

Machine Learning for econometrics

Event studies: reminder on DID, interrupted time series and synthetic controls

Authors

February, 11th, 2025

Table of contents

1. Motivation
2. A visual reminder on difference-in-differences
3. Interrupted Time Series
4. Synthetic Controls
5. Time-series modelisation: other methods
6. Python hands-on

Motivation

A visual reminder on difference-in-
differences

Difference-in-differences

- Introduced by
- A method to estimate the effect of a treatment on a treated unit
- The treatment effect is estimated by comparing the evolution of the treated unit to a control unit
- The difference between the two differences is the treatment effect

Target effect: Sample Average Treatment effect on the Treated, SATT

$$\tau_{\text{SATT}} = \frac{1}{|\{i:D_i=1\}|} \sum_{i:D_i=1} \frac{1}{T-H} \sum_{t=H+1}^T Y_{it}(1) - Y_{it}(0)$$

DID estimator

$$\widehat{\tau}_{\text{DID}} = \frac{1}{|\{i:D_i=1\}|} \sum_{i:D_i=1} \left[\frac{1}{T-H} \sum_{t=H+1}^T Y_{it} - \frac{1}{H} \sum_{t=1}^H Y_{it} \right] - \frac{1}{|\{i:D_i=0\}|} \sum_{i:D_i=0} \left[\frac{1}{T-H} \sum_{t=H+1}^T Y_{it} - \frac{1}{H} \sum_{t=1}^H Y_{it} \right]$$

Temporal consistency assumption

No anticipation of the treatment (in practice, not always true)

Assumption

$$Y_{it}(0) = Y_{it}(1) \forall t = 1, \dots, H.$$

Parallel trend assumption

Main and **strong** assumption of the DID method

Assumption

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{it}(0, \infty) - Y_{i1}(0, \infty)] = \beta_t, t = 2, \dots, T.$$

Parallel trend assumption

Under the temporal consistency and the parallel trend assumptions, the DID estimator is unbiased ie. $\mathbb{E}[\tau_{\text{DID}} - \widehat{\tau_{\text{SAT}}}] = 0$

See (Wager, 2024) for a clear proof.

Estimation: link with two way fixed effect

In practice, DID is estimated with a two-way fixed effect model (TWFE):

$$Y_{it} \sim \alpha_i + \beta_t + A_{it}\tau \text{ where } A_{it} = D_i * (t \leq H)$$

- α_i capture the individual fixed effect
- β_t capture the time fixed effect (under parallel trend)

This link can be seen with the parallel trend assumption: $\beta_t =$

Interrupted Time Series

Synthetic Controls

Synthetic Controls

Introduced in (Abadie & Gardeazabal, 2003) and (Abadie et al., 2010), well described in (Abadie, 2021)

- A method for estimating the effect of a treatment on a single unit
- The treatment unit is compared to a weighted average of control units
- The weights are chosen to minimize the difference between the treated unit and the synthetic control

Example for the effect of taxes on sugar-based product consumption in (Puig-Codina et al., 2021), review of usage in healthcare (Bouttell et al., 2018).

Time-series modelisation: other
methods

Python hands-on

To your notebooks 🧑📖 !

- url: <https://github.com/strayMat/causal-ml-course/tree/main/notebooks>

Bibliography

- Abadie, A. (2021). *Using synthetic controls: Feasibility, data requirements, and methodological aspects*. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2), 391–425.
- Abadie, A., & Gardeazabal, J. (2003). *The economic costs of conflict: A case study of the Basque Country*. *American Economic Review*, 93(1), 113–132.
- Abadie, A., Diamond, A., & Hainmueller, J. (2010). *Synthetic control methods for comparative case studies: Estimating the effect of California's tobacco control program*. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 105(490), 493–505.
- Bouttell, J., Craig, P., Lewsey, J., Robinson, M., & Popham, F. (2018). *Synthetic control methodology as a tool for evaluating population-level health interventions*. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 72(8), 673–678.

Bibliography

Puig-Codina, L., Pinilla, J., & Puig-Junoy, J. (2021). The impact of taxing sugar-sweetened beverages on cola purchasing in Catalonia: an approach to causal inference with time series cross-sectional data. The European Journal of Health Economics, 22(1), 155–168.

Wager, S. (2024,). Causal inference: A statistical learning approach. preparation.