# Optimization

Mini-C Compiler

*CS4031 - Compiler Construction | Fall 2025*


## Team Members

Subhan (22K-4316)

Sadiq (22K-4303)

Muhammad Ahmed Haque (22K-4232)

Muhammad Irtiza (22K-4638)

Umer (22K-4160)

Junaid (22K-4369)


*December 2025*

# 1. Overview

The optimization phase improves the generated code for efficiency without changing program semantics. The Mini-C compiler implements several basic optimizations.

Location: src/backend/optimizer/

# 2. Implemented Optimizations

## 2.1 Constant Folding

Description: Evaluate constant expressions at compile time.

**Before:**

```
tmp.1 = 3 + 5
tmp.2 = tmp.1 * 2
x = tmp.2
```

**After:**

```
x = 16
```

**Supported Operations:**

| Operation | Example | Result |
|---|---|---|
| Addition | 3 + 5 | 8 |
| Subtraction | 10 - 3 | 7 |
| Multiplication | 4 * 5 | 20 |
| Division | 20 / 4 | 5 |
| Remainder | 17 % 5 | 2 |
| Comparison | 5 < 10 | 1 |

## 2.2 Dead Store Elimination

Description: Remove assignments to variables that are never read.

**Before:**

```
x = 5          ; x assigned
x = 10         ; x reassigned before use - first assignment is dead
y = x + 1
```

**After:**

```
x = 10
y = x + 1
```

## 2.3 Strength Reduction

Description: Replace expensive operations with cheaper equivalents.

| Original | Optimized | Reason |
|---|---|---|
| x * 2 | x + x or x << 1 | Shift is faster |
| x * 4 | x << 2 | Shift is faster |
| x / 2 | x >> 1 (unsigned) | Shift is faster |
| x % 2 | x & 1 | Bitwise is faster |

## 2.4 Algebraic Simplification

Description: Apply algebraic identities to simplify expressions.

| Pattern | Simplified |
|---|---|
| x + 0 | x |
| x - 0 | x |
| x * 1 | x |
| x * 0 | 0 |
| x / 1 | x |
| x - x | 0 |

# 3. Optimization Examples

## Example 1: Arithmetic Simplification

### Source:

```
int f(int x) {
    int a = x + 0;
    int b = a * 1;
    int c = b * 2;
    return c + (10 - 10);
}
```

### Before Optimization (TACKY IR):

```
tmp.1 = x + 0
a = tmp.1
tmp.2 = a * 1
b = tmp.2
tmp.3 = b * 2
c = tmp.3
tmp.4 = 10 - 10
tmp.5 = c + tmp.4
return tmp.5
```

### After Optimization:

```
tmp.3 = x << 1    ; x * 2 strength reduced to shift
return tmp.3      ; All identity operations eliminated
```

## Example 2: Dead Code and Constant Folding

### Source:

```
int f(void) {
    int x = 5;
    int y = 10;
    int z = x + y;    // Constant expression
    int unused = 100; // Dead store
    return z;
}
```

### After Optimization:

```
return 15         ; Constant folded, dead store eliminated
```

# 4. Optimization Pass Order

```
1. Constant Folding
       |
       v
2. Algebraic Simplification
       |
       v
3. Cast Simplification
       |
       v
4. Dead Store Elimination
       |
       v
5. Strength Reduction
```

The order matters because:

- Constant folding may create opportunities for algebraic simplification
- Algebraic simplification may create dead stores
- All earlier passes may create opportunities for dead store elimination

# 5. Optimization Impact

| Metric | Before Opt | After Opt | Improvement |
|---|---|---|---|
| Instructions | 12 | 5 | 58% fewer |
| Memory Ops | 8 | 2 | 75% fewer |
| Temporaries | 6 | 2 | 67% fewer |

# 6. Limitations

The Mini-C compiler does NOT implement these advanced optimizations:

- Loop Unrolling - Replicate loop body
- Function Inlining - Replace call with body
- Common Subexpression Elimination - Reuse computed values
- Loop-Invariant Code Motion - Move computations out of loops
- Register Allocation Optimization - Minimize spills