**What’s behind Minnesota’s rural recovery?**

The Twin Cities metro area is Minnesota’s economic engine, [providing most of the state’s tax revenue](http://www.startribune.com/metro-vs-outstate-which-counties-pay-most-taxes-and-which-get-most-aid/300965791/), while Greater Minnesota has tended to lag behind, cutting an [urban and rural divide](http://www.startribune.com/data-reveals-sharp-divide-between-twin-cities-rest-of-the-state/360017421/) that played a pronounced political role in the 2016 election.

But per usual with economics, things aren’t always so cut-and-dried, especially when delving more deeply into the data.

Data suggests that many outstate counties recovered at faster rates than the metro area on metrics like personal and household income.

For instance, a Star Tribune analysis shows personal income – the amount of money an individual makes after taxes – increased about 12 percent both statewide and the metro since the end of the Great Recession in 2009, while growing slightly faster at 16 percent in outstate Minnesota.

**NARROWING GAPS IN PERSONAL INCOME**

**http://datadrop-dev.startribune.com/20170712-mnrecovery/builds/production/?chart=gaps**

When mapping this out county-by-county, we can see places like Swift County showing a 30 percent jump in per capita personal income, while Kittson, Stevens and Red Lake saw growth of 20 percent or more.

On the flip side, per capita income in Ramsey County grew more slowly with an 8 percent increase over the time period, while Hennepin rested at about the state average.

**PERSONAL INCOME BY COUNTY**

**http://datadrop-dev.startribune.com/20170712-mnrecovery/builds/production/?chart=personal**

Since personal income is a per capita metric measured by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, demographics could affect it in a few ways. One could be age, as younger people tend to make less money, and counties in Greater Minnesota are older on average. Another could be migration, with lower-income families from other states or counties flocking to the Twin Cities.

So checking median household income over time can help parse income change a little bit better, and turns out to reveal similar trends, with 47 outstate counties showing growth above the state average of 3 percent.

**HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY COUNTY**

**http://datadrop-dev.startribune.com/20170712-mnrecovery/builds/production/?chart=household**

Median household income in four of seven metro area counties grew slightly more slowly than the state average from 2009 to 2015, including Hennepin.

Pushing the analysis up from counties to regions shows an even clearer picture, with the Twin Cities resting just below the state average and nearly every outstate region either matching or surpassing it, with the exception of Southern Minnesota.

**HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGE BY REGION**

**http://datadrop-dev.startribune.com/20170712-mnrecovery/builds/production/?chart=region**

The western parts of the state showed particularly strong growth since 2009, likely connected to the North Dakota oil boom.

To put it all together in a larger picture, the Star Tribune compiled an index based on whether counties surpassed the state average on unemployment rates, jobs, household income, personal income and housing prices.

**INDEX MAP**

**http://datadrop-dev.startribune.com/20170712-mnrecovery/builds/production/?chart=index**

Counties like Kittson and Morrison saw growth higher than the state average in five economic indicators, while places like Ramsey, Anoka and Dakota were only above average on two.

These kinds of bright spots in rural economic recovery haven’t gone unnoticed. [A 2015 report](http://www.naco.org/sites/default/files/documents/County_Economic_Tracker2014-FINAL.pdf) from the National Association of Counties showed that of eight Minnesota counties that had “recovered” from the recession, all of them were in the western part of the state.

Since then, the [most recent iteration of that report](http://www.naco.org/resources/county-economies-2016-widespread-recovery-slower-growth) shows the recovery has been more widespread with other parts of Minnesota playing catch up amid a period of slower economic growth nationally.

Explanations from experts as to why Greater Minnesota has recovered faster in some respects than the metro include wider age ranges among the workforce in rural counties, aging rural populations, some profitable years for the energy, agricultural and manufacturing industries in outstate regions and the migration of those with lower incomes into the Twin Cities.

The NACo report also sheds additional light on some political realities related to the last election as a majority of counties that swung from Democrat in 2012 to voting for Donald Trump in 2016 had weak job recoveries.

But in Minnesota, weak job recoveries were only the case for about half the [19 counties Trump swayed into the red column during the presidential election](http://www.startribune.com/13-takeaways-from-the-presidential-election-in-minn-nationwide/400722341/?refresh=true), and many saw economic growth above the state average on several indicators as well.

**TRUMP MAP**

**http://datadrop-dev.startribune.com/20170712-mnrecovery/builds/production/?chart=trump**

How well these trends can hold up in coming years remains to be seen, and a notable economic chasm still exists between the Twin Cities and regions beyond. But when taken as a whole, it seems Greater Minnesota has made some economic strides following the darkest days of the recession.