

Jan 09, 2019

## 1 Model of peer effects with an endogenous network

### 1.1 Algebraic

$$y_i = \beta_1^0 \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N g_{ij,N} y_j + \mathbf{x}'_{1i} \beta_2^0 + \left( \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N g_{ij,N} \mathbf{x}_{1i} \right) \beta_3^0 + \nu_i \quad (1)$$

Suppose  $d_{ij,N}$  are the observed links among individuals  $i \in 1, \dots, N$ ,  $d_{ij,N} = 1$  if  $i$  and  $j$  are directly connected and 0 otherwise. Where  $\mathbf{x}'_{1i}$  are observed individual characteristics that affect the outcome  $y_i$ ,  $\nu_i$  are unobserved individual characteristics, and  $g_{ij,N} = 0$  if  $i = j$ ,  $g_{ij,N} = \frac{d_{ij,N}}{\sum_{j \neq i} d_{ij,N}}$  otherwise which is the weight of the peer effect.

$\beta_1^0$  captures the endogenous social effect, and  $\beta_3^0$  measures the exogenous social effect.

### 1.2 Matrix

$\mathbf{D}_N$  be the  $(N \times N)$  adjacency matrix of the network whose  $(i, j)^{th}$  element is  $d_{ij,N}$ .  $\mathbf{G}_N$  be the  $(N \times N)$  adjacency matrix of the network whose  $(i, j)^{th}$  element is  $g_{ij,N}$ .  $\mathbf{X}_{1N} = (\mathbf{x}'_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_{1N})'$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_N = (y_1, \dots, y_N)'$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\nu}_N = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)'$

$$\mathbf{y}_N = \beta_1 \mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{y}_N + \mathbf{X}_{1N} \beta_2 + \mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{X}_{1N} \beta_3 + \boldsymbol{\nu}_N \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_N = (\mathbf{I}_N - \beta_1 \mathbf{G}_N)^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{1N} \beta_2 + \mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{X}_{1N} \beta_3 + \boldsymbol{\nu}_N) \quad (3)$$

assuming that the peer group (or the network) is exogenous  $E[\nu_i | \mathbf{X}_{1N}, \mathbf{G}_N] = 0$ . the fact that the regressor  $\sum_{j=1}^N g_{ij,N} y_j$  is correlated with the error term  $\nu_i$ . For example, if  $\nu_i \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2)$ , it is true that

$$E[(\mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{y}_N)' \boldsymbol{\nu}_N] = E[(\mathbf{G}_N (\mathbf{I}_N - \beta_1 \mathbf{G}_N)^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{1N} \beta_2 + \mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{X}_{1N} \beta_3 + \boldsymbol{\nu}_N))' \boldsymbol{\nu}_N] \quad (4)$$

$$= E[(\mathbf{G}_N (\mathbf{I}_N - \beta_1 \mathbf{G}_N)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_N)' \boldsymbol{\nu}_N] = \sigma_0 \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}_N (\mathbf{I}_N - \beta_1 \mathbf{G}_N)^{-1}) \neq 0 \quad (5)$$

One of the widely used estimation methods is the Instrumental Variable (IV) approach based on using  $\mathbf{G}_N^2 \mathbf{X}_{1N}$  as the IV of the endogenous regressor  $\mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{y}_N$ . Then, the natural estimator is the Two-Stage Least Squares (2SLS) estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_N^{2SLS} = (\mathbf{W}_N' \mathbf{Z}_N (\mathbf{Z}_N' \mathbf{Z}_N)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_N' \mathbf{W}_N) \mathbf{W}_N' \mathbf{Z}_N (\mathbf{Z}_N' \mathbf{Z}_N)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_N' \mathbf{y}_N \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_N = [\mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{y}_N, \mathbf{X}_{1N}, \mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{X}_{1N}]$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_N = [\mathbf{X}_{1N}, \mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{X}_{1N}, \mathbf{G}_N^2 \mathbf{X}_{1N}]$  we assume  $\beta_2^0 \neq 0$

When the network matrix is endogenous,  $E[\mathbf{G}_N | \boldsymbol{\nu}_N] \neq 0$ , the procedure is no longer valid since the IV matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_N = [\mathbf{X}_{1N}, \mathbf{G}_N \mathbf{X}_{1N}, \mathbf{G}_N^2 \mathbf{X}_{1N}]$  is correlated with the error term  $\boldsymbol{\nu}_N$ . Specifically, the validity of the 2SLS estimator depends on the orthogonality condition  $E[\boldsymbol{\nu}_N | \mathbf{Z}_N] = 0$ , which is implied if  $E[\boldsymbol{\nu}_N | \mathbf{X}_{1N}, \mathbf{D}_N] = 0$ . However, it does not hold if the network  $\mathbf{D}_N$  (or equivalently, the network  $\mathbf{G}_N$ ) is correlated with  $\boldsymbol{\nu}_N$ .

## 2 Endogenous Network Formation and Identification of peer effects

### 2.1 Formation

Let  $\mathbf{x}_{2i}$  be a vector of observable characteristics of individual  $i$ , and let  $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_{1i} \cup \mathbf{x}_{2i}$ . Define  $\mathbf{X}_{2N}$  analogously to  $\mathbf{X}_{1N}$  and let  $\mathbf{X}_N = \mathbf{X}_{1N} \cup \mathbf{X}_{2N}$ . We introduce  $a_i$ , a scalar unobserved characteristic of individual  $i$ , which is treated as an individual fixed-effect, and hence, might be correlated with  $\mathbf{x}_i$ . We denote the vector of individual unobserved characteristics by  $\mathbf{a}_N = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)'$ . Individuals are connected by an undirected network  $\mathbf{D}_N$ , with the  $(i, j)^{th}$  element  $d_{ij,N} = 1$  if  $i$  and  $j$  are directly connected and 0 otherwise. We assume the network to be undirected,  $d_{ij,N} = d_{ji,N}$ , and assume  $d_{ii,N} = 0$  for all  $i$ , following the convention. In this case, there are  $n = \binom{N}{2}$  dyads. Let  $\mathbf{t}_{ij}$  denote an  $l_T \times 1$  vector of dyad-specific characteristics of dyad  $ij$ , and we assume that  $\mathbf{t}_{ij} = t(\mathbf{x}_{2i}, \mathbf{x}_{2j})$ .

$$d_{ij,N} = I(g(t(\mathbf{x}_{2i}, \mathbf{x}_{2j}), a_i, a_j) - u_{ij} \geq 0) \quad (7)$$

$$d_{ij,N} = I(t(\mathbf{x}_{2i}, \mathbf{x}_{2j})' \lambda + a_i + a_j - u_{ij} > 0) \quad (8)$$

where  $I()$  is an indicator function.