

## Gaps between consecutive Primes

$$\mathbb{P} := \{\text{primes}\} = \{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \nearrow$$

$$\pi(x) := |\mathbb{P} \cap [1, x]|.$$

PNT :  $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \sim \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} \Rightarrow$  density function for  $\mathbb{P} = \frac{1}{\log x}$ .



$$p_n \sim n \log n \Rightarrow \text{average gap } p_{n+1} - p_n \approx \log n \sim \log p_n$$

Q : What is the distribution of  $p_{n+1} - p_n$  ?

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) = \infty. \\ & \text{Bertrand's postulate} \\ & \Rightarrow p_{n+1} < 2p_n. \\ & \text{RH} \Rightarrow p_{n+1} - p_n = O(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n). \\ & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) = ? \end{aligned}$$

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \left\{ p \leq x : \frac{p_{\text{next}} - p}{\log p} \in [a, b] \right\} ? \quad \text{Twin prime pair}$$

$$2996863034895 \cdot 2^{1290000} \pm 1$$

Here  $0 \leq a < b$  are fixed.

Correspondingly, one may ask what is

$$(2) \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \{ n \leq x : \pi(n + \lambda \log n) - \pi(n) = k \} ?$$

Here  $\lambda > 0$  and  $k \geq 0$  are given.

Answer: We don't know. But we have a few reasonable conjectures.

### Cramér's Model

Let  $1_{\mathbb{P}}$  be the indicator function of  $\mathbb{P}$ , i.e.,

$$1_{\mathbb{P}}(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{P}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Cramér's model:  $1_{\mathbb{P}}$  behaves roughly like a sequence  $\{x(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of

independent Bernoulli random variables  $X(n)$  defined by  $X(1) = 0$ ,  $X(2) = 1$ , and  $\forall n \geq 3$ ,

$$X(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{\log n}, \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{\log n}. \end{cases}$$

Accepting Cramér's model, let us provide an answer to (1). Let

$p \in \mathbb{P}$  be a large prime. So  $X(p) = 1$ . For every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , the probability

that  $X(p+1) = \dots = X(p+h-1) = 0$  and  $X(p+h) = 1$  (i.e.,  $P_{\text{next}} = p+h$ ) is

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p+1)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p+2)}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p+h-1)}\right) \frac{1}{\log(p+h)}.$$

If  $h$  is small compared to  $p$ , say  $h \asymp \log p$ , the above is

$$\sim \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p}\right)^{h-1} \frac{1}{\log p} = \exp\left((h-1)\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\log p}$$

$$\sim e^{-\frac{h-1}{\log p}} \frac{1}{\log p}.$$

Given  $0 \leq a < b$ , the probability that  $p_{\text{next}} \in [p + a \log p, p + b \log p]$  is

$$\approx \sum_{h \in [a \log p, b \log p]} e^{-\frac{h-1}{\log p}} \frac{1}{\log p} \approx \sum_{\frac{h}{\log p} \in [a, b]} e^{-\frac{h}{\log p}} \frac{1}{\log p} \approx \int_a^b e^{-t} dt.$$

Conjecture 1. Given  $0 \leq a < b$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \{p \leq x : p_{\text{next}} \in [p + a \log p, p + b \log p]\} = \int_a^b e^{-t} dt.$$

In other words,  $\frac{p_{\text{next}} - p}{\log p}$  behaves like a random variable for exponential distribution with parameter 1.

Conjecture 2. Given  $\lambda > 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \# \left\{ 2 \leq n \leq x : \pi(n + \lambda \log n) - \pi(n) = k \right\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

In other words, the limit distribution of the number of primes in

intervals of length  $\asymp \log n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  is a Poisson distribution.

This is because  $\mathbb{P}(X(j) = 1 \text{ for precisely } k \text{ of the } j's \text{ in } [n+1, n+\lambda \log n])$  is

$$\sim \binom{\lfloor \lambda \log n \rfloor}{k} \left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right)^k \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\log n} \right)^{\lfloor \lambda \log n \rfloor - k} \sim \frac{(\lambda \log n)^k}{k!} \left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right)^k e^{-\frac{\lambda \log n - k}{\log n}} \sim \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

### The Hardy-Littlewood Prime $k$ -tuples Conjecture

Conjecture 3 (Hardy-Littlewood) Let  $H = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a set

of  $k \geq 1$  monic polynomials of degree 1. Then

$$\# \left\{ n \leq x : f_1(n), \dots, f_k(n) \in \mathbb{P} \right\} \sim \mathcal{G}(H) \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^k} \sim \mathcal{G}(H) \frac{x}{(\log x)^k}.$$

Here

$$G(H) := \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{v_H(p)}{p}\right),$$

where  $v_H(p) := \#\{f_i(0) \in \mathbb{F}_p : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ .

$k=1 \Leftrightarrow PNT$ .

$k=2 \Leftrightarrow$  The Twin Prime Conjecture!

$$\pi_2(x) := \#\{p \leq x : p+2 \in \mathbb{P}\} \sim 2C_2 \cdot \frac{x}{(\ln x)^2}, \text{ where}$$

$$C_2 = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right).$$

Cramér's model would yield a different value for  $C_2$ , mainly

because  $n$  and  $n+2$  are clearly dependent.

Nevertheless, one can show Conjecture 3  $\Rightarrow$  Conjectures 1 & 2.

## Heuristics for the Hardy - Littlewood Conjecture based on Cramér's Model

Let  $p \geq 2$  be a prime. Cramér's model predicts

$$\#\{n \leq x : f_1(n), \dots, f_k(n) \in \mathbb{P}\} \sim \frac{x}{(\ln x)^k} \quad (*)$$

by assuming the events  $Pf_1(n), \dots, Pf_k(n)$  are mutually independent for

a randomly chosen  $n$ . But this assumption is clearly faulty. In fact,

$P(Pf_1(n), \dots, Pf_k(n))$  is  $1 - \frac{\nu_{\mathcal{H}}(p)}{p}$  rather than  $(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k$ . To

adjust our prediction, we need to multiply the RHS of  $(*)$  by

$$\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{\nu_{\mathcal{H}}(p)}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} = G(\mathcal{H})$$
 and assume that local condition  $Pf_1(n), \dots, Pf_k(n)$

is sufficient for the existence of infinitely many prime tuples.

## Large Gaps between Primes

If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $n! + 2, n! + 3, \dots, n! + n$  are all composite. Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) = \infty.$$

Cramér's model suggests

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n} = \infty, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{(\log p_n)^2} = c.$$

This was proved by Westzynthius (1931).

Westzynthius (1931) :  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n \cdot \log_3 p_n}}{\log_4 p_n} \geq c_1$

Erdős (1935) :  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n \cdot \log_2 p_n}}{(\log_3 p_n)^2} \geq c_2$

Rankin (1938) :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n, \log_2 p_n, \log_3 p_n}}{\left(\log_3 p_n\right)^2} \geq C_3.$$

Ford - Green - Konyagin - Tao (2014) and Maynard (2014) :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n, \log_2 p_n, \log_3 p_n}}{\left(\log_3 p_n\right)^2} = \infty.$$

Ford - Green - Konyagin - Maynard - Tao (2018) :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n, \log_2 p_n, \log_3 p_n}}{\log_3 p_n} \geq C_4.$$

Tao conjectures

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n, \log_2 p_n, \log_3 p_n}}{\log_3 p_n} = \infty.$$

Here  $\log_k x := \underbrace{\log \log \dots \log}_{k\text{-fold}} x$ .

## Small Gaps between Primes

Cramér's model suggests

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n} = 0.$$

This was confirmed by Goldston, Pintz and Yıldırım (2005).

Zhang (2013) :  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 7 \cdot 10^7$ .

PolyMath (2013) :  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 4680$ .

Maynard (2013) :  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 600$ .

PolyMath (2014) :  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 246$ .

Twin Prime Conjecture :  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) = 2$ .

Heuristics for Conjecture 2  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 1.

$$A_k(x) := \#\{2 \leq n \leq x : \pi(n + \lambda \log n) - \pi(n) = k\} \sim \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \cdot x$$

$$\Rightarrow \#\{p \leq x : \pi(p + \lambda \log p) - \pi(p) = k\}$$

$$= \sum_{n \leq x-1} A_k(n) (1_{\mathbb{P}}(n) - 1_{\mathbb{P}}(n+1)) + A_k(x) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{P}}(\lfloor x \rfloor)$$

$$\approx \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \left( \sum_{n \leq x-1} n (1_{\mathbb{P}}(n) - 1_{\mathbb{P}}(n+1)) + \lfloor x \rfloor \cdot 1_{\mathbb{P}}(\lfloor x \rfloor) \right) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \pi(x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \#\{p \leq x : \pi(p + \lambda \log p) - \pi(p) = k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \#\{p \leq x : p_{\text{next}} \in (p + a \log p, p + b \log p]\}$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} (\#\{p \leq x : \pi(p + a \log p) - \pi(p) = 0\} - \#\{p \leq x : \pi(p + b \log p) - \pi(p) = 0\})$$

$$= e^{-a} - e^{-b} = \int_a^b e^{-t} dt,$$

Hardy-Littlewood  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 2

$$\sum_{1 \leq h_1 < \dots < h_k \leq h} \pi(x; \{h_1, \dots, h_k\})$$

Thm (Gallagher)  $\sum_{1 \leq h_1 < \dots < h_k \leq h} G(H_k) \sim \sum_{1 \leq h_1 < \dots < h_k \leq h} 1 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$

Let  $M_k(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} (\pi(n+h) - \pi(n))^k$ . Then

$$M_k(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{P_1, \dots, P_k \in [n, n+h]} 1 = \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_k \leq h} \pi(x; H_k) = \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} r! \sum_{1 \leq h_1 < \dots < h_r \leq h} \pi(x; H_r)$$

where  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\}$  is Stirling's number of the 2<sup>nd</sup> kind,  $H_r := \{h_1, \dots, h_r\}$  and

$$\pi(x; H_r) := \#\{n \leq x : n+h_1, \dots, n+h_r \in \mathbb{P}\} \sim G(H_r) \frac{x}{(\ln x)^r}.$$

$$\text{Thus } M_k(x) \sim \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} r! \binom{h}{r} \frac{x}{(\ln x)^r} \sim \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} \left( \frac{h}{\ln x} \right)^r x.$$

For  $h \sim \lambda \ln x$ ,  $M_k(x) \sim \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} \lambda^r x$ . But  $\sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} \lambda^r$  is the

$k$ th moment of a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ .