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[MN 24:14.1–14.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 145
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Sunyo 2021
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For example Ñāṇananda 2016 p.71: “What the Arahants have done is to bring consciousness to such a state where it gets no footing on name-and-form. In other words, it is the state of unestablished consciousness (appatiṭṭhita viññaṇa).”
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For example Harvey 1995 p.202, Ṭhānissaro 2008a p.118, Pasanno p.155, Cintita p.179
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See Bodhi 2000 p.840 “The five aggregates are so called because they each unite under one label a multiplicity of phenomena that share the same defining characteristic.”
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[SN 22.82:6.1–6.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [MN 109:8.1–8.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M III 17
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For example [SN 22.59:10.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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As Ṭhānissaro 2018 p.6
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[MN 49:25.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 330. For a discussion see Sunyo 2021
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See [SN 22.56:13.1–14.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), where in context of the five aggregates, the aggregate of consciousness is also defined as that of the six senses.
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[SN 12.2:12.1–12.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.27:3.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.28:3.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.33:9.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

12

[SN 56.28](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) explains: “They are called ‘noble one’s truths’ (ariya-sacca), because in this world […] the Truth­finder (tathāgata) is the noble one (ariya).” Norman 1997 p.16 wrote: “My own feeling is that it is very likely that ‘the truth of the noble one (the Buddha)’ is the correct translation.” See also Norman 2008 and Harvey 2009
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Tatra tatra, ‘in various realms’. [MN 2:8.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) and [MN 38:5.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) use tatra tatra (more literally ‘in various places’) explicitly for rebirth in different realms. That this is also the intended meaning in the second truth is indicated by it being about the craving that leads to a next life. Sujato 2023 translates “in various different realms”.
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[SN 56.13:3.1–3.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

15

See also Piyadassi p.5, Bodhi 1984 p.5 n.2, Bodhi 2015 p.19
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[AN 3.61:15.1–15.14](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

17

Paṭiccasamuppādaṁ, ‘the dependent arising of things’. By this I mean the actual principles existing in nature, rather than the Buddha’s teachings on these principles, which I call ‘Dependent Arising’.

The term is sometimes translated as ‘dependent co-arising’, but the prefix saṁ- in samuppāda is simply a redundancy for the following prefix up- (=ud-). As PED says under saṁ-: “very often merely pleonastic, esp. in comb[inatio]n with other prefixes.” Therefore, under samuppajjati it simply has, “to arise, to be produced”. No other dictionary suggests ‘co-arising’ either. To give some examples of the word samuppāda in a daily-life context: [SN 3.25:2.14](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) mentions the arising (samuppanne) of a great peril, namely a mountain that crushes everything; [AN 8.54:6.1, 6.7, 7.1, 7.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) mentions wealth that has arisen (samuppannānaṁ); [Kp 8:1.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY) mentions the arising (samuppanne) of a need to use stored up wealth; [Thag 1.30:1.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) mentions the arising (samuppanno) of sickness; and [Iti 43:3.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) uses ‘arisen’ (samuppannaṁ) as a synonym for ‘born’ (jātaṁ) and ‘came to be’ (bhūtaṁ). We do not speak of a co-arising of sickness or wealth, nor does there seem to be a good justification, certainly not on a linguistic basis, to speak of a co-arising of death, for instance. Samupajjati is simply a synonym for upajjati, including in the context of Dependent Arising. There is also no such thing as “saṁ-nirodha” (“co-cessation”) but only nirodha (cessation), which indicates “co-” is not intended in samuppāda either. See also Jones 2021 p.234: “When translating the expression paṭicca-samuppāda in the Pāli Canon, it therefore seems inappropriate to use words like ‘co-arising’ or ‘co-production’.” Schmithausen 1997 n.73 similarly concluded: “It is not probable that the prefix saṁ- was, from the outset, intended to convey such a heavy meaning.”
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[MN 28:28.5–28.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 191
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[SN 22.5:2.1–4.10](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[SN 22.30](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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As glossed by PED, CPD, CPED, DOP, DPD. I translate it as ‘production’ primarily to illustrate the simile of the painter, which uses the same word for producing a painting. See also note 166
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For example Bodhi 2012, [AN 10.65](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

23

[Ud 8.9:5.1–5.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

24

For the meaning of ‘fuel’ see for example [SN 44.9:6.5–6.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “When a being laid down their body and is not yet reborn in another body, I say craving is their fuel (upādāna), because craving is what fuels them at that time.” For the meaning of ‘taking up’ see for example [MN 75:24.6–24.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 512: “I have long been tricked, cheated, and deceived by this mind. For what I have been taking up was just form, sensation, perception, will, and consciousness. And dependent on that taking up (upādāna) of mine, there was existence.”

25

For the ten powers and four reasons to be self-confident see [MN 12:9.1–27.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 69
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[SN 12.21](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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Imasmiṁ sati idaṁ hoti, ‘There will be this (idaṁ hoti), only if there is that (imasmiṁ sati)’. The clause imasmiṁ sati forms a locative absolute. There have been discussions whether this implies that the factors of Dependent Arising happen simultaneously or whether it allows for a separation in time (e.g. Brahmavamso 2002). But this misses the fact that the locative absolute, although it can have temporal connotations, can also form a conditional. Wijesekera 1993 §184 states: “the temporal notion is not the only idea expressed by the loc[ative] absolute. […] It may also signify a condition that exists or should exist for the fulfilment of the main action, which may be rendered by such expressions as ‘if, on condition, provided that’ etc.” See also Duroiselle §603 iii: “Sati, the locative singular of santo […] may also often be translated by ‘if, such being the case’.” This conditional meaning applies here. The clause imasmiṁ sati describes a general principle of dependency without any temporal implications.

By “a condition that exists or should exist” Wijesekera means the locative absolute can denote either a sufficient or a necessary condition. A necessary condition can be indicated with ‘provided that’, as Wijesekera suggests, but also with ‘only if’, as I have done. It is a subtle difference, but I think imasmiṁ sati idaṁ hoti should not be translated as “there will be this if there is that” (omitting ‘only’), because this forms a sufficient condition, and sufficiency does not apply to all the links—yet this statement is individually applied to all links, as in §11.

To give a general example first, “there will be rain if there are clouds” would mean it always rains whenever there are clouds. Clouds are sufficient for rain. However, “there will be rain only if there are clouds”, just means it cannot rain without clouds. Clouds are necessary for rain. In the context of Dependent Arising “there will be craving if there are sensations” would mean there will be craving whenever there are sensations. Sensations would be sufficient for craving. This is incorrect, because enlightened beings still experience sensations yet don’t have craving, and even when you’re not enlightened, you can experience sensations without craving, like in deep meditation. However, the translation “there will be craving only if there are sensations” instead means sensations are just a necessary condition for craving. Likewise, birth is not a sufficient condition for old age, since beings can die young. So there will be old age only if there is birth, not if there is birth. Or, if in §83 we were to translate the mutual dependency between consciousness and the immaterial aspects and body as “there will be the immaterial aspects and body if there is consciousness [and] there will be consciousness if there are the immaterial aspects and body”, we would technically say that the two factors keep each other in existence, since there are two statements of sufficiency. However, “there will the immaterial aspects and body only if there is consciousness [and] there will be consciousness only if there are the immaterial aspects and body” leaves room for ignorance and wilful actions to also be required. This mutual dependency is of course exactly what the simile of the bundles of reeds portrays (§95). The idea is that one bundle can stand only if the other stands; not that if one stands, the other also stands automatically.

Furthermore, if the links in Dependent Arising were translated as sufficient conditions (that is, using ‘if’ instead of ‘only if’) technically there could also be other sufficient conditions that lead to the same results. For example, wilful actions could then logically have been the result of something other than ignorance—knowledge, let’s say. For it would then be possible to say: “There will be wilful actions if there is ignorance, and there will be wilful actions if there is knowledge.” With ‘only if’ this does not work (as it shouldn’t): “There will be wilful actions only if there is ignorance, and there will be wilful actions only if there is knowledge.” The two statements conflict, because they are necessary conditions with opposing requirements. The whole point of the sequence of dependent arising is that all links are necessary conditions. Otherwise suffering could technically have been brought about through something other than ignorance.

The underlying issue is, this difference between ‘if’ and ‘only if’ does not exist in Pāli. The locative absolute can be translated as either, depending on the context. However, the context of Dependent Arising demands the latter. It demands necessary conditions. See also Jayatilleke p.449 on this phrase: “B does not occur unless A is present and occurs *only* when A is present.” (emphasis added)
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See also Shulman p.299

29

More literally, ‘dependency (paccayatā) on something (idap)’. The term idappaccayatā is sometimes translated as ‘causality’, which may work too. However, to many people ‘to cause’ means “to actively produce as a result” (Wiktionary.com s.v. ‘cause’)—think of billiard balls bouncing against one another—and in context of Dependent Arising this kind of causality does not always apply. Birth does not actively produce death, for example. It is heart attacks and car crashes that do so, arguably. Neither do sensations actively produce craving: it is ignorance that does. Also, consciousness and nāmarūpa, which are mutually dependent, do not cause one another in an active way. They just rely on one another, as the simile of the reeds at §95 illustrates, both being in a sense “actively produced” by wilful actions. Jones 2022 p.135 agreed: “To understand them as a causal sequence, whereby ignorance is the cause of the inherited conditioning factors (saṅkhārā), feeling (vedanā) is the cause of craving, birth is the cause of ageing and death, and so on, leads to obscurity and contradiction. But to understand each of these conditionally-arisen phenomena as necessary and sometimes sufficient conditions for the arising of the next in the sequence allows for interpretations that are truer to the teachings.”

In everyday contexts the words paṭicca and paccaya also do not indicate what we normally call ‘causes’. It is said that the body depends on (paṭicca) food, and will die without it. ([SN 46.2:1.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY)) Food is not the cause of the body: it is just one condition necessary for its continuance. In context of Dependent Arising itself, the Longer Discourse on the Ending of Craving mentions that fire depends on (paṭicca) fuel. (§102) Fuel by itself does not really cause fire either. As to paccaya, a noble disciple is said to be ‘not dependent on others’ (aparappaccaya). These and other everyday uses of the words also indicate that Dependent Arising is about dependencies, not causalities, at least not in the way the word ‘cause’ is sometimes understood.

The word nidāna is sometimes also translated as ‘cause’, particularly in the title of the Mahānidāna Sutta, then called ‘The Great Discourse on Causality’. But a common translation for the word itself is ‘source’, which I think is more accurate. See for example Sujato 2023 at [AN 3.34](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), Nidāna Sutta, titled ‘Sources’. As another example, discourses stemming from Sāvatthi are called Sāvatthi-nidānaṁ. In this light, the Mahānidāna Sutta should be called something like ‘The Great Discourse on the Sources [of things]’, although that definitely doesn’t sound as good. Schmithausen 2000 calls it the Great Discourse on the Underlying Factors (*Großen Lehrrede von den zugrundeliegenden Factoren*). In this book I just refer to the discourse by its Pāli name.

All that said, ‘causality’ is not wrong per se. There are various ways to define the term, some of which do not differ much from dependency, if at all. Pragmatically the difference between the two may have limited relevance as well, because whether we say death depends on birth or death is caused by birth, the fundamental problem remains the same. Many of the links are also causal no matter how we interpret it. I also use the word ‘cause’ in the main text, although in the translations I stuck to ‘condition’ for hetu and ‘requirement’ for paccaya. The words have a range of meaning that does not match a single English word, so these translations are not applicable throughout the canon, but they do work in the present context.
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See also Brahmavamso 2002

31

[DN 15:1.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 55
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[SN 12.10](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[SN 12.23:4.3–4.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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See Collins p.109
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[Wikipedia s.v. ‘presentism’](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Presentism_(historical_analysis)).
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Norman 1997 p.21
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For traditional interpretations see for example Wayman 1971
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Vism 523. See also Nyanatiloka p.7
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For example Frauwallner 1953/1973 p.156 & 167, Bernhard p.56, Wayman 1971 p.186, Collins p.108, Vetter 1988 p.46, Vetter 1994, Mejor p.123, Schmithausen 2000 p.45, Jurewicz p.177, Ronkin n.43 p.238, Shulman p.89 n.71, Gombrich 2009 p.138
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Vetter 1988 p.46
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Bernhard p.56, translation mine
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[MĀ 97](https://suttacentral.net/ma97), [T 14](https://suttacentral.net/t14), and [T 52](https://suttacentral.net/t52). As also observed by Vetter 1994
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[DĀ 13](https://suttacentral.net/da13)
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[SN 12.43](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY)

45

Arguing for a late construction of the twelvefold sequence are for example Vetter 1994 and Bucknell. On the other hand, Frauwallner 1953/1973 p.156, although he suggests two separate sequences were later joined together, believes it was the Buddha who did so. Wayman 1971 p.185 is also of this opinion.
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See Bernhard
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Vism 525
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See also Ronkin n.43 p.238
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See for example SN 15 on transmigration having no discernable beginning. For the synonymity see for example [AN 3.66:11.1–13.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “‘Salha, is there delusion?’—‘Yes, venerable sir.’—‘That is what I mean by ignorance.’”
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[Snp 3.12:12.1–13.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[Iti 14](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

52

The meaning of ‘craving as a spouse’ is explained in [SN 35.63](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): like the mendicant wanderers should be solitary, without a spouse, they should dwell free from craving.
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[Iti 15](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

54

Avijjānīvaraṇānaṁ sattānaṁ taṇhāsaṁyojanānaṁ sandhāvataṁ saṁsarataṁ, more literally ‘the transmigration and roaming around of beings who are obstructed by ignorance and chained by craving’, for in example §33, [SN 15.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.10](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.12](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.13](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.14](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.15](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.16](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.17](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.18](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.19](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 15.20](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), and [SN 56.33](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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§24; §108
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[DN 33:1.9.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D III 212. See also [SN 45.159:2.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [AN 4.254:3.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [MN 149:11.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M III 289
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[AN 4.50:9.1–12.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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The four knots are explained in [DN 33:1.11.169–1.11.170](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) as longing, ill will, attachment to precepts and observances, and dogmatism.
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[Thag 10.5:5.1–10.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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For example §62, [Dhp 337](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [Dhp 338](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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§26, §79. See also [SN 12.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), which first goes through the ignorance sequence in reverse order, from sensations back to ignorance, but then through the whole twelvefold sequence in forward order, from ignorance down to old age and death.

62

[AN 10.62:1.1–2.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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For example in [SN 15.1–SN 15.20](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[SN 7.18:5.1–5.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

65

See for example [SN 12.24:4.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “The Buddha said suffering arises dependently. Dependent on what? Dependent on sense impressions.” Or [Snp 3.12:21.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “Whatever suffering there may be, all comes to be dependent on sense impression.”
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Bodhi 2000 p.520
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As e.g. Ñāṇavīra p.19, Ñāṇananda 2015 p.56, Brasington p.67, Jones 2009 p.244. See Bodhi 1998 p.172 for a further response to such ideas.
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For example Harvey 2013 p.71, Jones 2011 p.73, Amaro p.44
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[MN 141:11.1–11.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M III 249 and [MN 9:24-26.2–24-26.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 50. Also in the Mahā­sati­paṭ­ṭhā­na Sutta, [DN 22:18.3–18.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 305
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[SN 12.2:3.1–4.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). Similar at [SN 12.27:2.1–3.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.28:2.1–3.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.33:3.1–4.2, 9.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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For the translation of the discourse title see note 29
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[DN 15:4.1–4.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 57
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See Dhammika 2022 p.58
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[DN 14:2.18.2–2.18.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 26. Also at [SN 12.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). The other Buddhas have the same reflections at [SN 12.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.10](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

75

The dual explanation of bhava is found e.g. at Vism 571. In contrast, Vism 414 explains ‘birth’ as the continuity of the khandhas in a single existence (ekabhava) ending with death, where bhava doesn’t include karma. See also Bodhi 2000 n.4 at [SN 12.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY).

76

Shulman n.18. One of the earliest scholars to equate bhava to existence in English scholarship was Thomas 1933/1953 p.61: “It is this grasping after the things (upādāna) [which results in] passing into a new existence (bhava).”

77

Schmithausen 2000 p.52
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Bodhi 2012 n.503 at [AN 3.76](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) (§71). See also Rhys Davids p.53, referring to the same text: “Bhava [here] is meant to convey the more special concrete sense both of a particular span of life and of a particular world or mode of existence wherein that span is lived.”
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[SN 12.2:5.1–5.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.27:3.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.28:3.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.33:9.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[Arv 5](https://suttacentral.net/arv5)
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[MĀ 97](https://suttacentral.net/ma97), similar at [T 14](https://suttacentral.net/t14)
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[AN 4.131:3.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY)
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See Somaratne p.142 and note 479

84

MMK 26.8, using the Sanskrit form skandha instead.
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[Thag 2.1:1.1–1.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[MN 127:9.1–9.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M III 147
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[Kp 6:9.1–9.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [Snp 2.1:9.1–9.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[Iti 44:5.5–5.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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See Sunyo 2022
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[SN 12.64](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

91
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[AN 4.184:5.1–5.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY); [MN 129:5.1–5.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M III 165

218

[MN 120](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

219

See also Bodhi 2000 p.522

220

See for example [AN 7.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

221

Langer p.30 says the three discourses on intention ([SN 12.38](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.39](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.40](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)) “limit themselves […] to descriptions of rebirth” (translation mine). Likewise, although I believe he misunderstands appatiṭṭhita viññāṇa to be a state of mind, Ñāṇananda 2016 p.97 states: “The point at issue [in the First Discourse on Intention] is the question as to how consciousness gets a footing—how consciousness gets established at the moment of death. In fact, it is an attempt to show us the connection between two lives.” See also Anālayo 2018 p.28

222

See also Langer p.32 (translation mine): “In [[SN 12.38](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.39](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.40](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)] viruḷhi [means] ‘a new growth’, like a part taken from the mother plant grows again somewhere else. With that, viruḷhi marks the beginning of a new existence.”

223

[SĀ 359](https://suttacentral.net/sa359), translation mine. Compare [SĀ 360](https://suttacentral.net/sa360) in Choong p.170

224

[SN 12.39](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY)

225

[SĀ 360](https://suttacentral.net/sa360): 入名色故, 有未來世生. Choong p.170 translates: “Because of the entry [i.e. conception] of name-and-material form, there are, in the future, birth, ageing-sickness-and-death […]”

226

See note 192. The translation ‘demerit’ for apuñña is perhaps a bit artificial, having connotations with demerit points on a driver’s license, but it keeps consistency with ‘merit’ (puñña). I tried various other translations for puñña, but overall ‘merit’ just seems to work best.

227

[SN 12.51:9.1–9.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY)

228

[AN 6.63:30.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

229

See DPD under upaga: “passing on to (used of consciousness’s linking at death with a new existence)”. See also Bodhi 1998 p.161
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§108; §77

231

[MN 106:3.12, 4.7, 5.10, 6.12, 7.5, 8.5, 9.12](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M II 262

232

See also Langer p.28

233

[DN 15:33.0–34.10](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 69. Also at [AN 7.44](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

234

See also Bodhi 2003 p.30

235

[SN 12.63:3.7–3.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

236

For example §118, §119

237

See Nyanaponika 1981 for a translation of the commentary to this sutta. Also see Bodhi 2000 n.166 at [SN 12.63](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

238

For example [SN 56.11:4.4–4.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

239

[MN 12:37.1–37.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 74

240

See for example §17

241

See also [AN 7.74:8.1–8.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [Snp 3.8:7.1–7.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

242

Ādicca (‘Sun’) was a name for the clan of the Buddha, possibly an honorific. See [Snp 3.1:19.1–19.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) and DPPN under ‘Ādicca’.

243

[Thag 6.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

244

Hamilton p.98

245

[SN 56.35](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

246

[Thig 16.1:26.1–26.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

247

Hecker p.50

248

Nyanaponika 1981 p.9. See also PED s.v. viññāṇa: “As one of the four āhāras viññāṇa is considered as the material, food or cause, through which comes rebirth. As such it is likened to seed in the field of action (kamma), and as entering (a body) at rebirth the phrase viññāṇassa avakkanti is used.”

249

[SN 12.12:2.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

250

Bodhi 2000 n.24 at [SN 12.12](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

251

Bodhi 2000 n.25 at [SN 12.12](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “The conjunction bhūte sati [‘when the being is born’] is unusual and the redundancy can only be avoided if the past participle bhūte is here understood to function as a noun denoting the being that has come to be.”

252

[AN 3.61:9.3, 13.4–13.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

253

[MN 140:8.1–8.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M III 239. See also Wynne p.31: “There are also a number of lists in which ‘space’ and/or ‘consciousness’ are added to this basic set of four elements […] in most places they occur in teachings where they form the objects of a detailed contemplation of the human person.”

254

[MN 62:12.1–12.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 423

255

See Hamilton p.5 and Bodhi 2000 n.339 at [SN 18.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “To the four primary elements of the form aggregate the suttas sometimes add the space element.”

256

[SN 46.2:1.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

257

For example [SN 4.18:4.3–4.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

258

[SN 22.22:6.1–7.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

259

[AN 10.27:10.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [Kp 4:1.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

260

TU 2.2.1, translation Purohit

261

Lopez

262

[Kp 7:6.3–13.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

263

Norman 1991 p.194

264

[Snp 3.12:38.1–38.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

265

See for example Nyanaponika 1981

266

[AN 3.76](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

267

[AN 3.92:1.3–1.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). See also [DN 23:31.3, 31.10](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 353

268

This translation fits other contexts of the word too. Patiṭṭhita is used for a cow planting down her feet—see [AN 9.35:1.4, 3.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). In English we also say “to plant an arrow”, and patiṭṭhita is also used for planting arrows in a target—see [DN 3:1.23.17–1.23.20](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D I 97 and [SN 20.6:1.4, 2.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). English also uses ‘plant’ as a synonym for ‘establish’, like in “to plant a religion in a country”.

269

[MN 45:4.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M I 307. See also [AN 3.34:5.1–5.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), which says seeds that are put underground will “develop, sprout, and mature” when rained upon.

270

[Mil 3.6.9](https://suttacentral.net/mil3.6.9)

271

Vism 602 and 688

272

[SN 5.9:4.1–6.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY)

273

[Kp 6:15.1–15.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [Snp 2.1:15.1–15.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

274

See Bodhi 2017 p.523

275

Santipada, ‘place of peace’. In contexts such as this, pada is commonly translated as ‘state’. But related to the English ‘pedal’, it literally means ‘foot’ or ‘step’ and by extent can mean ‘path’ (where one walks) or ‘place’ (where one stands). For example, janapada, ‘country’, is literally ‘people’s place’. Monier-Williams lists ‘a plot of ground’ as one meaning of the Sanskrit pada, and refers to padajñā in RV 1.62.2, which seems to refer to knowing (jñā) the places (pada) where to find cattle. I am not aware of any instance in the Nikāyas where pada unambiguously means ‘state’, so, following Norman 1997 p.13, I translate ‘the sorrowless place’, ‘the peaceful place’, and so on.

I take pada to be a metaphor for the end of the eightfold path, not as a description of what that end exactly is. Just like we do not go to a literal island, literal refuge, literal other shore (mentioned in this very verse), and so on, we do not go to a literal pada. The fact that pada in this context virtually always occurs in verse, always qualified by psychologically positive adjectives, also leans towards it being an emotionally evocative term rather than an ontological one.

276

[Snp 1.12:2.1–4.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

277

I follow the Burmese edition, which mentions two situations: unbroken seeds with earth and water and unbroken seeds without earth and water. The PTS edition mentions three situations: unbroken seeds without water and earth, broken seeds with water and earth, and unbroken seeds with water and earth. This seems incorrect, for at no point can consciousness (the seed) be broken while desire (the water) still remains. The Chinese parallel at [SĀ 39](https://suttacentral.net/sa39) mentions three situations too, but all with unbroken seeds: unbroken seeds with earth but no water, unbroken seeds with water but no earth, and unbroken seeds with both water and earth. This makes more sense contextually, for the point of the sutta is that the continuance of consciousness requires both desire (water) and the other aggregates (earth).

278

As an exception I have adopted the reading of the Chinese parallel. The Pāli adds consciousness to the aggregates here, though it does not do so in the previous statements. The Chinese mentions only the other four aggregates, which matches the four things that support consciousness. Also favoring the Chinese is that the addition of consciousness in order to complete the five aggregates would have been a more natural mistake or purposeful edit than its omission. Since there is a peyalla (…) here, it may have been repeated once too many times by the reciter, accidentally adding the aggregate of consciousness. The addition of consciousness also does not add much pragmatic value, since sensation, perception, and consciousness are intrinsically connected (§99), so any desire for consciousness will be accompanied by a desire for sensation and perception.

279

I follow tadappatiṭṭhitaṁ viññāṇaṁ avirūḷhaṁ anabhisaṅkhārañ-ca vimuttaṁ, instead of alternative manuscripts which have anabhisaṅkhacca. Both anabhisaṅkhāraṁ (not willing) and vimuttaṁ (liberated) could be taken as adjectives of viññāṇaṁ. However:

vimuttaṁ (liberated) could be taken as adjectives of viññāṇaṁ. However:

(1) Consciousness as one of the khandhas is just aware of things, it does not do things nor stop doing things at enlightenment, so ‘not willing’ would likely not be said of it.

(2) The before is about how consciousness comes to cease when “its foundation is destroyed”, not about liberating it.

(3) Although viññāṇa can be a near synonym for the mind (citta), the two words are still used in different senses, and elsewhere in the Nikāyas it is always either the mind or the person that is said to be liberated, never viññāṇa.

(4) [SN 22.45](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) & [SN 22.46](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) share their closing paragraph with this sutta, and there it is nmistakably the mind that is liberated.

For these reasons I think the mind is intended in this sutta as well. I take ca (and) to indicate there to be two separate sentences: Tadappatiṭṭhitaṁ viññāṇaṁ avirūḷhaṁ. Anabhisaṅkhārañ-ca vimuttaṁ. Seeing the first part as a full sentence is supported by [SN 12.38:3.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.38:3.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) & [SN 12.40:3.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), where tadappatiṭṭhite viññāṇe avirūḷhe is an absolute, hence a separately functioning clause; and seeing the second as a separate sentence makes it match the other two-word sentences that follow. The translation thus becomes: “Not planted, consciousness will not sprout. And not creating, ‘it’ is liberated.” The ‘it’ refers to the mind, like in the common phrase vimuttasmiṁ ‘vimuttam’iti ñāṇaṁ hoti, “when liberated, there is the knowledge ‘it [the mind] is liberated’.” Previous translators similarly separated viññāṇaṁ from vimuttaṁ in the Seeds Discourse (see note 504). Nyanaponika 1990 has “ist man befreit”, meaning “one is liberated”. But vimuttaṁ being neuter, ‘the mind’ is preferable over ‘one’, which would require the masculine.

The Chinese parallel at [SĀ 39](https://suttacentral.net/sa39) also supports the separation of consciousness and liberation, as it disjoints the two with a set of phrases not found in the Pāli. Anālayo 2014 translated: “The basis being abandoned, that consciousness is not established anywhere and does not grow further and increase. Because of not growing, there is no creating of formations. Not creating formations, one is steady. Being steady, one is contented. Being contented, one is released.” So again the Chinese, as in note 277 and note 278, seems more on point than the Pāli.
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[SN 22.54](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

281

For example [AN 3.58:5.1–6.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

282

[AN 6.10:7.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

283

[SN 44.9:6.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

284

Gati, ‘departing’, is usually translated as ‘going’ (with agati as ‘coming’). But it being a euphemism for dying in this context, I prefer ‘departing’, which in English is also a euphemism for death. I translate āgati accordingly as ‘arriving’.
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[Thag 16.9:26.1–26.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY); [DN 8:3.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D I 162; [Thig 6.1:4.1–4.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

286

See also Harvey 1995 p.97f

287

[AN 3.34:5.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). See also [SN 45.150:1.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 55.25:10.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [AN 6.62:7.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

288

Rūpupayaṁ viññāṇaṁ tiṭṭhamānaṁ tiṭṭheyya, ‘consciousness would continue to exist if it is attracted to form’. Bodhi 2000 translates: “Consciousness, while standing, might stand engaged with form.” Ṭhānissaro 2008a p.27 has: “Should consciousness, when standing, stand attached to form […]” Under tiṭṭhati DOP glosses the literal ‘stands’ but also ‘continues to exist’. The latter is meant here, not ‘stand’, which is too literal. Choong p.50 more accurately translates ‘may persist’. See also Hamilton p.97: “This term [ṭhiti] itself implies continuity: being ‘stationed’ means that [consciousness] ‘persists’.” Harvey 1995 p.97 also renders this term as ‘maintenance’.

Although viññāṇaṁ tiṭṭhamānaṁ tiṭṭheyya literally is ‘existing consciousness would continue’, a present participle followed by a finite form of the same verb is a form of emphasis. For example, cittaṁ bahulaṁ gacchamānaṁ gaccheyya, literally ‘the straying mind may often stray’, simply means the mind may often stray. ([SN 35.117:1.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)) So I excluded the present participle tiṭṭhamānaṁ, like other translators have done in this example case and similar instances, and instead emphasize the verb with ‘continue to exist’. Further, rūpupayaṁ is a bahubbīhi compound, so the sentence literally says, “existing consciousness which has an attraction to form will continue”. Like Choong I therefore also think it is incorrect to treat rūpa as an object of tiṭṭheyya, as in “stand engaged with form” of other translators.
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[SN 35.235:1.4–1.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

290

On one-seeders see for example [AN 3.87](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). Other suttas on seeds and rebirth are [AN 3.34](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [AN 3.77](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [AN 6.62](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[SN 12.57](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). Compare also [SN 12.55](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY), [SN 12.56](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) and [SN 12.60](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

292

See also Sunyo 2017

293

[AN 1.314:1.4](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

294

Saṁyojaniyesu, bhikkhave, dhammesu assādānupassino viharato, ‘for those who live focusing on the gratification of things that can chain them’. Bodhi 2000 translates the phrase here as “*when* one dwells contemplating gratification in things that can fetter”, but in his later translation of the Aṅguttara Nikāya he translates -anupassino viharato as “for one who dwells contemplating”. (Bodhi 2012, [AN 5.30](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)) Assādānupassino viharato is a genitive absolute of “whom it concerns” (cf. Wijesekera 1993 §159), so is best translated with “for those who”, like Olalde 2014 p.84, “für denjenigen”. The point is that for those who live dwelling on these things, there will be rebirth, but for those who do not, there won’t be. There are no temporal implications of “when” here.
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[SN 12.58](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[SN 12.59](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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[SN 22.3:4.1–4.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)
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See also Wijesekera 1994 p.106

299

[SN 12.65:1.2–3.8](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY). See also [DN 14:2.19.1–2.19.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 33
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[DN 15:2.15–2.18, 21.1–22.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 63

301

See note 435 and note 436

302

[MĀ 97](https://suttacentral.net/ma97), translation Anālayo 2020(a), emphasis added

303

[DĀ 13](https://suttacentral.net/da13), translation Patton

304

Contra Ñāṇananda 2015 p.65, p.36. PED states that translating itthatta as ‘thusness’ is incorrect. The word instead refers to existence, literally ‘a state (-tta) here (ittha)’. See for example [AN 2.36:2.4, 3.5, 4.7](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYYhttps://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato%23YYY): so tato cuto […] āgantā itthattaṁ, “passing on from there […] he comes to ‘life here’”.

305

For example, in [DN 24:2.17.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D III 29 itthatta refers to a state of existence in the realm of Brahmā. See also Bodhi 2015 p.168
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See Bodhi 1984 p.29

307

[DN 14:2.19.1–2.19.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 33

308

[DĀ 13](https://suttacentral.net/da13)

309

Vetter 1994 p.151

310

[DN 15:32.11](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 68

311

As argued by Olalde 2014 p.102

312

Brahmavamso 2006 p.221

313

Bodhi 1984 p.30

314

[Cnd 5](https://suttacentral.net/cnd5)

315

For example Ñāṇananda 2015 p.33: “What is meant by the Buddha’s statement is that name-and-form together with consciousness is the rallying point for all concepts of birth, decay, death and rebirth. All pathways for verbal expression, terminology and designation converge on name-and-form together with consciousness. The range of wisdom extends only up to the relationship between these two. And it is between these two that there is a whirling round so that one may point out a this-ness.”

316

See also Sujato 2023 note at [DN 15:22.6](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “Any state of being ultimately depends on the codependency of name and form with consciousness. Within this key relationship is the extent not only of language, but also of wisdom, and the secret to the undoing of transmigration itself. One of the many profound implications of this is that there is no such thing as a state of pure consciousness independent of concepts.”

317

[DN 14:2.18.40–2.18.43](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 32,

[DN 15:22.1–22.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 63 (§84),

[SN 12.65:2.14–2.16](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) (§83),

[SN 12.67:4.2–4.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) (§95),

[SN 22.57:14.5](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY),

[SN 22.82:7.10](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY)

318

Viññāṇañca hi, Ānanda, nāmarūpe patiṭṭhaṁ na labhissatha. For patiṭṭhaṁ as ‘support to be planted’ see note 215. See also Sujato 2023 note at [DN 15:22.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY): “Just as name and form—the organic, sensual, and sense-making body—cannot grow without consciousness, so too consciousness must acquire a landing or grounding place to be ‘planted’ in name and form.” Interestingly, JU 3.20.8 also mentions “my name, my body (śarīra), my support (pratiṣṭhā)”.

319

Hamilton p.129

320

Bodhi 1984 p.25

321

Langer p.25, translation mine

322

[DN 10:2.22.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D I 209. Similar at [DN 2:84.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D I 76, [MN 77:29.9](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M II 17

323

[DN 14:1.21.2](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) D II 14, [MN 123:12.3](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M III 121;

[MN 79:11.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M II 33, [MN 80:4.1](https://suttacentral.net/XXX/en/sujato" \l "YYY) M II 41
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