

Exploring and Manipulating Linear Classifier Templates

This project will teach you how to create a linear classifier using visual templates. You will:

1. **Load and preprocess a combined weights image** containing all 10 weights (0-9)
2. **Convert the image into a weight matrix** for a linear classifier
3. **Test the classifier on MNIST dataset** and achieve >20% accuracy

Important: You need to provide your own combined weights image named `all_weights_combined_(YOUR CUID).png` in the Project 1 folder. This image should contain all 10 weight templates stacked vertically, with each template being approximately 28x28 pixels when resized.

Weight template Generator: You can use this temporary website to generate and download your image:

https://nianyi-li.github.io/CPSC-6430-fall25/P1_GUI/

After downloading, rename your file as instructed, `all_weights_combined_(YOUR CUID).png`, and place it in the Project 1 folder.

NOTE: When filling in the code, please REMOVE the `pass` statement. DO NOT remove the TODO coding highlight in your submission.

Grading Criteria:

- Test accuracy must be >20% (60% points)
- Code quality and implementation (8% points)
- Analysis and answers to questions (32% points)
- **Total: 5 points (50% of project score)**

```
In [13]: # # Google Colab Setup (Comment out for local computer running)
#####
# Uncomment and set the path to your project folder in Google Drive
#####
# from google.colab import drive
# drive.mount('/content/drive')

# FOLDERNAME = 'cpsc8430/assignments/project1/'
# assert FOLDERNAME is not None, "[!] Enter the foldername."

# # Now that we've mounted your Drive, this ensures that
# # the Python interpreter of the Colab VM can load
# # python files from within it.
```

```
# import sys
# sys.path.append('/content/drive/My Drive/{}'.format(FOLDERNAME))
# %cd /content/drive/My\ Drive/$FOLDERNAME
```

```
In [14]: import torch
import torch.nn as nn
import torchvision
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
from PIL import Image
import os

# Set random seed for reproducibility
torch.manual_seed(42)
np.random.seed(42)
```

```
In [15]: # Load and preprocess the combined digits image
image_path = 'all_weights_combined_szinn.png' # all_digits_combined_4
img = Image.open(image_path)
img_array = np.array(img)

# Convert to grayscale if image is RGB, White is 255, Black is 0
if len(img_array.shape) == 3:
    img_array = img_array.mean(axis=2)

#####
# TODO: Try different eta values to control the grayscale inversion of the i
# eta = 0.0 -> original image, eta = 1.0 -> fully inverted (255 - img_array)

eta = 1 # Change this value between 0.0 and 1.0 to experiment
img_modified = (1 - eta) * img_array + eta * (255 - img_array)
#####

# Resize to height 280 and width 28 (10 blocks of 28x28 stacked vertically)
target_size = (28, 280) # Note: PIL uses (width, height)
img_resized = Image.fromarray(img_modified.astype(np.uint8)).resize(target_size)
img_tensor = torch.tensor(np.array(img_resized), dtype=torch.float32)

# Normalize to [-1, 1]
img_min = img_tensor.min()
img_max = img_tensor.max()
img_normalized = 2.0 * (img_tensor - img_min) / (img_max - img_min) - 1.0

# Display original, modified, and resized images
plt.figure(figsize=(20, 10))
plt.subplot(1, 3, 1)
plt.imshow(img_array, cmap='gray')
plt.title('Original Image')
plt.axis('off')
plt.subplot(1, 3, 2)
plt.imshow(img_modified, cmap='gray')
plt.title('Modified Image (After Eta Adjustment)')
plt.axis('off')
```

```
plt.subplot(1, 3, 3)
plt.imshow(img_normalized.numpy(), cmap='gray')
plt.title('Resized and Normalized Image (280x28)')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.axis('off')
plt.show()

print(f"Normalized image tensor shape: {img_normalized.shape}")
print(f"Value range: [{img_normalized.min():.2f}, {img_normalized.max():.2f}])
```

Original Image

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Modified Image (After Eta Adjustment)

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Resized and Normalized Image (280x28)

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Normalized image tensor shape: torch.Size([280, 28])
Value range: [-1.00, 1.00]

```
In [16]: # Create weight matrix [10, 784] from the normalized image
weight_matrix = torch.zeros(10, 784)

# The image is 280x28, which means we have 10 blocks of 28x28 stacked vertically
for i in range(10):
    # Extract the i-th 28x28 block (vertically stacked)
    start_row = i * 28
    end_row = start_row + 28
    block = img_normalized[start_row:end_row, :]

    # Flatten the block and assign to the corresponding row in weight matrix
    weight_matrix[i] = block.flatten()


# Visualize each weight as a 28x28 image
plt.figure(figsize=(15, 8))
for i in range(10):
    plt.subplot(2, 5, i + 1)
```

```

plt.imshow(weight_matrix[i].reshape(28, 28), cmap='gray')
plt.title(f'Weight {i}')
plt.axis('off')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

print(f"Weight matrix shape: {weight_matrix.shape}")

```



Weight matrix shape: torch.Size([10, 784])

```

In [17]: # Visualize the large weight matrix [10, 784]
plt.figure(figsize=(20, 3))

# Create a heatmap of the entire weight matrix
plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
weight_heatmap = plt.imshow(weight_matrix.numpy(), cmap='RdBu_r', aspect='auto')
plt.colorbar(weight_heatmap, label='Weight Value')
plt.title('Weight Matrix Heatmap [10, 784]')
plt.xlabel('Input Features (784)')
plt.ylabel('Output Classes (10)')

print("Weight matrix visualization complete!")
print(f"Matrix statistics:")
print(f"  Min value: {weight_matrix.min():.3f}")
print(f"  Max value: {weight_matrix.max():.3f}")
print(f"  Mean value: {weight_matrix.mean():.3f}")
print(f"  Std value: {weight_matrix.std():.3f}")

```


Weight matrix visualization complete!

Matrix statistics:

```

  Min value: -1.000
  Max value: 1.000
  Mean value: -0.935
  Std value: 0.244

```



```
In [ ]: # Load MNIST test dataset
transform = torchvision.transforms.Compose([
    torchvision.transforms.ToTensor(),
    torchvision.transforms.Normalize((0.1307,), (0.3081,)) # MNIST mean and
])

test_dataset = torchvision.datasets.MNIST(
    root='cpsc8430/datasets/MNIST',
    train=False,
    download=True,
    transform=transform
)

test_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
    test_dataset,
    batch_size=1000,
    shuffle=False
)

# Create random bias
bias = torch.randn(10)

# Evaluation function
def evaluate():
    correct = 0
    total = 0

    with torch.no_grad():
        for images, labels in test_loader:
            # Flatten the images
            images = images.view(-1, 784)

            # Forward pass: compute scores
            scores = torch.mm(images, weight_matrix.t()) + bias

            # Get predictions
            _, predicted = torch.max(scores, 1)

            # Update statistics
            total += labels.size(0)
```

```

        correct += (predicted == labels).sum().item()

    return 100 * correct / total

# Test the classifier
accuracy = evaluate()
print(f"Test Accuracy: {accuracy:.2f}%")

# IMPORTANT: This accuracy should be greater than 20% for full credit
# assert accuracy > 20.0, f"Test accuracy {accuracy:.2f}% is below the requirement of >20%!"
# print(f"✅ Test accuracy {accuracy:.2f}% meets the requirement of >20%!")

# Store the result for grading
test_accuracy_result = accuracy

```

Test Accuracy: 23.61%

Inline Questions

Question 1

Try adjusting the value of `eta` (located in the second code cell after "TODO") to each of the following values: {0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1}. For each value, run the code and report the resulting test accuracy. What do you observe as you change `eta`? Why do you think this happens?

Your Answer:

- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0$: 9.62%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0.25$: 9.63%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0.5$: 9.80%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0.75$: 22.84%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 1$: 23.04%

As `eta` changes, so does the background and digit color through grayscale inversion. At `eta = 0`, the digits and their background are unchanged in color, while `eta = 1` is a complete inversion. The testing accuracy also changes, going from 9.62% at `eta = 0` to 23.04% at `eta = 1`. This probably happens because the weights change with the grayscale.

Question 2

Why do you think this approach achieves an accuracy above 20%? What are the advantages and limitations of using visual templates as weights?

Your Answer:

I think it achieves an accuracy above 20% because the weights minimize the loss at this level of inversion.

Advantages	Disadvantages
Weights are likely closer to what is expected as they follow the basic outlines of the training and test data set	By using visual templates, you limit the ability to change the weights for better predictions as they are fixed on the given template.
	If any digit is written weird, the model can't identify the digit

Question 3

How could you improve the performance of this classifier? What modifications would you make to achieve higher accuracy?

Your Answer:

I would change the weight matrix so it isn't dependent on the visual templates and instead use gradient descent to improve the weights. I would play around with other hyperparameters such as batch size and bias.

Question 4

What does the weight matrix represent in this linear classifier? How does it relate to the original combined digits image?

Your Answer:

The weight matrix is the combined digit input I gave. By decomposing the image, you can see the individual digits.

Softmax Implementation for Linear Classifier

This project will teach you how to implement softmax activation and use PyTorch's automatic differentiation for training a linear classifier. You will:

1. **Implement softmax activation function** for multi-class classification with numerical stability
2. **Use PyTorch's automatic differentiation** instead of manual gradient computation
3. **Train a linear classifier** using default hyperparameters ($\text{lr}=0.001$, $\text{batch_size}=32$)
4. **Test the trained classifier on MNIST dataset** and achieve $>90\%$ accuracy
5. **Load pre-saved weights** and compare with the original linear classifier from 01_linear_feature.ipynb

Important: This notebook focuses on understanding softmax implementation and PyTorch's automatic differentiation. We will use PyTorch's cross-entropy loss function and optimizer for training.

NOTE: When filling in the code, please REMOVE the `pass` statement. DO NOT remove the TODO coding highlight in your submission.

Grading Criteria:

- Test accuracy must be $>90\%$ (60% points)
- Code quality and implementation (10% points)
- Analysis and answers to questions (30% points)
- **Total: 5 points (50% of project score)**

```
In [ ]: ## Google Colab Setup (Comment out for local computer running)
#####
# Uncomment and set the path to your project folder in Google Drive
#####
# from google.colab import drive
# drive.mount('/content/drive')

# FOLDERNAME = 'cpsec8430/assignments/project1/'
# assert FOLDERNAME is not None, "[!] Enter the foldername."

## Now that we've mounted your Drive, this ensures that
## the Python interpreter of the Colab VM can load
## python files from within it.

# import sys
# sys.path.append('/content/drive/My Drive/{}'.format(FOLDERNAME))
# %cd /content/drive/My\ Drive/$FOLDERNAME
```

```
In [1]: import torch
import torch.nn as nn
```

```

import torchvision
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
from PIL import Image
import os

# Set random seed for reproducibility
torch.manual_seed(42)
np.random.seed(42)

```

Part 1: Load and Preprocess MNIST Dataset

First, let's load the MNIST dataset and prepare it for training.

```

In [2]: # Load MNIST dataset
transform = torchvision.transforms.Compose([
    torchvision.transforms.ToTensor(),
    torchvision.transforms.Normalize((0.1307,), (0.3081,)) # MNIST mean and std
])

train_dataset = torchvision.datasets.MNIST(
    root='cpsc8430/datasets/MNIST',
    train=True,
    download=True,
    transform=transform
)

test_dataset = torchvision.datasets.MNIST(
    root='cpsc8430/datasets/MNIST',
    train=False,
    download=True,
    transform=transform
)


print(f"Training set size: {len(train_dataset)}")
print(f"Test set size: {len(test_dataset)}")

# Visualize some training examples
plt.figure(figsize=(15, 6))
for i in range(10):
    plt.subplot(2, 5, i + 1)
    plt.imshow(train_dataset[i][0].squeeze(), cmap='gray')
    plt.title(f'Label: {train_dataset[i][1]}')
    plt.axis('off')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

```

Training set size: 60000

Test set size: 10000



Part 2: Implement Softmax Function

TODO: Implement the softmax function from scratch

The softmax function converts raw scores (logits) into probabilities:

$$\text{softmax}(x_i) = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{x_j}}$$

Important Hint for Numerical Stability: To avoid numerical overflow when computing exponentials, subtract the maximum value from each input before applying exp:

$$\text{softmax}(x_i) = \frac{e^{x_i - \max_j x_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{x_j - \max_j x_j}}$$

This ensures that the largest exponent is 0, preventing overflow while maintaining the same mathematical result.

Requirements:

- Handle numerical stability (subtract max value before exp)
- Return probabilities that sum to 1
- Work with both 1D and 2D inputs

```
In [4]: def softmax(x):
        """
        Compute softmax probabilities for input scores

        Args:
            x: Input scores tensor of shape (batch_size, num_classes) or (num_classes)

        Returns:
            Softmax probabilities tensor of same shape
        """
        #####
```

```

# TODO: Implement softmax function
# Hint: Use torch.exp() and torch.sum()
# Hint: For numerical stability, subtract the max value before computing
# Hint: The equation is: softmax(x_i) = exp(x_i - max_j x_j) / sum_j exp
#####
# *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****
max_j = torch.max(x) # Get the max_j(x_j)
exp_x = torch.exp(torch.add(x, (-1)*max_j))
sum_exp_x = torch.sum(exp_x)

return torch.div(exp_x, sum_exp_x)
# *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****
#####
#                                     END OF YOUR CODE
#####

# Test your softmax implementation
test_scores = torch.tensor([[1.0, 2.0, 3.0], [4.0, 5.0, 6.0]])
test_probs = softmax(test_scores)
print(f"Test scores:\n{test_scores}")
print(f"Softmax probabilities:\n{test_probs}")
print(f"Probabilities sum to 1: {torch.allclose(torch.sum(test_probs, dim=1), torch.ones_like(test_probs))}")

# Test 1D input as well
test_scores_1d = torch.tensor([1.0, 2.0, 3.0])
test_probs_1d = softmax(test_scores_1d)
print(f"\n1D test scores: {test_scores_1d}")
print(f"1D softmax probabilities: {test_probs_1d}")
print(f"1D probabilities sum to 1: {torch.allclose(torch.sum(test_probs_1d), torch.ones_like(test_probs_1d))}")

```

```

Test scores:
tensor([[1., 2., 3.],
        [4., 5., 6.]])
Softmax probabilities:
tensor([[0.0043, 0.0116, 0.0315],
        [0.0858, 0.2331, 0.6337]])
Probabilities sum to 1: False

```

```

1D test scores: tensor([1., 2., 3.])
1D softmax probabilities: tensor([0.0900, 0.2447, 0.6652])
1D probabilities sum to 1: True

```

Part 3: Implement Linear Classifier Forward Pass

TODO: Implement the forward pass of the linear classifier

The forward pass computes: $f(x) = \text{softmax}(xW^T + b)$

```

In [13]: def linear_classifier_forward(x, weights, bias):
          """
          Forward pass of linear classifier (returns logits, not probabilities)

          Args:
            x: Input features, shape (batch_size, input_features)

```

```

        weights: Weight matrix, shape (num_classes, input_features)
        bias: Bias vector, shape (num_classes,)

Returns:
    Logits (raw output class scores), shape (batch_size, num_classes)
"""
#####
# TODO: Implement forward pass
# Hint: Compute linear transformation:  $x * weights^T + bias$ 
# Return the logits (do NOT apply softmax here)
#####
# *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****
return torch.add(torch.matmul(x, torch.transpose(weights, -2, 1)), bias)
# *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****
#####
#                                     END OF YOUR CODE
#####

# Test your forward pass implementation
batch_size = 4
input_features = 784
num_classes = 10

test_x = torch.randn(batch_size, input_features)
test_weights = torch.randn(num_classes, input_features)
test_bias = torch.randn(num_classes)

test_output = linear_classifier_forward(test_x, test_weights, test_bias)
print(f"Input shape: {test_x.shape}")
print(f"Weights shape: {test_weights.shape}")
print(f"Bias shape: {test_bias.shape}")
print(f"Output shape: {test_output.shape}")
print(f"Output probabilities sum to 1: {torch.allclose(torch.sum(test_output
```

```

Input shape: torch.Size([4, 784])
Weights shape: torch.Size([10, 784])
Bias shape: torch.Size([10])
Output shape: torch.Size([4, 10])
Output probabilities sum to 1: False

```

Part 4: Train Linear Classifier Using PyTorch

TODO: Train the linear classifier using PyTorch's automatic differentiation

Instead of implementing gradient descent manually, we'll use PyTorch's built-in optimizer and automatic differentiation. This will use the default learning rate of 0.001 and batch size of 32.

```

In [30]: def train_linear_classifier(train_loader, num_epochs=10):
        """
        Train linear classifier using PyTorch's automatic differentiation

```

Args:

train_loader: DataLoader for training data
num_epochs: Number of training epochs (default: 10)

Returns:

Trained weights, bias, and training history

"""

Initialize parameters

input_features = 784 *# 28x28 flattened*

num_classes = 10

weights = None

bias = None

Training history

train_losses = []

train_accuracies = []

criterion = None

optimizer = None

TODO: Initialize weights and bias, set requires_grad=True, and set up
Hint:

- Use torch.randn() or torch.zeros() to initialize weights and bias
- Use weights.requires_grad_(True) and bias.requires_grad_(True)
- Use nn.CrossEntropyLoss() and torch.optim.SGD() with lr=0.001

#####

*# *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)******

weights = torch.rand(num_classes, input_features).requires_grad_(True)

bias = torch.rand(num_classes).requires_grad_(True)

criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()

optimizer = torch.optim.SGD([weights, bias], lr=0.001, momentum=.9)

*# *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)******

#####

*END OF YOUR CODE*

#####

print(f"Starting training with {num_epochs} epochs, lr=0.001, batch_size

for epoch in range(num_epochs):

epoch_loss = 0.0

correct = 0

total = 0

for batch_idx, (data, targets) in enumerate(train_loader):

Flatten input data

data = data.view(-1, input_features)

#####

TODO: Forward pass, compute loss, and perform backward pass ar

Hint:

```

# - Use your linear_classifier_forward function for the forward pass
# - CrossEntropyLoss expects logits, not probabilities (compute probabilities by passing the logits to torch.nn.functional.softmax)
# - optimizer.zero_grad(), loss.backward(), optimizer.step()
#####
# *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****

predictions = linear_classifier_forward(data, weights, bias)
loss = criterion(predictions, targets)
optimizer.zero_grad()
loss.backward()
optimizer.step()

# *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****
#####
#                                     END OF YOUR CODE
#####

# Compute accuracy
_, predicted = torch.max(predictions, 1)
total += targets.size(0)
correct += (predicted == targets).sum().item()
epoch_loss += loss.item()

if batch_idx % 100 == 0:
    print(f"Epoch {epoch+1}/{num_epochs}, Batch {batch_idx}, Loss: {loss.item():.4f}")

# Compute epoch statistics
avg_loss = epoch_loss / len(train_loader)
accuracy = 100 * correct / total

train_losses.append(avg_loss)
train_accuracies.append(accuracy)

print(f"Epoch {epoch+1}/{num_epochs} - Loss: {avg_loss:.4f}, Accuracy: {accuracy:.2f}%")

return weights, bias, train_losses, train_accuracies

# Create data loader with default batch size of 32
train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(train_dataset, batch_size=32, shuffle=True)

# Test training function
print("Testing training function...")
weights, bias, losses, accuracies = train_linear_classifier(train_loader)

```

Testing training function...

Starting training with 10 epochs, lr=0.001, batch_size=32

Epoch 1/10, Batch 0, Loss: 12.4415

Epoch 1/10, Batch 100, Loss: 3.2843

Epoch 1/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.9501

Epoch 1/10, Batch 300, Loss: 3.1565

Epoch 1/10, Batch 400, Loss: 1.7861

Epoch 1/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.9610

Epoch 1/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.4730

Epoch 1/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.4359

Epoch 1/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.5850

Epoch 1/10, Batch 900, Loss: 1.3495

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.3172

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 1.8061

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.9012

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 1.0479

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.8743

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.9093

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.6413

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.3850

Epoch 1/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 1.0981

Epoch 1/10 – Loss: 1.4792, Accuracy: 74.69%

Epoch 2/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.8854

Epoch 2/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.6879

Epoch 2/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.2145

Epoch 2/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.6000

Epoch 2/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.5532

Epoch 2/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.6550

Epoch 2/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.6981

Epoch 2/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.6675

Epoch 2/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.2066

Epoch 2/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.3138

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.4688

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.2440

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.4842

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.9978

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.7010

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.2916

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.4465

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.3272

Epoch 2/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.4109

Epoch 2/10 – Loss: 0.6394, Accuracy: 85.61%

Epoch 3/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.2634

Epoch 3/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.8189

Epoch 3/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.4159

Epoch 3/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.1367

Epoch 3/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.6195

Epoch 3/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.4370

Epoch 3/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.3597

Epoch 3/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.2153

Epoch 3/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.3851

Epoch 3/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.0634

Epoch 3/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.7177

Epoch 3/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.1801

Epoch 3/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.2924

Epoch 3/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.0322

Epoch 3/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.7142
Epoch 3/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.7064
Epoch 3/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.3128
Epoch 3/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.5808
Epoch 3/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.1392
Epoch 3/10 – Loss: 0.5311, Accuracy: 87.50%
Epoch 4/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.5415
Epoch 4/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.4175
Epoch 4/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.2160
Epoch 4/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.1849
Epoch 4/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.3158
Epoch 4/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.5108
Epoch 4/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.1180
Epoch 4/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.3329
Epoch 4/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.2560
Epoch 4/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.4034
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 1.0943
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.3325
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.2246
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 1.3358
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.6801
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.3333
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.4160
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.5832
Epoch 4/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.3350
Epoch 4/10 – Loss: 0.4765, Accuracy: 88.48%
Epoch 5/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.5614
Epoch 5/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.2980
Epoch 5/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.5536
Epoch 5/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.2882
Epoch 5/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.4325
Epoch 5/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.2940
Epoch 5/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.2090
Epoch 5/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.4702
Epoch 5/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.3907
Epoch 5/10, Batch 900, Loss: 1.4988
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.4017
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.6380
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.4785
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.9969
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 1.1298
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.1826
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.1854
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.1882
Epoch 5/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 1.1886
Epoch 5/10 – Loss: 0.4411, Accuracy: 89.15%
Epoch 6/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.6518
Epoch 6/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.7630
Epoch 6/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.6802
Epoch 6/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.3795
Epoch 6/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.2161
Epoch 6/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.5714
Epoch 6/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.2090
Epoch 6/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.3637
Epoch 6/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.1484
Epoch 6/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.5043

Epoch 6/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.0679
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.1424
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.0786
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.2907
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.1984
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.4914
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.2324
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.8605
Epoch 6/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.5752
Epoch 6/10 – Loss: 0.4163, Accuracy: 89.59%
Epoch 7/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.1943
Epoch 7/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.0553
Epoch 7/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.2709
Epoch 7/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.2796
Epoch 7/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.6274
Epoch 7/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.2606
Epoch 7/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.0965
Epoch 7/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.3887
Epoch 7/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.2041
Epoch 7/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.1280
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.2686
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.1036
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.2804
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.4824
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.4010
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.1711
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.0581
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 1.1092
Epoch 7/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.0930
Epoch 7/10 – Loss: 0.3972, Accuracy: 89.96%
Epoch 8/10, Batch 0, Loss: 1.1755
Epoch 8/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.6855
Epoch 8/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.2987
Epoch 8/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.0648
Epoch 8/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.4980
Epoch 8/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.7719
Epoch 8/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.5594
Epoch 8/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.2720
Epoch 8/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.2420
Epoch 8/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.1266
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.3864
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.4126
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.5604
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.2868
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.8295
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.4256
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.7177
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.1713
Epoch 8/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.1773
Epoch 8/10 – Loss: 0.3821, Accuracy: 90.17%
Epoch 9/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.4058
Epoch 9/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.1199
Epoch 9/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.4132
Epoch 9/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.5403
Epoch 9/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.3441
Epoch 9/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.3502

```
Epoch 9/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.8906
Epoch 9/10, Batch 700, Loss: 1.2111
Epoch 9/10, Batch 800, Loss: 0.2376
Epoch 9/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.6784
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.2072
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.6299
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.1653
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.2968
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.1013
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.2695
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.5796
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.1824
Epoch 9/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.1072
Epoch 9/10 – Loss: 0.3693, Accuracy: 90.43%
Epoch 10/10, Batch 0, Loss: 0.4871
Epoch 10/10, Batch 100, Loss: 0.5844
Epoch 10/10, Batch 200, Loss: 0.7069
Epoch 10/10, Batch 300, Loss: 0.1279
Epoch 10/10, Batch 400, Loss: 0.5715
Epoch 10/10, Batch 500, Loss: 0.0900
Epoch 10/10, Batch 600, Loss: 0.2152
Epoch 10/10, Batch 700, Loss: 0.4432
Epoch 10/10, Batch 800, Loss: 1.1588
Epoch 10/10, Batch 900, Loss: 0.0873
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1000, Loss: 0.1554
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1100, Loss: 0.1839
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1200, Loss: 0.0611
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1300, Loss: 0.2619
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1400, Loss: 0.4704
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1500, Loss: 0.4738
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1600, Loss: 0.8374
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1700, Loss: 0.4826
Epoch 10/10, Batch 1800, Loss: 0.3284
Epoch 10/10 – Loss: 0.3590, Accuracy: 90.68%
```

Part 5: Visualize Training Progress

Plot the training curves to see how the model learns.

```
In [31]: # Plot training curves
plt.figure(figsize=(15, 5))


# Plot training loss
plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
plt.plot(losses)
plt.title('Training Loss')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.ylabel('Loss')
plt.grid(True)

# Plot training accuracy
plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
plt.plot(accuracies)
plt.title('Training Accuracy')
```

```
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.ylabel('Accuracy (%)')
plt.grid(True)

plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

print(f"Final training accuracy: {accuracies[-1]:.2f}%")
```



Final training accuracy: 90.68%

Part 6: Visualize Learned Templates

NEW SECTION: Visualize the learned weight templates for each digit class

The weights of a linear classifier can be interpreted as learned templates for each class. Let's visualize what the model has learned.

```
In [32]: # Visualize learned templates
from PIL import Image

def visualize_learned_templates(weights, save_path='all_weights_combined_learned_templates.png'):
    """
    Visualize the learned weight templates for each digit class

    Args:
        weights: Trained weight matrix, shape (num_classes, input_features)
        save_path: Path to save the combined visualization
    """
    # Reshape weights to 28x28 images
    num_classes, input_features = weights.shape
    assert input_features == 784, f"Expected 784 features, got {input_features}"

    # Create a figure with 2x5 subplots for the 10 digits
    fig, axes = plt.subplots(2, 5, figsize=(20, 8))
    axes = axes.ravel()

    # Normalize weights for better visualization
    weights_normalized = weights.clone()
    for i in range(num_classes):
        # Normalize each class template to [0, 1] range
        w_min = weights[i].min()
```

```

        w_max = weights[i].max()
        if w_max > w_min:
            weights_normalized[i] = (weights[i] - w_min) / (w_max - w_min)

# Plot each digit template
for i in range(num_classes):
    # Reshape to 28x28
    template = weights_normalized[i].detach().view(28, 28).numpy()

    # Plot template
    axes[i].imshow(template, cmap='gray')
    axes[i].set_title(f'Learned Template for Digit {i}')
    axes[i].axis('off')

plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

# Create combined visualization (similar to 01_linear_feature.ipynb)
# Create a 10x1 grid layout (single column)
combined_img = np.zeros((28 * 10, 28))

for i in range(num_classes):
    row = i # Each digit gets its own row

    template = weights_normalized[i].detach().view(28, 28).numpy()
    combined_img[row*28:(row+1)*28, :] = template

# Display combined image
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 10))
plt.imshow(combined_img, cmap='gray')
plt.axis('off')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

# Save combined image directly as raw array
combined_img_normalized = ((combined_img - combined_img.min()) / (combined_img.max() - combined_img.min()))
img = Image.fromarray(combined_img_normalized, mode='L')
img.save(save_path)

print(f"✅ Learned templates visualization saved to: {save_path}")
return combined_img


# Visualize the learned templates
print("Visualizing learned templates...")
learned_templates = visualize_learned_templates(weights)


# Also show individual templates with more detail
plt.figure(figsize=(20, 10))
for i in range(10):
    plt.subplot(2, 5, i + 1)
    template = weights[i].detach().view(28, 28).numpy()
    plt.imshow(template, cmap='gray')
    plt.title(f'Digit {i} Template (Raw Weights)')
    plt.colorbar()
    plt.axis('off')

```

```
plt.tight_layout()  
plt.show()
```


Visualizing learned templates...







✓ Learned templates visualization saved to: all_weights_combined_learned.png



Part 7: Test on MNIST Test Set

Evaluate the trained model on the test set to get the final accuracy.

```
In [33]: def evaluate_model(test_loader, weights, bias):
        """
        Evaluate the trained model on test set
        """
        correct = 0
        total = 0

        with torch.no_grad():
            for data, targets in test_loader:
                # Flatten input data
                data = data.view(-1, 784)

                # Forward pass
                predictions = linear_classifier_forward(data, weights, bias)

                # Get predictions
                _, predicted = torch.max(predictions, 1)

                # Update statistics

                total += targets.size(0)
                correct += (predicted == targets).sum().item()

        return 100 * correct / total

# Evaluate model
test_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(test_dataset, batch_size=1000, shu
```

```

test_accuracy = evaluate_model(test_loader, weights, bias)

print(f"Test Accuracy: {test_accuracy:.2f}%")

# IMPORTANT: This accuracy should be greater than 90% for full credit
# assert test_accuracy > 90.0, f"Test accuracy {test_accuracy:.2f}% is below
# print(f"✅ Test accuracy {test_accuracy:.2f}% meets the requirement of >90

# Store the result for grading
test_accuracy_result = test_accuracy

# Test metadata for Gradescope auto-grading
# This cell will be automatically executed and evaluated
print(f"\n🎯 Final Test Result: {test_accuracy_result:.2f}%")
print(f"📊 Grading Status: {'✅ PASSED' if test_accuracy_result > 90.0 else
print(f"🏆 Points Earned: {60 if test_accuracy_result > 90.0 else 0}/60 for

```

Test Accuracy: 90.81%

🎯 Final Test Result: 90.81%
 📊 Grading Status: ✅ PASSED
 🏆 Points Earned: 60/60 for accuracy

Part 8: Load Pre-saved Weights and Compare

NEW SECTION: Load pre-saved weight images and apply them to the linear classifier

In this section, we'll load the pre-saved weight images (like `all_digits_combined_learned.png`) and use them as weights for the linear classifier. We'll then compare the accuracy with the original implementation from `01_linear_feature.ipynb`.

Key Features:

1. **Load images** using the same approach as `01_linear_feature.ipynb`
2. **Apply eta adjustment** to modify the loaded images
3. **Create weight matrix** [10, 784] from normalized images
4. **Test accuracy** using the linear classifier evaluation function
5. **Compare results** across different eta values

```

In [40]: # Import the linear classifier functions from 01_linear_feature.ipynb
from cpssc8430.classifiers import (
    load_and_preprocess_image,
    create_weight_matrix,
    create_mnist_test_loader,
    evaluate_linear_classifier,
    create_random_bias
)

# Load weights from the saved image with different eta values
print("Loading weights from saved image...")

```

```

#####
# TODO: Try different values of eta (e.g., 0.0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0) and ob
# You can loop over several eta values and report the results for each
eta = 1
#####

print(f"\n{'='*50}")
print(f"Testing with eta = {eta}")
print(f"{'='*50}")

try:
    # Load and preprocess image using eta (similar eta in 01_linear_feature.
    img_normalized = load_and_preprocess_image('all_weights_combined_learned

    # Create weight matrix [10, 784] from the normalized image
    weight_matrix = create_weight_matrix(img_normalized)

    # Create random bias
    bias = torch.randn(10)

    # Create test loader
    test_loader = create_mnist_test_loader()

    # Evaluation function
    def evaluate():
        correct = 0
        total = 0

        with torch.no_grad():
            for images, labels in test_loader:
                # Flatten the images
                images = images.view(-1, 784)

                # Forward pass: compute scores
                scores = torch.mm(images, weight_matrix.t()) + bias

                # Get predictions
                _, predicted = torch.max(scores, 1)

                # Update statistics
                total += labels.size(0)
                correct += (predicted == labels).sum().item()

        return 100 * correct / total

    # Test the classifier
    accuracy = evaluate()
    print(f"Test Accuracy: {accuracy:.2f}%")

    # Visualize the loaded weights with current eta
    print(f"Visualizing weights with eta={eta}...")
    visualize_learned_templates(weight_matrix, f'loaded_weights_eta_{eta:.2f}

    # Show the effect of eta on a sample digit template

```

```

plt.figure(figsize=(15, 5))

# For comparison, also load the original weights (eta=0.0)
img_normalized_orig = load_and_preprocess_image('all_weights_combined_le
original_weights = create_weight_matrix(img_normalized_orig)
current_weights = weight_matrix

# Show comparison for digit 0
plt.subplot(1, 3, 1)
template_orig = original_weights[0].detach().view(28, 28).numpy()
plt.imshow(template_orig, cmap='gray')
plt.title(f'Original Weights (eta=0.0)\nDigit 0')
plt.axis('off')

plt.subplot(1, 3, 2)
template_current = current_weights[0].detach().view(28, 28).numpy()
plt.imshow(template_current, cmap='gray')
plt.title(f'Modified Weights (eta={eta})\nDigit 0')
plt.axis('off')

plt.subplot(1, 3, 3)
difference = template_current - template_orig
plt.imshow(difference, cmap='RdBu', vmin=-0.5, vmax=0.5)
plt.title(f'Difference (eta={eta} - eta=0.0)\nRed: Increased, Blue: Decr
plt.colorbar()
plt.axis('off')

plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

print(f"✅ Eta={eta} analysis completed")

except Exception as e:
    print(f"❌ Error with eta={eta}: {e}")

print(f"\n{'='*60}")

```


Loading weights from saved image...

```


=====
Testing with eta = 1
=====
Test Accuracy: 0.11%
Visualizing weights with eta=1...

```


Learned Template for Digit 0




Learned Template for Digit 1




Learned Template for Digit 2




Learned Template for Digit 3




Learned Template for Digit 4




Learned Template for Digit 5




Learned Template for Digit 6




Learned Template for Digit 7




Learned Template for Digit 8




Learned Template for Digit 9







✓ Learned templates visualization saved to: loaded_weights_eta_1.00.png



✓ Eta=1 analysis completed

=====

Inline Questions

Question 1

What is the purpose of the softmax function in multi-class classification? How does it help with gradient descent?

Your Answer:

The softmax function works to create a probability distribution that is differentiable. This key aspect of the softmax function is what enables GD to work in the first place.

Question 2

What is the significance of the cross-entropy loss function in classification problems? Why is it preferred over mean squared error?

Your Answer:

Cross entropy loss focuses on how much the scores diverge from the target since it relies on probabilistic calculations. This results in a steeper optimization when compared to MSE (which is not divergent by nature nor reflects probability, thus regressive problems work better than classification). This means that classifications are more likely to fall into local minima and vanishing gradients if MSE is used.

Question 3

Analyze the learned templates visualization

Look at the learned weight templates for each digit class. What patterns do you observe? How do these templates relate to the actual digit shapes? Why might some templates look clearer than others? What does this tell us about how the linear classifier learns to distinguish between different digit classes?

Your Answer:

You can see the general shape of the numbers. Some are more crumby than others, but all have the general curves. I think some look different than others because people write those numbers very differently. The classifier seems to learn the edges of the shapes and even highlight the differences rather than the exact shape. Structured, linear data (with linear separation) fairs better than more complex variations which we see with certain digits (like 4 and even 1).

Question 4

Weight loading and comparison

When you load the pre-saved weights, 'all_weights_combined_learned.png', from the image file, how does the testing accuracy compare to the direct trained weights (Part 7)? What is the major reason to cause differences in performance?

Your Answer:

The testing accuracy is not as good as the direct trained weights. At $\eta = 0$, the accuracy is ~65%. While the direct trained weights gives us 90%+ accuracy. The major reason I see is with the bias vector. Rather than used trained, tuned biases, a random vector of biases is created in its stead. Weights and biases go hand-in-hand during training.

Question 5

Effect of Different Eta Values on Testing Accuracy

In Part 8, try different values of η from the set $\{0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1\}$ when loading the pre-saved weights from the image file. For each η , report the testing accuracy you obtain.

Your Answer:

- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0$: 65.29%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0.25$: 66.64%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0.5$: 9.8%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 0.75$: 0.11%
- Testing accuracy for $\eta = 1$: 0.11%

How does the trend of testing accuracy as η changes compare to what you observed in 01_linear_feature.ipynb? Why do you think the trend is different in this notebook?

In the 01_linear_feature.ipynb we see the opposite trend as the grayscale changes. This is likely because the weights from the learned png are learned such that negative squares (darker) are associated with divergence and positive (lighter) with convergence.