# Unconstrained optimization

#### Ludovic Stourm

June 25, 2025

### 1 Introduction

This document describes various methods to numerically minimize a function f(x):

$$\min_{x} f(x) \tag{1}$$

We use the notations:

- f: objective function
- x: argument of f (vector of dimension  $[J \times 1]$ )
- $\nabla f$ : gradient of f ([ $J \times 1$ ] vector of 1st-degree derivatives)
- $H_f$ : Hessian of f ([ $J \times J$ ] matrix of 2nd-degree derivatives)
- d: Direction of an update ( $[J \times 1]$  vector)
- $\alpha$ : Step size of an update (scalar)
- $s = \alpha d$ : Update ([ $J \times 1$ ] vector)

We consider different methods. Some of them requires one to evaluate not only f but also its gradient  $\nabla f$ , and some even the Hessian  $H_f$ . This is summarized in the table below:

Method	Requires gradient	Requires Hessian
Newton-Raphson	✓	<b>✓</b>
Gradient descent	$\checkmark$	
BFGS	$\checkmark$	
Nelder-Mead		

## 2 Newton-Raphson

- 1. Set  $k \leftarrow 0$
- 2. Initialize starting point  $x_0$
- 3. Evaluate  $f(x_k)$ ,  $g_k \leftarrow \nabla f(x_k)$ , and  $H_k \leftarrow H_f(x_k)$
- 4. Set direction of update:  $d_k \leftarrow -H_k^{-1}g_k$ .
- 5. Set step size  $\alpha_k \leftarrow 1$  or find a better value through a line search procedure.
- 6. Update point:  $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + \alpha_k d_k$
- 7. Set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go to step 3.

### 3 Gradient descent

- 1. Set  $k \leftarrow 0$
- 2. Initialize starting point  $x_0$
- 3. Evaluate  $f(x_k)$  and  $g_k \leftarrow \nabla f(x_k)$
- 4. Set direction of update:  $d_k \leftarrow -g_k$ .
- 5. Set step size  $\alpha_k$  a priori (constant step size  $\alpha$ ), or find a better value through a line search procedure.
- 6. Update point:  $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + \alpha_k d_k$
- 7. Set  $k \leftarrow k+1$  and go to step 3.

## 4 BFGS (quasi-Newton method)

This method uses evaluations of f and its gradient  $\nabla f$ . The Hessian H (or rather, its inverse  $H^{-1}$ ) is "approximated" at each iteration (although there is no guarantee that it converges to the true Hessian!).

- 1. Set  $k \leftarrow 0$
- 2. Initialize starting point  $x_0$ , inverse Hessian  $H_0^{-1}$
- 3. Evaluate  $f(x_k)$  and  $g_k \leftarrow \nabla f(x_k)$
- 4. Set the direction of update  $d_k = -H_k^{-1}g_k$ .
- 5. Find a "good" step size  $\alpha_k$  through a line search procedure (more on this later).
- 6. Set update  $s_k \leftarrow \alpha_k d_k$

- 7. Update point  $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$
- 8. Update Hessian  $H_{k+1}^{-1} = H_k^{-1} + \frac{(s_k' y_k + y_k' H_k^{-1} y_k)(s_k s_k')}{(s_k' y_k)^2} \frac{H_k^{-1} y_k s_k' + s_k y_k' H_k^{-1}}{s_k' y_k}$ . where  $y_k = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k)$ .
- 9. Set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go to step 3.

### 5 Nelder-Mead

This method only uses evaluations of f and does not require an evaluation of the gradient or the Hessian (which may not even exist). This algorithm **minimizes** function f.

- 1. Initialize  $\alpha \leftarrow 1$ ,  $\gamma \leftarrow 2$ ,  $\rho \leftarrow 0.5$ ,  $\sigma \leftarrow 0.5$ .
- 2. Evaluate  $f(x_0)$
- 3. Initial simplex: define  $x_j = x_0 + \epsilon \times u_j$  and evaluate  $f(x_j)$  for each dimension j (where  $u_j$  is the corresponding unit vector in that direction and  $\epsilon$  is some small value)
- 4. Define the (J+1) initial vertices as  $[x_0, x_1, ..., x_J]$
- 5. Sort the (J+1) vertices  $x_j$  by increasing values of  $f(x_j)$
- 6. Compute centroid based on the J first vertices  $xo \leftarrow 1/J \times \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} x_j$
- 7. Compute  $xr \leftarrow xo + \alpha(xo x_J)$
- 8. If  $f(xr) \ge f(x_0)$  and  $f(xr) < f(x_{J-1})$ :
  - (a)  $x_J \leftarrow xr$  (reflect)
  - (b) Go to 5.
- 9. If  $f(xr) < f(x_0)$ :
  - (a) Compute  $xe \leftarrow xo + \gamma(xr xo)$
  - (b) If f(xe) < f(xr):
    - i.  $x_J \leftarrow xe$  (expand)
    - ii. Go to 5.

Else:

- i.  $x_J \leftarrow xr$  (reflect)
- ii. Go to 5.
- 10. If  $f(xr) < f(x_J)$ :
  - (a)  $xc \leftarrow xo + \rho(xr xo)$
  - (b) If f(xc) < f(xr)

- i.  $x_J \leftarrow xc$  (contract outside)
- ii. Go to 5.

Else:  $xc \leftarrow xo + \rho(x_J - xo)$ 

- (a)  $xc \leftarrow xo + \rho(xr xo)$
- (b) If  $f(xc) < f(x_J)$ 
  - i.  $x_J \leftarrow xc$  (contract outside)
  - ii. Go to 5.
- 11. For all j in 1, ..., J: do  $x_j \leftarrow x_0 + \sigma(x_j x_0)$  (shrink)
- 12. Go to 5.