

# 1. Two level system (Landau-Zener)

*December 13, 2021*

*Jan Str̆eleček*

Let's have Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega(t) & \Delta(t) \\ \Delta(t) & -\Omega(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

and a driving along the path parametrized by time  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$d(t) := \begin{pmatrix} -s \cos(\omega(T_f)t) \\ 0 \\ s \sin(\omega(T_f)t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.2)$$

for speed regulating function  $\omega(T_f) := \pi/T_f$ . This means, the driving will always be along half-sphere, as in Fig. 1.1.




Figure 1.1: Driving along the geodesic.  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_f$  are initial resp. final parameters. DensityPlot shows the difference between Hamiltonian eigenvalues.

## 1.1 Derivation of the fidelity

Because the Hamiltonian can be rewritten using Pauli matrices

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -s \cos(t\omega) & s \sin(t\omega) \\ s \sin(t\omega) & s \cos(t\omega) \end{pmatrix} = \Delta(t)\sigma_x + \Omega(t)\sigma_z = d(t).\hat{\sigma} \quad (1.3)$$

one can see that changing from the original frame to moving frame of reference (let's omit the final time dependence  $\omega = \omega(T_f)$  for a while)

$$\psi(t) = e^{\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t} \tilde{\psi}(t) \quad (1.4)$$

reflects rotational symmetry of the problem. This change of reference frame transforms Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(t)\psi(t) &= i\psi'(t) \\ \mathcal{H}(t)e^{\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t}\tilde{\psi}(t) &= ie^{\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t} \left( \frac{i\omega\hat{\sigma}_y}{2} \right) \tilde{\psi}(t) + ie^{\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t}\tilde{\psi}'(t) \\ \underbrace{\left( e^{-\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t}\mathcal{H}(t)e^{\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t} + \frac{\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y \right)}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(t)} \tilde{\psi}(t) &= i\tilde{\psi}'(t). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Therefore we can equivalently solve the Fidelity problem in this new coordinate system.

Hamiltonian in the moving frame is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} -s & -i\omega(T_f)/2 \\ i\omega(T_f)/2 & s \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.6)$$

which is time independent. The Schrödinger equation can now be easily solved using evolution operator

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(t) &= e^{-i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}t} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right) + \frac{2is\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right)}{q(T_f)} & -\frac{\omega(T_f)\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right)}{q(T_f)} \\ \frac{\omega(T_f)\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right)}{q(T_f)} & \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right) - \frac{2is\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right)}{q(T_f)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

for  $q(T_f) = \sqrt{4s^2 + \omega(T_f)^2}$ .

In the original frame we get the evolution of the state  $\psi(0)$

$$\psi(t) = e^{\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t} \hat{U}(t) \tilde{\psi}(0) = \underbrace{e^{\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t} \hat{U}}_{\hat{U}(t)} \underbrace{e^{-\frac{i\omega}{2}\hat{\sigma}_y t}}_{\psi(0)} \tilde{\psi}(0). \quad (1.8)$$

Then the evolved wavefunction is

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right) + \frac{2is\cos(t\omega(T_f))\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right)}{q(T_f)} \\ \frac{(\omega(T_f) - 2is\sin(t\omega(T_f)))\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}q(T_f)\right)}{q(T_f)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

and the ground state

$$|0(t)\rangle = \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} -\cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\omega(T_f)\right) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.10)$$

for a normalization constant  $\mathcal{N} := |\langle 0(t)|0(t)\rangle|^{-1}$ . Fidelity during the transport is then<sup>1</sup>

$$F = |\langle 0(t)|\psi(t)\rangle|^2, \quad (1.11)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>If we would calculate the Fidelity in comoving frame, we would get exactly one. This is the essence of counterdiabatic driving.

Explicit formula for fidelity in time  $t$  and geodesic driving with final time  $T_f$  is then

$$F(t, T_f) = \frac{\pi^2 \left( \cos \left( t \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{T_f^2} + 4s^2} \right) + 1 \right) + 8s^2 T_f^2}{2 \sin^4 \left( \frac{\pi t}{2T_f} \right) \left( 4s^2 T_f^2 + \pi^2 \right) \left( \left| \cot \left( \frac{\pi t}{2T_f} \right) \right|^2 + 1 \right)^2}. \quad (1.12)$$

## 1.2 Analysis of the fidelity formula

Fidelity for some fixed final time is just oscillating curve close to 1. For  $T_f = 10$  it can be seen on Fig. 1.2




Figure 1.2: Fidelity in time for  $T_f = 10$

The *final fidelity* (at  $t = T_f$ ) dependence on final time  $T_f$  can be seen on Fig. 1.3 and 1.4.




Figure 1.3: Final fidelity dependence on final time  $T_f$ .




Figure 1.4: Fidelity dependence on final time in log-log scale. Spikes should go to zero, which does not hold due to numerical precision of the plotting algorithm.

From formula for fidelity 1.12 goes  $F = 0$  is equivalent to

$$a \quad (1.13)$$

This proves that showing infidelity dependence on final time in log-log scale has spikes going to 0, see Fig. 1.4.

Fidelity as a function of time and final time can be seen on Figures 1.5, 1.7.




Figure 1.5: Fidelity dependence on time and final time.




Figure 1.6: Fidelity dependence on time and final time in log log scale.

### 1.3 Linear driving




Figure 1.7: Energy variance for  $(\Lambda; \Omega) = (0; 0.2)$  during the linear driving.