

Course-Management System: Final Report

Stuart Douglas 1214422 McMaster University dougl2@mcmaster.ca	Matthew Pagnan 1208693 McMaster University pagnanmm@mcmaster.ca	Rob Gorrie 1222547 McMaster University gorrierw@mcmaster.ca	Derek Dagworthy 1214937 McMaster University dagwordj@mcmaster.ca
---	---	---	--

ABSTRACT

The final report for a design project for a course-management system is presented here. The proposed course-management system allows university students to enroll in courses, view their schedule and perform other course-related tasks from a web portal.

Firstly, the proposed project and suggested improvements over existing systems are explained. To inform this, four Canadian university course-management systems were surveyed, and the surveys are presented after the suggested improvements. Each survey has a brief description of the software followed by a critique of the major usability flaws and strengths. Three personas are then presented to demonstrate the potential users of the system that guided the design process. Following personas, information about the usability tests conducted for this system is presented followed by the results, discussion about the results, and final conclusions about the project.

NOTE ABOUT FORMATTING

This document strictly follows the ACM CHI format. Extra spacing between paragraphs is created by LaTeX to better arrange content, and is not directly controllable by the creator. The format used for the Personas section is based off of the format given along with Milestone 2 to fit into the 2-column layout, with images included inline (which does not violate the ACM CHI template). Extreme care has been given to follow the specified format exactly, including fonts, margins, and figures.

INTRODUCTION

The project proposed in this document is the design of a new university course-management system. Through scrutinization of several pre-existing systems, we will apply design concepts discussed in class to determine what features and design choices are crucial to the success of a course-management system's design.

Users of the proposed system should be able to perform the following functions:

- enroll in courses
- change timeslots for lectures, tutorials, and labs of enrolled courses
- view weekly schedule
- view exam schedule

In the following section we will introduce several possible improvements that could be made to existing systems, informed by the system surveys conducted. These suggested improvements were incorporated into designs mockups for the new system, and into the final design of the working system.

Suggested Improvements to Existing Systems

We have outlined several improvements over existing systems that have been incorporated into the design of the new system. The software surveys identified several key areas of weaknesses, and solutions to those are presented below.

Dynamic Element

One of the highlighted points of weakness in all the systems surveyed was the ability to surface the most relevant data to the user quickly and consistently. To improve this aspect, the concept of an intelligent, “dynamic” element was proposed. This prominent element is the first thing users see on the home page of the new system. Several factors including the current date and enrollment status are used to determine which task the user is most likely to perform.

For example, when the user accesses the system during exam season, the element displays the student's exam schedule. During the course registration period, the element displays information related to course registration. If a user is not yet accepted into University, the element displays their application status.

This dynamic element helps users quickly find the information they are looking for by making information more visible and easier to access. All functions continue to be displayed below in a static and consistent manner, in case the user wishes to perform a less common task.

Improved Navigation

Many tasks performed by users of course-management software are broken into several steps. A weakness of the existing products was in visually showing the user at which step they were at. To solve this, a “breadcrumbs” navigation element was added when the user is engaged in a multi-step task. This element shows which step the user is currently on, and includes the ability to go back to a previous step, or jump ahead to the first uncompleted step.

This visual indicator improves the user's comprehension of how the system works, and gives them the ability to better navigate between steps. It also improves user satisfaction,

as they are less likely to become impatient when they know exactly how many steps they have completed and how many remain.

Smarter Schedule Generation

An initial proposal for the system was to have the system generate several possibly schedules based on the users wish-list, and rank them based on several factors such as early classes, gaps between classes and having certain days completely off. We do believe this would be a meaningful addition to a course-management system, however decided not to include it in the final product due to time and resource constraints.

RELATED WORK

Four course-management systems from Canadian universities were selected for review. For each system, a brief description was followed by a critique of the major usability flaws and strengths. From this, the main goals and tasks of users using the systems was extracted.

McMaster University – Mosaic

The purpose of the software is to allow students to manage their courses (enrolling, dropping etc.), and view information about their current status (current timetable, enrollment status, financial balance etc.). The main interface for the software consists of several collapsible modules encapsulating different aspects of the software in a main column. These include Academics, Finances, Personal Information, and Admissions. A small column to the right holds less common sections, such as Enrollment Dates and Graduation. The focus of this analysis is on two common tasks – enrolling in courses and viewing one's course schedule.

Critique

The largest usability flaws in Mosaic center around difficulty to access required information. Combined with an unintuitive and inconsistent navigation interface. The HTA for enrolling in a course (appendix: Figure 4) demonstrates this through the large number of steps required to perform a routine and common task. Other functions are hidden behind dropdown menus, and are difficult to discover.

The navigation is separated into a top navigation bar separated by user-type (e.g. Students and Employees). Within the student center page, functions are separated into modules, an effective strategy to group related functions. Navigating to one of the sub-functions (such as enrolling in a course) presents secondary and tertiary menus below the main one. Navigation within one of these is handled by blue text hyperlinks back to previous pages. Native back and forward browser functionality does not work. There is little visual indication of where the user is beyond the navigation bars at the top, which do not go to a depth sufficient to cover all pages used when performing common actions, such as enrolling in a course.

Guelph University – WebAdvisor

Guelph University's course enrollment software, WebAdvisor, provides a variety of functions for students to manage their courses. The student page interface contains two columns – a main column with course-related news, and a righthand column with links to each of the functions (Register for Courses, View Schedule etc). These "function" pages are one column, and may contain several sub-pages as processes are broken into steps. Navigating between sub-pages is done using native browser back and forward buttons. If an error occurs, such as no courses found for specific search criteria, a large box is displayed with information about the error and the option to search for a solution.

Critique

There are a variety of usability issues associated with WebAdvisor that could be improved upon, especially in the area of navigation. There are also certain strengths to the system as compared to others surveyed. The navigation issues largely stem from a lack of consistent navigation elements to show the user where they are. For example, when enrolling in a course there are several steps that must be completed (appendix: Figure 10). The user is not aware how many steps there are total, how many they have completed or how many remain. Another large usability issue is an inefficient use of space on the main page. The visibility of important functions is reduced by putting all functions in a small column to the right of the main content. This main content contains news items, such as exam period times and service outages, generally information that the user is less likely to need than the functions beside it.

WebAdvisor does do some things quite well from a usability perspective however. One of the most common tasks is enrolling in a course, and WebAdvisor has the most streamlined process of all universities surveyed. Although it is not always clear at which step the user is at as discussed above, the process is straightforward and contains much fewer steps than performing a similar task using a different system.

Carleton University – Central

Central is the course-management system for Carleton University. It has a similar feature-set to the other systems surveyed. This includes allowing users to enroll in courses and view their schedule. The user interface for Central primarily is based on text links to different pages, with very little use of icons or colour. The main student page is a one-column list of text links to the various student-related categories of functions. A tab bar at the top lets the user switch between different sections of the system including Student Services and Employee Services.

Critique

There are a large number of prominent usability flaws in Carleton University's course-management system. Visibility of common functions on the main page is very low, as a long list of hyperlinks contains all the categories (e.g. Registra-

tion, Student Records etc) requiring the user to read each one until they find the correct one. Once a category is selected, then another list of hyperlinks to each of the functions in that category is shown. Again, the user must read through each one until they find the desired function.

Another large usability flaw is the poor mapping between many actions. For example, when adding a course, the user first enters a course number into one of several (unlabeled) input boxes, and then they click the Submit button (appendix: figure 13). The submit button is aligned with other buttons for Class Search, which takes the user to a separate page, Reset, which undoes their changes, and Return to Worksheet which navigates the user to a page showing them their preferred courses. These buttons are not all related, and grouping them together may confuse the user.

Overall, Central is a relatively unintuitive system, requiring users to spend more time finding the information they need through poor mappings and a lack of a visual hierarchy.

Waterloo University – Quest

The Quest system is designed to let students manage several aspects of their university enrollment, such as course management, financial inquiries, and contact information. Students can also sign up for a GO Bus pass, view their grades and transcript, and check the status of scholarships and other applications. The main page is broken up into 8 collapsible sections, 4 main sections (Academics, Finances, Personal Information, Admissions) with another four sections in a sidebar (Holds, Finance Information, Academic Information, Other Useful Links). The critique will focus on enrolling in courses and viewing a user's course schedule.

Critique

Quest has some major usability issues when it comes to providing the user to information and functions they can use. The system is notorious for hiding options and menus from the user, requiring several screens of drill down menus before being able to access any meaningful options. The menus look unfinished or poorly formatted, and it is easy to become lost and confused while navigating the various pages. Navigation on the main page uses hyperlinked text, while traversing the deeper options is done using blue menu tabs. Native browser back and forward commands generally work as expected, which makes navigation a little more manageable.

PERSONAS

Presented are the personas used to develop our design. These personas were based off of the *Persona Template* as linked from the assignment outline from McMaster University, COMP SCI 4HC3. These personas were created to archetype the most common user types, and have been integral to developing a product that will satisfy all of them.

Trevor Clark



Figure 1: “When do classes start?”

- Born: London, ON
- Age: 22
- 3rd Year Engineering Student at McMaster

Trevor is a third year Civil Engineering student at McMaster. He currently lives 10 minutes away from campus with some friends he met in first year. Trevor's parents are paying for his tuition and he has a student loan which he uses to pay for rent and food.

Trevor is unorganized and rarely goes to class. He also forgets to hand in assignments on time. Trevor is not a part of any clubs and prefers to spend his free time with his housemates playing video games.

Trevor has trouble remembering important dates, like his course selection or when his exams are. When he searches for information, he usually gives up after five minutes if he cannot find what he is looking for. Trevor would like it if the new course management system was quick and easy to use. He also would not mind it if certain information was made more accessible during certain times of the year (easy to see link to exam schedule around exam season).

Candice Smith



Figure 2: “I don't know what electives I want to take for university next year”

- Born: Oakville, ON
- Age: 18
- Grade 12 High School Student

Candice has been accepted to the chemistry program at McMaster. Candice currently lives at home with her parents and will be moving into residence in the fall. Her parents are paying for her tuition, but Candice is paying for her residence and meal plan. Candice works part time at the Fortinos in her home town to save up money so she can go to the movies whenever new movies come out.

Candice has done well in all of her classes in high school and is a part of several high school groups; such as the volleyball team, track and field team and the Harry Potter fan club.

Candice has several electives that she can take, but she cannot decide which ones to enrol in. She has heard that she can change courses during the first couple weeks of class and plans on doing that if she ends up changing her mind. Candice has already made a list of classes she would like to take in her first year and is waiting for the course registration to open up. Candice would like the new course management system to allow her to browse all the courses available to her and would like it if there were descriptions for each course that she could read before she registers.

Adrian Lopez



Figure 3: “I have a lot of new things to get used to in Canada”

- Born: Mexico City, Mexico
- Age: 20
- 2nd Year Geography Student on Exchange to McMaster

Adrian is a geography student from Mexico on exchange at McMaster for the year. Adrian is living in a house 20 minutes away from campus with other students in the foreign exchange program. Adrian’s tuition is being paid for by a grant he received for being a part of the foreign exchange program. His parents are giving him some money for rent and food but Adrian has to pay for some of it himself. Adrian is a hard working individual during the week and can be found in the Thode library between classes. Adrian likes to get all of his assignments done during the week so he can spend his weekend going to clubs with his housemates and friends.

Adrian is a part of the improv club which he regularly goes to. Adrian has chosen a light course load this year as he would like some time to experience Canada before he goes back to Mexico next September.

Since English is not Adrian’s first language he sometimes has trouble reading and understanding websites that are primarily text, and he can get confused while navigating to the information he is looking for. Adrian would like it if the new course management system was easy to navigate and intuitive enough that he doesn’t need to read an instruction manual to know how to use it.

DESIGN MOCKUPS

Please refer to the

USABILITY TESTS

Overview

This section of the document describes a plan for conducting a usability test for our course management software. This usability test plan will be based off of the Usability Test Plan Template created by the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. The goals of this usability test are to establish a baseline of user performance, establish and validate user performance measures, and identify potential design concerns to be addressed in order to improve the efficiency, productivity, and end-user satisfaction of the product.

The usability test objectives are:

- To determine design inconsistencies and usability problem areas within the user interface and content areas
 - Navigation errors: failure to locate functions, failure to follow recommended screen flow
 - Presentation errors: failure to locate and properly act upon desired information in screens, selection errors due to labeling ambiguities
- Exercise the web site under controlled test conditions with representative users. Data will be used to access whether usability goals regarding an effective, efficient, and well-recieved user interface have been achieved.
- Establish baseline user performance and user-satisfaction levels of the user interface for future usability evaluations.

Procedure

The following details about the usability tests are sufficient data for others to replicate the tests in a consistent manner.

Test Conditions

The participant’s interaction with the application will be monitored by the facilitator seated in the same room. The facilitator will be responsible for taking notes and logging data

during the tests. All tasks will be run on the same machine, using the same web browser. The machine will be a desktop computer running Windows or Mac OS X. The browser used will be either Safari (7 or later), Chrome (39 or later), or Firefox (29 or later).

Task Setup

The facilitator will initially explain that the amount of time taken to complete the test task will be measured as well as how many errors were made and other notes about the participant's interactions with the software.

Before each task, the facilitator will ensure that no courses are enrolled in by navigating to the system's enroll page and dropping all current courses. The facilitator will then navigate to the home page of the system. The facilitator will instruct the participant to 'think aloud' so that verbal record exists of their interaction with the application. Then, they will inform the participant of the task's objective, and allow the participant to control the computer.

Task Procedure

As soon as the participant starts the task the facilitator will begin to time the participant. The facilitator will observe and enter user behavior, user comments, and system actions. After the participant has completed all tasks they will be asked to complete a questionnaire.

Tasks

Following is a list of the tasks the participant will complete. Note: the reason the courses in tasks 1.1 and 2.1 are different is that dummy courses were used for the new system, and have different course codes.

- **Task 1.1** - Have user enroll in the following courses for the *Winter 2016* term through Mosaic, selecting lectures, tutorials, and sections so as to eliminate conflicts as needed:
 - ANTHROP 1AA3
 - ANTHROP 1AB3
 - COMPSCI 2DM3
 - BIOLOGY 1A03
- **Task 1.2** - Have user find their exam schedule for the Fall 2015 semester on Mosaic
- **Task 1.3** - Have user find their weekly schedule for the Fall 2015 semester on Mosaic
- **Task 2.1** - Have user enroll in the following courses using the new system selecting lectures, tutorials, and sections so as to eliminate conflicts as needed:
 - ANTHROP 4AA3
 - ANTHROP 4M03
 - COMP SCI 1TA3

– BIOLOGY 2AA3

- **Task 2.2** - Have user find their exam schedule for the Fall 2015 semester using the new system
- **Task 2.3** - Have user find their weekly schedule for the Fall 2015 semester using the new system

Success Metrics

Success metrics refers to user performance measured against specific performance goals necessary to satisfy usability requirements. Scenario completion success rates, error rates, subjective evaluations, and scenario completion times will be used.

Scenario Completion

Each scenario will require that the participant performs a specific, common task. The scenario is complete when the scenario's goal has been obtained or the participant requests sufficient guidance to warrant scoring the scenario as a critical error, at which point the facilitator will terminate the task.

The goal for scenario completion is that the participant successfully completes the task to completion.

Errors

Errors are deviations from the target behaviour necessary to complete the scenario. Participants may or may not be aware that they have deviated from the target behaviour. Errors may be procedural, in which the participant does not complete a scenario in the most optimal means (e.g. excessive steps and keystrokes). These errors may also be errors of confusion (such as attempting to edit an un-editable field).

The goal for errors is for the new system to have at most the same number of errors for each task as the old system. Optimally, some (if not all) tasks will have less errors than the old system. It is important to note that some participants may have experience using Mosaic, and so will make less errors. Even if this is the case, the goal remains the same as we are performing tests to see if a replacement system would be an improvement, in which case the current system would have the advantage of most users having experience.

Subjective Evaluations

Subjective evaluations regarding ease of use and satisfaction will be collected via questionnaires, and during debriefing at the conclusion of the session. The questionnaire will utilize a 5-point Likert scale, and will ask participants their perceived ease-of-use of a variety of functions and features. Each question will be asked once for Mosaic, and once for the new system.

The subjective evaluation goal is to have an average response number across all participants and all questions that is at least 1 point higher on the Likert scale for the new system, as well as to have no questions with an average response for the new system more than 1 point below the response for the same

question for Mosaic. This ensures that the overall experience is significantly better from a user standpoint, and there are no areas of particular weakness with the new system.

Scenario Completion Time

For each scenario to be tested, the time it takes the participant to complete the scenario will be recorded, to the nearest second. This time begins as soon as the user starts the task, and finishes as soon as the task is completed. If the task is not completed, the time is not recorded and the result is noted in the errors section.

The goal for scenario completion time is to have the average completion time of each task be at most 10% slower on the new system, and the total completion time for all tasks on the new system to be at least 20% less than with Mosaic. This ensures that no task is significantly slower with the new system, and the overall benefit of the new system is significant.

RESULTS

DISCUSSION

CONCLUSION

We proposed a design for a new university course-management system with several new features and enhancements to reduce the amount of time it takes for students to perform common tasks. We then surveyed and critiqued four existing university course-management systems, and used this information to inform our design proposals. Personas were then created to demonstrate potential users of the system and were used to guide the design process. This process involved an iterative process of design for each of the pages of the system, which resulted in a set of mockups (appendix: *New System – Final Design Mockups*) using a consistent design language and incorporating the improvements outlined

earlier.

The mockups were used to create a working prototype of the system, implemented using common web technologies. A database of “dummy” courses was created to test a variety of features, and edge cases were closely examined to ensure consistent behaviour.

After development of the new course-management website was finished, several usability tests were created to gather data on the effectiveness of the new system compared to Mosaic. The responses from the questionnaire show that users overwhelmingly preferred the new system over Mosaic in terms of user experience. The scenario completion time results showed similar times for finding weekly schedules and consistently lower times for finding exam schedules (in some cases significantly so). The most improved task in terms of completion time however was enrolling in courses, with times improving by large margins in all trials.

Some potential problems identified through our usability tests are the poor visibility of the exclusive nature of the dropdowns in the search page, and the lack of instructions on the enroll page when no courses are added yet.

The system is clearly not a replacement for Mosaic – it implements a small subset of Mosaic’s functionality – however, the results of the usability test and the software surveys show a need for improvement in university course-management software. The conclusion of our usability study is that the proposed system makes significant improvements over the current system and is a reasonable starting point for future changes.