

IN THE US SENATE & HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES and US COURT OF APPEALS DC CIRCUIT & TENTH CIRCUIT and US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO and EASTERN CIRCUIT LOCAL DIVISION OF THE WESTERN CAPE HIGH COURT; HELD AT GEORGE, SOUTH AFRICA:

EoP Law Voter Mediation: Awaiting CADC Clerk to Issue Court Annexed Mediation Case No for EoP Law Voter Prisoner of WiP Law War Pro Se Application for Direct Access to US Court of Appeals DC Circuit Court of Judge Merrick Garland; filed 08 Jan 2020¹.

In the matter/s between:

Timothy McVeigh 2020 – *Applicant*

and

Donald Trump 2020, Biden Harris 2020 –
Respondents

CADC Case No: _____

Request Respondents EoP OKC
TRC / No EoP OKC TRC
information.

United States – *Plaintiff*

and

Timothy James McVeigh – *Defendant*

10th Crt: 119 F.3d 806

CO: No. 96-CR-68-M

Re: Conviction and Sentence of
McVeigh for 19 Apr 1995 Oklahoma
City Bombing

Lara Johnson – *Applicant*

and

Lindiwe Sisulu: Min of Intl Relations &
Cooperation; Hasso Plattner: RSA Rep. of
Giving Pledge; Helene Budliger Artieda,
Swiss Amb; Masimba Tafirenyika: UN Amb;
Lin Songtian: China Amb; Marcus Cornaro:
EU Amb; Didier Vanderhasselt: Belgium &
NATO Amb; Jessye Lapenn: US Ch
D’Affairs; Mikhail Petrakov: Russia Amb –
Respondents

Grg High Crt: H 111/2019

Notice of McVeigh Faked Execution;
EoP / OKC TRC UN Resolution
Negotiations

Req EoP/OKC TRC: EoP UN
Resolution; or No EoP/OKC TRC:
Lara & Tim Siberia Assisted
Suicide vote info.

**Draft: Senate / House Resolution:
Ecology of Peace Ethics Control of Media**

¹ 08 Jan: Filing: CADC: Jdg Garland: McV20 v Trump20 & Biden20: EoP Law Voter Prisoner of WiP Law War Pro Se Application for Direct Access to US Court of Appeals DC Circuit Court of Judge Merrick Garland
http://eop-leg-sub.tygae.org.za/2021/01/08_22-00_lj-jmgarland/

EoP Law – Sincere Peacenik / Honourable Warrior Voter [EoP Axis Oath Voter Ballot Registration²: 23 Sep 2017³: Lara Johnson⁴; DHS-INS File: A77 177 28] – Prevention of Bad Newz Kennels Media Terrorism Act of 2020 – Response to: HR 5602: Domestic Terrorism Prevention Act of 2020⁵.

HR

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES and IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE UNITED STATES

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

AN ACT

To authorize President Joe Biden; to enter into negotiations with H 111/19: LJ v LS Heads of State Respondents; to jointly sponsor a global UNGA vote treaty requiring all nations mainstream media to include an Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict notice footer to every news article including information about any military, political, election, racial, religious, class, gender, election and protest, etc resource conflict.

ALTERNATIVELY:

To authorize President Joe Biden to authorize either (A) the US Ambassador to the United Nations to submit H 111/19: LJ v Lindiwe Sisulu and Eight Others (“LJ v LS”) [LJ v LS PDF⁶ Annexure Enclosed]; to UN General Assembly for an EoP OKC TRC or No EoP OKC TRC vote; or alternatively (B) the US Attorney General to formally refer H 111/19: LJ v Lindiwe Sisulu and Eight Others; to the International Court of Justice; for LJ v LS Heads of State Respondents formal negotiations to

² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-axis-milnec-evac/eop-axis-oath/>

archive.is/Yv2t3

³ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/2017/09/23-sep-iaea-dgamano/> <http://archive.fo/NrdRC>

⁴ http://eop-axis-oath.tygae.org.za/pdf/za/17-09-19_WC-Grg_Johnstone-Lara.pdf

⁵ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/5602/text>

⁶ http://lj-v-ls.tygae.org.za/pdf/19-05-10_LJvLS_NoM-Afd-Encl_Sgd-CrtSvc.pdf

conclude an EoP UN Resolution [LJ v LS: pp.25-66/70] Axis Alliance treaty; to be made an ICJ order of court; which subsequently can be jointly submitted to UNGA by EoP Axis Alliances Heads of State; for binding international law treaty vote by all UNGA nations.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the Prevention of Bad Newz Kennels Media Terrorism Act of 2020.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Senate / Congress finds the following:

A Culture / Religion / Nation is a Group-Shared System of Thought and Action that Offers the Individual a Frame of Orientation and an Object of Devotion:

Erich Fromm: To clarify, “religion” as I use it here does not refer to a system that has necessarily to do with a concept of God or with idols, but to any group-shared system of thought and action that offers the individual a frame of orientation and an object of devotion. Indeed, in this broad sense of the world no culture of the past or present, and it seems no culture in the future, can be considered as not having religion. This definition does not tell us anything about its specific content. People may worship animals, trees, idols of gold or stone, an invisible god, a saintly person, or a diabolical leader; they may worship their ancestors, their nation, their class or party, money or success. Their religion may be conducive to the development of destructiveness or of love, of domination or of solidarity; it may further their power of reason or paralyze it. A specific religion, provided it is effective in motivating conduct, is not a sum total of doctrines and beliefs; it is rooted in a specific character structure of the individual and, inasmuch as it is the religion of a group, in the social character. Thus, our religious attitude may be considered an aspect of our character structure, for we are what we are devoted to, and what we are devoted to is what motivates our conduct. Often however, individuals are not even aware of the real objects of their personal devotion and mistake their “official” beliefs for their real, though secret religion. If, for instance, a man worships power while professing a religion of love, the religion of power is

his secret religion, while his so-called official religion, for example Christianity, is only an ideology.”.

Ecology of Peace - Cultures / Races / Religions and Nations – Functional Interpretation of Objective Reality Transforming the World Constraints for Sincere Peaceniks / Honourable Warriors:

Ecology of Peace Radical Honoursty Factual Reality {eop-rh-fr} and EoP Scientific and Cultural Law {eop-scicultlaw} are EoP culture’s functional group shared system of thought and action frame of orientation and object of inter-species relating devotion interpretation of reality for individuals whose object of devotion/values include: (i) honest – race, religious, gender and cultural – relationships; (ii) an ecological carrying capacity based perspective of observable factual social contract reality; and (iii) non-violent cooperative root cause problem solving.

*** Objective Factual Reality:**

[1] Earth is not flat.

[2] Resources are finite.

[3] When humans breed or consume above ecological carrying capacity limits, it results in ecological overshoot, resource depletion and resource conflict.

[4] Some of the socio-cultural and psycho-political consequences of overpopulation & consumption collision with declining resources include: climate change, mass migration, poverty, slavery, unemployment, food shortages, food inflation, cost of living increases, urban sprawl, traffic jams, toxic waste, pollution, peak oil, peak water, peak food, peak population, species extinction, loss of biodiversity, peak resources, racial, religious, class, gender resource war conflict, militarized police, psycho-social and cultural conformity pressures on free speech, etc; inter-cultural conflict; legal, political and corporate corruption, etc.

[5] The root cause of humans breeding and consuming above ecological carrying capacity limits is the ‘right to breed and consume with total disregard for ecological carrying capacity limits’ clauses of the Babylon Masonic War is Peace international law social contract.

*** Sincere Peacenik / Honourable Warrior: Interpretative Reality:**

[6] If individuals, families, tribes, races, religions, political parties, corporations and/or nations sincerely – aka egological literacy {ego-eco-literacy} – want to (a) sustainably protect natural resources for future generations; and/or (b) reduce

class, racial and/or religious local, national and international resource war conflict; and/or (c) enable honourable, transparent and humane international cooperative de-industrialization and depopulation of the planet to return to living in accordance to ecological carrying capacity limits; they should (d) cooperate {responsible-freedom} to nullify the 'right to breed and consume with total disregard for ecological carrying capacity limits' clauses and replace them with EoP Scientific and Cultural law {eop-sciicultlaw} clauses that restricts all the worlds citizens to breed and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits; or be humanely eliminated from the planetary genepool.

DEFINITIONS

Definitions for terms in {flower brackets} as per EoP UN Resolution:

EoP UN Resolution [lj-v-ls⁷: PDF⁸: pp.25-66/70] to implement Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural law as international law; has been submitted electronically or formally served to parties and courts in the following political and legal proceedings – as of date no respondent has exposed any scientific or cultural law error – [A] US Presidential Campaign: McVeigh 2020 [mcveigh2020⁹: PDF¹⁰: pp.55-96/350]; [B] US Courts: (i) US Court of Appeals DC Circuit Court of Judge Merrick Garland: EoP Law Voter Prisoner of WiP Law War Pro Se Application for Direct Access to US Court of Appeals DC Circuit Court of Judge Merrick Garland [mcv-v-djtjrb¹¹: .NoM: PDF¹²: Annex: D: pp.70; E PDF¹³: pp.31-100/350]; (ii) District Court for the Western District of Texas: El Paso Division: Criminal No EP-20-U4: US v Patrick Crusius [us-v-pwc¹⁴: PDF¹⁵: pp.156-197/261]; [C] South Africa Courts: (a) George High Court: (i) H 225/19: LJ v Speaker George Municipality and Four Others [lj-v-sgmc¹⁶: PDF¹⁷: pp.17-54/56]; (ii) H 213/19 LJ v Nosiviwe Mapisa-Nqakula: Min of Defence & Eight Others [lj-v-nmn¹⁸: PDF¹⁹: pp.11-76/83]; (b)

⁷ <http://lj-v-ls.tygae.org.za/>

⁸ http://lj-v-ls.tygae.org.za/pdf/19-05-10_LJvLS_NoM-Afd-Encl_Sgd-CrtSvc.pdf

⁹ <http://mcveigh2020.tygae.org.za/>

¹⁰ http://mcveigh2020.tygae.org.za/pdf/ia/20-02-07_IASoS_McVeigh2020_GrgCrtStp_AE-Epp.pdf

¹¹ <http://mcv-v-djtjrb.tygae.org.za>

¹² http://mcv-v-djtjrb.tygae.org.za/pdf/21-01-07_McV-v-DJT-JRB_NoM-Order-Affid.pdf

¹³ http://mcv-v-djtjrb.tygae.org.za/pdf/E_20-02-07_IASoS_McVeigh2020_GrgCrtStp_AE-Epp.pdf

¹⁴ <http://us-v-pwc.tygae.org.za/>

¹⁵ http://us-v-pwc.tygae.org.za/pdf/20-08-22_QvBHT-USv-PWC_EoPTRCAmiciAfd_E-AG.pdf

¹⁶ <http://lj-v-sgmc.tygae.org.za/>

¹⁷ http://lj-v-sgmc.tygae.org.za/pdf/19-09-18_LJvSGMC_FN-NoM-Afd-Enc_CrtStp-Sgd.pdf

¹⁸ <http://lj-v-nmn.tygae.org.za/>

CCMA: Comm for Conciliation Mediation & Arbitration: 1063-20: LJ v Truth and Reconciliation Commission and Two Others [lj-v-trc²⁰: PDF²¹: pp.30-71/137]; [D] UK Courts: (i) UK Supreme Court: LJ v Speaker House of Commons and Ten Others [lj-v-shoc²²: PDF²³: pp.43-84/88]; (ii) Westminster Magistrates Court: UK Extradition Proceedings: US v Julian Assange [us-v-jpa²⁴: PDF²⁵: pp.59-100/143]; [E] New Zealand Courts: High Court of New Zealand, Christchurch Registry / I Te Koti Matua O Aotearoa Ōtautahi Rohe: CRI-2019-009-2468: New Zealand v Brenton Tarrant [nz-v-bt²⁶ PDF²⁷ pp.156-197/261]; [F] Intl Court of Justice: Treaty of Amity Proceedings: Iran v USA [ir-v-us²⁸: PDF²⁹: pp.59-100/143].

SECTION 4: PRESIDENTIAL MANDATE

To authorize President Joe Biden; to enter into negotiations with H 111/19: LJ v LS Heads of State Respondents; to jointly sponsor a global UNGA vote treaty requiring all nations mainstream media to include an Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict notice footer to every news article including information about any military, political, election, racial, religious, class, gender, election and protest, etc resource conflict.

[I] Provide the President of the United States with a Congressional and Senate mandate to enter into formal negotiations with the Heads of State of UN General Assembly nations; to implement a global treaty requiring all mainstream media – radio, television, print and internet – publications; to include the following [Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict] notice to their readers / viewers / listeners; in any resource conflict article – [A] as part of the journalists discussion on the article, or [B] as the publisher / editor (a) print articles to include footnote reference [Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict], to footnote [Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All

¹⁹ http://lj-v-nmn.tygae.org.za/pdf/19-09-09_LJvNMN_FS-NoM-Corr-Afd-Encl_Sgd-CrtSvc.pdf

²⁰ <http://lj-v-trc.tygae.org.za/>

²¹ http://eop-v-trc.tygae.org.za/pdf/ccma/20-03-26_LJvTRC_CCMA-RefF-EnclAB_Sgd.pdf

²² <http://lj-v-shoc.tygae.org.za/>

²³ http://lj-v-shoc.tygae.org.za/pdf/19-10-07_LJvSHoC_FN-NoM-Afd-Encl.pdf

²⁴ <http://us-v-jpa.tygae.org.za/>

²⁵ http://us-v-jpa.tygae.org.za/pdf/20-09-20_USvJPA_IRvUS_EoPTRCAmiciAfd_Encl.pdf

²⁶ <http://nz-v-bt.tygae.org.za/>

²⁷ http://nz-v-bt.tygae.org.za/pdf/20-08-22_QvBHT-USv-PWC_EoPTRCAmiciAfd_E-AG.pdf

²⁸ <http://ir-v-us.tygae.org.za/>

²⁹ http://ir-v-us.tygae.org.za/pdf/20-09-20_USvJPA_IRvUS_EoPTRCAmiciAfd_Encl.pdf

Resource Conflict] at the bottom of the printed page; (b) television stories to include [Important Notice for Voters:: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict] scrolling text at bottom of discussion on every resource conflict story, (c) radio to include verbal [Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict] footnote at the end of the radio report; (d) internet media to include [Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict] footnote as footnote at end of each story article; or in main footer footnote area for all pages / posts – reporting on any news or entertainment story that includes information about two or more individuals, irrespective of their citizen or government official status, race, religion, class, or gender identity; involved in a verbal disagreement or physical conflict over access to non-state and/or state juridical, legislative and/or executive resource distribution authority.:

Important Notice for Voters: Re Root Cause of All Resource Conflict:

Earth is not flat. Resources are finite. When voters vote for politicians – left or right, white or black, man or woman, religious or secular, oligarch or comedienne, freedom fighter or terrorist, etc – who legislate laws allowing for breeding and/or consumption above ecological carrying capacity limits, it results in resource depletion, ecological overshoot and racial, religious, class and gender resource conflict. All local, national and international military, political, and economic racial, religious, class and gender resource conflict are symptoms of clauses of international law enabling procreation and consumption above ecological carrying capacity limits.

ALTERNATIVELY:

To authorize President Joe Biden to authorize either (A) the US Ambassador to the United Nations to submit H 111/19: LJ v Lindiwe Sisulu and Eight Others; to UN General Assembly for an EoP OKC TRC or No EoP OKC TRC vote; or alternatively (B) the US Attorney General to formally refer H 111/19: LJ v Lindiwe Sisulu and Eight Others; to the International Court of Justice; for LJ v LS Heads of State Respondents formal negotiations to conclude an EoP UN Resolution Axis Alliance treaty; to be made an ICJ order of court; which subsequently can be jointly submitted to UNGA by EoP Axis Alliances Heads of State; for vote by all UNGA nations.

EoP Law Voter Mandate Authorization:

Notice Re Root Cause of all – including election – Resource Conflict:

Signed: 24 January 2021



pp.

Applicant: Lara Johnson, Pro Se
On behalf of Timothy McVeigh 2020
EoP Law Voter US President Elect
16 Taaibos Ave, George, 6529, RSA
Signed EoP Axis Oath³⁰:
23 Sep 2017³¹: Lara Johnson³²
DHS-INS File: A77 177 28.

³⁰ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-axis-milnec-evac/eop-axis-oath/> archive.is/Yv2t3

³¹ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/2017/09/23-sep-iaea-dgaman/> <http://archive.fo/NrdRC>

³² http://eop-axis-oath.tygae.org.za/pdf/za/17-09-19_WC-Grg_Johnstone-Lara.pdf

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

**UN SECURITY COUNCIL AND GENERAL ASSEMBLY
BINDING IMPORTANT QUESTION RESOLUTION TO
IMPLEMENT ECOLOGY OF PEACE SCIENTIFIC AND
CULTURAL LAW AS INTERNATIONAL LAW**

[1] **A Binding Recorded Vote to confirm Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural Law as Supreme Global Social Contract International law.**

[2] Recognizing Ecology of Peace Radical Honoursty Factual Reality [eop-rh-fr¹] principles:

[2.1] Earth is round, not flat.

[2.2] Resources are finite.

[2.3] When beings who call themselves humans breed or consume above ecological carrying capacity limits, it results in ecological overshoot, resource depletion and resource conflict.

[2.4] Some of the socio-economic and psycho-political consequences of national and international laws allowing overpopulation & overconsumption collision with declining resources include: climate change, poverty, slavery, unemployment, food shortages, inflation, cost of living increases, urban sprawl, debt based fiat currency, usury, traffic jams, toxic waste, pollution, peak oil, peak water, peak food, peak population, species extinction, loss of biodiversity, peak resources, racial, religious, class, gender resource war conflict, militarized police, psycho-social and cultural conformity pressures on free speech, etc; inter-cultural conflict; legal, political and corporate corruption, etc

[2.5] The root cause of humans breeding and consuming above ecological carrying capacity limits [eop-footprint²] are the Masonic War is Peace clauses of international law allowing individuals who call themselves humans to breed and consume above carrying capacity limits.

¹ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-fr/> archive.is/BSOAb

² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

[2.6] If sincere peacenik / honourable warrior individuals, families, tribes, races, religions, political parties, corporations and/or nations want to (a) sustainably protect natural resources for future generations; and/or (b) reduce class, racial and/or religious local, national and international resource war conflict; and/or (c) enable honourable, transparent and humane international cooperative de-industrialization and depopulation of the planet to return to living in accordance to ecological carrying capacity limits; they should (d) cooperate to nullify the ‘right to breed and consume with total disregard for ecological carrying capacity limits’ clauses and replace them with Ecology of Peace – EoP Scientific and Cultural law [eop-sciicultlaw³] – clauses that restrict all the worlds citizens to breed and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits; or be convicted of crimes of aggression [crimes-of-aggression⁴] and humanely eliminated from the planetary genepool.

[3] Cooperatively Authorizing Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural law [eop-sciicultlaw⁵] as supreme global social contract international law; to enable Ecology of Peace Truth and Reconciliation (“EoP TRC”) to End Abel and Kane Cold War [eoptrc-akcoldwar⁶]; to [A] enable responsible freedom [responsible-freedom⁷] orderly and humane – de-industrialization and depopulation [eop-v-wip-deindpopn⁸], prisoner release [prisoner-pardon⁹], land reform [eop-landreform¹⁰] and denuclearization [eop-denuke-defn¹¹] – shut down of the WiP Ponzi Swamp economy [eop-sdwipecon¹²]; [B] require all citizens of all races, religions, nations, to breed [one-child-law¹³] and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits [eop-footprint¹⁴]; or be humanely eliminated from the planetary genepool [crimes-of-aggression¹⁵]; [C] nationalize all property and provide all responsible freedom [responsible-freedom¹⁶] oath citizens: (i) with cultural law self rule [cult-law-self-rule¹⁷] for groups with subjective racial, religious & gender identities; and (ii) a

³ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

⁵ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

⁶ <http://eop-leg-sub.tygae.org.za/eoptrc-akcoldwar/> archive.is/l6mUc

⁷ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

⁸ <http://eop-leg-sub.tygae.org.za/coc/eop-v-wip/eop-v-wip-deindpopn/> archive.li/qqNc3

⁹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/prisoner-pardon/> archive.fo/rXKVD

¹⁰ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/land-reform/> archive.is/2c9XD

¹¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-denuke-defn/> archive.is/ofrGD

¹² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/sd-wip-econ/> archive.is/hWAdA

¹³ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2010/07/humans-an-endangered-species-v/> archive.fo/qnrNz

¹⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

¹⁵ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

¹⁶ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

¹⁷ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/cult-law-self-rule/> archive.fo/BfgMu

property ration [property-ration¹⁸] land reform [eop-landreform¹⁹] to enable their shelter and survival self-sufficiency to enable the rebuilding of a relocalized low-tech organic agrarian sustainable future.

[4] Confirming Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural law [eop-scicultlaw²⁰] as international law, where:

[4.1] Scientific Law refers to Procreation and Consumption below carrying capacity limits. EoP Footprint [eop-footprint²¹] defines how procreation and consumption above ecological carrying capacity limit is measured.

[4.2] Cultural law refers to any racial, religious, gender cultural value agreed upon, and codified in a written agreement between two or more individuals, which does not violate any EoP scientific laws; enabling a greater degree of cooperation and mutual assistance support between such individuals. Ego literacy [ego-eco-literacy²²] clarifies how to engage in and/or measure a fully informed consenting agreement.

[5] Authorizing the Ecology of Peace definition of a procreation, consumption and/or deception crime of aggression [crimes-of-aggression²³] act of war as international – Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Article 5.1.(d) crime of aggression – law.

[6] Where the International Criminal Court shall exercise jurisdiction:

[6.1] If or where there is probable cause evidence indicating individuals in a nation's political, religious, corporate or media leadership to be guilty of crimes of aggression acts of war; and the nation's prosecutors and/or police are failing to investigate and/or prosecute such individuals for crimes of aggression acts of war.

[6.2] If or where the Intl Criminal Court has issued such an indictment; and the accused against whom such indictment has been issued, refuses to turn themselves in to the ICC Prosecutor to answer questions; and/or to appear before the court on court appearance dates; or to provide reasons for such refusal; the ICC court may issue an AWOL crime of aggression act of war conviction and sentence; authorizing such individuals summary assassination removal from the planetary genepool, by the

¹⁸ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

¹⁹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/land-reform/> archive.is/2c9XD

²⁰ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

²¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

²² <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/> archive.fo/q4sMD

²³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

EoP TRC: EoP UN SciCult Law Resolution: EoP SciCult International Law

respective nations Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sniper Swat Team; or if so required: a neighbouring nations Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sniper Swat Team.

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

**SUGGESTED AMENDMENT TO CRIME OF
AGGRESSION DEFINITION OF ROME STATUTE OF
INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT.**

[1] As per the Resolution for the UN Security Council and General Assembly for a Binding Recorded Vote to confirm Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural Law [eop-sciicultlaw¹] – based on EoP Footprint [eop-footprint²] – as Supreme Global Social Contract International law, notice:

[2] Confirming Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural law [eop-sciicultlaw³] as international law, where:

[2.1] Scientific Law refers to Procreation and Consumption below carrying capacity limits. EoP Footprint [eop-footprint⁴] defines how procreation and consumption above ecological carrying capacity limit is measured.

[2.2] Cultural law refers to any racial, religious, gender cultural value agreed upon, and codified in a written agreement between two or more individuals, which does not violate any EoP scientific laws; enabling a greater degree of cooperation and mutual assistance support between such individuals. Ego literacy [ego-eco-literacy⁵] clarifies how to engage in and/or measure a fully informed consenting agreement.

[3] Authorizing the Ecology of Peace definition of a procreation, consumption and/or deception crime of aggression [crimes-of-aggression⁶] act of war as international – Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Article 5.1.(d) crime of aggression – law.

[4] Where the International Criminal Court shall exercise jurisdiction:

[4.1] If or where there is probable cause evidence indicating individuals in a nation's political, religious, corporate or media leadership to be guilty of crimes of aggression acts of war; and the nation's prosecutors and/or

¹ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

³ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

⁵ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/> archive.fo/q4sMD

⁶ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

police are failing to investigate and/or prosecute such individuals for crimes of aggression acts of war.

- [4.2] If or where the Intl Criminal Court has issued such an indictment; and the accused against whom such indictment has been issued, refuses to turn themselves in to the ICC Prosecutor to answer questions; and/or to appear before the court on court appearance dates; or to provide reasons for such refusal; the ICC court may issue an AWOL crime of aggression act of war conviction and sentence; authorizing such individuals summary assassination removal from the planetary genepool, by the respective nations Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sniper Swat Team; or if so required: a neighbouring nations Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sniper Swat Team.

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

CRIME OF AGGRESSION

[crimes-of-aggression¹]

Breeding, Consumption & Deception Crimes of Aggression:

[1] Breeding & Consumption Crime of Aggression:

[2] “Any individual who is found guilty of advocating on behalf of, legislating, enforcing, or obeying any – cultural, religious, common, statutory, constitutional, or international – ‘scarcity combatant’ social contract; which enables or advocates on behalf of human procreation, consumption or production of resources that transgress ecological carrying capacity limits, is guilty of the ‘crime of aggression act of war’.”

Crimes of Aggression Acts of War Convictions & Sentencing:

[3] Consumption Violation Sentences:

[3.1] Individuals found guilty of negligent consumption crimes of aggression would be required to (a) attend Eco literacy responsible freedom consumption education classes; (b) relinquish their excess consumed products; and (c) provide community service labour; to the extent of the infraction.

[3.2] Individuals found guilty of intentional consumption crimes of aggression; shall be provided the opportunity to (a) choose their preferred method of state assisted suicide by a date as stipulated by the sentencing judge; (b) if the individual had not honourably removed themselves from the planetary genepool by their preferred method of state assisted suicide; by the required date, they would be humanely assassinated.

[4] Procreation Violation Sentences:

[4.1] Individuals found guilty of negligent procreation crimes of aggression; would be required to be permanently sterilized.

[4.2] Individuals found guilty of intentional procreation crime of aggression; shall be provided the opportunity to (a) choose their preferred method of

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

state assisted suicide by a date as stipulated by the sentencing judge; (b) if the individual had not honourably removed themselves from the planetary genepool by their preferred method of state assisted suicide; by the required date, they would be humanely assassinated.

[4.3] If the child is the mother or fathers first child, and the single parent individual is not convicted of a crime of aggression; the child remains with such parent.

[4.4] If the child is the mother and father's second child, both of whom are convicted of intentional procreation crimes of aggression, the child is treated in accordance with the One Child Law and humanely executed.

[5] Deception Communication Crimes of Aggression:

[5.1] Individuals found guilty of negligent deception – aka violation of fully informed consent – crimes of aggression shall be sent to Ego Literacy [ego-literacy²] re-education communication class; to learn how to communicate their preferences and relate to others in accordance to Fully Informed Consenting Communication values; and if appropriate make amends for their negligent relating violation.

[5.2] Individuals found guilty of intentional deception – aka violation of fully informed consent – crime of aggression shall be provided the opportunity to (a) choose their preferred method of state assisted suicide by a date as stipulated by the sentencing judge; (b) if the individual had not honourably removed themselves from the planetary genepool by their preferred method of state assisted suicide; by the required date, they would be humanely assassinated.

[6] Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sentencing:

[6.1] Individuals convicted of an intentional crime of aggression may make a formal request for an 'Assisted Suicide by Sniper' sniper death, including by a particular sniper, at a particular time and place.

[6.2] If the sniper requested is an individual with whom they have had an acrimonious personal or business relationship experience; they must provide written proof that there has been closure related to the dispute; in order for the sniper to accept the 'Assisted Suicide by Sniper' request.

Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sniper Swat Team:

² <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/>

[7] The Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sniper Swat Team shall be managed by a Judge and Clerk.

[8] Any individual with the required sniper shooting skills may register to be a Crimes of Aggression Assassination Sniper, for their village, eco-region, homeland, etc.

[9] Crimes of Aggression Assassination Snipers:

[9.1] Must do everything possible to ensure quick and humane sniper instant death to the target.

[9.2] Shall be paid for expenses only.

[9.3] Must decline to accept any assassination target with whom they have had an acrimonious personal or business relationship, for which there is no written evidence of voluntary resolution and closure of the dispute between the target and sniper.

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

CULTURAL LAW SELF RULE

[cult-law-self-rule¹]

[1] Cultural law refers to any racial, religious, ideological, sexual or gender cultural value agreed upon, and codified in a written agreement between two or more individuals, which does not violate any EoP Scientific [eop-sciicultlaw²] – procreation and/or consumption below ecological carrying capacity [eop-footprint³] – laws; enabling a greater degree of cooperation and mutual assistance support between such individuals.

[2] Individuals Rights and Duties under Cultural Law include: Cultural Law Territorial Self Rule for Groups with Subjective Racial, Religious& Gender Culture-Conflict Identities.

[3] Violations of Cultural Law would fall under Deception Crimes of Aggression: Violations of Fully Informed Consent.

[4] Conflict of Cultural Law Violations: Violations of Cultural Law between two individuals or groups of individuals with different Cultural Laws, would be adjudicated in terms of Crimes of Aggression [crimes-of-aggression⁴]: Violations of Fully Informed Consent.

Cultural Law Territorial Self Rule for Groups with Subjective Racial, Religious & Gender Culture-Conflict Identities:

[5] Any group of individuals who have signed their Responsible Freedom Oaths to abide by Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural Law who share similar racial, religious, socio-ideological, sexual or gender identity, may agree to form a Cultural Law Self Rule community homeland, which can be as small as an agreement between two people, a family or village within a nation; or as large as a continental territory including a few nations; where the individuals within that territory have provided their fully informed consent to practicing a particular group shared ‘Cultural Law’.

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/cult-law-self-rule/> archive.fo/BfgMu

² <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

[6] As long as the particular 'cultural law' is based on the fully informed consent of all individuals within the particular 'cultural law' homeland community; and the 'cultural law' is practiced within the confines of the particular cultural law homeland community's territory; it is lawful; irrespective of how offensive it may be to the cultural values of a neighbouring homeland with different racial, religious, class, gender groups cultural law agreement values.

[7] Any groups cultural law is lawful within that cultural law homeland community's territory; and cannot be legally restricted or punished by a different group of individuals who have different subjective racial, religious or gender cultural laws, within their cultural law homeland territory.

[8] There is no restriction whatsoever on the choice of any groups fully informed consenting 'cultural law'; as long as it (a) does not violate EoP Scientific procreation and consumption laws; and (b) is based upon fully informed consent of all individuals within the privacy territory of the individuals 'self rule cultural law homeland'. For example: Armin Meiwes and Bernd Brandes fully informed consent cannibalism⁵, etc.

Cultural Law Homeland Rights and Duties:

[9] Cultural law homeland rights will only apply to individuals or groups of individuals objectively and subjectively abiding by EoP Scientific laws:

[10] If or where a homeland agrees on a cultural law that restricts travel across their property ration homeland to individuals who are not members of their cultural law homeland agreement; they should clearly and simply notify neighbour property ration territories, and include a 'Whites / Blacks / Sharia Muslims / Jews Only Homeland' public notice at roads entering their homeland; where clear and simple definitions of 'whites, blacks, sharia muslims, jews' are provided.

[11] Ultimately as property swaps enable individuals with similar 'cultural law homeland' values to find property rations on the outskirts of existing homelands; enabling them to join a particular homeland, different homelands can create nature corridors between their homelands to protect their cultural values and minimize relating friction with homeland neighbours who have conflicting racial, religious, gender etc cultural law values.

⁵ Barcroft TV: Interview with a Cannibal: youtu.be/WKzf-rG9JBs | All That's Interesting: This Cannibal Placed An Ad To Eat Someone — And Someone Said Yes: allthatsinteresting.com/armin-meiwes | The Guardian: Victim of cannibal agreed to be eaten: www.theguardian.com/world/2003/dec/04/germany.lukeharding

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

EGO LITERACY

[ego-eco-literacy¹]

[1] Ego Literacy:

[2] Fully Informed Consenting Agreements via Ego Literacy communication values:

- [2.1] I clarify my preferences; to enable people being communicated to, to clearly know what I want; and whether they are willing to engage me in entering into a verbal or written agreement; to help me get what I want; and reciprocally whether I can help them to get what they may want.
- [2.2] I always respond to verbal and written correspondence, with a sincere honest response. If I don't have time to respond; I inform them by when they can expect a response.
- [2.3] I sincerely and actively listen to the evidence from any individual, irrespective of their political ideology – i.e. right wing to left wing – religion, race or culture. Active listening – particularly with individuals from different cultures – means that I verify that my interpretation of their statement is accurate; before concluding that I know what they said.
- [2.4] I focus on simplifying the issue discussed, using as much as possible descriptive words; as opposed to abstract concepts. If or where I include reference to abstract concepts; if so requested, I am willing to define my meaning of that abstract concept within that circumstance. [Info re: Abstract, General, Concrete or Specific Terms²: SQ Copy³]
- [2.5] I evaluate their perspective based upon the evidence they present, not their race, religion or political ideology; etc.
- [2.6] If I am not convinced by the quality of their evidence on any particular issue; I am willing to agree to disagree on that particular issue, and cooperate if they are willing to engage in cooperative truthseeking to get

¹ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/> archive.fo/q4sMD

² <http://grammar.ccc.commnet.edu/grammar/composition/abstract.htm> archive.is/OJyMM

³ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2009/10/01-oct-jfriedlander/> archive.is/V8X7w

better quality evidence; so that a stronger beyond reasonable doubt conclusion can be drawn on the particular issue in dispute.

- [2.7] I remain in the conversation until we find agreement; so as to support each other on other issues that we do agree upon, which are based upon more conclusive buck stops here evidence.
- [2.8] If or where sincere conflict arises in the discussion about the issue in dispute; I am committed to remaining in the conversation and finding a way to resolve the conflict, by allowing myself, them or both of us to get over our anger; as opposed to requiring them to suppress their anger for political correct 'lets pretend we are getting along' reasons.
- [2.9] Once the emotional steam has been released through the conflict resolution process; both individuals will find themselves in a more calm neutral space where the truthseeking conversation can proceed. If either of us decide that we are unable to resolve the conflict between us:
 - [A] If none of us are employed by the State and/or not legally obligated to help each other on the particular issue of preference in dispute; we honourably terminate discussions and approach others for help to get what we respectively want.
 - [B] If one or both of us are employed by the State and/or an organization or profession legally obligated to provide support to enable resolution of the particular requested preference; we cooperatively refer the matter to an honourable discourse truthseeking arbitrator for impartial dispute resolution.
- [2.10] If at any point during discussion; or arbitration proceedings; their evidence proves any of my evidence for any of my ideological, racial or religious working hypothesis theories or beliefs to be inaccurate; I love reason and logic more than my ego-identity and hence I am willing to publicly change my mind, on that particular subject and amend my ideological working hypothesis or belief with the new evidence provided; and if necessary to apologize.

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

EOP DENUCLEARIZATION

[eop-denuke-defn¹]

[1] [Nation] _____ Preliminary Consent to EoP CVID Denuclearization Definition.

[2] I, [Name] _____ [Title] _____ of [Nation] _____, hereby confirm that within seven days of the implementation of Ecology of Peace Scientific and Cultural law [eop-sciicultlaw²] as international law; I shall authorize the International Atomic Energy Agency (“IAEA”) to begin and to supervise the Complete, Verifiable and Irreversible Dismantlement (“CVID”) denuclearization of all nuclear weapons and power plants on [Nation] _____ territory; enabling global orderly and humane deindustrialization and depopulation [eop-v-wip-deindpopn³] return to living in harmony with ecological carrying capacity limits.

[3] This consent is subject to the following Before/After EoP SciCult Law conditions: (I) Before: (a) my written notice of withdrawal of this consent; and/or (b) my removal from office as [Title] _____ of [Nation] _____; (II) After: Deception Crimes of Aggression penalties for violation.

Signed: Head of State

Signed: Witness Name

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-denuke-defn/> archive.is/ofrGD

² <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

³ <http://eop-leg-sub.tygae.org.za/coc/eop-v-wip/eop-v-wip-deindpopn/> archive.li/qqNc3

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

EOP FOOTPRINT

[eop-footprint¹]

[1] EoP Footprint: Sustainable procreation and consumption footprint².

[2] The EoP Footprint [eop-footprint³] is the Ecology of Peace culture's answer to the EoP John Brown – how to get along without overbreeding / consuming / deceiving – Question: What is a Sustainable Procreation and Consumption footprint?

[2.1] Ecological Carrying Capacity Limits:

[A] A Sustainable society practices Sustainable Procreation and Sustainable Natural Resource Utilization Behaviour; i.e. all of its citizens consume and procreate below carrying capacity. Sustainable Natural Resource Utilization behaviour involves the utilization of renewable natural resources—water, cropland, pastureland, forests, and wildlife—exclusively, which can be depleted only at levels less than or equal to the levels at which they are replenished by Nature. The utilization of non-renewable natural resources (NNR's)—fossil fuels, metals, and minerals—at any level, is not sustainable⁴.

[2.2] Carrying Capacity Sustainability: I=PAT Equation:

[A] For activities to be genuinely sustainable it must be possible for them to continue indefinitely. The impact of humanity on the environment and the demands that people place on the resources available on the planet can be summarised by what is known as the Ehrlich or IPAT equation, I=PAT. I = impact on the environment or demand for resources, P = population size, A = affluence and T = technology. The two most important conclusions deriving from this IPAT footprint⁵ relationship are

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

⁴ Sustainability Defined, Chris Clugston, WakeUpAmerika

⁵ EcoFootprint: The difference between the biocapacity and Ecological Footprint of a region or country. A biocapacity deficit occurs when the Footprint of a population exceeds the biocapacity of the area available to that population. If there is a regional or national biocapacity deficit, it means that the region is importing biocapacity through trade or liquidating regional ecological assets. Global biocapacity deficit cannot be compensated through trade, and is overshoot.

that: (i) the Earth can support only a limited number of people, at a certain level of affluence, in a sustainable manner; and (ii) Population and Consumption must be reduced to below EoP Footprint carrying capacity.

[2.3] Carrying Capacity aka Biocapacity Limits:

[A] The maximum number of individuals that can be supported sustainably by a given environment is known as its 'carrying capacity'. Worldwide the total amount of biologically productive land and sea amounts to 12 billion global hectares (gha); or 1.8 gha each if divided by 6.7 billion each. In accordance with the proactive conservation policies of Bhutan⁶ and recommendations by The Global Deal for Nature⁷, EoP Footprint sets aside 60% of biologically productive land to be returned to its natural state, for other species and wildlife conservation purposes. That means that the total amount of biologically productive carrying capacity land available to humans is 40% of 12 billion; which amounts to 4.8 billion gha total; or 40% of 1.8 gha, which is 0.72 gha each.

[B] Population factor is relevant, because the more humans there are, the less biologically productive land there is for everyone else. For example: Biocapacity limits of 6.7, 3.5, 1 Billion, 500, 250 & 100 Million: 4.8 billion global hectares of biologically productive land and water divided by (a) 6.7 billion humans, equals: 0.71 gha each; (b) 3.5 billion equals 1.37 gha each; (c) 1 billion equals 4.8 gha each; (d) 500 million equals 9.6 gha each; (e) 250 million equals 19.2 gha; (f) 100 million equals 48 gha each.

[2.4] Procreation Factor:

[A] As noted, the more people there are; the less biologically productive land there is available for everyone else. According to the research of Paul Murtaugh, the procreation factor that should be added by ecology

⁶ Bhutan Proactive Conservation: Bhutan is seen as a model for proactive conservation initiatives. The Kingdom has received international acclaim for its commitment to the maintenance of its biodiversity. This is reflected in the decision to maintain at least sixty percent of the land area under forest cover, to designate more than 40% of its territory as national parks, reserves and other protected areas, and most recently to identify a further nine percent of land area as biodiversity corridors linking the protected areas. Environmental conservation has been placed at the core of the nation's development strategy, the middle path. It is not treated as a sector but rather as a set of concerns that must be mainstreamed in Bhutan's overall approach to development planning and to be buttressed by the force of law. – "Parks of Bhutan". Bhutan Trust Fund for Environmental Conservation online. Bhutan Trust Fund.

⁷ Science Advances: 19 Apr 2019: Eric Dinerstein et al: A Global Deal For Nature: Guiding principles, milestones, and targets <https://advances.sciencemag.org/content/5/4/eaaw2869> | Bioscience. 05 Apr 2017: An Ecoregion-Based Approach to Protecting Half the Terrestrial Realm <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5451287/>

footprint organisations to their Consumption footprint calculators, is 20 per child. [Each Child increases a parent's cumulative consumption footprint by factor of 20⁸]

[2.5] Difference between Sustainable Responsible Freedom citizen v Unsustainable Crime of Aggression Scarcity Combatant:

[A] An individual's IPAT footprint is a result of: (A) Consumption Footprint multiplied by (B) Procreation Factor (Every child increases 20 Child Factor). If their IPAT footprint is below carrying capacity limits, they are an Eco-Innocent Leaver; if their IPAT footprint is above carrying capacity limits, they are a Taker Crime of Aggression Scarcity Combatant.

[2.6] Total Footprint = Consumption x Procreation Factor.

[A] EoP SciCult law will designate a particular footprint calculator – presumably Global Footprint Network⁹ (copy available at Earth Day¹⁰) or Center for Sustainable Economy¹¹ – as the temporary official EoP SciCult law global standard footprint calculator, pending completion of EoP Footprint calculator database.

a. To work out your Consumption footprint; you will use the Consumption Footprint calculator. Current online footprint calculators: Global Footprint Network¹² (copy available at Earth Day¹³; Center for Sustainable Economy¹⁴; EcoCampus¹⁵. See more at Global Footprint's Application Standards¹⁶, where they detail how their calculators calculate Consumption footprints. The quiz will ask you various questions about your consumption habits, and provide you with a final consumption footprint in global hectares which is your 'consumption footprint'. For the purposes of this calculation; avoid footprint calculator quizzes that do not provide you with your final global consumption footprint amount, such as for example: World Wildlife

⁸ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2009/07/31-jul-murtaugh-procreation-factor/> archive.is/99OBA

⁹ <http://footprintnetwork.org/en/index.php/GFN/page/calculators/>

¹⁰ <http://www.earthday.org/footprint-calculator>

¹¹ <http://www.myfootprint.org/>

¹² <http://footprintnetwork.org/en/index.php/GFN/page/calculators/>

¹³ <http://www.earthday.org/footprint-calculator>

¹⁴ <http://www.myfootprint.org/>

¹⁵ <http://ecocamp.us/eco-footprint-calculator>

¹⁶ http://www.footprintnetwork.org/en/index.php/GFN/page/application_standards/

Fund's footprint calculator¹⁷ or Stanford International Students¹⁸ (which is excellent and has great detail; but does not provide you with a final footprint in gha terms).

- [B] Multiply your consumption footprint gha amount by your Procreation Factor: factor of 0.5 for zero children; factor of 20 for each child, one child: 20, two children: 40, three children: 60 and so on.. The total amount is your Total Footprint.
- a. For example: Applicants Consumption Footprint using Sustainable Economy's Myfootprint.org quiz, was 12.75¹⁹ global hectares (gha) [now 15.13²⁰, presumably due to increased population since original quiz was taken]. She has no children, consequently her procreation factor is 0.5. Consumption (12.75) x Procreation (0.5) = Total Eco Footprint of between 6.375 gha.

¹⁷ <http://footprint.wwf.org.uk/> Other Green Footprint calculators:
<http://greenschools.net/article.php?id=271>

¹⁸ <http://footprint.stanford.edu/index.html>

¹⁹ <http://eop-leg-sub.tygae.org.za/2009/10/01-oct-eco-ljohnstone/> <http://archive.fo/MryWT>

²⁰ http://myfootprint.org/en/your_results/?id=2559685 <http://archive.is/rIOwE>

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

EOP RADICAL HONOURSTY FACTUAL REALITY

[eop-rh-fr¹]

EoP Scientific and Cultural law [eop-sciicultlaw²] – based on EoP Footprint [eop-footprint³] – is the Ecology of Peace culture's answer to the EoP John Brown⁴ – how to get along without deceiving, overbreeding and overconsuming – Question: What is a Sustainable Procreation and Consumption footprint? EoP RH FR: EoP Radical Honoursty Factual Reality [eop-rh-fr⁵] is the recommended objective reality socio-legal cultural frame of orientation process for implementing EoP SciCult law [eop-sciicultlaw⁶] as international law.

**EoP Radical Honoursty Functional Interpretation of Objective Reality
Transforming the World Constraints for Sincere Peaceniks / Honourable Warriors:**

[1] Ecology of Peace Radical Honoursty Factual Reality [eop-rh-fr⁷] and EoP Scientific and Cultural Law [eop-sciicultlaw⁸] are EoP culture's functional group shared system of thought and action frame of orientation and object of inter-species relating devotion interpretation of global geopolitical reality for individuals whose object of devotion/values include: (i) honest – race, religious, gender and cultural – relationships; (ii) an ecological carrying capacity based perspective of observable factual social contract reality; and (iii) non-violent cooperative root cause problem solving.

[2] EoP RH FR: Ecology of Peace Radical Honoursty Factual Reality⁹:

[2.1] Factual Reality:

[A] Earth is round, not flat.

[B] Resources are finite.

¹ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-fr/> archive.is/BSOAb

² <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

⁴ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Brown_\(abolitionist\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Brown_(abolitionist))

⁵ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-fr/> archive.is/BSOAb

⁶ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

⁷ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-fr/> archive.is/BSOAb

⁸ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

⁹ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-fr/> archive.is/BSOAb

- [C] When beings who call themselves humans breed or consume above ecological carrying capacity limits, it results in ecological overshoot, resource depletion and resource conflict.
- [D] Some of the socio-cultural and psycho-political consequences of overpopulation & consumption collision with declining resources include: poverty, slavery, unemployment, food shortages, food inflation, cost of living increases, urban sprawl, traffic jams, toxic waste, pollution, peak oil, peak water, peak food, peak population, species extinction, loss of biodiversity, peak resources, racial, religious, class, gender resource war conflict, militarized police, psycho-social and cultural conformity pressures on free speech, etc; inter-cultural conflict; legal, political and corporate corruption, etc.
- [E] The root cause of humans breeding and consuming above ecological carrying capacity limits are the Masonic War is Peace clauses of international law allowing individuals who call themselves humans to breed and consume above carrying capacity limits.

[2.2] Interpretive Reality:

- [A] If individuals, families, tribes, races, religions, political parties, corporations and/or nations sincerely want to (a) sustainably protect natural resources for future generations; and/or (b) reduce class, racial and/or religious local, national and international resource war conflict; and/or (c) enable honourable, transparent and humane international cooperative de-industrialization and depopulation of the planet to return to living in accordance to ecological carrying capacity limits; they should (d) cooperate to nullify the 'right to breed and consume with total disregard for ecological carrying capacity limits' clauses and replace them with Ecology of Peace – EoP Scientific and Cultural law [eop-scicultlaw¹⁰] – clauses that restricts all the worlds citizens to breed and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits; or be humanely eliminated from the planetary genepool.

Functional and Dysfunctional – Cultural, Religious, Class and Gender – Interpretations of Objective Reality.

¹⁰ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

[3] **Although there are a very large number of interpretations of the world; there are unbelievably severe constraints; on the number of functional interpretations there are in the world:**

[4] The postmodernists were actually wrestling with quite a difficult problem. The founders of postmodernism were by no means unintelligent and they actually put their finger on quite an important problem. The important problem that they put their finger on was the fact that any set of phenomena has a near infinite number of potential interpretations. That actually happens to be the case. That fact, let's say, was discovered simultaneously in a number of different disciplines ... One of the disciplines was artificial intelligence ... It was much more difficult to make a machine that could perceive the world, than it had originally been supposed. ... Part of the reason for the lack of artificial intelligence robots back in the 60's was that the artificial intelligence researchers, when they were starting to instantiate perception into their machines, learned that charting your course in the world might be a trivial problem, in comparison to determining how to perceive the world, and the reason for that is that there is a very large number of ways to perceive the world. The postmodernists actually cottoned on to this, and the claims they made was that there is a near infinite number of ways to interpret any given text; and that actually also happens to be the case. Then they said, well if there is a near infinite number of ways to interpret any text, how do you know if any given interpretation should take precedence over any other interpretation, which is also a perfectly reasonable issue. **The error in postmodernism is the failure to recognize that there are a finite number of credible interpretations of phenomena; and also a refusal to engage with the intellectual problem to determine to engage to find out what the finite number may actually consist of.** I will give you a brief overview of how we happened to solve this problem as human beings. We do it partly biologically because we inhabit a biological framework that's been developed over the course of about 3.5 million years; that severely constrains the manner in which we interpret the world. It constrains it such that we only tend to spontaneously manifest interpretations of the world that don't result in undue suffering and our demise. There is plenty of ways to be stupid enough to perish and there are plenty of ways to be stupid enough to suffer without meaning; but there aren't very many ways to live properly and carefully for a long period of time; in a manner that doesn't also simultaneously do harm to other people. So that is the second set of constraints. You have biological constraints on your perception and they are built in, as a consequence of the Darwinian process; and the second part is that you are forced to interact with

yourself now in this week, next week, and the month after. So you have to conduct yourself in a way that doesn't interfere with your future life as you are living now. And simultaneously you have to conduct yourself in a way that makes all the people around you want to cooperate with you and compete with you; and maintain the relationship with you and do that today, and next week and next month. **So although there are a very large number of interpretations of the world; there are unbelievably severe constraints; on the number of functional interpretations there are in the world.** And one of the things that the humanities was supposed to be educating the people with regards towards understanding was: what the universe of those finite functional interpretations might be; and that has been more or less abandoned by the universities; under the values of postmodernism. – Jordan Peterson: Postmodern NeoMarxism: Diagnosis and Cure¹¹.

Definition: Culture / Religion: Frame of Orientation:

[5] Erich Fromm: To Have or to Be: A Culture/Religion is a Group-Shared System of Thought and Action that Offers the Individual a Frame of Orientation and an Object of Devotion:

- [5.1] “To clarify, “religion” as I use it here does not refer to a system that has necessarily to do with a concept of God or with idols or even to a system perceived as religion, but to any group-shared system of thought and action that offers the individual a frame of orientation and an object of devotion. Indeed, in this broad sense of the world no culture of the past or present, and it seems no culture in the future, can be considered as not having religion. This definition does not tell us anything about its specific content. People may worship animals, trees, idols of gold or stone, an invisible god, a saintly person, or a diabolical leader; they may worship their ancestors, their nation, their class or party, money or success. Their religion may be conducive to the development of destructiveness or of love, of domination or of solidarity; it may further their power of reason or paralyze it. .. A specific religion, provided it is effective in motivating conduct, is not a sum total of doctrines and beliefs; it is rooted in a specific character structure of the individual and, inasmuch as it is the religion of a group, in the social character. Thus, our religious attitude may be considered an aspect of our character

¹¹ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2017/07/09-jul-jpeterson/> archive.is/R1Hy4

structure, for we are what we are devoted to, and what we are devoted to is what motivates our conduct. Often however, individuals are not even aware of the real objects of their personal devotion and mistake their “official” beliefs for their real, though secret religion. If, for instance, a man worships power while professing a religion of love, the religion of power is his secret religion, while his so-called official religion, for example Christianity, is only an ideology.”

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

ECOLOGY OF PEACE SCIENTIFIC & CULTURAL LAW

[eop-sciicultlaw¹]

[1] Scientific Law:

- [1.1] Scientific Law refers to Procreation and Consumption below carrying capacity limits. EoP Footprint [eop-footprint²] defines how procreation and consumption carrying capacity limit is measured.

[2] Cultural Law:

- [2.1] Cultural law refers to any racial, religious, gender cultural value agreed upon, and codified in a written agreement between two or more individuals, which does not violate any EoP scientific laws; enabling a greater degree of cooperation and mutual assistance support between such individuals. Ego literacy [ego-eco-literacy³] clarifies how to engage in and/or measure a fully informed consenting agreement.

[3] Individuals Rights and Duties under Scientific & Cultural Law:

- [3.1] Property Ration [property-ration⁴] – guaranteed for life – for all individuals who have signed and abide by their responsible freedom [responsible-freedom⁵] oaths.

[4] Crimes of Aggression Violations of Scientific & Cultural Law:

- [4.1] Violations of (a) Scientific Law are Procreation or Consumption Crimes of Aggression [crimes-of-aggression⁶]; (b) Cultural Law are Deception Crimes of Aggression.

Practically: How would EoP SciCult law work?.

- [5] As the legal enforcement of EoP Scientific and Cultural [eop-sciicultlaw⁷] breeding/consumption international law occurs; more research will be done on

¹ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

³ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/> archive.fo/q4sMD

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

⁵ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

⁶ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

⁷ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

refining the consumption footprint calculator, to be accurate for all eco-regions⁸; as part of the legal evidentiary truthseeking process. Each eco-region's footprint will determine the size of a responsible freedom citizen in that eco-regions property ration [property-ration⁹]. For example: All nations will have a Consumption Footprint database, and there shall be an international consumption global footprint database. The national footprint database will include consumption footprint info for all the different eco-regions in that nation; adjusted to the global eco-footprint average property ration: 7.2 gha at a population of 1 billion, or 72 gha at population of 100 million as per suggested EoP Scientific: eco-footprint carrying capacity international law.

[6] Example: Advocacy in violation of Consumption/Procreation Limits:

[7] Jeremy heard Richard advocating on behalf of overconsumption/population. Jeremy informs Richard that advocating on behalf of overconsumption/population is illegal, and advises Richard to withdraw his advocacy on behalf of overconsumption/population and apologize. Richard (a) agrees that he was negligently advocating on behalf of overconsumption/population, and apologizes; or (b) disagrees that he was advocating on behalf of overconsumption/population; and so Jeremy and Richard go to civil court. The court finds Richard (i) innocent; Jeremy apologizes to Richard for his sincere but incorrect overconsumption/population interpretation; (ii) negligently guilty: Richard is required to go to ego & eco-literacy [ego-eco-literacy.tygae.org.za] consumption/procreation classes to learn about what kind of behaviours are overconsumption/procreation behaviours; (iii) intentionally guilty of consumption crimes of aggression [crimes-of-aggression.tygae.org.za]: the penalty for which is honourable death: Richard is allowed to remove his gene's from the genepool by means of her preferred assisted suicide preference, by a date as decided by the sentencing judge. If not done, Richard is humanely – i.e. quick death – assassinated.

[8] Example: Engaging in physical violation of Procreation Limits.

[9] Measuring with the naked eye, the birth or not of a second child is easier than measuring whether an individuals consumption is above or below the EoP footprint, by walking past their house. Any individual convicted of negligent

⁸ An ecoregion is a "recurring pattern of ecosystems associated with characteristic combinations of soil and landform that characterise that region". A large area of land or water that contains a geographically distinct assemblage of natural communities that: (a) Share a large majority of their species and ecological dynamics; (b) Share similar environmental conditions, and; (c) Interact ecologically in ways that are critical for their long-term persistence. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ecoregion>

⁹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

procreation of a second child crime of aggression will be sterilized, and the child will be put up for adoption. Any individual convicted of intentional procreation crime of aggression will be allowed to remove their gene's from the genepool by means of their preferred assisted suicide preference, by a date as decided by the sentencing judge. If not done, they will be humanely – i.e. quick death – assassinated.

[10] Example: Engaging in physical violation of Consumption Limits:

[11] Julian is accused by Thomas of overconsumption in London, UK. Julian and Thomas go to the London library to input Julian's consumption footprint data into the library's consumption footprint calculator database. Julian and Thomas still disagree about the accuracy of their input data or the output results; and so they decide to go to London Footprint court. Julian and Thomas provide the Clerk of the Court with Julian consumption data; a Footprint police investigator checks it for accuracy; and the consumption data is submitted into the Court footprint calculator. Julian is found (a) innocent; Thomas apologizes in writing for his sincere but mistaken allegation and goes to a consumption footprint course to learn to accurately measure consumption footprint inputs; (b) negligently guilty: Julian apologizes in writing and goes to a consumption footprint course to learn to accurately measure consumption footprint inputs; (c) negligently and/or intentionally guilty: Julian disputes (i) the accuracy of one or more consumption factors measured in the Footprint calculator's sustainability accuracy; and/or (ii) the finding of his deliberate intentional violation: so the matter goes to court for Julian to provide the court with his evidence in support of the IPAT calculators factors inaccuracy; and/or mitigation of deliberate intentional violation. If the Judge considers some of Julian's evidence indicating that particular consumption factors for the eco-region are inaccurate to be reasonable; the Judge can make a recommendation to footprint database scientists to investigate Julian's evidence; or if the Judge finds Julians evidence scientifically accurate, to order the footprint database scientists to correct the particular footprint factor error in the database. If Julian is found intentionally guilty of consumption crimes of aggression [crimes-of-aggression.tygae.org.za]: the penalty for which is honourable death: Julian is allowed to remove his gene's from the genepool by means of her preferred assisted suicide preference, by a date as decided by the sentencing judge. If not done, Richard is humanely – i.e. quick death – assassinated.

[12] Example: Overconsumption/Procreation/Deception:

[13] Similarly the crimes of aggression death penalty will be applicable for individuals in cases where: (i) if Jeremy, Richard, Julian or Thomas are found to have engaged in deliberate and/or malicious inaccurate data input statements to the Clerk; (ii) any Footprint Calculator scientists are found guilty of intentionally including inaccurate footprint data, or (iii) citizens found guilty of engaging in irregular methods to enter inaccurate footprint calculator data; (iv) citizens found guilty of breeding more than one child, or hiding information from the state about one child only breeding cheating.

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

LAND REFORM

[eop-landreform¹]

[1] Land Reform Claim:

- [1.1] Minister of Justice and Land Reform files land reform claim notice: All individuals and organizations property – above and beyond the property ration [property-ration²] level for that eco-region – will be nationalized by the state.

[2] Land Reform: Minister of Environment & Education:

- [2.1] Footprint scientists to setup a simple easy to understand Global Land Footprint database to formalize carrying capacity footprint [eop-footprint³] limits for the different eco-regions. Each eco-region's footprint will determine the size of a responsible freedom citizen in that eco-regions property ration [property-ration].

[3] Minister of Justice & Land Reform:

- [3.1] Minister of Justice to setup a Responsible Freedom Oath Database: All citizens to sign their Responsible Freedom [responsible-freedom⁴] Oaths; or get help at ego/eco literacy classes to sign their oath.
- [3.2] Large landowners can keep as much of their land property; within the confines of the property ration [property-ration] for that eco-region. All land above the eco-region property ration level will (i) be immediately nationalized if or where it is needed for citizens who do not have land and have signed their responsible freedom oaths, starting with nationalization of the properties who have the largest excess above the property ration; (ii) ultimately be nationalized for reforestation rewilding upon finalization of land reform process.
- [3.3] Any individual who does not own land will be given their guaranteed property ration of land from nationalized land; within the confines of the

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/land-reform/> archive.is/2c9XD

² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

property ration for the particular eco-region of such property ration; to use to enable their shelter and survival self-sufficiency to enable the rebuilding of a relocalized low-tech organic agrarian sustainable future.

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

ONE CHILD LAW

[one-child-law¹]

One child law is based upon the work of: (a) Deng Xiaoping: China's One Child law²; (b) Jason Brent: An Endangered Species: A Proposal: How to Determine Who can and who cannot reproduce³; (c) Jack Alpert: Nonlinearity of Overpopulation⁴; Rapid Population Decline or Bust⁵; (d) Carter Dillard: Rethinking the Procreative Right⁶; (e) Sarah Conley: One Child: Do we have a right to more?⁷; (f) Paul Murtaugh: Every child increases a parents carbon footprint by a factor of 20⁸. One child law shall remain in effect until global population has declined to below ecological carrying capacity limits, whereupon it may be amended to a two child law.

One Child Law:

[1] Every individual – man or woman, or whatever race, class, religion, culture – is limited to procreation of one child descendant.

[2] Procreation Crime of Aggression Sentences:

[2.1] An individual found guilty of negligent procreation crimes of aggression; would be required to be permanently sterilized.

[2.2] An individual found guilty of intentional procreation crime of aggression; shall be provided the opportunity to (a) choose their preferred method of state assisted suicide by a date as stipulated by the sentencing judge; (b) if the individual had not honourably removed themselves from the planetary genepool by their preferred method of state assisted suicide; by the required date, they would be humanely assassinated.

¹ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2010/07/humans-an-endangered-species-v/> archive.fo/qnrNz

² <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/1980/09/25-sep-deng-xiaoping/> archive.is/MQrUk

³ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2010/07/humans-an-endangered-species-v/> archive.fo/qnrNz

⁴ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2012/09/28-sep-jalpert-nlpop/> archive.is/rnLxK

⁵ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2018/01/02-jan-jalpert-rpd/> archive.fo/ddIf1

⁶ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2007/10/07-cdillard/> archive.fo/tamuM

⁷ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2016/02/15-feb-sconley/> archive.fo/e0jc3

⁸ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2009/07/31-jul-murtaugh-procreation-factor/> archive.is/99OBA

- [2.3] If the child is the mother or fathers first child, and the single parent individual is not convicted of an intentional crime of aggression; the child remains with such parent.
- [2.4] If the child is the mother and father's second child, both of whom are convicted of intentional procreation crimes of aggression, the child is humanely executed.

Excerpts: Jason Brent: A Proposal: How to Determine Who can and who cannot reproduce:

[3] No one has the right to use his penis or her womb to destroy all of humanity. Every individual has the right to one and only one child. One child law is value neutral and does not favor or harm any individual or group of individuals, and will be applied to every person or group without favoring anyone. The action is very simple—limit the right of any male to father only one live child and limit the right of every woman to one live birth. In simple terms a couple is limited to one and only one child—not one child for the male and one child for the female.

[4] One Child law would be applied to every single human being without regard to race, religion, national origin or anything else and it would be absolute, no exceptions. It would be applied without regard for wealth, or the lack of wealth, and it would be applied without regard for the country of birth or residence of either the male or female. It would be applied without regard to intelligence, or the lack thereof, and without regard of the ability of the male or female to function in society. The right to either father a child or for a female to give birth could not be sold or transferred; it would be personal to the individual. If a live child were born with a birth defect or with some other disability it would not permit either the father or mother to produce another child. Each couple would have the right to have all appropriate pre-natal tests to determine if the child in the womb would be born with a birth or genetic defect and if the chance existed that the child would be born with such a defect to have an abortion.

[5] Since survival of our species depends on the one child rule, under my proposal any attempt to evade the rule would result in death of the evader and of any second child. The rule to be fair must be absolute, without a single exception. If the female cannot or refuses to provide the name of the father she and the child shall be immediately executed. All of the ideas set forth in this paragraph may be considered horrible and inhumane. However, since they will be applied equally, no individual or group is harmed except to the extent that an individual cannot either

father or give birth to a second child. The harm caused to the individual and the harm caused to all of humanity by enforcing the one child rule set forth above is miniscule compared to the harm which all of humanity would suffer if population were not reduced.

[6] Since the birth of a child is very hard to hide, there must be communal responsibility and accountability for any attempt to do so. Those who knowingly failed to report the birth of a second or any higher number of children would themselves be subject to the very same severe punishment that would be meted out to the parents of the second or higher numbered child—no religious, cultural or ethnic exemptions would obtain. Humanity cannot consider the evasion of the single child rule a game to be played with a minor penalty, if caught. No group or individual could be permitted any evasion of the one child rule that would lead to a disparity among groups and among individuals causing irreparable harm to the entire system established to reduce population. Should this sanction seem barbaric or draconian, it is surely less draconian in its effects than the merciless verdict of nature upon a species that refuses to contain its expansion.

[7] In order for this proposal to be fair, equitable and workable, society and governments would be required to take action today to provide the means for every human being to control his or her fertility, to give everyone on the face of the earth the ability to limit birth to a single child. Governments would be required to devote a whatever portion of their Gross Domestic Product is necessary to the provision of artificial birth control devices of any and all types including sterilization, at low or no cost as appropriate, to their citizens, no matter the age of the citizens once a citizen reaches the age he/she can physically reproduce. This would also include instruction as how to use the devices. This would also include education of both males and females that the birth of a second child would result in the execution of the father and mother as well as the child. Governments would be required to provide safe, as much as any medical procedure can be safe, and low cost or free access to abortion. If any person, either male or female, had more than two failures of birth control devices, it would be conclusively presumed that the person was unable to use birth control devices and the person would be physically and permanently sterilized.

[8] If poor nations were unable to devote the necessary funds to accomplish the one child rule in five years, the rich nations of the world would be required to assist the poor nations, after an evaluation that the poor nations were doing the best they could under some reasonable standard. Since survival of our species depends on reducing population below the current 6.7 billion humans now alive, the necessary

funds to establish the system to control population must be made available. It should be emphasized that a “One-Child-Per-Family” (OCPF) law that is almost completely effective will not suffice. It must be totally and universally effective. After a five year preparation period, the rule must be enforced. The reduction in population would continue under the one child rule until all of humanity agreed upon the method and criteria necessary to implement the two group solution described herein. Population would continue to be reduced pursuant to the method and criteria of the two group solution until it reached 300 million or some other lower number agreed upon by humanity. The number finally agreed upon would be based on the ability of the earth to provide resources for humanity to maintain an acceptable standard of living for a minimum of 25,000 years. And 25,000 years is infinitely small when compared to the 160 million years the dinosaurs ruled the earth.

[9] No doubt any proposal that would recommend capital punishment for transgressors of the One-Child-Per-Family law presently evokes immediate revulsion and rejection. Outside the context of an imminent die-off, given our heritage of moral, religious and cultural programming, I would be surprised if it didn't. Reality has a way of effecting abrupt ethical changes. What is not presently comprehended by almost all of humanity is that we are now in an emergency. Our species is on the brink of an unparalleled catastrophe—our destruction and the destruction of our civilization. It is a matter of complete indifference to me that many, if not all, readers will find the execution of anyone having a second child to be horrible and against every moral precept they learned or understood was applicable to humanity. The problem is not that my prescriptions are immoral or horrible. Rather the problem is that the situation humanity finds itself in is horrible. Each individual will have a very clear choice—birth control or sterilization or abortion or abstinence or execution.

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

PRISONER PARDON

[prisoner-pardon¹]

[1] Under EoP SciCult Law [eop-scicultlaw²] international law:

- [1.1] All prisoners willing to (a) consent to being sterilized if they have procreated one or more than one child; (b) sign their responsible freedom [responsible-freedom³] oaths [eop-axis-oath⁴]; shall be granted (c) an early prison release and (d) their property ration [property-ration⁵] to enable their shelter and survival self-sufficiency to enable the rebuilding of a relocalized low-tech organic agrarian sustainable future.
- [1.2] Prisons shall be required to provide prisoners with free sterilization medical services, and ego/eco literacy [ego-eco-literacy⁶] classes to enable them to confidently sign their responsible freedom oaths.

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/prisoner-pardon/> archive.fo/rXKVD

² <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-sco/eop-axis-milnec-evac/eop-axis-oath/>
archive.fo/VOjOa

⁵ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

⁶ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/> archive.fo/q4sMD

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

PROPERTY RATION

[property-ration¹]

[1] All individuals owning property greater than the EoP allotted eco-footprint [eop-footprint²] ration will have their property, in excess of the property ration nationalized.

[2] An EoP allotted eco-footprint ration of nationalized property shall be provided to any citizen who has no property; who has signed their responsible freedom [responsible-freedom³] oath, who is eighteen or older – five years or older upon written request by the individual – for their guaranteed lifetime use; to enable them to sustain their most basic shelter, food and water needs; and engage with neighbours into local food production cooperatives; to begin the process of rebuilding local cooperative tribal responsible freedom communities.

[3] A property ration will not be able to be sold, but individuals will be able to swap their property rations with another individual in the same nation or another nation, per fully informed consenting agreement by such individuals and any necessary authorities.

[4] A property ration will be guaranteed for life, and an individual can only lose their property ration upon death (a) from natural causes or (b) upon the date of their assisted suicide, as decided by the judge at the time of conviction and sentencing for intentional crime of aggression.

[5] An expired property ration, subsequent to the death of the owner, can be claimed by family or neighbours in a swap agreement; where individuals with similar cultural values want to create a cultural law self rule property ration homeland.

[6] As global one child law enables orderly population decline, expired property rations on the borders of rewilded nature corridors are to be transferred to rewilded nature corridors property status, for rewilding to return to their natural habitat status.

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

RESPONSIBLE FREEDOM OATH

[responsible-freedom¹]

[1] I want to: [A] acquire access to EoP Scientific and Cultural law [eop-sciicultlaw²] (i) prisoner release [prisoner-pardon³] right, and/or (ii) property ration [property-ration⁴] self sufficient survival right; and/or (iii) cultural law self rule [cult-law-self-rule⁵] homeland right; and/or (iv) global land reform [eop-landreform⁶] and denuclearization [eop-denuke-defn⁷] opportunity; and/or [B] cooperate to enforce EoP Scientific and Cultural law to (i) sustainably protect natural resources for future generations; and/or (ii) reduce class, racial and/or religious local, national and international resource war conflict; and/or (iii) enable honourable, transparent and humane international cooperative de-industrialization and depopulation of the planet to return to living in accordance to ecological carrying capacity limits.

[2] I consequently hereby consent to EoP Scientific and Cultural law [eop-sciicultlaw⁸] procreating, consuming and relating responsibilities:

[2.1] Scientific Law:

[A] Scientific Law refers to Procreation and Consumption below carrying capacity limits. EoP Footprint [eop-footprint⁹] defines how procreation and consumption above ecological carrying capacity limit is measured.

[2.2] Cultural Law:

[A] Fully Informed Consenting Agreements. Cultural law refers to any racial, religious, gender cultural value agreed upon, and codified in a written agreement between two or more individuals, which does not violate any EoP scientific laws; enabling a greater degree of cooperation and mutual assistance support between such individuals. Ego literacy

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

² <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/prisoner-pardon/> archive.fo/rXKVD

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

⁵ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/cult-law-self-rule/> archive.fo/BfgMu

⁶ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/land-reform/> archive.is/2c9XD

⁷ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-denuke-defn/> archive.is/ofrGD

⁸ <http://eop-nwo-scf.tygae.org.za/scientific-cultural-law/> archive.is/QneCw

⁹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

[ego-eco-literacy¹⁰] clarifies how to engage in and/or measure a fully informed consenting agreement.

[2.3] Individuals Rights under EoP Scientific & Cultural Law:

- [A] Property Ration [property-ration¹¹] – guaranteed for life – for all individuals who have signed their responsible freedom [responsible-freedom¹²] oaths and abide by EoP Scientific and Cultural law.
- [B] Cultural Law Self Rule Homelands [cult-law-self-rule¹³] for two or more citizens who have provided each other with their fully informed consent to practicing a particular group shared ‘Cultural Law’ on their collective property rations homeland territory.

[2.4] Crimes of Aggression Violations of Scientific and Cultural Law:

- [A] Violations of (a) Scientific Law are Procreation or Consumption Crimes of Aggression [crimes-of-aggression¹⁴]; (b) Cultural Law are Deception Crimes of Aggression.

¹⁰ <http://eop-miled-clerk.tygae.org.za/eop-rh-cult-info/ego-eco-literacy/> archive.fo/q4sMD

¹¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

¹² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

¹³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/cult-law-self-rule/> archive.fo/BfgMu

¹⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/crimes-of-aggression/> archive.is/esaH6

Request Consent / Edits / Objections:

SHUT DOWN PONZI SWAMP ECONOMY

[eop-sdwipecon¹]

Summary of Transition to EoP Next Economy:

[1] Community Solution: Power of Community: How Cuba Survived Peak Oil²: The breakup of the Soviet Union in the 1990's created a major economic crisis in Cuba known as the special period. So we have from 1982 to 1983 a freefall of the economy of 34% of GDP, gross domestic product. When I tell you freefall of the economy, try to imagine an aeroplane suddenly lose their engines. It was really a crash. Cuba lost 80% of its export and import markets. Oil imports dropped by more than half, buses stopped running, factories closed, electricity blackouts were common and food was scarce. People almost starved. In reality when this all began urban gardening was a necessity. People had to start cultivating vegetables wherever they could. Over the next decade Cuba took drastic steps to find solutions. It is the first country to face the crisis that we will all face, the peak oil crisis.

[2] Industrial Economy Powerdown: Next Economy: Transition from Globalization to Eco-localism³: Energy: Rolling blackouts as coal / nuclear plants are progressively shut down. Agriculture: Conversion to soil regenerative low tech organic, permaculture, urban gardens; composting of manure and dead animals & humans; rewilding and reforestation of nature corridors. Transport: Animal drawn transport, bicycles, sailboats and walking. Trade: For emergency products only. Science and schooling: Education concentrates on practical ego/eco literacy cooperative soil low tech sustainable agrarian economy survival skills.

[3] EoP Axis Nationalization of Individuals Property:

[3.1] All individuals owning property greater than the EoP allotted eco-footprint [eop-footprint⁴] ration will have their property, in excess of the property ration nationalized.

¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/sd-wip-econ/> archive.is/hWAdA

² The Community Solution: Power of Community: How Cuba Survived Peak Oil
<http://www.communitysolution.org/films/the-power-of-community-how-cuba-survived-peak-oil>
Transcript: <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2006/05/14-may-cs-pocuba/>

³ Tompkins Conservation: Next Economy: Transition from Globalization to Eco-localism
<http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2015/07/11-jul-tc-nexteconomy/>

⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

- [3.2] An EoP allotted eco-footprint ration of nationalized property shall be provided to any citizen who has no property; who has signed their responsible freedom [responsible-freedom⁵] oath, who is eighteen or older – five years or older upon written request by the individual – for their guaranteed lifetime use; to enable them to sustain their most basic shelter, food and water needs; and engage with neighbours into local food production cooperatives; to begin the process of rebuilding local cooperative tribal responsible freedom communities.

[4] EoP Axis Nationalization of Banks & Corporations:

- [4.1] The property of all Banks from Bank of International Settlements; Inter-American Development Bank; APRACA: Asia-Pacific Rural to the Agriculture Credit Association; to traded and privately owned corporations shall be nationalized. The Derivatives Market will go through bankruptcy proceedings and both the Derivatives Market and Stock Exchanges will be shut down.
- [4.2] The EoP Economy shall be based upon already mined gold and ultimately a resource mix based currency standard; where all lending / borrowing and/or bartering is to be limited to consumption and production of non-renewable and renewable natural resources below ecological carrying capacity limits [eop-footprint⁶].

[5] Pensions and Social Security:

- [5.1] Notification to citizens that all state and corporate pensions will be cancelled by end of landreform deadline date. All corporate employees, including current or former pension holders; who do not own any property; who sign responsible freedom oath shall be provided with an EoP allotted eco-footprint ration of nationalized property; for their use; to enable them to sustain their most basic shelter, food and water needs; and engage with neighbours into local food production cooperatives; to begin the process of rebuilding local cooperative tribal responsible freedom communities. Their pension will be paid for the first six months of their transition towards becoming low tech agrarian farmers, whereupon it will expire.

[6] Nuclear Weapons and Nuclear Energy Facilities:

⁵ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

⁶ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

- [6.1] All IAEA: International Atomic Energy Agency member states are to immediately draw up a report detailing how they commit to the progressive decommission – management of national rolling blackouts - of all their Nuclear Weapons and Energy facilities; within five years [eop-denuke-defn.tygae.org.za].
- [6.2] World Coal Association: All member corporations are to immediately draw up a report detailing how they intend to support the orderly termination and closure of all coal mining and energy production with a Production Footprint above ecological renewable/non renewable carrying capacity limits; i.e. all future coal exploitation and production of non-renewable and renewable natural resources must be limited to below Ecological Carrying Capacity limits.

Global EoP SciCult intn-law Implementation:

- [1] Immediate legislative global implementation:
 - [1.1] One Child Law [one-child-law⁷]
 - [1.2] Land Reform Claim [eop-landreform⁸]:
 - A. Minister of Justice and Land Reform files land reform claim notice: All individuals and organizations property - above and beyond the property ration level for that eco-region – will be nationalized by the state.
- [2] 6 – 12 months legislative global emergency implementation deadline:
 - [2.1] Shut down of Global Swamp Economy [eop-sdwipecon⁹]:
 - A. Minister of Finance & Commerce file Notice of Shut down of Global Swamp Economy: Central Banks ordered to arrange for global debt relief; stock exchanges notified they will be shutdown by deadline date. Put simply: global economy goes into organized global debt relief bankruptcy.
 - [2.2] Denuclearization [eop-denuke-defn¹⁰]:
 - A. Minister of Energy: Nuclear engineers ordered to arrange orderly closure of nuclear power plants.
 - [2.3] Dept of Education: Ego / Eco Literacy & Permaculture classes:

⁷ <http://ss-defcon.tygae.org.za/2010/07/humans-an-endangered-species-v/> archive.fo/qnrNz

⁸ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/land-reform/> archive.is/2c9XD

⁹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/sd-wip-econ/> archive.is/hWAdA

¹⁰ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-denuke-defn/> archive.is/ofrGD

- A. Individuals voluntarily attend Ego / Eco literacy, and organic / permaculture / urban gardening classes; to prepare them with self sufficient low tech survival skills.

[2.4] Land Reform [eop-landreform¹¹]:

[2.5] Minister of Environment & Education:

- A. Footprint scientists to setup a simple easy to understand Global Land Footprint database to formalize carrying capacity footprint [eop-footprint¹²] limits for the different eco-regions. Upon the deadline date, it shall be known how many Responsible Freedom oaths citizens there are in the nation. Each eco-region's footprint will determine the size of a responsible freedom citizen in that eco-regions property ration [property-ration¹³].

[2.6] Minister of Justice, Land Reform and Health:

- A. Minister of Justice to setup a Responsible Freedom Oath Database: All citizens can voluntarily sign their Responsible Freedom [responsible-freedom¹⁴] Oaths. If they (a) own land; they can keep as much of their land property; within the confines of the property ration [property-ration¹⁵] for that eco-region, whatever is above the eco-region property ration level will be (i) immediately nationalized if or where it is needed for citizens who do not have land and have signed their responsible freedom oaths, starting with nationalization of the properties who have the largest excess above the property ration; (ii) will be nationalized on deadline date for reforestation rewilding; (b) do not own land, they will be given a property ration of land from nationalized land; within the confines of the property ration for the particular eco-region of such property ration; to use to enable their shelter and survival self-sufficiency to enable the rebuilding of a relocalized low-tech organic agrarian sustainable future. .
- B. Pro Se Responsible Freedom Oath Courts: All citizens who object to signing their responsible freedom oaths, can file an objection on their own behalf, where they can provide a court with evidence as to the reasons for their objections. If their objections are scientifically based proving errors in EoP Scientific and Cultural law recommended international law, EoP law is amended in accordance to such evidence.

¹¹ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/land-reform/> archive.is/2c9XD

¹² <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/eop-footprint/> archive.is/Guli3

¹³ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

¹⁴ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/responsible-freedom/> archive.is/rXzYe

¹⁵ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/property-ration/> archive.fo/AWxH8

- C. Minister of Health: Humane and Orderly Assisted Suicide: All citizens who (a) do not intend to sign their responsible freedom oaths; (b) do not intend to file a pro se legal objection detailing their reasons for their refusal; (c) are to be provided with help from doctors, psychologists, social workers at the Minister of Health, if or where so requested to plan their assisted suicide departure, to enable orderly and humane departure, prior to the deadline date.
- D. Minister of Corrections: Prisoner Release [prisoner-pardon.tygae.org.za¹⁶]: Prisoners who (a) voluntarily sign responsible freedom oaths are granted property ration on early release; (b) who decline to sign responsible freedom oaths: provided help; including family visits to say good bye to their family; prior to their assisted suicide departure.

¹⁶ <http://eop-nwo-sco.tygae.org.za/eop-nwo-scp/prisoner-pardon/>