

ESTIMATED REVENUE IMPACT

(Revenue Saved Through Targeted Retention)

1. Purpose of This Estimation

The objective of this section is to **quantify the potential revenue protected** by implementing targeted retention strategies for high-risk customer segments identified in the analysis.

This estimation is **directional**, not predictive, and is intended to support **strategic decision-making**.

2. Key Inputs from the Analysis

Based on the Power BI report:

- **Total customers: 2,000**
- **High-Value At-Risk customers: $\sim 4.8\% \approx 96$ customers**
- **Total spend from High-Value At-Risk segment: $\approx ₹4.0$ million**
- **Average spend per High-Value At-Risk customer: $\approx ₹41,600$**

These customers represent a **small segment by count but a disproportionately high share of revenue**.

3. Assumptions (Conservative & Realistic)

To avoid overstatement, the following conservative assumptions are used:

1. Not all at-risk customers will churn
2. Retention strategies are not 100% effective
3. Only **partial churn prevention** is assumed

Retention effectiveness scenarios evaluated:

- Low case: 10% churn reduction
- Base case: 15% churn reduction
- High case: 20% churn reduction

4. Estimated Revenue Protected

♦ **Base Case (15% Retention Improvement)**

- Customers retained:
 $96 \times 15\% \approx \mathbf{14 \text{ customers}}$
- Revenue protected:
 $14 \times ₹41,600 \approx \mathbf{₹582,000}$

♦ **Scenario Analysis**

| Scenario | Retention Improvement | Customers Retained | Estimated Revenue Saved |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|

| | | | |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Low Case | 10% | ~10 | ~₹416,000 |
| Base Case | 15% | ~14 | ~₹582,000 |
| High Case | 20% | ~19 | ~₹790,000 |

5. Additional Upside (Not Quantified)

The above estimates **exclude**:

- Long-term customer lifetime value (LTV)
- Cross-sell and upsell potential
- Reduced acquisition costs
- Improved portfolio stability

Including these factors would **significantly increase total impact**, but they are intentionally excluded to keep estimates conservative.

6. Business Interpretation

- Even a **small improvement in retention (10–20%)** among high-value at-risk customers can protect **₹0.4–0.8 million** in revenue.
 - Targeted retention is **far more cost-effective** than broad, untargeted campaigns.
 - This validates the strategic importance of **segmentation-driven retention**.
-

7. Executive Takeaway

“By focusing retention efforts on a small group of high-value at-risk customers, the bank can conservatively protect ₹0.5–0.8 million in annual revenue with limited incremental cost.”