实验物理中的统计方法 作业9

1.




图 7.1: Galileo 小球和斜坡实验的示意图。

h	d	
1000	1500	
828	1340	
800	1328	
600	1172	
300	800	

表 7.1: Galileo 斜坡实验的 5 组数据。给定初始高度 h,d 为落地前的水平距离。单位为punti,lpunto \simeq lmm。

随机变量。(实际上我们不清楚 Galileo 如何估计测量误差,但是1-2% 的误差是可以接受的。)此外,我们知道如果h=0,则水平距离 d 将为零,即,如果球从斜坡边缘出发,它将垂直落到地上。

(a) 考虑 h 和 d 的关系为如下形式

$$d = \alpha h$$
 (7.1)

1参见 Stillman Drake and Maclachlan, Galileo's discovery of the parabolic trajectory, Scientific American 232 (March 1975) 102; Stillman Drake, Galileo at Work, University of Chicago Press, Chicago (1978).

22

以及

$$d = \alpha h + \beta h^2. \tag{7.2}$$

求参数 α 和 β 的最小二乘估计量。对应于这两个假设的最小 χ^2 和 P-值分别为多少?

(b) 假设 d 和 h 的关系为如下形式

$$d = \alpha h^{\beta}. (7.3)$$

写一段程序对 α 和 β 进行最小二乘拟合。注意这是参数的非线性函数,必须数值求解。

(c)Galileo 认为运动是水平分量和垂直分量的叠加,其中水平运动是匀速运动,垂直速度在斜坡的最低处为零,随后随时间线性增加。证明这将导致关系式

$$d = \alpha \sqrt{h}. (7.4)$$

求 α 的最小二乘估计量以及最小 χ^2 。该假设的 P-值是多少?

解:

Part I. 公式推导

(a)

$$d = \alpha h$$

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(d_{i} - \alpha h_{i})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} h_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2}}$$

$$d = \alpha h + \beta h^{2}$$

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(d_{i} - \alpha h_{i} - \beta h_{i}^{2})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \chi^{2}}{\partial \alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow -\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} h_{i} + \hat{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2} - \hat{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{3} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \chi^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}} = 0 \Rightarrow -\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} h_{i}^{2} - \hat{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{3} + \hat{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{4} = 0$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} h_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{4} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} h_{i}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{4} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{3}}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i h_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i h_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^4}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^3 \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i^3}$$

(c)

$$d=vt, h=rac{1}{2}gt^2\Rightarrow d=lpha\sqrt{h}$$

所有计算结果见Part II.

Part II. 整体代码实现:

```
from scipy.optimize import minimize
from scipy.stats import chi2
hs
       = [1000, 828, 800, 600, 300]
      = [1500, 1340, 1328, 1172, 800]
sigma = 15
def f(alpha, d, h):
    return (d - alpha * h) ** 2 / sigma ** 2
def g(alpha, beta, d, h):
    return (d - alpha * h - beta * h ** 2) ** 2 / sigma ** 2
def s(alpha, beta, d, h):
    return (d - alpha * h ** beta) ** 2 / sigma ** 2
def t(alpha, d, h):
    return (d - alpha * h ** 0.5) ** 2 / sigma ** 2
def chi_squared_f(params):
    alpha = params
    return sum(f(alpha, d, h) for d, h in zip(ds, hs))
def chi_squared_g(params):
    alpha, beta = params
    return sum(g(alpha, beta, d, h) for d, h in zip(ds, hs))
def chi_squared_s(params):
    alpha, beta = params
    return sum(s(alpha, beta, d, h) for d, h in zip(ds, hs))
def chi_squared_t(params):
    alpha = params
    return sum(t(alpha, d, h) for d, h in zip(ds, hs))
result_f = minimize(chi_squared_f, [1], method='Nelder-Mead')
f_alpha = result_f.x[0]
chi2_min = result_f.fun
p_value_f = chi2.sf(chi2_min, df=4)
print(f'f:d=alpha h nalpha = \{f_alpha:.4f\}, chi2 = \{chi2\_min:.2f\}, p =
{p_value_f:.4e}')
result_g = minimize(chi_squared_g, [1, 1], method='Nelder-Mead')
g_alpha, g_beta = result_g.x
```

```
chi2_min = result_g.fun
p_value_g = chi2.sf(chi2_min, df=3)
print(f'g:d=alpha h + beta h ^2 nalpha = \{g_alpha:.4f\}, beta = \{g_beta:.4f\}, chi2
= \{chi2\_min:.2f\}, p = \{p\_value\_g:.4e\}')
result_s = minimize(chi_squared_s, [1, 1], method='Nelder-Mead')
s_alpha, s_beta = result_s.x
chi2_min = result_s.fun
p_value_s = chi2.sf(chi2_min, df=3)
print(f's:d = alpha h^beta\nalpha = {s_alpha:.4f}, beta = {s_beta:.4f}, chi2 =
\{chi2\_min:.2f\}, p = \{p\_value\_s:.4e\}'\}
result_t = minimize(chi_squared_t, [1], method='Nelder-Mead')
t_alpha = result_t.x[0]
chi2_min = result_t.fun
p_value_t = chi2.sf(chi2_min, df=4)
print(f't:d = alpha \ sqrt(h) \ nalpha = \{t_alpha:.4f\}, \ chi2 = \{chi2\_min:.2f\}, \ p = \{t_alpha:.4f\}, \ chi2 = \{t_al
{p_value_t:.4e}')
```

结果:

```
f:d=alpha h
alpha = 1.6628, chi2 = 661.99, p = 5.9128e-142
g:d=alpha h + beta h ^2
alpha = 2.7929, beta = -0.0014, chi2 = 64.74, p = 5.6962e-14
s:d = alpha h^beta
alpha = 43.7606, beta = 0.5111, chi2 = 3.76, p = 2.8905e-01
t:d = alpha sqrt(h)
alpha = 47.0857, chi2 = 4.21, p = 3.7864e-01
```

2.

习题 7.5. 考虑随机变量 x 的两个部分重叠的样本,它们的样本容量分别为 n 和 m,共有部分的样本容量为 c。假设已知 x 的方差 $V[x] = \sigma^2$ 。考虑样本均值

$$y_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \tag{7.11}$$

和

$$y_2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i. (7.12)$$

(a) 证明协方差为

$$\operatorname{cov}[y_1, y_2] = \frac{c\sigma^2}{nm}. (7.13)$$

(b) 利用 7.6 节的结果, 求 y_1 和 y_2 的加权平均和方差。

解:

(a)

$$cov[y_1,y_2] = E[y_1y_2] - E[y_1]E[y_2] = rac{c(\mu^2 + \sigma^2) - c\mu^2}{nm} = rac{c\sigma^2}{nm}$$

(b)

$$\mathbf{V} = egin{bmatrix} rac{\sigma^2}{n} & rac{c\sigma^2}{nm} \ rac{c\sigma^2}{nm} & rac{\sigma^2}{m} \end{bmatrix} \ \mathbf{V}^{-1} = rac{n^2m^2}{nm - c^2} egin{bmatrix} rac{\sigma^2}{m} & -rac{c\sigma^2}{nm} \ -rac{c\sigma^2}{nm} & rac{\sigma^2}{n} \end{bmatrix} \ w_1 = rac{rac{\sigma^2}{m} - rac{c\sigma^2}{nm}}{rac{\sigma^2}{m} - rac{c\sigma^2}{nm}} + rac{\sigma^2}{n} \end{bmatrix} = rac{n - c}{n + m - 2c} \ w_2 = rac{-rac{c\sigma^2}{m} + rac{\sigma^2}{nm} - rac{c\sigma^2}{nm} + rac{\sigma^2}{n}}{rac{\sigma^2}{m} - rac{c\sigma^2}{nm} + rac{\sigma^2}{n}} = rac{m - c}{n + m - 2c} \ \hat{\lambda} = w_1 y_1 + w_2 y_2 = rac{(n - c)\overline{y_1} + (m - c)\overline{y_2}}{n + m - 2c} \ \sigma_{\hat{\lambda}^2} = \sum_{i,j} w_i V_{ij} w_j = rac{(n - c)^2 m + (m - c)^2 n - 2(n - c)(m - c)c}{(n + m - 2c)^2 nm} \sigma^2 \ \end{cases}$$

3.

习题 7.6. 天文学家托勒密 (Claudius Ptolemy) 利用圆盘做过光折射的实验。他把圆盘的一半浸入水中,圆心正好位于水面处,如图 7.2 所示。大约公元 140 年,Ptolemy 对 8 组不同的入射角 θ_i 测量了相应的折射角




图 7.2: Ptolemy 用来研究光折射的设备。

 θ_r ,结果如表7.2所示 2 。本练习中,我们认为入射角已知且误差可以忽略,而把折射角看作标准差为 $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}^\circ$ 的高斯随机变量。(这是一个合理的假设,记录的角度精确到最邻近的半度。注意,我们可以将 θ_i 的误差 吸收到 θ_r 的有效误差中。)

(a) 直到17世纪才发现正确的折射定律,在此之前,通常的假设是

$$\theta_r = \alpha \theta_i, \tag{7.14}$$

²取自 Pedersen and Mogens Pihl, Early Physics and Astronomy: A Historical Introduction, MacDonald and Janes, London, 1974

$ heta_i$	$ heta_r$
10	8
20	$15\frac{1}{2}$
30	$22\frac{1}{2}$
40	29
50	35
60	$40\frac{1}{2}$
70	$45\frac{1}{2}$
80	50

表 7.2: 入射角和折射角 (单位: 度)。

然而 Ptolemy 更喜欢用下面的形式

$$\theta_r = \alpha \theta_i - \beta \theta_i^2. \tag{7.15}$$

对这两种不同的假设,求参数的最小二乘估计量,并计算最小 χ^2 值。评论一下两个假设的拟合优度。是否可以相信所有的数据都是从实际测量得来的? 3

(b) 1621 年 Snell 发现了折射定律

$$\theta_r = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\sin\theta_i}{r}\right),\tag{7.16}$$

其中 $r=n_r/n_i$ 为两种介质的折射率之比。求r的最小二乘估计量并计算出最小 χ^2 值。评价对 θ_r 作 $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}^\circ$ 假设的合理性。

解:

Part I

代码实现:

```
from scipy.optimize import minimize
from scipy.stats import chi2
from math import sin, asin, pi
theta_i = [10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80]
theta_r = [8, 15.5, 22.5, 29, 35, 40.5, 45.5, 50]
sigma = 0.5
def f(r, i, alpha):
    return (r-alpha*i)**2/sigma**2
def g(r, i, alpha, beta):
    return (r-alpha*i+beta*i**2)**2/sigma**2
def h(r, i, alpha):
    return (r-asin(sin(i)/alpha))**2/(sigma*pi/180)**2
def chi_squared_f(params):
    alpha = params[0]
    return sum(f(r, i, alpha) for r, i in zip(theta_r, theta_i))
def chi_squared_g(params):
    alpha, beta = params
    return sum(g(r, i, alpha, beta)) for r ,i in zip(theta_r, theta_i))
def chi_squared_h(params):
```

```
alpha = params[0]
    return sum(h(r*pi/180, i*pi/180, alpha) for r, i in zip(theta_r, theta_i))
result_f = minimize(chi_squared_f, [1], method='Nelder-Mead')
f_alpha = result_f.x[0]
chi2_min = result_f.fun
p_value_f = chi2.sf(chi2_min, df=7)
print(f'f:theta_r=alpha theta_i\nalpha = {f_alpha:.4f}, chi2 = {chi2_min:.2f}, p
= {p_value_f:.4e}')
result_g = minimize(chi_squared_g, [1, 1], method='Nelder-Mead')
f_alpha, f_beta = result_g.x
chi2_min = result_g.fun
p_value_g = chi2.sf(chi2_min, df=6)
print(f'g:theta_r = alpha theta_i - beta theta_i^2\nalpha = {f_alpha:.4f}, beta =
\{f_{\text{beta}}:.4f\}, \text{ chi2} = \{\text{chi2}_{\text{min}}:.2f\}, p = \{p_{\text{value}}:.4e\}'\}
result_h = minimize(chi_squared_h, [1.5], method='Nelder-Mead')
f_alpha = result_h.x[0]
chi2_min = result_h.fun
p_value_h = chi2.sf(chi2_min, df=7)
print(f'h:theta_r = arcsin(sin(theta_i)/alpha)\nalpha = {f_alpha:.4f}, chi2 =
\{chi2\_min:.2f\}, p = \{p\_value\_h:.4e\}'\}
```

结果:

```
f:theta_r=alpha theta_i
alpha = 0.6662, chi2 = 134.65, p = 6.7109e-26
g:theta_r = alpha theta_i - beta theta_i^2
alpha = 0.8250, beta = 0.0025, chi2 = 0.00, p = 1.0000e+00
h:theta_r = arcsin(sin(theta_i)/alpha)
alpha = 1.3116, chi2 = 14.00, p = 5.1143e-02
```

Part II.

对于题目其他部分的回答:

(a)对于线性的假设,拟合的不佳,但是对于二次函数的假设拟合的太好了,这显然是不符合斯涅尔定律的,不能认为所有数据都是测量得到的。

(b)

$$\chi^2/7 pprox 2$$

量级正确,说明估计是合理的。