

Homework 3

● Graded

2 Days, 23 Hours Late

Student

Subhajyoti Saha

Total Points

96 / 120 pts

Question 1

Problem 1

13 / 15 pts

+ 15 pts Fully correct answer

✓ + 5 pts Part 1 (unbiased expectation) correct

+ 4 pts Part 1 mostly correct with some minor errors

+ 3 pts Part 1 somewhat correct with some major errors but the basic approach is correct

+ 10 pts Part 2 (variance of the approximation) correct

✓ + 8 pts Part 2 mostly correct with some minor errors

+ 6 pts Part 2 somewhat correct with some major errors but the basic approach is correct

+ 0 pts Incorrect or not attempted

💬 In part 2, you have not stated why you can write the variance in LHS of line 1 as RHS. It is only when the r.v.'s are independent but this needed to be stated explicitly. You have directly written it without any explanation.

Question 2

Problem 2

26 / 30 pts

✓ + 30 pts Fully correct answer

+ 8 pts Basic steps correct

+ 6 pts Correct mean-field posterior for w

+ 6 pts Correct mean-field posterior for β

+ 6 pts Correct mean-field posterior for α

+ 4 pts Overall approach (the VI algorithm steps) correctly shown with appropriate expectations correctly specified

✓ - 4 pts Didn't have this: Overall approach (the VI algorithm steps) correctly shown with appropriate expectations correctly specified. At least the expectations required in the mean-field distributions should be specified clearly.

+ 0 pts Incorrect or not attempted

Question 3

Problem 3

12 / 20 pts

+ 20 pts Fully correct answer for all parts

+ 7 pts Correct CP for each λ

✓ + 4 pts Somewhat correct CP for λ but some mistakes

+ 7 pts Correct CP for α

✓ + 4 pts Somewhat correct CP for α but some mistakes

+ 6 pts Correct CP for β

✓ + 4 pts Somewhat correct CP for β but some mistakes

+ 0 pts Incorrect or not attempted

Question 4

Problem 4

5 / 15 pts

+ 15 pts Fully correct answer for all parts

✓ + 5 pts Correct answer for the mean

+ 4 pts Mostly correct answer for the mean but with some small errors

+ 10 pts Correct answer for the variance

+ 8 pts Mostly correct answer for the variance but with some small errors

+ 0 pts Incorrect or not attempted

Incorrect expression for the variance

Question 5

Problem 5

40 / 40 pts

✓ + 20 pts Correct implementation of the rejection sampler

+ 15 pts Mostly correct implementation of the rejection sampler with some minor mistakes

✓ + 20 pts Correct implementation of the MH sampler, correct plots, and correct answer to the questions about σ^2 and rejection rate

+ 15 pts Mostly correct implementation of the MH sampler with some minor mistakes in implementation or not answering the questions about σ^2 and rejection rate correctly

+ 0 pts Did not attempt

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

1 Monte-Carlo Approximations (15 marks)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f} &= \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S f(z^{(s)}) \\ \Rightarrow E[\hat{f}] &= \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S E[f(z^{(s)})] \\ &= \frac{1}{S} S \cdot E[f] \\ &= E[f] \text{ [Prooved]}\end{aligned}$$

It is prooved that the MCMC preserves the mean.

$$\begin{aligned}Var[\hat{f}] &= \frac{1}{S^2} \sum_{i=1}^S Var[f(z^{(s)})] \\ &= \frac{1}{S^2} S \cdot Var[f] \\ &= \frac{1}{S} Var[f] \\ &= \frac{1}{S} E[(f - E[f])^2]\end{aligned}$$

From the above equation, it is proven that the variation of MCMC samplers got reduced as the no of samples got incresed.

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

Student Name: Subhajyoti Saha

Roll Number: 21111269

Date: November 18, 2022

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln q^*(w) &= E_{\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_D} [\ln P(y, X, w, \beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_D)] + \text{const} \\
 &= E_{\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_D} [\ln P(y|X, w) P(w) P(\beta) P(\alpha_1) \dots P(\alpha_D)] + \text{const} \\
 &= \int q(\beta) \ln P(y|X, w) d\beta + \int q(\alpha_1) \dots q(\alpha_D) \ln P(w) d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_D + \text{const} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int q(\beta) \ln P(y_i|x_i, w) d\beta + \int q(\alpha_1) \dots q(\alpha_D) \sum_{i=1}^D \ln P(w_i|\alpha_i) d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_D + \text{const} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int q(\beta) \ln P(y_i|x_i, w) d\beta + \sum_{i=1}^D \int q(\alpha_i) \ln P(w_i|\alpha_i) d\alpha_i + \text{const} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int q(\beta) \ln N(y_i|w_i^T x_i, \beta^{-1}) d\beta + \sum_{i=1}^D \int q(\alpha_i) \ln N(w_i|0, \alpha_i^{-1}) d\alpha_i + \text{const} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n -E_{\beta} \left[\frac{\beta}{2} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 \right] - \sum_{i=1}^D E_{\alpha_i} \left[\frac{\alpha_i}{2} w_i^2 \right] + \text{const} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E_{\beta}[\beta]}{2} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{E_{\alpha_i}[\alpha_i]}{2} w_i^2 + \text{const} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E_{\beta}[\beta]}{2} (w^T x_i x_i^T w - 2y_i w^T x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{E_{\alpha_i}[\alpha_i]}{2} w_i^2 + \text{const} \\
 &= - \frac{1}{2} w^T [E_{\beta}[\beta] \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T + \text{diag}(E_{\alpha_1}[\alpha_1], \dots, E_{\alpha_D}[\alpha_D])] w + w^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \right) E_{\beta}[\beta] + \text{const} \\
 \Rightarrow \ln q^*(w) &= \ln N(w|\mu, \Sigma) \\
 \Rightarrow (\Sigma^{-1}) &= \left[E_{\beta}[\beta] \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T + \text{diag}(E_{\alpha_1}[\alpha_1], \dots, E_{\alpha_D}[\alpha_D]) \right] \\
 \mu &= \Sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \right) E_{\beta}[\beta]
 \end{aligned}$$

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln q^*(\beta) &= E_{w, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_D} [\ln P(y, X, w, \beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_D)] + \text{const} \\
&= E_w [\ln P(y|X, w) + \ln P(\beta)] + \text{const} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n E_w [\ln N(y_i | w^T x_i, \beta^{-1})] + \ln \text{Gamma}(\beta | a_o, b_o) + \text{const} \\
&= \frac{n}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n E_w [(y_i - w^T x_i)^2] + (a_o - 1) \ln \beta - b_o \ln \beta \\
&= \frac{n}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - E_w[w]^T x_i)^2 + (a_o - 1) \ln \beta - b_o \ln \beta + \text{const} \\
&= (\frac{n}{2} + a_o - 1) \ln \beta - (b_o + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - E_w[w]^T x_i)^2) \beta + \text{const} \\
\Rightarrow \ln q^*(\beta) &= \ln \text{Gamma}(\beta | \hat{a}, \hat{b}) \\
\Rightarrow \hat{a} &= (\frac{n}{2} + a_o) \\
\Rightarrow \hat{b} &= (b_o + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - E_w[w]^T x_i)^2) \\
\ln q^*(\alpha_i) &= E_{w, \beta, \alpha_{j:j \neq i}} [\ln P(y, X, w, \beta, \alpha_{j:j \neq i})] + \text{const} \\
&= E_w [\ln P(w) + \ln P(\alpha_i)] + \text{const} \\
&= E_w [\sum_{i=1}^D \ln P(w_i) + \ln P(\alpha_i)] + \text{const} \\
&= E_w [\sum_{i=1}^D \ln N(w_i | 0, \alpha_i) + \ln P(\alpha_i)] + \text{const} \\
&= E_w [\ln N(w_i | 0, \alpha_i) + \ln P(\alpha_i)] + \text{const} \\
&= E_w [\frac{1}{2} \ln \alpha_i - \frac{\alpha_i}{2} w_i^2 + (e_o - 1) \ln \alpha_i - f_o \alpha_i] + \text{const} \\
&= (\frac{1}{2} + e_o - 1) \ln \alpha_i - (\frac{E_w[w_i^2]}{2} + f_o) \alpha_i + \text{const} \\
\Rightarrow \ln q^*(\alpha_i) &= \ln \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i | \hat{e}, \hat{f}) \\
\Rightarrow \hat{e} &= \frac{1}{2} + e_o \\
\Rightarrow \hat{f} &= \frac{E_w[w_i^2]}{2} + f_o
\end{aligned}$$

The above distribution is same for all $q^*(\alpha_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, D$.

Question assigned to the following page: [3](#)

Student Name: Subhajyoti Saha

Roll Number: 21111269

Date: November 18, 2022

The CPs of all the variable are shown below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\lambda_i | \lambda_{j \neq i}, \alpha, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= P(\lambda_i | x_1, \alpha, \beta) \\
 &= P(x_i | \lambda_i) P(\lambda_i | \alpha, \beta) \\
 &= \text{Poisson}(x_i | \lambda_i) \text{Gamma}(\lambda_i | \alpha, \beta) \\
 &= \frac{\lambda_i^{x_i} e^{-\lambda_i}}{x_i!} \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda_i}{\beta}} \\
 &\propto \lambda_i^{x_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-\frac{\lambda_i(1+\beta)}{\beta}} \\
 \Rightarrow P(\lambda_i | x_1, \alpha, \beta) &= \text{Gamma}(\lambda_i | \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) \\
 \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} &= x_i + \alpha \\
 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} \\
 P(\alpha | \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N, x_1, \dots, x_N, \beta) &= P(\alpha | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N, \beta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N P(\lambda_i | \alpha, \beta) P(\alpha) P(\beta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \text{Gamma}(\lambda_i | \alpha, \beta) \text{Gamma}(\alpha | a, b) \text{Gamma}(\beta | c, d)
 \end{aligned}$$

The above CP is not in closed form.

We may use MH to sample from this.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\beta | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N, x_1, \dots, x_N, \alpha) &= P(\beta | \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N, \alpha) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N P(\lambda_i | \alpha, \beta) P(\beta) P(\alpha) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \text{Gamma}(\lambda_i | \alpha, \beta) \text{Gamma}(\alpha | a, b) \text{Gamma}(\beta | c, d)
 \end{aligned}$$

The above CP is also not in closed form.

Question assigned to the following page: [4](#)

$$\begin{aligned} P(r_{ij}|R) &\approx P(\hat{r}_{ij}|R) \\ &= \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S P(r_{ij}|u_i^{(s)}, v_j^{(s)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S N(r_{ij}|u_i^{(s)T} v_j^{(s)}, \beta^{-1}) \\ \Rightarrow E[r_{ij}|R] &= \int r_{ij} p(r_{ij}|R) dr_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{S} \int r_{ij} \sum_{s=1}^S N(r_{ij}|u_i^{(s)T} v_j^{(s)}, \beta^{-1}) dr_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \int r_{ij} N(r_{ij}|u_i^{(s)T} v_j^{(s)}, \beta^{-1}) dr_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S (u_i^{(s)T} v_j^{(s)}) \\ \Rightarrow \text{Var}_{P(\hat{r}_{ij}|R)}[r_{ij}|R] &= \text{Var}[u_i^T v_j + \epsilon] \\ &= \text{Var}[\epsilon] \text{ [The other one is const.]} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \end{aligned}$$