# Seismic Processing Prac 2 - Processing a synthetic dataset.

#### **ERTH3021**

#### October 14, 2014

This is the second of two pracs on seismic data processing. In this prac we will be processing the dataset generated in prac 1.

If you do not have the dataset from prac 1 is it available for download at http://goo.gl/YuZgE9

As with prac 1 code templates have been provided. The prac can be downloaded from https://github.com/stuliveshere/Seismic-Processing-Prac2

## 1 Introduction

Seismic data processing involves taking raw seismic data and generating an image which can be related to the geology targeted by the seismic survey. Seismic processing usually involves a number of steps, including

- velocity analysis
- geometric corrections (normal moveout, statics, migration)
- amplitude corrections (amplitude recovery, AGC)
- noise attenuation
- CMP stacking
- deconvolution

Although not necessarily in this order.

For this prac we will use the following processing sequence:

- 1. load and QC (quality control) data
- 2. sort into CDP gathers
- 3. amplitude recovery
- 4. move out with constant velocity
- 5. field stack (aka brute stack)
- 6. first velocity analysis
- 7. pre-stack noise attenuation
- 8. first velocity stack
- 9. post-stack noise attenuation

This is somewhat like a processing sequence used in a commercial processing house. Note the iterative nature of the sequence - this is a common trait of seismic processing.

The final image quality is influenced by the accuracy of the seismic velocities and the processing parameters used. Inaccurate velocities can lead to imperfect moveout which can affect stack quality. Poor selection of processing parameters can harm the data - for example a harsh noise attenuation routine might attenuate the signal as well as

the noise. Finding the optimum processing parameters is the art of processing, and it usually involves significant amounts of testing.

In this prac you will be picking your own velocities and selecting your own processing parameters. You will be expected to test a range of parameters and discuss why you chose those parameters in your report.

## Exercise 1: Load data and perform QC

In this exercise we will create an initialization routine which will load our dataset into numpy, and set up some initial parameters. We will then have a look at some raw shot gathers to ensure the data is loaded correctly (QC).

#### Exercise 2: Sort into CMPs

CMP gathers collect all traces which pass through the same CMP into a single gather (collection of traces). CMP gathers are generally used for velocity analysis and stacking. The number of traces in a CMP gather is referred to as the fold.

Sort the dataset into CMP gathers and perform QC. Compare the CMP gathers from this exercise to the shot gathers from exercise 1 and discuss why they look different.

Extract one CDP gather as a file for later testing.

# Exercise 3: Amplitude Recovery

We have already seen in the raw gathers that deeper signals are weaker and much more difficult to see. In the last prac we compensated for this using an AGC. An AGC normalizes the amplitude in a sliding window over every trace, based upon the amplitudes in the window. Sometimes in seismic processing we use an AGC prior to stack, but sometimes we want to preserve the relative amplitude of samples between traces. We call this amplitude recovery.

In theory we could correct directly for spherical divergence, but it is much more challenging to correct for the remaining sources of attenuation. Thus a generic, tunable formula is generally used, where testing is used to fine tune the result until it "looks right". One such formula is

$$R = e^{\gamma t}$$

where

- $\bullet$  R is the amplitude correction
- $\gamma$  is the tunable parameter
- t time

Write a python function which implements this formula, and apply to your test gather. Test various values of  $\gamma$  such that the amplitude of the bottom reflector in the gather is closer in amplitude to the top reflectors. Show your gather before and after amplitude recovery.

Hint:  $e^x$  is called by the numpy function np.exp().

## Exercise 4: Normal moveout correction

Notice in the raw CMP gathers the reflectors have a hyperbolic shape. In order to stack a CMP gather the reflectors need to be flat. The reason for the hyperbolic shape is normal moveout, and flattening reflectors is generally referred to as normal moveout correction. For a flat, horizontal reflector, the travel-time equation is

$$t_x^2 = t_0^2 + \frac{x^2}{v^2}$$

where

- $t_x$  is the travel time at offset x
- $t_0$  is the travel-time at offset 0

- $\bullet$  x is the offset
- v is the velocity

Write a python function which implements the normal moveout correction. That is, re-arrange the above equation so that we can calculate the zero offset travel-time  $t_0$  for some offset and velocity.

The function which applies your move out correction to the dataset has been supplied. Using your test CMP gather, try to find a single velocity (aka constant velocity) which flattens the reflectors the most. Show that gather before and after moveout correction. Discuss the issues associated with normal moveout with a constant velocity.

Note the code relating to "stretch". The amount of moveout correction is not linear with time. Slow, shallow data tends to suffer from significant stretching. Experiment with stretch values on your test CMP gather. Show a gather with and without stretch. Discuss the potential impact of stretched data on a stack.

## Exercise 5: Field Stack

Stacking a gather involves taking all of the traces in a single CMP gather and summing them together into once trace. The amplitude of the trace is then normalized by the fold of the gather. Thus stacking can also be considered as finding the average trace at a given CMP location. When all CMP gathers are stacked, an image can be built with one trace at each CMP location. This image is generally referred to as a stack or a stacked section.

Write a function which will stack any gather given to it. Note this can be done in one line.

*Hint:* 
$$mean = \bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n}{n}$$

An even bigger hint: think about the axis you want to use numpy.mean() along

Once you have stacking working, you are ready to do a field stack. Initialise the entire seismic volume, apply TAR, NMO, and then stack. Ask the tutors to check your code before you run it - running the NMO will take a few minutes. Try it with and without pre-stack AGC. What are some of the problems with the stack? What is the large feature above the coals?

#### Exercise 6: First Velocity Analysis

In exercise 4 we moved out the test CDP with a constant velocity. A more realistic scenario would be to pick a number of velocities, one for each layer. One method of determining the optimal stacking velocities is known as semblance analysis. Essentially, a given CDP is moved out and stacked with a range of velocities. The best stacking velocity will result in the highest amplitude stack for a given reflector. By visualizing all the velocities at once, you can see exactly where the maximum stacking energy occurs.

A simplistic semblance routine has been given to you in exercise 6. Run exercise 6 on your test CDP. Pick velocities and times for the 3 layers, and make a note of these for later. What is the large high energy event which can also be seen in the semblance plot?

#### Exercise 7: Noise attenuation - Top Mute

As seen in the stack in exercise 5, and in the semblance plot in exercise 6, some of the refractor energy is leaking through the stack. There are a number of different ways we could attenuate or even entirely remove the refractor. The refractor often has lower frequency than our reflectors, so a frequency filter might knock back the high amplitude low frequency components. But in this case the refractor is very linear and can be targeted by a mute window.

We could calculate the dip of the refractor, come up with a geometric formula, calculate the time to refractor at a given geophone, and then apply a mute, but an easier method is to use what is called a linear move-out (LMO). An LMO is similar in concept to an NMO, but instead of flattening a hyperbolic reflector it flattens a linear event. Because the event is linear, the travel-time equation is our old friend the velocity equation

$$v = \frac{x}{t}$$

where

 $\bullet$  v = velocity

- x = (absolute) offset
- t = time

Derive an equation which will apply a linear moveout *correction* to a dataset.

Hint: we want to remove the dip. Remove kind of sounds like we want to subtract something

The function which applies your linear moveout correction to a dataset has been supplied. Experiment with a couple of velocities to make sure it's working ok. Calculate the exact velocity which will flatten the refractor.  $Hint:\Delta v = \Delta x/\Delta t$ 

Once you have your refractor nice and flat, we will need to mute it out. Find the time of the now flat refractor, and overwrite the refractor with zeros.

You will now need to reverse the LMO so the CDP gather looks normal again. Show your CDP gather before LMO, after apply LMO, after muting, and after removing the LMO. Describe how you calculated the LMO velocity.

## Exercise 8: First Velocity stack

You now have all the components to generate a minimally processed stack with picked velocities. This stack is sometimes referred to as the "first velocity stack". You will need to

- 1. initialise the full volume
- 2. apply the amplitude recovery
- 3. apply LMO to mute refractor
- 4. apply NMO to flatten refractors
- 5. apply AGC so we can see it better
- 6. stack volume
- 7. view

Make sure you have used all your parameters correctly from previous exercises - you dont want to have to re-run your code. The tutors will check your code before you start. You will also want to output the stack as a file, so that you dont have to re-run your code for the next exercise.

Compare your first velocity stack to the brute stack we generated earlier. What are the main differences? Discuss why we applied the LMO before the NMO.

#### Exercise 9: Post-stack noise attenuation

Consider what happens when you run a smoothing window along a trace. Events which are shorter than the smoothing window will tend to be smoothed out - events which are longer than the smoothing window will tend to be left along. Now consider what happens when you run a smoothing window along a time slice, between traces. Events shorter than our smoothing window, for example random noise, will tend to be attenuated, and events longer than our window will tend to be left alone. This sounds like a good thing, so lets try it.

The process we are going to use is sometimes referred to as trace mixing. This code has been supplied to you in exercise 9. Experiment with mixing window sizes to find one that works well. What are some of the downsides of trace mixing?

Extra marks: perform the trace mixing pre-stack. What are the pros and cons of a pre-stack trace mix?