(1)

# Lecture Notes of Prof. Pradeep Pubey's lecture at Paris I. on Kidney Matching Problem

written by Guo Chen revised by Pradeep Outrey

## I. Background of the problem

Assumption: Each patient has a donor who is willing to donate a kidney to him ther. So each patient "owns" a kidney.

For each patient, non vank all the kidneys according to how suitable they are for him on medical grounds. To save life with higher probability, trading kidneys is highly desirable if it can make everyone better off.

There is a paper by Shapley & Scarf (1974) on this, where they use "houses" instead of "kidneys".

### II. Model & Theorems

Examples.

Suppose A ours house a.
B owns house b, etc.

(3)

profile of preferences

A: cdba

B: cabd

c: dbac

D: abcd

X: not blocking

v: blocking

There are 4!=24 possible allocations of houses among A, B, C, D. We shall define "Stable" and "Strongly Stable" allocations.

Suppose an allocation is proposed but not carried out. Then a "coalition" can form and wonder if its members can be better off than the proposal by simply trouding among themselves.

Two notions of "blocking";

- an "Earth", people one Selfish. So an allocation is carried out by a coalition Sonlywhen everyone in Sis hetter off.
- an "Mars", people are more generous. An allocation is okinf nebody is worse off and somebody is better off.

  the allocation

Suppose  $\mathcal{A} = \langle Ad, Ba, Cb, Dc \rangle$  is proposed and not executed, there are  $2^4$  possible coalitions (inor out, 2 choices for each person).

- Q Let the coalition he {B,D} < (Bb,Dd> < (Bd,Db> ...) Voes {B,D} block A? Earth × Mars ×
- (B: a>d, a>b. B is worse off in both trades, hence [B,0] does not block A on either Mars or earth.)
- Q Let the coalition he {B, c} <Bb, cc> <Be, cb>.

  Can {B, c} block (A? Earth x Mars v
- (B: C>a>b, C:b>c. So, compared to A, Bis better off in the trade <Bc, Cb> and C is the same.

  Not both are better off, so there's no blocking on Earth. But {B, C} blocks & via <Bc, Cb> on Mars.
- (3) Let the coalition he {e} -> < Ce>
  Coun {c} block (d? Earth x Mars x

  (C: b>c. C itself can form a coalition, but C is better off in the proposal. So there's no blocking by {c} either on Earth or on Mars.)
- A Let the coalition he {A, C, D} -> (Ac, Col, Da) blocking? Earth v Mars v

  (A: C>d, C: d>b, D: a>C, so everyone is better off.

  Thus {A, C, D} blocks It via Ac, Cd, Da > on both

  Earth and Mars.)

**@** 

( ) Is < Ac, Cb, Da > an allocation? No.

( ) A, C, D) do not have access to B's house because

13 is not a member of their coalition.)

#### Remarks.

\* Is it possible a coalition can block the proposal on Earth but not on Mars?

No. Any blocking on Earth is automatically a blocking on Mars.

A blocking occurs on Earth when everyone in the coalition is better off, on Mars there are only more possibilities of heing hetter off. So a blocking on Earth is a blocking on Mars.

Now introduce the definitions on "Stable".

#### Definition.

- 1. An allocation is called "grable" if no coalition can block it on Earth.
- 2. An allocation is called "strongly stable" if no coalition can block it on Mars.
  - 3. Strict cone = Set of Strongly stable allocations 4. cone = set of stable allocations

(

Remarks. \* Stable on Earth is not automatically stable on Mars.

\* Clearly, strict cone C core

Now consider the following two allocations:

A= <Ab, Bc, Col, Da> A\*= <Ac, Bb, Col, Da>

Claim 1: A is stable (wrt the given profile)

Pf. B. C. D are getting their top choices in A.

So the only coalition on Earth is {A} -> (A:b>a)

which cannot block At on Earth.

Claim 2: A is not strongly stable.

[2f. Consider the coalition {A, C, D} -> <Ac, Cd, Da),

(A: e>b. A is better off in cAc, Cd, Da > compared

to At, C and D stay the same)

So {A, C, D} blocks It via <Ac, Col, Da > on Mars.

Hence At is not strongly stable.

Remarks.

\* It & cone. It of strict cone.

\* We can see upy A\* is strongly stable later.

\* At & strict come C come.