

# PATH CONNECTEDNESS

ADITYA KARNATAKI

We have seen connectedness property of spaces and how it can tell us more about the shape of the space. Today We will explore a stronger property called path-connectedness. A path-connected space is one in which you can essentially walk continuously from any point to any other point.

## 1. PATH CONNECTEDNESS

**Definition 1.1.** Given points  $x, y \in X$  a *path* in  $X$  from  $x$  to  $y$  is a continuous map  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  with  $f(a) = x$  and  $f(b) = y$ , where  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . A space  $X$  is *path connected* if for any two points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$  there exists a path in  $X$  from  $x$  to  $y$ .

*Remark 1.2.* Note that by a linear change of variables, we can take  $[a, b] = [0, 1]$  without loss of generality.

**Definition 1.3.** We can define an equivalence relation  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  there exists a path between  $x$  and  $y$ . The equivalence classes are called ‘path components’ of  $X$ . (We will return to these in more detail in algebraic topology.) Note that this is an equivalence relation because : (1) a point is connected to itself by the constant path  $f(t) = x$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and (2) paths can always be reversed via the variable change  $t \rightarrow 1 - t$ , and (3) two paths which have a common endpoint can always be concatenated by a linear variable change. (Make sure you know how to write this down!)

**Proposition 1.4.** *If  $X$  is path connected,  $X$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  admits a separation  $X = U \sqcup V$  where  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint open subsets. Let  $x \in U$ . Then, given any other point  $y \in X$  and a path  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  which connects  $x$  to  $y$ , we have that  $f([a, b]) \subseteq U$  since  $f([a, b])$  must be connected. This implies that  $y \in U$ . Since  $y$  was an arbitrary point in  $X$ , this implies that  $U = X$  and thus  $V = \emptyset$  is the only possibility, and we are done.  $\square$

The converse is not true. The canonical example is the ‘topologist’s sine curve’.

**Example 1.5.** Let  $S := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = \sin(1/x), x > 0\}$  and  $\bar{S} := S \cup \{(0, 0)\}$ . Note that this definition differs a bit from the definition in Munkres’s book.

$S$  is connected, since it is the continuous image of the interval  $(0, \infty)$ , and  $(0, 0)$  is a limit point of  $S$ , so  $\bar{S}$  is connected.

But  $\bar{S}$  is not path-connected since there is no path that can connect, say  $(\frac{1}{\pi}, 0) \in S$  to the point  $(0, 0)$ . Indeed, if there were such a path  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \bar{S}$ , then by the intermediate value theorem, the  $x$ -coordinate would need to take all the values between  $1/\pi$  and  $0$ , so that there exist  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots \in [a, b]$  such that

$$f(t_n) = \left( \frac{1}{2n\pi + \pi/2}, 1 \right)$$

and thus  $f(t_n) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We can then find a convergent subsequence of  $\{t_n\}$  which converges to some  $t_\infty$ . (Remember this fact from analysis course!) Then by continuity of  $f$ , we have  $f(t_\infty) = (0, 1) \notin \bar{S}$ , which is a contradiction.

However, for “well-behaved” spaces, path connectedness is the same as connectedness.

**Proposition 1.6.** *If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, then  $A$  is connected if and only if it is path connected.*

*Proof.* We need to show that  $A$  as above is path connected if it is connected. The key claim in this proof then is the following :

**Claim:** If  $A$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the path components of  $A$  are open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Indeed, if  $x \in A$ , then there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(x) \subseteq A$ , and any two points of  $B_r(x)$  can be connected by a straight line segment. So all of  $B_r(x)$  is in the same path component as  $x$ , since if there is a path from some  $a \in A$  to  $x$ , then we can add the corresponding line segment to any other point in  $B_r(x)$  to get a path to that point - and vice versa. Hence, path component of  $x$  is open. Since  $x$  was arbitrary, the claim is proven.

Equipped with the claim, we can take  $U$  to be the path component of some  $a \in A$ , and  $V$  to be the union of all the other path components. Then,  $X = U \sqcup V$  as a union of open sets, which by hypothesis of connectedness of  $X$  must mean that  $U = X$  and  $V = \emptyset$  and thus  $X$  has only one path component, i.e.  $X$  is path connected.  $\square$

The claim in the above proof is evidently an important property. This leads to the following definition (the relation will be clear after the proposition that will follow the definition).

**Definition 1.7.**  $X$  is said to be *locally connected at  $x$*  if for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there is a connected neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  contained in  $U$ . If  $X$  is locally connected at each of its points, it is said to be locally connected.

$X$  is said to be *locally path connected at  $x$*  if for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there is a path connected neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  contained in  $U$ . If  $X$  is locally path connected at each of its points, it is said to be locally path connected.

**Exercise:** Show that there is no implication relationship between connectedness and local connectedness. In fact, find four topological spaces which are respectively:

- (1) Not connected or locally connected.
- (2) Connected but not locally connected.
- (3) Locally connected but not connected.
- (4) Connected and locally connected.

**Proposition 1.8.** (1)  $X$  is locally connected if and only if for every open set  $U$  of  $X$ , each connected component of  $U$  is open in  $X$ .

(2)  $X$  is locally path connected if and only if for every open set  $U$  of  $X$ , each path component of  $U$  is open in  $X$ .

*Proof.* We will show the first claim, leaving the second one as an **Exercise**. Suppose  $X$  is locally connected, and take an open set  $U$  and a connected component  $C$  of  $U$ . If  $x$  is in  $C$ , we can choose a connected neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $V \subseteq U$  by definition. Since  $V$  is connected, it must lie entirely in the connected component  $C$  of  $U$ . Hence  $C$  is open in  $X$  by definition.

Conversely, suppose components of open sets in  $X$  are open in  $X$ . Given a point  $x$  and a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ , let  $C$  be the component of  $U$  containing  $x$ .  $C$  is connected, and it is open in  $X$  by hypothesis, so by definition  $X$  is locally connected at  $x$ .  $\square$

You can explore the notions of locally connectedness or locally path connectedness more through self-study or tutorials (and certainly the homework problems).