

Iudicium ex Machinae?¹

Judgement from the machine?

¹From one of my source titles

algorithmic sentencing

Assumption: the concept of just sentencing exists

if algorithmic sentencing can approximate a just sentence (equal to or better than humans), we have moral reason to use the sentencing method that best approximates a just sentence

Algorithmic sentencing: efficiency and standardization, reducing human bias and random errors

if algorithmic sentencing can approximate a just sentence (equal to or better than humans)

Human sentencing is inherently superior?

- The variety of factors is too complex for an algorithm
- Human judgement is inherently better

concerns

1) Privacy

- a) Developmental
- b) Use

2) Transparency

3) Bias

- a) Reproducing bias in training data
- b) Factors that vary across different relevant populations

therefore...we have moral reason to use the sentencing method that best approximates a just sentence

therefore...we have moral reason to employ algorithmic sentencing