# A String Minimum Deletetions Problem

## Ştefan Vargyas

### stvar@yahoo.com

Jun 17, 2021

### **Table of Contents**

1	The Problem Definition and Its Solution	1
2	Mathematical Proof of the Algorithm's Correctness	2

### 1 The Problem Definition and Its Solution

The string minimum deletions problem to which this note refers to is defined as follows:

Given a non-empty sequence of characters, where each character is allowed to be one of the two letters A or B, do find the minimum number of character deletions that makes the given sequence become of form  $A^*B^*$ .

The algorithm that solves this problem is very simple, as shown by the following C++ and Python implementations:

```
1 size_t min_del(const std::string& S)
2
      size_t m = 0, b = 0;
      for (auto c : S) {
          if (c == 'A')
5
              m = std::min(m + 1, b);
          else
              b ++;
8
      }
      return m;
10
11 }
1 def min_del(S)
     m, b = 0, 0
2
      for c in S:
3
          if c == 'A':
             m = \min(m + 1, b)
          else:
              b += 1
      return m
```

## 2 Mathematical Proof of the Algorithm's Correctness

#### 1 Definition.

(1)  $s \in \{A, B\}^*$  is valid  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists n, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } s = A^n B^m$ .

#### 2 Notation.

(2) 
$$E: \{A, B\} \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{\lambda, A, B\}$$
  
 $E(x, 0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda, E(x, 1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x$ 

(3) 
$$E_n: \{A, B\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \to \{A, B\}^*$$
  
 $E_n(s, e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E(s_1, e_1) \cdots E(s_n, e_n), \text{ for } s \in \{A, B\}^n, e = \langle e_1, \cdots, e_n \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^n$ 

(4) 
$$L_n: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{N},$$
 
$$L_n(e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg e_1 + \dots + \neg e_n, \text{ for } e = \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle \in \{0,1\}^n$$

(5) 
$$\mathcal{L}_n: \{A, B\}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$$
  
 $\mathcal{L}_n(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L_n(e) \mid e \in \{0, 1\}^n \text{ and } E_n(s, e) \text{ is valid } \}$ 

(6) 
$$M_n: \{A, B\}^n \to \mathbb{N}$$
  
 $M_n(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min \mathcal{L}_n(s)$   
(7)  $\sharp: \{A, B\}^* \times \{A, B\} \to \mathbb{N}$ 

(7) 
$$\sharp : \{A, B\}^* \times \{A, B\} \to \mathbb{N}$$
  
 $\sharp (s, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ the number of occurrences of } x \text{ in } s$ 

*3 Remark.* For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi \neq X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $m = \min X$  if and only if:

- (8)  $m \in X$  and
- (9)  $m \le x$ , for all  $x \in X$

**4 Proposition.** For all  $X,Y\in\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{N}\right)$  and  $a\in\mathbb{N}$ , we have that:

(10) 
$$\phi \neq X \subseteq Y \implies \min X \ge \min Y$$

(11) 
$$\min X + \min Y = \min X + Y$$
, where  $X + Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x + y \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ 

(12) 
$$a + \min X = \min (a + X)$$
,

Proof. For (10), we have that:

$$\min X \overset{(8)}{\in} X \overset{\text{hyp}}{\Longrightarrow} \min X \in Y \overset{(9)}{\Longrightarrow} \min X \geq \min Y$$

For (11), let  $m \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} \min X + \min Y$ . On one hand we have that (8) holds for m and X + Y:

$$\min X \overset{(8)}{\in} X, \, \min Y \overset{(8)}{\in} Y \implies m = \min X + \min Y \in X + Y$$

On the other hand, (9) holds for m and X + Y:

$$\begin{split} z \in X + Y &\implies \exists \ x \in X, y \in Y \text{ such that } z = x + y \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{\Longrightarrow} & \min X \leq x, \ \min Y \leq y \\ &\implies m = \min X + \min Y \leq x + y = z \end{split}$$

(12) is true by (11) taking  $Y = \{a\}$ .

**5 Proposition.** For all  $s \in \{A, B\}^n$  and  $x \in \{A, B\}$ , we have that:

- (13)  $\mathcal{L}_n(s) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(sB)$
- (14)  $M_n(s) \ge M_{n+1}(sB)$
- (15)  $M_n(s) \leq M_{n+1}(sx)$

*Proof.* For (13), we have that, for an arbitrary l:

$$\begin{split} l \in \mathcal{L}_n\left(s\right) & \stackrel{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} \exists \, e \in \{0,1\}^n \text{ such that } E_n\left(s,\,e\right) \text{ is valid and } L_n\left(e\right) = l \\ & \Longrightarrow \exists \, e' \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \left\langle e_1,\, \cdots,\, e_n,\, 1 \right\rangle \in \{0,1\}^{n+1} \text{ such that} \\ & E_{n+1}\left(sB,\,e'\right) \stackrel{(3)}{=} E_n\left(s,\,e\right) E\left(B,e'_{n+1}\right) \stackrel{(2)}{=} E_n\left(s,\,e\right) B \\ & L_{n+1}\left(e'\right) \stackrel{(4)}{=} L_n\left(e\right) + \neg e'_{n+1} = L_n\left(e\right) = l \\ & \Longrightarrow \exists \, e' \in \{0,1\}^{n+1} \text{ such that } E_{n+1}\left(sB,\,e'\right) \text{ is valid and } L_{n+1}\left(e'\right) = l \\ & \stackrel{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} l \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}\left(sB\right) \end{split}$$

(14) follows then from (13) and (10).

For (15), let  $x \in \{A, B\}$  be arbitrary; we have that:

$$m \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} M_{n+1}\left(sx\right) \stackrel{(8)}{\Longrightarrow} m \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}\left(sx\right)$$

$$\stackrel{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} \exists e \in \left\{0,1\right\}^{n+1} \text{ such that } E_{n+1}\left(sx,\,e\right) \text{ is valid and } L_{n+1}\left(e\right) = m$$

$$\Longrightarrow \exists e' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle e_1,\, \cdots,\, e_n \right\rangle \in \left\{0,1\right\}^n \text{ such that}$$

$$E_{n+1}\left(sx,\,e\right) \stackrel{(3)}{=} E_n\left(s,\,e'\right) E\left(x,e_{n+1}\right)$$

$$L_{n+1}\left(e\right) \stackrel{(4)}{=} L_n\left(e'\right) + \neg e_n \geq L_n\left(e'\right)$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{\Longrightarrow} \exists e' \in \left\{0,1\right\}^n \text{ such that } E_n\left(s,\,e'\right) \text{ is valid and } L_n\left(e'\right) \leq m$$

$$\stackrel{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} \exists l \in \mathcal{L}_n\left(s\right) \text{ such that } m \geq l$$

$$\stackrel{(9)}{\Longrightarrow} m \geq \min \mathcal{L}_n\left(s\right) \stackrel{(6)}{=} M_n\left(s\right)$$

**6 Proposition.** For all  $s \in \{A, B\}^n$  and  $x \in \{A, B\}$ , we have that:

(16) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(sx) \supseteq \mathcal{L}_n(s) + 1$$

(17) 
$$M_{n+1}(sx) \leq M_n(s) + 1$$

(18) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(sA) \ni \sharp(s, B)$$

(19) 
$$M_{n+1}(sA) \le \sharp(s, B)$$

(20) 
$$M_{n+1}(sA) \ge M_n(s) + 1$$
 or  $M_{n+1}(sA) \ge \sharp(s, B)$ 

*Proof.* For (16), we have that, for an arbitrary l:

$$l \in \mathcal{L}_{n}\left(s\right)+1 \quad \overset{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} \exists \, e \in \left\{0,1\right\}^{n} \text{ such that } E_{n}\left(s,\,e\right) \text{ is valid and } l = L_{n}\left(e\right)+1$$
 
$$\Longrightarrow \exists \, e' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle e_{1},\, \cdots,\, e_{n},\, 0\right\rangle \in \left\{0,1\right\}^{n+1} \text{ such that}$$
 
$$E_{n+1}\left(sx,\,e'\right) \stackrel{(3)}{=} E_{n}\left(s,\,e\right) E\left(x,e'_{n+1}\right) \stackrel{(2)}{=} E_{n}\left(s,\,e\right)$$
 
$$L_{n+1}\left(e'\right) \stackrel{(4)}{=} L_{n}\left(e\right)+\neg e'_{n+1} = L_{n}\left(e\right)+1=l$$
 
$$\Longrightarrow \exists \, e' \in \left\{0,1\right\}^{n+1} \text{ such that } E_{n+1}\left(sx,\,e'\right) \text{ is valid and } L_{n+1}\left(e'\right)=l$$
 
$$\stackrel{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} l \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}\left(sx\right)$$

(17) follows then from (16) and (10).

For (18), let have  $e \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}$  such that it deletes all Bs from sA. Then, we have that:

$$L_{n+1}(e) = \sharp (sA, B) = \sharp (s, B)$$

Consequently, by (5), we obtain (18).

For (19), apply (18), (9) and (6).

For (20), taking l arbitrarily, we have that:

$$l \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}\left(s\right) \stackrel{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} \exists e \in \left\{0,1\right\}^{n+1}$$
 such that  $E_{n+1}\left(sA,\,e\right)$  is valid and  $L_{n+1}\left(e\right) = l$ 

Let  $e' \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} \langle e_1, \cdots, e_n \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . In case  $e_{n+1} = 0$ :

$$E_{n+1}(sA, e) \stackrel{(3)}{=} E_n(s, e'), L_{n+1}(e) \stackrel{(4)}{=} L_n(e') + \neg e_{n+1} = L_n(e') + 1$$

$$\stackrel{(5)}{\Longrightarrow} l \in \mathcal{L}_n(s) + 1 \stackrel{(9)}{\Longrightarrow} l \ge \min \mathcal{L}_n(s) + 1 \stackrel{(6)}{=} M_n(s) + 1$$

In case  $e_{n+1} = 1$ :

$$E_{n+1}\left(sA,\,e\right) \overset{(3)}{=} E_n\left(s,\,e'\right)A,\,L_{n+1}\left(e\right) \overset{(4)}{=} L_n\left(e'\right) + \neg e_{n+1} = L_n\left(e'\right)$$

$$\overset{(1)}{\Longrightarrow} E_n\left(s,\,e'\right) \text{ does not contain } B \text{ s} \overset{(9)}{\Longrightarrow} l \geq \sharp\left(s,\,B\right)$$

Consequently:

$$l \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(s) \implies l \ge M_n(s) + 1 \text{ or } l \ge \sharp(s, B)$$

Due to the arbitrareness of l, by (6), the last implication above proves (20).

**7 Fact.** For all  $s \in \{A, B\}^n$ , we have that:

(21) 
$$M_{n+1}(sA) = \min\{M_n(s) + 1, \sharp(s, B)\}$$

(22) 
$$M_{n+1}(sB) = M_n(s)$$

Proof. For (21), apply (17), (19) and (20).

For (22), apply (14) and (15).

Algorithms Notes © by **Ştefan Vargyas** 

Algorithms Notes is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License.

You should have received a copy of the license along with this work. If not, see http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.