## CS 245 — Assignment #2 Spring 2006

Due Date: Tuesday, May 23 at 5pm.

Use makeCover to produce a cover page for your assignment and hand in your assignment in the CS 245 assignment box. Assignments are to be done individually.

- 1. (3 points) Give the formula  $\neg(p \land (\neg(\neg r \land (s \lor p)) \Rightarrow \neg(\neg p \Rightarrow q)))$  in conjunctive normal form (CNF) and prove the equivalence of the two formulas by giving a transformational proof. Be sure to simplify, by using the appropriate logical laws, so that in each clause there are no duplicate literals or contradicting literals.
- 2. (10 points) Consider the fragments of code given on the left and the right below, where P1, P2, P3, P4, and P5 are blocks of code.

```
Fragment #2
Fragment #1
if( NOT a OR b ) {
                                         if( a AND NOT b ) {
   if( NOT a AND NOT b ) {
                                            P4
      P1
                                         }
   }
                                         else
   else
                                         if( NOT a AND NOT b ) {
   if(b){
                                         }
      P2
   }
                                         else {
   else {
                                            P2
      Р3
                                         }
   }
}
                                         P5
else {
   P4
}
if( NOT a OR b OR (a AND NOT b) ) {
   P5
}
```

- (a) For Fragment #1, express in propositional logic the conditions under which each of the blocks of code P1, P2, P3, P4, and P5 will be executed. Do not simplify.
- (b) For Fragment #2, express in propositional logic the conditions under which each of the blocks of code P1, P2, P4, and P5 will be executed. Do not simplify.
- (c) Give transformational proofs to show that Fragment #1 and Fragment #2 have the same behavior. For any unreachable (dead) code, give a transformational proof that the condition under which the code would be executed are a contradiction (equivalent to false). For any reachable code, give a transformational proof that the conditions under which the code would be executed are equivalent in both fragments.
- 3. (12 points) For each of the following arguments, determine whether the argument is valid or invalid. If the argument is valid, prove it using Natural Deduction. If the argument is invalid, provide a counter example and demonstrate that the argument is invalid.
  - (a)  $p \vee \neg q$ ,  $\neg r \Rightarrow \neg \neg q$ ,  $r \Rightarrow \neg s$ ,  $\neg \neg s \vdash p$
  - (b)  $(p \lor q) \Rightarrow r \vdash q \Rightarrow r$
  - (c)  $p \Rightarrow r, q \Rightarrow s \vdash (p \lor q) \Rightarrow (r \lor s)$
  - (d)  $\neg p \Rightarrow q, \ \neg r \Rightarrow s, \ \neg q \lor s \vdash p \lor r$
  - (e)  $\neg p \Rightarrow q, \ \neg r \Rightarrow s, \ \neg q \lor \neg s \vdash p \lor r$