

CDR Application: Science Review

Projectiv	iame: XX
Reviewe	r Name: XX
Criteria	a Questions
	, Physical footprint: Project takes advantage of carbon sinks less ned by arable land
	Not confident, $4 = Very$ confident, $N/A = Not$ enough info or not sure)
•	y did you select the rating that you did? nsider and discuss the project's strengths and weaknesses with respect to this criteria)
	2, Capacity: Project has a path to being a meaningful part of the emoval solution portfolio (>0.5Gt CO ₂ /yr by 2040)
Today's o	capacity
pro	he "Offer to Stripe" section of the General Application, how realistic is the ject's offered capacity? They underestimated, 4 = They overestimated, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)

4.	How likely is it that the project will deliver this capacity in the timeframe they offer?
	(1 = Very unlikely, 4 = Very likely, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)
Long-	-term potential for capacity
5.	How confident are you in this project's fundamental potential to scale to meet this criteria?
	(1 = Not confident, 4 = Very confident, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)
6.	Why did you select the rating that you did?
	(Consider and discuss the project's strengths and weaknesses with respect to this criteria)
Crite	ria 3, Cost: Project has a path to being affordable at scale (<\$100/ton
by 20	
7.	How confident are you in this project's claims with respect to this criteria? (1 = Not confident, 4 = Very confident, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)
	(1 = Not confident, 4 = very confident, tv/\tau=\text{Not enough fine of not sure,}
8.	Why did you select the rating that you did?
	(Consider and discuss the project's strengths and weaknesses with respect to this criteria)

Criteria 4, Durability: Project stores carbon permanently (>1,000 years)

9.	How confident are you in this project's claims with respect to this criteria? (1 = Not confident, 4 = Very confident, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)
10.	Why did you select the rating that you did? (Consider and discuss the project's strengths and weaknesses with respect to this criteria)
	ria 5, Verifiability: Project uses scientifically rigorous and transparent ods for monitoring and verification
11.	How confident are you in this project's claims with respect to this criteria? (1 = Not confident, 4 = Very confident, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)
12.	Why did you select the rating that you did? (Consider and discuss the project's strengths and weaknesses with respect to this criteria)
	ria 6, Additionality: Project results in net new carbon being removed r than taking credit for removal that would have occurred regardless
13.	How confident are you in this project's claims with respect to this criteria? (1 = Not confident, 4 = Very confident, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)

14. Why did you select the rating that you did? (Consider and discuss the project's strengths and weaknesses with respect to this criteria)
Criteria 8, Net-negative lifecycle: Project results in a net reduction in atmospheric CO ₂
15. How confident are you in this project's claims with respect to this criteria? (1 = Not confident, 4 = Very confident, N/A=Not enough info or not sure)
16. Why did you select the rating that you did? (Consider and discuss the project's strengths and weaknesses with respect to this criteria)
Holistic Questions
17. Would you recommend we purchase from this project? ("Yes", "no", "maybe")
18. Please describe the impact that Stripe purchasing from this project would have o

(For example: it would accelerate technology development, it would help answer a fundamental

the carbon removal field as a whole.

question, etc.)

Bonus question: what is one improvement to either the technology or the project	Bonus question: what is one improvement to either the technology or the project	(As a reminde	•	full responsibilit	ase decisions, y	vour feedback ser
Bonus question: what is one improvement to either the technology or the projecteam that would have enhanced the proposal?						
team that would have enhanced the proposal?	team that would have enhanced the proposal?					