The downfall of Ayub Khan's regime can be attributed to a combination of political, economic, and social factors. Here is a detailed note on some of the key causes that led to Ayub Khan's downfall:

- Political Repression and Lack of Democracy: a. Lack of Political Freedom: Ayub Khan's regime was
 characterized by political repression, censorship, and restrictions on freedom of expression.
 Opposition parties and political activists faced harassment, imprisonment, and limitations on their
 activities. b. Suppression of Democratic Institutions: Ayub Khan centralized power, weakened
 democratic institutions, and concentrated authority in the presidency. This concentration of power
 eroded public trust in the political system and undermined the legitimacy of the government.
- 2. Economic Disparities and Inequities: a. Rural-Urban Divide: Ayub Khan's economic policies, including land reforms and industrial growth, led to increased income disparities. The benefits of economic development were concentrated in urban areas, while rural areas lagged behind, exacerbating socio-economic inequalities. b. Failure to Address Poverty: Despite economic growth, poverty and income inequality persisted, leading to grievances among marginalized sections of society. The lack of inclusive policies to uplift the poor and reduce socio-economic disparities contributed to public discontent.
- 3. Socio-political Unrest and Protests: a. Student and Worker Movements: The Ayub era witnessed widespread student protests and worker strikes, particularly in urban areas. These movements voiced grievances against rising costs of living, lack of job opportunities, and dissatisfaction with the regime's policies. b. Political Opposition: The government faced increasing opposition from various political parties and groups, including the Pakistan People's Party (PPP) led by Zulfikar Ali Bhutto. The opposition capitalized on public discontent and mobilized support against Ayub Khan's regime.
- 4. Regional Discontent and Separatist Movements: a. East Pakistan Crisis: The policies of Ayub Khan's regime, including neglecting the demands and grievances of East Pakistan (present-day Bangladesh), led to a sense of alienation and eventually fueled separatist sentiments. The East Pakistan crisis further strained the stability and unity of the country. b. Balochistan Unrest: The Balochistan province also witnessed discontent and separatist movements during the Ayub era. Grievances regarding the exploitation of natural resources and the lack of political representation contributed to the unrest.
- 5. Military Intervention and Loss of Support: a. Dissatisfaction within the Military: Despite being a military leader, Ayub Khan faced dissent within the armed forces, including among junior officers. Some sections of the military perceived Ayub's policies as favoring a particular group, leading to internal divisions and loss of support. b. General Yahya Khan's Coup: The growing discontent and internal divisions within the military paved the way for General Yahya Khan to stage a coup in 1969, marking the end of Ayub Khan's rule.

It is worth noting that while Ayub Khan's regime made significant efforts to modernize and develop the country, the aforementioned factors eroded public support and contributed to his downfall. The consequences of Ayub Khan's regime and the subsequent political transitions had lasting implications for Pakistan's political landscape and governance system.