

The Hash-Trie of Knuth & Liang

— Addendum —

Ştefan Vargyas

stvar@yahoo.com

Nov 28, 2015

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Mathematical Evaluations	1
3	Applications to Hash-Trie	4
A	C++ Implementation Excerpts	5

1 Introduction

The purpose of the following sections is to provide mathematical proofs for the claims made at the end of section 2.3, *The implementation of HashTrie<> Class Template*, on page 21 of the technical report *The Hash-Trie of Knuth & Liang: A C++11 Implementation*, **hash-trie-impl.pdf**:

The replacement of expression “`h + tolerance - mod_x`” with the expression “`add(h, tolerance2) - mod_x`” had to be done, because, as it is easily provable, under certain conditions, the subexpression “`h + tolerance`” is exceeding the upper bound `trie_size` of the boxed integer `pointer_t`. It is worthy of notice the readily provable fact that both assignments to `last_h` on lines 2523 and 2533 are correct: each of the expression on the right side of these assignments do not exceed upon evaluation the bounds of `pointer_t`.

The claim made by the first phrase is restated and proved by fact 17; the claim of the second phrase – by fact 19. Alongside these two facts, the section containing them establishes by proof a few more facts which concern the inner workings of the core algorithm of class `HashTrie<>`.

2 Mathematical Evaluations

1 Definition (Defining parameters).

- (1) $trie_size \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) $max_letter \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
- (3) $tolerance \in \mathbb{N}$.

2 Definition (Dependent constants).

- (4) $mod_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} trie_size - 2 \cdot max_letter$,
- (5) $alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lceil 0.61803 \cdot mod_x \rceil$,
- (6) $max_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} mod_x + max_letter$,
- (7) $max_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} mod_x - alpha$.

3 Axioms (Initiating assertions).

- (8) $\alpha > 0$,
- (9) $\text{tolerance} > 0$,
- (10) $\text{mod}_x > 0$,
- (11) $\text{max}_h > \text{tolerance}$,
- (12) $\text{mod}_x > \text{tolerance}$,
- (13) $\text{mod}_x > \alpha$.

4 Proposition.

- (14) $\text{trie_size} > 0$,
- (15) $\text{max}_h > \text{mod}_x$,
- (16) $(12) \implies (11)$,
- (17) $\text{tolerance} < \text{trie_size}$,
- (18) $\text{max}_h = \text{trie_size} - \text{max_letter}$,
- (19) $\text{max}_h < \text{trie_size}$,
- (20) $(11) \iff \text{max_letter} + \text{tolerance} < \text{trie_size}$.

Proof. For (14): apply (10) and (4).

For (15): apply (6) and (2).

For (16): apply (15).

For (17): $\text{tolerance} \stackrel{(12)}{<} \text{mod}_x \stackrel{(4)}{=} \text{trie_size} - 2 \cdot \text{max_letter} \stackrel{(2)}{<} \text{trie_size}$.

For (18): apply (6) and (4).

For (19): apply (18) and (2).

For (20): $(11) \stackrel{(18)}{\iff} \text{trie_size} - \text{max_letter} > \text{tolerance} \iff \text{max_letter} + \text{tolerance} < \text{trie_size}$. \square

5 Definition (The floor function).

- (21) $\lfloor x \rfloor \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \mathcal{M}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

where

- (22) $\mathcal{M}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq x\}$.

6 Proposition (Basic properties of " $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ ").

- (23) $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (24) $n = \lfloor n \rfloor$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- (25) $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq \lfloor y \rfloor \iff \mathcal{M}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(y)$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (26) $x \leq y \implies \lfloor x \rfloor \leq \lfloor y \rfloor$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (27) $n \leq x \iff n \leq \lfloor x \rfloor$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (28) $n \leq x < n + 1 \iff \lfloor x \rfloor = n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (29) $a \bmod b = a - \lfloor a/b \rfloor \cdot b$, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Proof. For (23): $x \in \mathbb{R} \stackrel{(21)}{\implies} \lfloor x \rfloor \in \mathcal{M}(x) \stackrel{(22)}{\implies} \lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$.

For (24): by (23) $\lfloor n \rfloor \leq n$; $n \leq n \in \mathbb{Z} \stackrel{(22)}{\implies} n \in \mathcal{M}(n) \implies n \leq \max \mathcal{M}(n) \stackrel{(21)}{=} \lfloor n \rfloor$.

For (25): " \implies ": $k \in \mathcal{M}(x) \implies k \leq \max \mathcal{M}(x) \stackrel{(21)}{=} \lfloor x \rfloor \stackrel{\text{hyp}}{\leq} \lfloor y \rfloor \stackrel{(21)}{\in} \mathcal{M}(y) \stackrel{(22)}{\implies} k \in \mathcal{M}(y)$; " \impliedby ":

$\lfloor x \rfloor \stackrel{(21)}{\in} \mathcal{M}(x) \stackrel{\text{hyp}}{\subseteq} \mathcal{M}(y) \implies \lfloor x \rfloor \in \mathcal{M}(y) \stackrel{(21)}{\implies} \lfloor x \rfloor \leq \lfloor y \rfloor$.

For (26): $x \leq y \stackrel{(22)}{\implies} \mathcal{M}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(y) \stackrel{(25)}{\implies} \lfloor x \rfloor \leq \lfloor y \rfloor$.

For (27): " \implies ": $n \leq x \stackrel{(26)}{\implies} n \stackrel{(24)}{=} \lfloor n \rfloor \leq \lfloor x \rfloor$; " \impliedby ": $n \leq \lfloor x \rfloor \stackrel{(21)}{\in} \mathcal{M}(x) \stackrel{(22)}{\implies} n \leq x$.

For (28): $n \leq x \xLeftrightarrow{(27)} n \leq \lfloor x \rfloor; x < n + 1 \xLeftrightarrow{(27)} \lfloor x \rfloor < n + 1 \iff \lfloor x \rfloor \leq n$.

For (29): firstly remark the uniqueness part of the *Euclidean division theorem*: for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $y > 0$: if exists $q', q'', r', r'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x = q' \cdot y + r', x = q'' \cdot y + r''$, with $0 \leq r', r'' < y$, then $q' = q''$ and $r' = r''$. The proof of this goes easily: suppose $r' > r''$. Then $r' - r'' = y \cdot (q'' - q')$; follows that $q'' - q' > 0$; thus $q'' - q' \geq 1$; hence $r' - r'' \geq y$, which contradicts $r' - r'' < y \iff 0 \leq r', r'' < y$. Now, this uniqueness property leads to (29) if shown that $0 \leq a - b \cdot \lfloor a/b \rfloor < b$. Indeed the relation holds true: $n = \lfloor a/b \rfloor \xLeftrightarrow{(28)} n \leq a/b < n + 1 \iff b \cdot n \leq a < b \cdot (n + 1) \iff 0 \leq a - b \cdot n < b$. \square

7 Proposition. For $a, b, x \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(30) \quad 0 \leq a < b \implies a \bmod b = a,$$

$$(31) \quad 0 < b \leq a < 2 \cdot b \implies a \bmod b = a - b,$$

$$(32) \quad 0 \leq x < \text{max_x} \implies (x + \text{alpha}) \bmod \text{mod_x} = x + \text{alpha},$$

$$(33) \quad \text{max_x} \leq x < \text{mod_x} \implies (x + \text{alpha}) \bmod \text{mod_x} = x - \text{max_x}.$$

Proof. For (30): $0 \leq a < b \implies 0 \leq a/b < 1 \xLeftrightarrow{(28)} \lfloor a/b \rfloor = 0 \xLeftrightarrow{(29)} a \bmod b = a$.

For (31): $0 < b \leq a < 2 \cdot b \implies 1 \leq a/b < 2 \xLeftrightarrow{(28)} \lfloor a/b \rfloor = 1 \xLeftrightarrow{(29)} a \bmod b = a - b$.

For (32): $x < \text{max_x} \xLeftrightarrow{(7)} x + \text{alpha} < \text{mod_x}; x \geq 0 \xLeftrightarrow{(8)} x + \text{alpha} > 0$; then (30) implies (32).

For (33): $x \geq \text{max_x} \xLeftrightarrow{(7)} x + \text{alpha} \geq \text{mod_x}; \text{alpha} < \text{mod_x} \text{ and } x \stackrel{\text{hyp}}{<} \text{mod_x} \implies x + \text{alpha} < 2 \cdot \text{mod_x}$; the latter two consequents along with (10) conclude to $(x + \text{alpha}) \bmod \text{mod_x} \stackrel{(31)}{=} x + \text{alpha} - \text{mod_x} \stackrel{(7)}{=} x - \text{max_x}$. \square

8 Notation. For $h \in \mathbb{N}^*$ let $h' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h + \text{tolerance} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $h'' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h' - \text{mod_x} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

9 Proposition.

$$(34) \quad h \leq \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance} \iff h' \leq \text{max_h},$$

$$(35) \quad h \geq \text{max_letter} + 1 \implies h' > \text{max_letter} + 1,$$

$$(36) \quad h \leq \text{max_h} \iff h'' \leq \text{max_letter} + \text{tolerance},$$

$$(37) \quad h > \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance} \iff h'' > \text{max_letter},$$

$$(38) \quad \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance} < h \leq \text{max_h} \iff \text{max_letter} < h'' \leq \text{max_letter} + \text{tolerance},$$

$$(39) \quad \text{max_letter} + \text{tolerance} \leq \text{max_h},$$

$$(40) \quad \text{max_letter} < h \leq \text{max_h} \iff 0 \leq \text{max_h} - h < \text{mod_x},$$

$$(41) \quad \text{max_h} + \text{tolerance} > \text{trie_size} \iff \text{tolerance} > \text{max_letter}.$$

Proof. For (34): $h \leq \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance} \iff h' = h + \text{tolerance} \leq \text{max_h}$.

For (35): $h' = h + \text{tolerance} \stackrel{\text{hyp}}{\geq} \text{max_letter} + 1 + \text{tolerance} \stackrel{(9)}{>} \text{max_letter} + 1$.

For (36): $h \leq \text{max_h} \iff h'' = h + \text{tolerance} - \text{mod_x} \leq \text{max_h} - \text{mod_x} + \text{tolerance} \stackrel{(6)}{=} \text{max_letter} + \text{tolerance}$.

For (37): $h > \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance} \iff h'' = h + \text{tolerance} - \text{mod_x} > \text{max_h} - \text{mod_x} \stackrel{(6)}{=} \text{max_letter}$.

For (38): apply (36) and (37).

For (39): $\text{max_letter} + \text{tolerance} \stackrel{(12)}{\leq} \text{max_letter} + \text{mod_x} \stackrel{(6)}{=} \text{max_h}$.

For (40): $\text{max_letter} < h \leq \text{max_h} \iff 0 \leq \text{max_h} - h < \text{max_h} - \text{max_letter} \stackrel{(6)}{=} \text{mod_x}$.

For (41): $\text{max_h} + \text{tolerance} > \text{trie_size} \iff \text{tolerance} > \text{trie_size} - \text{max_h} \stackrel{(18)}{=} \text{max_letter}$. \square

10 Proposition.

$$(42) \quad \text{max_letter} + 1 \leq h \leq \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance} \implies \text{max_letter} + 1 \leq h' \leq \text{max_h},$$

$$(43) \quad \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance} < h \leq \text{max_h} \implies \text{max_letter} + 1 \leq h'' \leq \text{max_h}.$$

Proof. For (42): by (35) $h' > \text{max_letter} + 1$, therefore $h' \geq \text{max_letter} + 1$. By (34) $h' \leq \text{max_h}$.

For (43): by (37) $h'' > \text{max_letter}$, therefore $h'' \geq \text{max_letter} + 1$. By (36) and (39) $h'' \leq \text{max_h}$. \square

3 Applications to Hash-Trie

11 Fact. The definitions (1), (2) and (3) correspond to lines #2042, #2045 and #2043 respectively of the C++ implementation.

12 Fact. The definitions (4), (5), (6) and (7) correspond to lines #2267, #2266, #2268 and #2269 respectively of the C++ implementation.

13 Fact. The axioms (8)–(13) correspond one by one to the CXX_ASSERT lines #2271–#2276.

14 Fact. The assignment on line #2445 is correct.

Proof. Due to the definition of `pointer_t`, the statement on line #2445 is correct if and only if `tolerance` is greater or equal than 0 and less or equal than `trie_size` (these are the limiting bounds of `pointer_t`). From (9) and (17) results that the line #2445 is indeed correct. \square

15 Fact. The variable `x` declared, initialized and maintained on lines #2231, #2318 and respectively #2477–#2480 is iterating correctly the elements of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $x_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\alpha \cdot n) \bmod \text{mod}_x$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n = 0$ the statement made above holds, since the line #2318 is showing that the initial value of `x` is 0. Now suppose that prior to executing line #2477 `x` has the value of x_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of the relations (32) and (33), upon the execution of lines #2477–#2480, `x` becomes $(x + \alpha) \bmod \text{mod}_x$. Taking into account that, by the definition of sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $x_{n+1} = (x_n + \alpha) \bmod \text{mod}_x$, indeed `x` is x_{n+1} after the line #2480. \square

16 Fact. The assertion stated within the comment on line #2482 is correct.

Proof. Need to prove that upon executing line #2482, $\text{max_letter} < h \leq \text{trie_size} - \text{max_letter} \stackrel{(18)}{=} \text{max_h}$: Fact 15 $\implies 0 \leq x < \text{mod}_x \stackrel{(6)}{=} \text{max_h} - \text{max_letter} \iff -1 < x \leq \text{max_h} - \text{max_letter} - 1 \iff \text{max_letter} < h \stackrel{\#2482}{=} x + \text{max_letter} + 1 \leq \text{max_h}$. \square

17 Fact. Under certain conditions, the result of evaluating the expression `add(h, tolerance2)` on line #2523 exceeds the value of `trie_size` for some `h`.

Proof. By fact 16: $\text{max_letter} < h \leq \text{max_h}$. If let $h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{max_h}$, then, under the condition that $\text{tolerance} > \text{max_letter}$, (41) shows that $h + \text{tolerance} > \text{trie_size}$ indeed. \square

18 Remark. The fact above indicates that the expression `h + tolerance` wouldn't have been a proper choice of coding the line #2523: in the case of $h + \text{tolerance} > \text{trie_size}$, the evaluation of the expression `h + tolerance` would have caused the program to halt abruptly (assuming that the configuration parameter `CONFIG_HASH_TRIE_STRICT_TYPES` was #defined at compile-time).

19 Fact. The assignments to variable `last_h` on lines #2523 and #2533 are both correct. Upon the execution of either of them, $\text{max_letter} + 1 \leq \text{last_h} \leq \text{max_h}$.

Proof. The statements on lines #2523 and #2533 are correct if and only if each of the expression on the right side of the respective assignments evaluates to an integer not exceeding the bounds of type `pointer_t`. By the fact 16, before executing each of the two lines: $\text{max_letter} < h \leq \text{max_h}$. Now, for the case of line #2523 apply (43) (from line #2506: $h > \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance}$) and, respectively, for the case of line #2533 apply (42) (from line #2506: $h \leq \text{max_h} - \text{tolerance}$). Both give that $\text{max_letter} + 1 \leq \text{last_h} \leq \text{max_h}$. Consequently, the bounds of `pointer_t` are respected: by (2) and (19), the previous double inequality yields: $0 < \text{last_h} < \text{trie_size}$. \square

20 Fact. The inner loops of method `HashTrie<>::find` (not displayed by the listing below) that are based on `h` computed by lines #2545–#2551 are finite.

Proof. By the fact 16, each of these loops start iterating with an `h` satisfying $\text{max_letter} + 1 \leq h \leq \text{max_h}$. The lines #2545–#2551 show that `h` is incremented circularly within the boundaries $\text{max_letter} + 1$ and max_h . By the fact 19, $\text{max_letter} + 1 \leq \text{last_h} \leq \text{max_h}$ on each execution of lines #2545–#2551, i.e. `last_h` lies between the same boundaries as `h`. The implementation code also shows (not seen below, though) that `last_h` is an invariant of each of these loops. Consequently, `h` has to meet `last_h` upon a finitely many successive calls of the lambda function `compute_the_next_trial_header_location`. This leads the lambda function to return `false` – thus terminating the iterations. \square

A C++ Implementation Excerpts

```
2006 template<
2007     typename C = char,
2008     template<typename> class T = char_traits_t,
2009     typename S = size_traits_t>
2010 class HashTrie :
2011     private T<C>,
2012     private S
2013 {
2014 public:
2015     typedef S size_traits_t;
2016     typedef T<C> char_traits_t;
2017     ...
2034 private:
2035     ...
2042     using size_traits_t::trie_size;
2043     using size_traits_t::tolerance;
2044     ...
2045     using char_traits_t::max_letter;
2046     ...
2230     // x_n = (alpha * n) % mod_x
2231     pointer_t x;
2047     ...
2249     pointer_t find(const char_t*);
2048     ...
2255     static constexpr size_t make_alpha(size_t trie_size, size_t max_letter)
2049     { return std::ceil(0.61803 * (trie_size - 2 * max_letter)); }
2050     ...
2259     static constexpr size_t alpha = make_alpha(trie_size, max_letter);
2260     static constexpr size_t mod_x = trie_size - 2 * max_letter;
2261     static constexpr size_t max_h = mod_x + max_letter;
2262     static constexpr size_t max_x = mod_x - alpha;
2263     ...
2271     CXX_ASSERT(alpha > 0);
2272     CXX_ASSERT(tolerance > 0);
2273     CXX_ASSERT(trie_size > 2 * max_letter);
2274     CXX_ASSERT(max_h > tolerance);
2275     CXX_ASSERT(mod_x > tolerance);
2276     CXX_ASSERT(mod_x > alpha);
2051     ...
2296 };

2298 template<
2299     typename C,
2300     template<typename> class T,
2301     typename S>
2302 HashTrie<C, T, S>::HashTrie()
2303 {
2304     ...
2318     x = 0;
2319 }

2321 template<
2322     typename C,
2323     template<typename> class T,
2324     typename S>
2325 typename
2326 HashTrie<C, T, S>::pointer_t
2327 HashTrie<C, T, S>::find(const char_t* str)
2328 {
2329     ...
2445     const pointer_t tolerance2 = tolerance;
2446     // trial header location
2447     pointer_t h;
2448     // the final one to try
2449     pointer_t last_h; // INT: int last_h;
2450     ...
2451     const auto get_set_for_computing_header_locations = [&]() {
2452         // 24. Get set for computing header locations
2453         ...
2477         if (x >= max_x)
2478             x -= max_x;
2479         else
2480             x += alpha;
2481         ...
2482         h = x + max_letter + 1; // now max_letter < h <= trie_size - max_letter
2483         ...
    }
```

```

2506         if (h > max_h - tolerance) {
...
2523             last_h = add(h, tolerance2) - mod_x;
2524         }
2525         else {
...
2533             last_h = h + tolerance;
2534         }
2535     };
2536
2537     const auto compute_the_next_trial_header_location = [&]() {
2538         // 25. Compute the next trial header location h, or abort find
...
2545         if (h == last_h)
2546             return false;
2547         if (h == max_h)
2548             h = max_letter + 1;
2549         else
2550             h ++;
2551         return true;
2552     };
...
2642 }

```

Copyright © 2016 **Ștefan Vargyas**

This file is part of **Hash-Trie**.

Hash-Trie is free software: you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation, either version 3 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.

Hash-Trie is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License along with **Hash-Trie**. If not, see <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/>.