, 285–289.
- Chong, J.-H. (2020). Data from: Functional response and progeny production of the Madeira mealybug parasitoid, *Anagyrus sp. nov. nr. sinope*: the effects of host and parasitoid densities. *Figshare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12781250.v1>.
- Chong, J.-H. & Oetting, R. D. (2006). Functional response and progeny production of the Madeira mealybug parasitoid, *Anagyrus sp. nov. nr. sinope*: the effects of host and parasitoid densities. *Biological Control*, 39, 320–328.
- Colton, T. F. (1983). *Predation by damselfly naiad on two species of zooplankton: preference, switching, and the modelling of predation*. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University.
- Colton, T. F. (1987). Extending functional response models to include a second prey type: an experimental test. *Ecology*, 68, 900–912.
- Crowley, P. H. & Martin, E. K. (1989). Functional responses and interference within and between year classes of a dragonfly population. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 8, 211–221.
- Edwards, R. L. (1961). The area of discovery of two insect parasites, *Nasonia vitripennis* (Walker) and *Trichogramma evanescens* (Westwood), in an artificial environment. *The Canadian Entomologist*, 93, 475–481.
- Elliott, J. M. (2005). Ontogenetic shifts in the functional response and interference interactions of *Rhyacophila dorsalis* larvae (Trichoptera). *Freshwater Biology*, 50, 2021–2033.
- Elliott, J. M. (2006). Prey switching in *Rhyacophila dorsalis* (Trichoptera) alters with larval instar. *Freshwater Biology*, 51, 913–924.
- Eveleigh, E. S. & Chant, D. (1982). Experimental studies on acarine predator–prey interactions: the effects of predator density on prey consumption, predator searching efficiency, and the functional response to prey density (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 60, 611–629.
- Fussmann, G. F. (2020). Data from: A direct, experimental test of resource vs. consumer dependence. *FigShare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12782297.v1>.
- Fussmann, G. F., Weithoff, G. & Yoshida, T. (2005). A direct, experimental test of resource vs. consumer dependence. *Ecology*, 86, 2924–2930.

- Griffen, B. D. & Delaney, D. G. (2007). Species invasion shifts the importance of predator dependence. *Ecology*, 88, 3012–3021.
- Hassan, S. T. (1976). The area of discovery of *Apanteles glomeratus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), *Pteromalus puparum* (Pteromalidae) and *Brachymeria regina* (Chalcididae). *Entomologia experimentalis et applicata*, 20, 199–205.
- Hossie, T. J. & Murray, D. L. (2016). Spatial arrangement of prey affects the shape of ratio-dependent functional response in strongly antagonistic predators. *Ecology*, 97, 834–841.
- Hossie, T. J. & Murray, D. L. (2020). Data from: Spatial arrangement of prey affects the shape of ratio-dependent functional response in strongly antagonistic predators. *Figshare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12739181.v1>.
- Huffaker, C. B. & Matsumoto, B. M. (1982). Group versus individual functional responses of *Venturia* [= *Nemeritis*] *canescens* (Grav.). *Researches on Population Ecology*, 24, 250–269.
- Iyer, N. & Rao, T. (1996). Responses of the predatory rotifer *Asplanchna intermedia* to prey species differing in vulnerability: laboratory and field studies. *Freshwater Biology*, 36, 521–533.
- Johnson, D. W. (2006). Predation, habitat complexity, and variation in density-dependent mortality of temperate reef fishes. *Ecology*, 87, 1179–1188.
- Jones, T. H. (1986). *Patterns of parasitism by Trybliographa rapae* (Westw.), a cynipid parasitoid of the cabbage root fly. Ph.D. thesis, University of London, Imperial College.
- Jones, T. H. & Hassell, M. P. (1988). Patterns of parasitism by *Trybliographa rapae*, a cynipid parasitoid of the cabbage root fly, under laboratory and field conditions. *Ecological entomology*, 13, 309–317.
- Katz, C. H. (1985). A nonequilibrium marine predator-prey interaction. *Ecology*, 66, 1426–1438.
- Kfir, R. (1983). Functional response to host density by the egg parasite *Trichogramma pretiosum*. *Entomophaga*, 28, 345–353.
- Kratina, P. (2020). Data from: Functional responses modified by predator density. *Figshare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12794816.v1>.
- Kratina, P., Vos, M., Bateman, A. & Anholt, B. R. (2009). Functional responses modified by predator density. *Oecologia*, 159, 425–433.
- Krylov, P. I. (1992). Density-dependent predation of *Chaoborus flavicans* on *Daphnia longispina* in a small lake: the effect of prey size. *Hydrobiologia*, 239, 131–140.

- Kumar, A. & Tripathi, C. P. M. (1985). Parasitoid–host relationship between *Trioxys (Binodoxys) indicus* Subba Rao & Sharma (Hymenoptera: Aphididae) and *Aphis craccivora* Koch (Hemiptera: Aphididae): effect of host plants on the area of discovery of the parasitoid. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 63, 192–195.
- Lang, B. (2020). Data from: Warming effects on consumption and intraspecific interference competition depend on predator metabolism. *Figshare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12789986>.
- Lang, B., Rall, B. C. & Brose, U. (2012). Warming effects on consumption and intraspecific interference competition depend on predator metabolism. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 81, 516–523.
- Lester, P. J. (2020). Data from: Functional and numerical responses do not always indicate the most effective predator for biological control: an analysis of two predators in a two-prey system. *Figshare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12838352>.
- Lester, P. J. & Harmsen, R. (2002). Functional and numerical responses do not always indicate the most effective predator for biological control: an analysis of two predators in a two-prey system. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 39, 455–468.
- Long, W. C. (2020a). Data from: Cannibalism in red king crab, *Paralithodes camtschaticus* (Tilesius, 1815): Effects of habitat type and predator density on predator functional response. *FigShare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12780440>.
- Long, W. C. (2020b). Data from: Density-dependent indirect effects: apparent mutualism and apparent competition coexist in a two-prey system. *FigShare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12780455.v1>.
- Long, W. C., Gamelin, E. F., Johnson, E. G. & Hines, A. H. (2012a). Density-dependent indirect effects: apparent mutualism and apparent competition coexist in a two-prey system. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 456, 139–148.
- Long, W. C., Popp, J., Swiney, K. M. & Van Sant, S. B. (2012b). Cannibalism in red king crab, *Paralithodes camtschaticus* (Tilesius, 1815): Effects of habitat type and predator density on predator functional response. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 422, 101–106.
- Mansour, R. A. & Lipcius, R. N. (1991). Density-dependent foraging and mutual interference in blue crabs preying upon infaunal clams. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 72, 239.
- Mattila, J. & Bonsdorff, E. (1998). Predation by juvenile flounder (*Platichthys flesus* L.): a test of prey vulnerability, predator preference, switching behaviour and functional response. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 227, 221–236.
- Médoc, V., Albert, H. & Spataro, T. (2015). Functional response comparisons among freshwater amphipods: ratio-dependence and higher predation for *Gammarus pulex* compared to the non-natives *Dikerogammarus villosus* and *Echinogammarus berilloni*. *Biological Invasions*, 17, 3625–3637.

- Médoc, V., Spataro, T. & Arditi, R. (2013). Prey: predator ratio dependence in the functional response of a freshwater amphipod. *Freshwater Biology*, 58, 858–865.
- Mertz, D. B. & Davies, R. B. (1968). Cannibalism of the pupal stage by adult flour beetles: An experiment and a stochastic model. *Biometrics*, 247–275.
- Mills, N. J. & Lacan, I. (2004). Ratio dependence in the functional response of insect parasitoids: evidence from *Trichogramma minutum* foraging for eggs in small host patches. *Ecological Entomology*, 29, 208–216.
- Montoya, P., Liedo, P., Benrey, B., Barrera, J. F., Cancino, J. & Aluja, M. (2000). Functional response and superparasitism by *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 93, 47–54.
- Prokopenko, C. M. (2020). Data from: Evaluation of alternative prey-, predator-, and ratio-dependent functional response models in a zooplankton microcosm. Figshare: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12813209.v1>.
- Prokopenko, C. M., Turgeon, K. & Fryxell, J. M. (2017). Evaluation of alternative prey-, predator-, and ratio-dependent functional response models in a zooplankton microcosm. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 95, 177–182.
- Ranta, E. & Nuutinen, V. (1985). Foraging by the smooth newt (*Triturus vulgaris*) on zooplankton: functional responses and diet choice. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 275–293.
- Reeve, J. D. (1997). Predation and bark beetle dynamics. *Oecologia*, 112, 48–54.
- Reeve, J. D. (2020). Data from: Predation and bark beetle dynamics. Figshare: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12784175.v1>.
- Salt, G. W. (1974). Predator and prey densities as controls of the rate of capture by the predator *Didinium nasutum*. *Ecology*, 55, 434–439.
- Uttley, M. G. (1980). *A laboratory study of mutual interference between freshwater invertebrate predators*. Ph.D. thesis, University of York.
- Vahl, W. K., Van der Meer, J., Weissing, F. J., Van Dulleman, D. & Piersma, T. (2005). The mechanisms of interference competition: two experiments on foraging waders. *Behavioral Ecology*, 16, 845–855.
- Von Westernhagen, H. & Rosenthal, H. (1976). Predator-prey relationship between pacific herring, *Clupea harengus pallasi*, larvae and a predatory hyperiid amphipod, *Hyperoche medusarum*. *Fishery Bulletin*, 74.
- Vucetich, J. A., Peterson, R. O. & Schaefer, C. L. (2002). The effect of prey and predator densities on wolf predation. *Ecology*, 83, 3003–3013.
- Walde, S. J. & Davies, R. W. (1984). The effect of intraspecific interference on *Kogotus nonus* (Plecoptera) foraging behaviour. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 62, 2221–2226.

Wong, M. C. & Barbeau, M. A. (2005). Prey selection and the functional response of sea stars (*Asterias vulgaris* Verrill) and rock crabs (*Cancer irroratus* Say) preying on juvenile sea scallops (*Placopecten magellanicus* (Gmelin)) and blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis* Linnaeus). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 327, 1–21.

Wong, M. C. & Barbeau, M. A. (2020). Data from: Prey selection and the functional response of sea stars (*Asterias vulgaris* Verrill) and rock crabs (*Cancer irroratus* Say) preying on juvenile sea scallops (*Placopecten magellanicus* (Gmelin)) and blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis* Linnaeus). *FigShare*: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12780191.v1>.