# May 30, 2019

### 1 Summary

Random effects to have a nonparametric prior distribution with Dirichlet process prior.

### 2 Introduction

Individual i with  $n_i$  repeated measurements.

$$y_i = X_i \beta + Z_i b_i + e_i \quad i, \dots n$$

- $y_i$  is  $n_i \times 1$
- $X_i$  is  $n_i \times p$  matrix of fixed covariates
- $\beta$  is  $p \times 1$  regression coefficients parameter vector
- $Z_i$  is  $n_i \times v$  covariates
- $b_i \sim N_v(0, D)$  is  $v \times 1$  random effects
- $e_i \sim N_{ni}(0, \sigma^2 I_{n_i})$  is  $n_i \times 1$  vector of errors
- $e_i$  and  $b_i$  are independent

Under these assumption, assumes a distinct set of regression coefficients for each individual once the random effects are known

$$y_i|\beta, b_i \sim N_{n_i}(X_i\beta + Z_ib_i, \sigma^2 I_{n_i})$$

The distribution of random effects is usually taken to be normal. marginally

$$y_i|\beta, \sigma^2, D \sim N_{n_i}(X_i\beta, Z_iDZ_i' + \sigma^2 I_{n_i})$$

Bayesian inference for  $\beta$  using the marginal likelihood will depend only on $(y, \sigma^2, D)$  But the nature of this dependency will be sensitive to the distributional form ascribbed to the  $b_i$ , Thus it is important to setting a good prior to  $b_i$ 

#### 3 Mixture of Dirichlet Process

Stage 1: 
$$x_i | \theta_i \sim D_{n_i}(h_1(\theta_i)),$$
  
Stage 2:  $\theta_i | \Psi_0 \sim D_w(h_2(\Psi_0))$ 

- $D_s()$  is a s-dimensional multivariate distribution
- $h_1()$  and  $h_2()$  are functions
- G general distribution, or base measure that approximates the true nonparametic shape of G
- $\bullet$   $G_0$  is a w-dimensional parametric distribution
- Scalar M reflects how similar the nonparametric distribution G is to the base measure  $G_0$

MDP model removes assumption of a parametric prior at the second stage and replaces it with a general distribution G which has Dirichlet process prior.

Stage 1: 
$$x_i | \theta_i \sim D_{n_i}(h_1(\theta_i)),$$
  
Stage 2:  $\theta_i \sim^{iid} G$   
Stage 3:  $G|M, \Psi_0 \sim DP(M \cdot G_0(h_2(\Psi_0)))$ 

- As  $M \to \infty$ ,  $G \to G_0$ , so that the base measure is the prior distribution of  $\theta_i$
- if  $\theta_i = \theta$ , the base measure is the prior distribution of  $\theta_i$  too

# 4 Polya urn representation of Dirichlet process

- Draw of  $\theta_1$  always from the base measure
- Draw of  $\theta_2$  is equal to  $\theta_1$  with probability  $p_1$  and from base measure with  $p_0 = 1 p_1$
- Draw of  $\theta_3$  is equal to  $\theta_1$  with probability  $p_1$ , equal to  $\theta_2$  with probability  $p_2$  and from base measure with  $p_0 = 1 p_1 p_2$
- $\theta_n$  is equal to  $\theta_i$  with probability  $p_I$  and from from base measure with  $p_0 = 1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i$ . The value  $p_i$ 's are determined from the Dirichlet process parameters.

## 5 Conditional distribution of $\theta$

Conditional on the other  $\theta$ 's  $\theta_i$  has the mixture distribution

$$p(\theta_i|x,\theta_{-i}) \propto \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \delta_{\theta_j} + M q_0 h_0(\theta_i) p(x_i|\theta_i)$$

- $q_j$  and  $Mq_0$  can be normalized to get the selection probabilities  $p_i, i = 0, \dots n-1$
- $p(x_i|\theta_i)$  is the smapling distribution
- $\delta_s$  is degenerate distribution with point mass at s
- $g_0$  is density correspond to  $G_0$
- $q_j = p(x_i|\theta_j)$  and  $q_0 = \int p(x_i|\theta)g_0(\theta)d\theta$

## 6 DP prior in random effect model

Model of p fixed effects and v random effets is

$$y_i|\beta, b_i, \sigma^2 \sim N_{n_i}(X_i\beta + Z_ib_i, \sigma^2 I_{n_i})$$

Letting  $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ . priors are

$$\tau \sim Gamma\left(\frac{a_0}{2}, \frac{\lambda_0}{2}\right)$$
$$\beta \sim N_p(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$$
$$b_i \sim G$$
$$G \sim DP(M \cdot N_v(0, D))$$