

News

Sport

Weather

Shop

Earth

Travel

How Greater Manchester is smashing the Whitehall model

Mark Easton

Home editor

31 March 2016 | UK





For the first time in over a century, municipal power is returning to Greater Manchester. But this is not simply about shifting budget meetings from Westminster to the North West.

True to their traditions, the local leaders of this proud industrial region are planning something utterly radical. They intend to abandon the Whitehall model, completely rethinking how services are run and how lives can be changed.

At midnight tonight, the 10 councils in the Greater Manchester Combined Authority <u>will take</u> <u>direct control of £6bn of annual health and social care funding</u>, money previously administered by central government ministers in London.

Rather than following departmental priorities and targets, however, they are posing a question - how can we use what resources we have to ensure the greatest and fastest possible improvement to the health and wellbeing of the people of Greater Manchester?

And the answer is to smash the silos.

Greater Manchester has been described as the sick man of England. It has some of the worst health outcomes in the developed world - life expectancy in one neighbourhood can be 15 years less than a place just a few miles down the road.



Demands on the NHS and social services are rising fast. Even with a £6bn annual budget, Greater Manchester must save £2bn by 2021 to break even.

Traditional models of health and social care provision are not working. So Greater Manchester is tearing up the departmental rulebook, promising to stop protecting organisational budgets and power in favour of a genuinely collaborative approach.

Can it really work? The interim mayor of Greater Manchester, Tony Lloyd, tries to convince me that it will.

"We can get people involved in service provision around the same table and design services around people and places," he explains. "Greater Manchester is big enough to have economies of scale but small enough to recognise localism. Whitehall can't do that."

In a scruffy community building in the Platt Bridge neighbourhood of Wigan I see what he means. Individuals from a range of agencies who operate in the area - health, council, police, housing and more - ritualistically remove the badges from around their necks and put them in a pile.



They are not here to represent the interests of their organisations. They are here to improve the lives of people in Platt Bridge.

"This is about removing our individual identities in terms of the agencies we work for. We remove the silo working of what's best for the council, or the police, or health or the fire service, but actually start working together to look at what's best for the communities we serve," Sarah Owen from Wigan council insists.

"Who takes the blame if things go wrong and who takes the credit if things go right?" I ask.

"We all do," she replies.

This is not multi-agency or partnership working, the Platt Bridge team explain. It is true integration - where budgets are pooled and resources shared.

I can't help raising a sceptical eyebrow at this. Will big organisations really be prepared to give up money and power for some greater good? History and experience are not on their side.

"Of course there will be the occasional arm-wrestling contest in Greater Manchester," Tony Lloyd concedes. "But it won't be the sort of arm-wrestling referred back to central government where one minister won't speak to another. People will be sat round a table saying what is good for local delivery? What are we all here for?"

In a busy A&E department at Stepping Hill hospital in Stockport, Chief Executive Ann Barnes tries to convince me that Tony Lloyd's optimism is well placed.

"It is not about the doctors and nurses behind me," she asserts, with a nod to the health teams trying to cope with huge demand. "It is about investing in what makes people healthy. It's about their housing. It's about the transport that enables them to access jobs that aren't just down the road."



I press the point. Would she really give up her budget in favour of another institution? "We will absolutely give up some of our budget to get social care looking after people in their homes instead of coming in here."

The plans drawn up by health and council bodies are explicit. "To improve the health and wellbeing of the residents of Greater Manchester", the NHS, councils, police, fire, transport, housing and others promise to "put our people and our places before our organisational priorities".

Money from health budgets might be spent on improving public transport or skills training, if that is going to make a real difference to the long-term health prospects of people and places in the area.

"We know that people who have jobs, good housing and are connected to families and community feel, and stay, healthier," the plan states. "So we need to take action not just in health and social care, but across the whole range of public services so the people here can start well, live well and age well."

The ambitions of the health and social care plan barely touch on services for the sick. They will continue to be provided, of course. But success will be measured differently.

They aim to increase the number of children who are at a good level of social and emotional development when they start school while reducing the number of elderly people who suffer serious falls in their homes. The plan wants big reductions in the number of youngsters growing up in poverty and babies born underweight.

Above all, the plan wants to cut the amount spent on the NHS. It's not about saving money, it's about saving lives. That means focusing on prevention, keeping people out of expensive health service facilities and in their own homes and communities.

The people of Greater Manchester appear to know very little about what is about to happen in their area. There have been no votes or formal consultations on the changes - the first

election of a metro-mayor will be next year.

Some argue that there is a gaping democratic deficit around a project that is gambling with the health and care services of 2.8 million people.

And without formal legal agreements to back up the new caring-sharing world of Greater Manchester health policy, what happens if a hospital refuses to give part of its budget to social services?

There is, though, palpable excitement among professionals in Greater Manchester. After decades of having to do what they were told by Whitehall, they are talking about "transformation" and "fundamental change" on their own terms.

If it works, if organisational priorities can be forgotten, if people can share money and skills for the greater good, and if the results are as impressive as hoped, "DevoManc" may end up changing the way England is governed.

View comments

Share this story About sharing

More from Mark

Will Cameron's EU child-benefit plan work?

4 February 2016

Bowie: the creative force who changed Britain

12 January 2016

Boxing Day Family Puzzler 2015

26 December 2015

How a Mexican resort invented eco-tourism

5 December 2015

Police cuts: Is the force drowning or shroud-waving?

20 November 2015

UN attempt to decriminalise drugs foiled

19 October 2015

The political power of bricks and mortar

19 October 2015

Searching for the truth about child abuse

7 October 2015

Evening classes that promise to make you happy

21 September 2015

Have we changed our mind about asylum?

7 September 2015

How politicians learned the power of the gentle nudge

22 July 2015

Is welfare reform working?

25 June 2015

Drugs ban 'safety-valve' removed

11 June 2015

Greater Manchester's 'metro-mayor' welcomes NHS postcode lottery

4 June 2015

The challenge of extremism

15 May 2015

Should we value extremism?

13 May 2015

Who would be a Member of Parliament?

11 May 2015

A divided nation

8 May 2015

Saving baby Sebastian

30 April 2015

The vanilla-flavoured election

29 April 2015

Is this the worst graph of the election?

28 April 2015

Where's the evidence for Lincoln's legal high problem?

24 February 2015

What is an English law?

16 December 2014

Don't have nightmares - crime is down

18 November 2014

The awkward jigsaw of England's boundaries

7 November 2014

UK - what next?

3 November 2014

What is extreme?

30 September 2014

Welfare Freeze: Who Would Be Hit?

29 September 2014

Advice for foreigners on how Britons walk

18 July 2014

Should teachers 'promote' British values?

10 June 2014

'Trojan horse' scandal - extreme or diverse?

9 June 2014

Parks in peril

21 May 2014

Who are the UK's foreign workers?

14 May 2014

The truth behind the rise in sex crimes

24 April 2014

Are late night brawls a thing of the past?

23 April 2014

UK becoming 'more local and global'

7 April 2014

Going back to first principles

31 March 2014

Vicar or publican - which jobs make you happy?

20 March 2014

Bust-up between police reviewers

14 February 2014

Will women be the saviours of the High Street?

13 February 2014

Living with Mum and Dad

21 January 2014

Want crime trends? Just ask people

16 January 2014

A legitimate argument?

15 January 2014

The uncomfortable issue of stop and search

8 January 2014

New parents shun state relationship help

3 December 2013

Can you persuade people not to buy stolen goods?

31 October 2013

Lady Mary needn't worry - Britain's elite will survive

29 October 2013

Consulting... and ignoring

25 October 2013

Bustling market towns hold the secret of happiness

24 October 2013

Policing the police

16 October 2013

Public 'sees improvements amid cuts'

9 October 2013

Are the children of Birmingham safe tonight?

3 October 2013

Is online crime really rising?

25 September 2013

More UK stories



Prison suicides rise to record level

11 minutes ago



UK and US can lead world again, May says

25 minutes ago



Body of mystery man on moor identified

7 minutes ago

Top Stories

Mexico: We will not pay for Trump wall

"Mexico doesn't believe in walls," the country's president tells his people in a national message.

1 hour ago