

# MATH 173 PROBLEM SET 3

Stepan (Styopa) Zharkov

April 20, 2022

**Problem 1.** TODO

◁

**Solution.** As the hint suggests,  $u' = 0$  means by definition  $u(\phi) = 0$  for any  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . For any  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , let  $\phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be a bump function such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_0(x) dx = 1$ . Let  $\hat{\psi} = \psi - \phi_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx$ . We see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 0.$$

So, we can let

$$\phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \hat{\psi}(x) dx.$$

We see  $\hat{\psi}$  has compact support and is in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  (since it is the sum of two compact support functions). Since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(x) dx = 0$ , we know  $\phi$  must have compact support as well and be in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Now, let  $c = u(\phi_0)$ . We see that by linearity of  $u$ ,

$$u(\psi) = u(\hat{\psi}) + u(\phi_0) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = u(\phi') + c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 0 + c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx,$$

which is exactly what we wanted to prove. □

**Problem 2.TODO**

◁

**Solution.** First, let us define  $f(x - ct)$  in a way that aligns with the case that  $f$  is a nice function. We see that if  $f$  were nice, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x - ct)(\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x - ct)\phi(t, x)dxdt \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z)\phi(s, z + cs)dzds \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z)\phi(s, z + cs)dsdz \\
 &= \int_{z \in \mathbb{R}} f(z) \int_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(s, z + cs)dsdz \\
 &= f\left(\int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(t, z + ct)dt\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we see that  $f(x - ct)(\phi) = f(\Phi)$  where  $\Phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(s, z + cs)ds$ . Note that  $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  because the integral of a smooth function is smooth and  $\phi$  is compactly supported. So, we can define  $u = f(x - ct)$ .

Now, we must show that  $u$  satisfies the PDE. This is done by simply writing out our definition and using the linearity of  $f$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (u_t + cu_x)(\phi) &= -f\left(\int_s \phi_t(s, z + cs)ds\right) - cf\left(\int_s \phi_x(s, z + cs)ds\right) \\
 &= -f\left(\int_s [\phi_t(s, z + cs) + s\phi_x(s, z + cs)]ds\right) \\
 &= -f\left(\int_s \partial_s \phi(s, z + cs)ds\right) \\
 &= -f(0) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used the fundamental theorem of calculus, that  $\phi$  has compact support, and that  $f$  is linear in the above computation.

Thus,  $u = f(x - ct)$  by definition and  $u$  solves the PDE in the sense of distribution, as we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Problem 3. TODO**

&lt;

**Solution.**

1. This part follows directly from what we have done in class. We can factor

$$u_{xx} - u_{xt} - 6u_{tt} = (\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)u,$$

so the general  $C^2$  solution is

$$u(x, t) = g(2x - t) + f(3x + t)$$

for some  $C^2$  functions  $f, g$ . □

2. This problem is similar to problem 2, but here we should apply a trick instead of mindlessly taking derivatives. We will split up  $(\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)$  and apply them to the parts of  $u$  in different orders. More precisely, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)u &= (\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)(g(2x - t) + f(3x + t)) \\ &= (\partial_t + 2\partial_t)(\partial_x - 3\partial_t)f(3x + t) + (\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)g(2x - t). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar derivation to that in problem 2, we can define

$$f(3x + t)(\phi) = f\left(\frac{1}{3} \int_s \phi(s, (z - s)/3) ds\right)$$

and

$$g(2x - t)(\phi) = g\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_s \phi(s, (z + s)/2) ds\right)$$

Now, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + 2\partial_t)(\partial_x - 3\partial_t)f(3x + t)(\phi) &= (\partial_t + 2\partial_t)(\partial_x - 3\partial_t)f\left(\frac{1}{3} \int_s \phi(s, (z - s)/3) ds\right) \\ &= -(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)f\left(\int_s \left[\frac{1}{3}\phi_x(s, (z - s)/3) - \phi_t(s, (z - s)/3)\right] ds\right) \\ &= -(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)f\left(\int_s \partial_s \phi(s, (z - s)/3) ds\right) \\ &= -(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)f(0) \\ &= -(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)0 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fundamental theorem of calculus along with the fact that  $\phi$  must have compact support.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)g(2x - t)(\phi) &= (\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)g\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_s \phi(s, (z + s)/2) ds\right) \\ &= -(\partial_t - 3\partial_t)g\left(\int_s \left[\frac{1}{2}\phi_x(s, (z + s)/2) + \phi_t(s, (z - s)/2)\right] ds\right) \\ &= -(\partial_t - 3\partial_t)g\left(\int_s \partial_s \phi(s, (z + s)/2) ds\right) \\ &= -(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)f(0) \\ &= -(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)0 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where, again, we used the fundamental theorem of calculus along with the fact that  $\phi$  must have compact support.

From this, we can conclude that

$$u_{xx} - u_{xt} - 6u_{tt} = (\partial_t + 2\partial_t)(\partial_x - 3\partial_t)f(3x + t) + (\partial_x - 3\partial_t)(\partial_t + 2\partial_t)g(2x - t) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

So  $v + w$  does indeed solve the PDE in part (a). □

**Problem 4.** TODO

◁

*Solution.* TODO

□

**Problem 5.** TODO

◁

**Solution.** We assume  $t \geq 0$ , as always. First, let's consider the case  $c = 0$ . Then, we have  $u_{tt} = 0$ , so  $u_t(x, t)$  is constant along the line  $\{(t, x_0)\}$  for any  $x_0$ . So,  $u(t, x)$  has a line of constant slope along any  $\{(t, x_0)\}$ . The starting point and the slope are defined by the initial conditions, so we see that

$$u(t, x) = \phi(x) + t\psi(x).$$

This vanishes when  $\phi(0) + t\psi = 0$ . Since  $\phi, \psi$  are positive,  $\phi$  must be 0, so  $|x| \geq 1$ . Any point where  $|x| \geq 1$  works.

We can also check that  $u$  is linear (and thus  $C^\infty$ ) everywhere except for the places where  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are not  $C^\infty$ . In other words  $u$  is  $C^\infty$  when  $x \neq -1, 0, 1$ .

Now, let's look at the nonzero case. We know from the notes that the solution is

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)) + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

Notice that we can assume  $c > 0$  because  $c < 0$  would also flip the integral, leading to the same solution.

We see that  $\phi, \psi$  are non-negative, so this vanishes only when  $\phi(x - ct) = 0, \phi(x + c) = 0$ , and  $\psi = 0$  on the interval  $(x - ct, x + ct)$ . For the analysis of when  $u$  vanishes, we will only consider  $c = 1$ . Instead of writing out the cases, consider the following picture of where this is true:

TODO: image

We see that  $u$  is  $C^\infty$  everywhere but the discontinuities of  $\phi, \psi$ . In other words,  $u$  is  $C^\infty$  when  $x + ct \neq -1, 0$ , or  $1$ .  $\square$

**Problem 6.** TODO

◁

**Solution.** As the hint suggests, consider  $v(x_0, x_n, t) = u(x_0, x_n, t) - u(x_0, -x_n, t)$ . Notice that

$$v_{tt} - c^2 \Delta_x v = u_{tt}(x_0, x_n, t) - u_{tt}(x_0, -x_n, t) - c^2 \Delta_x u(x_0, x_n, t) + c^2 \Delta_x u(x_0, -x_n, t) = f(x_0, x_n, t) - f(x_0, -x_n, t) = 0.$$

We also see that

$$v(x, x_n, 0) = u(x_0, x_n, 0) - u(x_0, -x_n, 0) = \phi(x_0, x_n) - \phi(x_0, -x_n)$$

and

$$v_t(x, x_n, 0) = u_t(x_0, x_n, 0) - u_t(x_0, -x_n, 0) = \psi(x_0, x_n) - \psi(x_0, -x_n).$$

So,  $v$  solves the equation  $v_{tt} - c^2 \Delta_x v = 0$  with 0 initial conditions. We know that the only solution to this is  $v = 0$ . Thus, we see that  $u(x_0, x_n, t) - u(x_0, -x_n, t) = v(x_0, x_n, t) = 0$ , so

$$u(x_0, x_n, t) = u(x_0, -x_n, t),$$

and  $u$  is even with respect to  $x_n$ , exactly as we wanted.

□

**Problem 7.** TODO

◁

*Solution.* TODO

□