

# Unconstrained optimization

Ludovic Stourm

June 20, 2025

## 1 Introduction

This document describes various methods to numerically maximize a function  $f(x)$ :

$$\max_x f(x) \tag{1}$$

We use the notations:

- $f$ : objective function
- $x$ : argument of  $f$  (vector of dimension  $[J \times 1]$ )
- $\nabla f$ : gradient of  $f$  ( $[J \times 1]$  vector of 1st-degree derivatives)
- $H_f$ : Hessian of  $f$  ( $[J \times J]$  matrix of 2nd-degree derivatives)
- $d$ : Direction of an update ( $[J \times 1]$  vector)
- $\alpha$ : Step size of an update ( $[J \times 1]$  vector)
- $s = \alpha d$ : Update ( $[J \times 1]$  vector)

We consider different methods. Some of them requires one to evaluate not only  $f$  but also its gradient  $\nabla f$ , and some even the Hessian  $H_f$ . This is summarized in the table below:

Method	Requires gradient	Requires Hessian
Newton-Raphson	✓	✓
Gradient ascent	✓	
BFGS	✓	
Nelder-Mead		

## 2 Newton-Raphson

1. Set  $k \leftarrow 0$
2. Initialize starting point  $x_0$
3. Evaluate  $f(x_k)$ ,  $g_k \leftarrow \nabla f(x_k)$ , and  $H_k \leftarrow H_f(x_k)$
4. Set direction of update:  $d_k \leftarrow -H_k^{-1}g_k$ .
5. Set step size  $\alpha_k \leftarrow 1$  or find a better value through a line search procedure.
6. Update point:  $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + \alpha_k d_k$
7. Set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go to step 3.

## 3 Gradient ascent

1. Set  $k \leftarrow 0$
2. Initialize starting point  $x_0$
3. Evaluate  $f(x_k)$  and  $g_k \leftarrow \nabla f(x_k)$
4. Set direction of update:  $d_k \leftarrow g_k$ .
5. Set step size  $\alpha_k$  a priori (constant step size  $\alpha$ ), or find a better value through a line search procedure.
6. Update point:  $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + \alpha_k d_k$
7. Set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go to step 3.

## 4 BFGS (quasi-Newton method)

This method uses evaluations of  $f$  and its gradient  $\nabla f$ . The Hessian  $H$  (or rather, its inverse  $H^{-1}$ ) is “approximated” at each iteration (although there is no guarantee that it converges to the true Hessian!).

1. Set  $k \leftarrow 0$
2. Initialize starting point  $x_0$ , inverse Hessian  $H_0^{-1}$
3. Evaluate  $f(x_k)$  and  $g_k \leftarrow \nabla f(x_k)$
4. Set the direction of update  $d_k = -H_k^{-1}g_k$ .
5. Find a “good” step size  $\alpha_k$  through a line search procedure (more on this later).
6. Set update  $s_k \leftarrow \alpha_k d_k$

7. Update point  $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$
8. Update Hessian  $H_{k+1}^{-1} = H_k^{-1} + \frac{(s'_k y_k + y'_k H_k^{-1} y_k)(s_k s'_k)}{(s'_k y_k)^2} - \frac{H_k^{-1} y_k s'_k + s_k y'_k H_k^{-1}}{s'_k y_k}$ .  
where  $y_k = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k)$ .
9. Set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go to step 3.

## 5 Nelder-Mead

This method only uses evaluations of  $f$  and does not require an evaluation of the gradient or the Hessian (which may not even exist). This algorithm **minimizes** function  $f$ .

1. Initialize  $\alpha \leftarrow 1$ ,  $\gamma \leftarrow 2$ ,  $\rho \leftarrow 0.5$ ,  $\sigma \leftarrow 0.5$ .
2. Evaluate  $f(x_0)$
3. Initial simplex: define  $x_j = x_0 + \epsilon \times u_j$  and evaluate  $f(x_j)$  for each dimension  $j$  (where  $u_j$  is the corresponding unit vector in that direction and  $\epsilon$  is some small value)
4. Define the  $(J + 1)$  initial vertices as  $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_J]$
5. Sort the  $(J + 1)$  vertices  $x_j$  by increasing values of  $f(x_j)$
6. Compute centroid based on the  $J$  first vertices  $x_o \leftarrow 1/J \times \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} x_j$
7. Compute  $x_r \leftarrow x_o + \alpha(x_o - x_J)$
8. If  $f(x_r) \geq f(x_0)$  and  $f(x_r) < f(x_{J-1})$ :
  - (a)  $x_J \leftarrow x_r$  (reflect)
  - (b) Go to 5.
9. If  $f(x_r) < f(x_0)$ :
  - (a) Compute  $x_e \leftarrow x_o + \gamma(x_r - x_o)$
  - (b) If  $f(x_e) < f(x_r)$ :
    - i.  $x_J \leftarrow x_e$  (expand)
    - ii. Go to 5.
  - Else:
    - i.  $x_J \leftarrow x_r$  (reflect)
    - ii. Go to 5.
10. If  $f(x_r) < f(x_J)$ :
  - (a)  $x_c \leftarrow x_o + \rho(x_r - x_o)$
  - (b) If  $f(x_c) < f(x_r)$

- i.  $x_J \leftarrow xc$  (contract outside)
- ii. Go to 5.

Else:  $xc \leftarrow xo + \rho(x_J - xo)$

- (a)  $xc \leftarrow xo + \rho(xr - xo)$
  - (b) If  $f(xc) < f(x_J)$ 
    - i.  $x_J \leftarrow xc$  (contract outside)
    - ii. Go to 5.
11. For all  $j$  in  $1, \dots, J$ : do  $x_j \leftarrow x_0 + \sigma(x_j - x_0)$  (shrink)
12. Go to 5.