# Assignment 6 (10/10)

#### Subhadip Chowdhury

**Note:** I understand that the quiz was a bit long. Depending on average class performance the second quiz might be weighed differently.

# Problem 1

Problem 2.2.(21,22).

## Problem 2

Give an  $\epsilon - \delta$  proof of the following:

(a)  $\lim_{x \to 3} (x - 2) = 1$ .

(b)  $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{3x+2}{5} = 1$ .

(c)  $\lim_{x\to 2} 1 = 1$ .

Follow this proforma to write the proofs: For example, let's show that  $\lim_{x\to 0} (2x+1) = 1$ . Proof: Let us take an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ . We want to prove that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < |x - 0| < \delta \implies |2x + 1 - 1| < \epsilon.$$

So, we want to find a  $\delta$  such that  $0 < |x| < \delta$  implies  $|2x| < \epsilon$ . Clearly it suffices to take  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , since that would imply

$$|x| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \implies |2x| < \epsilon.$$

[Proved]

### Couple of notes:

- $\bullet$  In these proofs, your main goal is to find a  $\delta$  that works.
- $\delta$  depends on  $\epsilon$ . But  $\epsilon$  does not depend on anything. We only know that  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- We are finding a  $\delta$  such that the 'implication', i.e. the following claim:

$$0 < |x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - l| < \epsilon$$

is true. It is not enough for only one of the above two statements to be true, we need to show that one *implies* the other.

- $\delta$  is a function of  $\epsilon$ , but not necessarily bigger or smaller than  $\epsilon$ .
- For an  $\epsilon$ , there might be multiple values of  $\delta$  which work. For example, in the above proof, if we had chosen  $\delta = \epsilon/4$ , the proof would still work. We will then write

$$|x|<\frac{\epsilon}{4}\implies |2x|<\epsilon/2\implies |2x|<\epsilon$$

since  $\epsilon > 0$ .