

## Tutorial 05 – PSPACE and time hierarchy

**Exercise 1.**

NL = coNL

Let coNL be the class of languages whose complements belong to NL. We want to show that NL = coNL (Immerman–Szelepcsényi theorem).

1. Show that  $\text{coPATH} = \{(G, s, t) : \text{there is no path from } s \text{ to } t \text{ in } G\}$  is coNL-complete (by logspace reductions).

Given a graph  $G$  and its vertex  $s$ , we define  $S_i$  as the set of vertices of  $G$  that are accessible from  $s$  in at most  $i$  steps.

2. Propose an NDTM  $M_0$  in NL that takes on input  $(G, s, u, i)$  and accepts iff  $u \in S_i$ .
3. Propose a NDTM  $M_1$  in NL that has at least one accepting path on input  $(G, s, u, i, |S_i|)$  and, when  $M_1$  accepts this input, then it outputs 1 if  $u \in S_i$  and 0 otherwise. (We suppose that  $i$  and  $|S_i|$  are given in binary.)
4. Propose a NDTM  $M_2$  in NL that has at least one accepting path on input  $(G, s, i, |S_i|)$  and, when  $M_2$  accepts this input, then  $|S_{i+1}|$  is written on the output tape.
5. Construct a NDTM  $M$  in NL with input  $(G, s)$  such that  $M(G, s)$  has at least one accepting path and, when if  $M(G, s)$  accepts, the number of vertices accessible from  $s$  is written in the output tape.
6. Prove that  $\text{coPATH} \in \text{NL}$ .
7. Deduce that  $\text{NL} = \text{coNL}$ .

**Exercise 2.**

GENERALIZED GEOGRAPHY

Geography is a two player word game where the first player start by naming a town, say *Lyon*, then the second player name a town starting with the last letter of the previous named town, say *Nantes*, and so on without repeating any town. The first player that cannot name further cities loses the game.

1. How would you formalize this game in terms of a decision problem with input  $\langle G, s \rangle$ , where  $G$  is a graph and  $s$  is a vertex of  $G$ ?
2. How can you explain TQBF as a two-player game?
3. Generalized geography (GEO) is a generalization of Geography to arbitrary graphs. Show that GEO is PSPACE-complete.
4. Show that GEO is PSPACE-complete even if we know that the underlying graph  $G$  is planar and every vertex has total degree bounded by 3.

**Exercise 3.**

Deterministic time hierarchy

In this exercise we consider the *time hierarchy theorem* that states that allowing Turing machines more computation time strictly increases the set of languages that they can decide.

**Theorem.** If  $f, g$  functions satisfying  $f(n) \log f(n) = o(g(n))$  and  $g$  is time-constructible, then  $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(g(n))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the universal Turing machine constructed on TD1 (i.e., a machine that halts in  $C_\alpha T \log T$  steps if  $M_\alpha$  halts in  $T$  steps.) Consider the language

$$\mathcal{L} := \{(\alpha, x) : \mathcal{U}(\alpha, (\alpha, x)) \text{ rejects in at most } g(|(\alpha, x)|) \text{ steps}\}.$$

1. Show that  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{DTIME}(g(n))$ .
2. Show that if  $M_\alpha$  is a machine that runs in time  $cf(n)$  for some  $c > 0$ , then the language recognized by  $M_\alpha$  is not equal to  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{L} \notin \text{DTIME}(f(n))$ .

**Exercise 4.**

*Little space is no space at all!*

We are going to show that a language that can be recognized in  $o(\log \log n)$  space can in fact be recognized in  $O(1)$  space. This implies, e.g., that  $\text{DSPACE}(\sqrt{\log \log n}) = \text{DSPACE}(1)$ .

1. Let  $\mathcal{L} \notin \text{DSPACE}(1)$  and suppose that  $M$  is a Turing machine that recognizes  $\mathcal{L}$  in space  $o(\log \log n)$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  show that there exists  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $M(x)$  uses at least  $k$  cells on the work tape during the computation.
2. At every step of the computation of  $M(x)$  we define the current *internal configuration* of  $M$  as the tuple  $(q, y)$ , where  $q$  is the current state of  $M$  and  $y$  encodes the current contents of the work tapes of  $M$  and the positions of the work heads. Show that  $M$  has  $o(\log |x|)$  different internal configurations during the computation of  $M(x)$ .
3. We define the  $i$ th *crossing sequence*  $\mathcal{C}_i(x)$  of a  $M$  on an input  $x$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq |x| - 1$ , as a vector  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$ , where  $c_1$  is the internal configuration of  $M$  when the input head first crossed from a cell  $i$  to a cell  $i + 1$  on the input tape,  $c_2$  is the internal configuration of  $M$  when the input head first crossed from a cell  $i + 1$  to  $i$ , etc. (so that the odd elements denote configurations of  $M$  after crossing  $i$ th cell left-to-right, and the even ones – after crossing right-to-left from  $(i + 1)$ th cell to  $i$ th). Show that there are  $o(|x|)$  different crossing-sequences that appear on an input  $x$ .
4. Show that the crossing sequences of  $M$  on  $x_k$  are pairwise different. Conclude.