

## Entry games

2 firms

period 1: Each firm decides whether to enter  
(simultaneously and independently)

Entry cost is  $U \sim [0, 50]$ . Each firm only knows its own entry cost.

period 2: Competition with demand function  $q = 10 - p$   
( If only one firm enters - revenue 25  
If both firms enter - revenue 0 )

	Enter	NE
Enter		
NE		

Battle of the sexes/  
Chicken

Asymmetric information / incomplete information

A pure strategy:  $f: [0, 50] \rightarrow \{\text{Enter}, \text{NE}\}$   
If my cost is  $c$  I will do  $f(c)$

---

entering when your cost is more than 25 is  
(weakly) dominated.

exercise The strategy

\* enter if my cost is between 3 and 20  
is also weakly dominated

---

Margam doesn't enter

Iranian plays against Margam, she enters if her

condition  
cost is  $\leq 25$

Suppose James is playing against Kadidia.

If James' cost is 5

If James enter

prob  $1/2$  → If Kadidia cost is  $\leq 25$  his revenue will be 0  
 prob  $1/2$  → " " " "  $> 25$  " " " " 25

James' expected from entering is  $\frac{1}{2} * 25 + \frac{1}{2} * 0 = 12.5$  - James' as

James' best response to Kadidia is the strategy  
 enter if his cost is  $\leq 12.5$

What is the best-response to James strategy?

my revenue from entering

prob  $\frac{1}{4} = \frac{12.5}{50}$  0 if James enters  
 prob  $\frac{3}{4}$  25 " James does not enter

best response: enter if my cost is  $\leq \frac{3}{4} * 25 = 18.75$

(Nash-Equilibrium) A NE pair of strategies such that each player's strategy is the best response to opponent's strategy.

If my opponent enters when his cost is  $\leq c$

What is my best response?

If I enter my revenue

prob.  $c/50$  0 if opponent enters  
 " "  $> c$  " " does not enter

prob.  $1 - 4/50$   $\hookrightarrow$

Best response: enter if my cost  $\leq (1 - 4/50) * 25$   
 $= 25 - 4/2$

$$C_2 = 25 - C_1/2$$

$$C_1 = 25 - C_2/2$$

player 1: enter if cost  $\leq C_1$

player 2: " " "  $\leq C_2$

$$C_1 = C_2 = 50/3$$

If my opponent enters if his cost  $\leq \frac{50}{3}$   
" " " w.p  $1/3$

my expected revenue from entering is  $\frac{2}{3} * 25 = \frac{50}{3}$

I should enter if my cost  $\leq \frac{50}{3}$

Homework: Assume to players:

player 1's entry cost is  $U[0, 40]$

" 2's " " "  $U[0, 80]$

find the Bayes Nash Equilibrium

Auction games:

2 bidders, one painting for sale.

Each player has a private value  $\sim U[0, 100]$

Each player submit a sealed bid  $b$

The winner is the player who submitted the highest  $b$

The payoff to the winner is my value - my bid  
 The payoff to the loser is 0

A pure strategy: A function  $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$   
 if my value is  $v$  I bid  $f(v)$

- It's a dominated strategy to bid above your value
- Try to find the equilibrium strategy;

Suppose my opponent bids his own value, what is my best response?

### Repeated games

A small town with two pizza shops

Each shop can charge high (\$17) or low (\$15) per pie

Each store has loyal customers who buy 3000 pie from that store

There are 4000 pies that will be bought from store with lowest price

### one shot game

	H	L
H	85, 85	59, 105
L	105, 51	75, 75

prisoner's dilemma

## \* Fire shot games

Sequential elimination of dominated strategies; play the one-shot equilibrium every period.

Let's assume discount factor  $\beta$

which means that if my payoffs are

$a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots$

then I aggregate this sequence of payoffs to a single number

$$a_0 + a_1 \beta + a_2 \beta^2 + a_3 \beta^3 + \dots$$

## Two interpretations

- interest rate: \$1 tomorrow equals  $\beta = 1-r$  today
- \* - After every period there is a probability  $\beta$  that we live another day, and prob  $1-\beta$  the world ends

## Grim trigger strategy

- At day 1 set a high price
- Continue to have a high price as long as opponent set a high price. If at some point opponent defects (low price) switch to low price forever

At home: Grim trigger is not an equilibrium in the 5 shot game.

Claim: GT is an equilibrium in the discounted game.

Suppose my opponent plays GT.

If I play GT I get

$$85 + 85 \cdot \beta + 85 \cdot \beta^2 + \dots$$

=

$$85 / (1 - \beta)$$

What if I decide to deviate and play L today

$$105 + 75 \cdot \beta + 75 \cdot \beta^2 + \dots$$

=

$$105 + 75 \cdot \beta / (1 - \beta)$$

This is an equilibrium if

$$85 / (1 - \beta) > 105 + 75 \cdot \beta / (1 - \beta)$$

$$\beta > \frac{2}{3}$$