## STA3020 Assignment 3.

<sup>1</sup> School of Data Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen (CUHK-Shenzhen)

## 

## 1. UMVUE & Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

- Exercise 1.1. If  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are UMVUE of  $g_1(\theta)$  and  $g_2(\theta)$ , respectively, then please proof that  $a_1\delta_1 + a_2\delta_2$  also has finite variance and is the UMVUE of  $a_1g_1(\theta) + a_2g_2(\theta)$ , for any real and fixed  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . Further, if  $\delta_1\delta_2$  has finite variance, then  $a_3\delta_1\delta_2$  is the UMVUE of  $a_3\mathbb{E}\delta_1\delta_2$  for any real and fixed constant  $a_3$ .
- Exercise 1.2. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be i.i.d random variables, where we have each  $X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(k, p)$  with k being known, n sufficiently large and p is the parameter of interests.
  - 1. Please find the UMVUE for  $p^r$ , where  $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .
  - 2. Please find the UMVUE for  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x)$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \le x \le k$ .
  - 3. Please find the UMVUE for  $e^{-p}$ .
  - 4. Please find the UMVUE of  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 + \cdots + X_m = X_{m+1} + \cdots + X_{2m})$  for some positive integer m such that  $2m \leq n$ .
- Exercise 1.3. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} U(\theta_1 \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$  with  $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \theta_2 > 0$  and n being sufficiently large, please find the UMVUE of  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $\theta_1/\theta_2$ .
- Exercise 1.4. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $\mu$  and a known  $\sigma^2$ . Please prove that, for an arbitrary polynomial of  $\mu$ , i.e.,

$$f(\mu) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} a_r \mu^{k-r}$$
 (1.1)

with known and fixed integer k and constants  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$ , the UMVUE of  $f(\mu)$  is a polynomial of  $\bar{X}$  and  $\sigma$ , i.e., please prove that the UMVUE of  $f(\mu)$ 

can be represented as

$$\tilde{f}(\bar{X}, \sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_{ij} \cdot (\bar{X})^{i} \cdot (\sigma)^{j},$$

/

for some coefficients  $\{b_{ij}, 0 \le i, j \le k\}$ , where the coefficients  $\{b_{ij}, 0 \le i, j \le k\}$ depends on  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  but not  $\bar{X}$  and  $\sigma$ .

- 1. First look at the UMVUE of  $\mu^k$  for some fixed k, to see whether it can be represented as a polynomial of  $\bar{X}$  and  $\sigma$ , denote it as  $g_k(\bar{X}, \sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^k c_{ij} \cdot (\bar{X})^i \cdot (\sigma)^j$ . 2. Use mathematical induction on the order k and use the conclusion of
  - Exercise.1.1.
  - 3. Use the fact that, when define  $Y = \bar{X} \mu$ , we have  $Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2/n)$ , and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}^k\right) = \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} \mu^{k-r} \mathbb{E}(Y^r),$$
 where 
$$\mathbb{E}(Y^r) = \begin{cases} (r-1)!! \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^{r/2}, & \text{when } r \text{ is even.} \\ 0, & \text{when } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

• Exercise 1.5. Suppose that X has the Poisson distribution truncated on the right at a, so that it has the conditional distribution of Y given  $Y \leq a$ , where

• Exercise 1.6. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of all univariate distribution functions F that have a probability density function f and finite mth moment.

Y is distributed as  $P(\lambda)$ . Show that  $\lambda$  does not have an unbiased estimator.

- (a) Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independently distributed with common distribution  $F \in$  $\mathcal{F}$ . For  $n \geq m$ , find the UMVUE of  $\xi^m$ , where  $\xi = \xi(F) = EX_i$ .
- (b) Show that for the case that  $P(X_i = 1) = p$ ,  $P(X_i = 0) = q$ , p + q = 1, the estimator of (a) reduced to  $\delta(T) = \frac{T(T-1)\cdots(T-m+1)}{n(n-1)(n-m+1)}$ , where  $T = \sum X_i$ .

K XXXXXXXXXX XXXX 13XX — X\*x\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*