"Machine Learning and Computational Statistics"

9th Homework

Exercise 1:

Wolfe dual representation: A convex programming problem is equivalent to

$$max_{\lambda \geq 0}L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

subject to
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Consider the SVM problem as it is stated in slide 17 of the 9th lecture. Prove that its equivalent dual representation is the one shown in slide 18.

Hints: (a) The parameters in SVM are θ and θ_0 . Using the Karush-Kuhn-Tacker (KKT) conditions (1) and (2), derive the equations given at the beginning of the 18th slide.

- (b) Replace your findings to the Lagrangian function given in the 17th slide and perform operations.
- (c) Use the Wolfe dual representation given above to state the dual form of the SVM problem.

Exercise 2:

Consider the two-class two-dim. problem where class ω_1 (+1) consists of the vectors $x_1 = [-1, 1]^T$, $x_2 = [-1, -1]^T$, while class ω_2 (-1) consists of the vectors $x_3 = [1, -1]^T$, $x_4 = [1, 1]^T$.

- (a) **Draw** the points and make a conjecture about the line the (linear) SVM classifier will return.
- (b) **Using** the dual representation of the SVM problem, from ex. 1(c) derive
 - (i) the Lagrange multipliers and
 - (ii) the line that separates the data from the two classes.
- (c) **Discuss** on the results.

Hints: 1. Defining y_1 =+1, y_2 =+1, y_3 =-1, y_4 =-1, substitute to the function

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{x}_j) \equiv \boldsymbol{J}_1^*(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

 y_i 's and x_i 's and express $J_1^*(\lambda)$ only in terms of λ_i 's.

- 2. Taking the derivative of $J_1^*(\lambda)$ with respect to each λ_i and setting to zero, derive a system of equations for λ_i 's and find ALL its solutions.
- 3. Determine the θ vector.
- 4. Determine the θ_0 parameter.

Exercise 3:

Consider the following binary classification two-class problem (classes are labeled as 0 and 1)

where x_1, x_2, x_3 are the input variables and y the class where each triplet $(x_1 x_2 x_3)$ is assigned. **Prove** that this classification problem is NOT linearly separable.

<u>Hint:</u> Proceed using the contradiction method. Assume that there is a plane (H): $\theta_1x_1+\ldots+\theta_lx_l+\theta_0=0$

that separates the two triplets from class 1 from all the rest. This means that, for example, for the triplet (1,1,1) it is $\theta_1 + \ldots + \theta_l + \theta_0 > 0 \ldots$

Focus on (i) the triplets that belong to class 1 and (ii) the triplets that belong to class 0 and have only one coordinate equal to 1.

Exercise 4:

Consider the lines ($\epsilon 1$) $x_2=0$, ($\epsilon 2$) $x_1=0$ and ($\epsilon 3$) $x_1+x_2=2$ in the two-dimensional space that all leave the point (4,4) on their positive side. Consider a two-class classification problem where class 1 contains all the points that lie on the positive side of all lines, as well as all the points that lie on the negative side of all lines. Class 0 contains all points of the remaining (polygonal) regions

- (i) Design the regions on the plane that correspond to each class.
- (ii) Design a multilayer perceptron that solves the above classification problem, where each node is modeled by the relation $y = f(w^T x + w_0)$, where f(z) = 1, for z > 0 and f(z) = 0, otherwise. Give the full architecture along with the weights and thresholds of each node (describe in some detail the steps you followed for designing the network).

Hint: (i) Use the point (4,4) to identify the positive and the negative sides of each line

- (ii) Use the theory given in the lecture.
- (iii) The equation of a plane that passes through the points (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}) , (x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}) , (x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}) is $\begin{vmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & 1 \\ x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & 1 \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & 1 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$

Exercise 5:

Consider a two-layer perceptron with two linear nodes in the hidden layer and one node in the output layer, having the step function as output function. Prove that this network is equivalent with a single-node having the step function as output function.

<u>Hint:</u> Let $\theta_j = [1 \ \theta_{j1}, \ \theta_{j2}, ..., \ \theta_{jl}]^T$ be the vector containing the parameters of the j-th hidden layer node. Stacking all of them columnwise in a matrix A and defining $\mathbf{x} = [1 \ x_1, x_2, ..., x_l]^T$, we can write the output of the first layer nodes as a vector $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}$. Working similarly for the output node BEFORE its nonlinearity, we have something like $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{y}$, where \mathbf{y} is the vector containing the outputs of the $\mathbf{1}^{st}$ layer nodes (extended by a "1") and \mathbf{z} is the result of the output node BEFORE passing through the step function f(.). The final output of the network is $\mathbf{s} = f(\mathbf{z})$. Taking into account that a single node with the step as output function has the form $\mathbf{s} = f(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$, you should relate linearly \mathbf{w} with \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{v} .

Exercise 6 (Python code + text):

Consider a two-class, two-dimensional classification problem for which you can find attached two sets: one for training and one for testing (file HW9a.mat). Each of these sets consists of pairs of the form (y_i,x_i) , where y_i is the class label for vector x_i . Let N_{train} and N_{test} denote the number of training and test sets, respectively. The data are given via the following arrays/matrices:

- $train_x$ (a N_{train} x2 matrix that contains in its rows the training vectors x_i)
- $train_y$ (a N_{train} -dim. column **vector** containing the **class labels** (0 or 1) of the corresponding training vectors x_i included in $train_x$).
- $test_x$ (a N_{test} x 2 matrix that contains in its rows the test vectors x_i)
- $test_y$ (a N_{test} -dim. column **vector** containing the **class labels** (0 or 1) of the corresponding test vectors x_i included in $test_x$).

Train the SVM classifier using the training set given above and **measure** its performance using the test set, **using**: (a) the linear kernel, (b) the polynomial kernel and (c) rbf kernel. Perform **several runs** using the attached code, for **several choices** of the **parameters** included in each kernel and for various values of C.

Exercise 7 (Python code + text):

Consider a two-class, two-dimensional classification problem for which you can find attached two sets: one for training and one for testing (file HW9b.mat). Each of these sets consists of pairs of the form (y_i,x_i) , where y_i is the class label for vector x_i . Let N_{train} and N_{test} denote the number of training and test sets, respectively. The data are given via the following arrays/matrices:

- $train_x$ (a N_{train} x2 matrix that contains in its rows the training vectors x_i)
- $train_y$ (a N_{train} -dim. column **vector** containing the **class labels** (0 or 1) of the corresponding training vectors x_i included in $train_x$).
- $test_x$ (a N_{test} x2 matrix that contains in its rows the test vectors x_i)
- $test_y$ (a N_{test} -dim. column **vector** containing the **class labels** (0 or 1) of the corresponding test vectors x_i included in $test_x$).

Train a neural network classifier with a single hidden layer where the nodes have the hyperbolic tangent output function, for (a) 3 nodes, (b) 4 nodes, (c) 10 nodes, (d) 50 nodes (use the MLPClassifier Python function inserting properly the required parameters, see also the attached code), using the training set given above and **measure** the performance using the test set. Comment on the results.