

## Tutorial 09 – Protocols and randomness

**Exercise 1.***Miller–Rabin test*

In this exercise we want to show that testing if a number is prime belongs to RP. To do so, suppose that  $p$  is an odd number and denote  $p - 1 = 2^s d$ , where  $d$  is odd. We consider the following two sets:

$$W_1 = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\} : a^d = 1 \text{ or } -1 \in \{a^d, a^{2d}, \dots, a^{2^{s-1}d}\}\},$$

$$W_2 = \left\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\} : a^{2^s d} \neq 1 \text{ or } (a^d \neq 1 \text{ and } -1 \notin \{a^d, a^{2d}, \dots, a^{2^{s-1}d}\})\right\}.$$

(All operations in this exercise are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , unless stated otherwise.)

1. Prove that if  $a \in W_1$ , then  $a^{2^s d} = 1$ . What can you say about the sequence  $(a^d, a^{2d}, \dots, a^{2^{s-1}d}, a^{2^s d})$ ?

If  $a^d = 1$ , then  $a^{2^s d} = 1$ . If  $a^{2^t d} = -1$  for some  $t < s$ , then  $a^{2^{t+1}d} = 1, a^{2^{t+2}d} = 1$  etc. In other words, this sequence is either equal to  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$  or it finishes by  $(\dots, (-1), 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

2. Prove that  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$  and  $W_1 \cup W_2 = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ .

The set  $W_1$  consists of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$  such that the sequence  $(a^d, a^{2d}, \dots, a^{2^{s-1}d}, a^{2^s d})$  ends with 1 and either starts with 1 or contains  $-1$ . The set  $W_2$  contains all the other elements of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ : the sequence  $(a^d, a^{2d}, \dots, a^{2^{s-1}d}, a^{2^s d})$  either ends with something different than 1 or it does not start with 1 and does not contain  $-1$ .

3. Prove that if  $p$  is prime, then  $W_2 = \emptyset$ .

*Hint: Use Fermat's little theorem and the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field.*

If  $p$  is prime, then  $a^{p-1} = a^{2^s d} = 1$  by Fermat's little theorem for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field, the polynomial  $x^2 = 1$  has exactly two roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , namely 1 and  $-1$ . In particular, the sequence  $(a^d, a^{2d}, \dots, a^{2^{s-1}d}, a^{2^s d})$  is either equal to  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$  or contains  $-1$  (more precisely, it finishes by  $(\dots, (-1), 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ ). Thus,  $W_2 = \emptyset$  and  $W_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ .

4. Suppose that  $p$  is a power of a prime number,  $p = q^k$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $a = 1 + q^{k-1}$ . Prove that  $a^p = 1$  and  $a^{p-1} \neq 1$ . In particular,  $a \in W_2$ .

If we take  $a = 1 + q^{k-1}$ , then  $a^p = (1 + q^{k-1})^p = 1 + pq^{k-1} + (\text{higher powers of } q) = 1$  by the binomial expansion. Therefore  $a^{p-1} \neq 1$ , because this would imply that  $1 = a^p = a$ .

5. Suppose that  $p$  is a power of a prime number as above. Show that  $|W_2| \geq |W_1|$ .

*Hint: Consider the set  $aW_1 = \{ab : b \in W_1\}$ , where  $a = 1 + q^{k-1}$ .*

Let  $a = 1 + q^{k-1}$  and  $b \in W_1$ . Then,  $(ab)^{p-1} = a^{p-1} b^{p-1} \neq 1$ , so  $ab \in W_2$ . Moreover, if  $b, b' \in W_1$ , then  $ab \neq ab'$ , because  $ab = ab'$  would imply that  $b = a^p b = a^p b' = b'$ . Hence,  $|aW_1| = |W_1|$  and  $aW_1 \subseteq W_2$ .

6. The Chinese Remainder Theorem states that if  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  are relatively prime and  $p = n_1 n_2$ , then the map  $\mathbb{Z}_p \ni x \rightarrow (x \bmod n_1, x \bmod n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}$  is a bijection. Prove this theorem.

This map is an injection. Indeed, if  $(x \bmod n_1, x \bmod n_2) = (y \bmod n_1, y \bmod n_2)$ , then  $x - y$  is divisible by both  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Since they are relatively prime, we get  $p|x - y$  and hence  $x = y$  because  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Moreover, the sets  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}$  have the same cardinality, so this map is a bijection.

7. Suppose that  $p = n_1 n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $n_1, n_2 > 1$  are relatively prime odd numbers. Prove that there exists  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $c \neq \pm 1$  but  $c^2 = 1$ .
8. Let  $p$  be as above. Prove that if the equation  $x^k = -1$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then the equation  $x^k = c$  also has a solution.
9. Let  $p$  be as above. Show that  $|W_2| \geq |W_1|$ .  
*Hint: let  $0 \leq t \leq s$  be the highest number such that  $x^{2^t d} = -1$  has a solution. Let  $r$  be a solution of  $x^{2^t d} = c$ . Consider the set  $rW_1$ .*
10. Construct a probabilistic TM  $M$  whose expected running time is polynomial that, given  $n \geq 0$  written in binary, outputs a random number in the interval  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  (with uniform distribution).
11. Prove that testing if a number is prime belongs to RP.

**Definition** (Interaction of deterministic functions). Let  $f, g : \{0, 1\}^* \xrightarrow{\{ \}} \{0, 1\}^*$  be functions. A  $k$ -round interaction of  $f$  and  $g$  on input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , denoted by  $\langle f, g \rangle(x)$  is the sequence of the following strings  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}^*$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= f(x) \\
 a_2 &= g(x, a_1) \\
 &\dots \\
 a_{2i+1} &= f(x, a_1, \dots, a_{2i}) \text{ for } 2i < k \\
 a_{2i+2} &= g(x, a_1, \dots, a_{2i}) \text{ for } 2i + 1 < k
 \end{aligned}$$

The output of  $f$  at the end of the interaction denoted  $\mathbf{out}_f \langle f, g \rangle(x)$  is defined to be  $f(x, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and we assume that this output is in  $\{0, 1\}$

We can extend the notion of interaction to probabilistic functions (actually, we only need to do so for the verifier). To model an interaction between  $f$  and  $g$  where  $f$  is probabilistic, we add an additional  $m$ -bit input  $r$  to the function  $f$ , that is having  $a_1 = f(x, r)$ ,  $a_3 = f(x, r, a_1, a_2)$ , etc. The interaction  $\langle f, g \rangle(x)$  is now a random variable over  $r \in_R \{0, 1\}^m$ . Similarly the output  $\mathbf{out}_f \langle f, g \rangle(x)$  is also a random variable.

**Definition** (IP). For an integer  $k \geq 1$ , we say that language  $L$  is in  $\mathbf{IP}[k]$  if there is a probabilistic Turing machine  $V$  that runs in time polynomial in  $|x|$  which can have a  $k$ -round interaction with a function  $P : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that

$$x \in L \implies \text{there exists } P \text{ such that } \Pr[\mathbf{out}_V \langle V, P \rangle(x)] \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad (\text{Completeness})$$

$$x \notin L \implies \text{for all } P, \Pr[\mathbf{out}_V \langle V, P \rangle(x)] \leq \frac{1}{3} \quad (\text{Soundness})$$

### Exercise 2.

*Arthur–Merlin*

The class AM (Arthur–Merlin) is defined as the class of decision problems that can be verified by an *Arthur–Merlin protocol* in which the random bits are public. More precisely, Arthur is the polynomial-time verifier, and Merlin is the prover. Given  $x$ , Arthur generates a vector of random bits  $r$  and sends  $(x, r)$  to Merlin. Then, Merlin sends back a proof  $y$ . As a result, Arthur has a tuple  $(x, r, y)$ . Given this tuple, Arthur must decide in *deterministic* polynomial-time whether to accept or not. Completeness means that if  $x \in L$ , then Merlin should be able to convince Arthur to accept with probability  $2/3$ , and soundness means that if  $x \notin L$ , then Arthur should reject with probability  $2/3$ , no matter what Merlin does. More formally,

a language  $L$  belongs to AM if there exist polynomials  $p, q$  and a polynomial-time Turing machine  $M$  such that

$$x \in L \implies \Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)}} [\exists y \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} M(x, r, y) \text{ accepts}] \geq 2/3 \quad (\text{completeness})$$

$$x \notin L \implies \Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)}} [\forall y \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} M(x, r, y) \text{ rejects}] \geq 2/3 \quad (\text{soundness}).$$

1. Show that  $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{AM}$ ,  $\text{BPP} \subseteq \text{AM}$ , and  $\text{AM} \subseteq \text{IP}$ .
2. How to amplify the probabilities in the definition of AM?
3. If  $A, B$  are two languages, then we say that  $A$  reduces to  $B$  under a *randomized* polynomial-time reduction if there exists a probabilistic polynomial-time Turing machine  $M$  such that

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^*, \Pr[x \in A \iff M(x) \in B] \geq 2/3.$$

Show that  $L \in \text{AM}$  if and only if  $L$  reduces to SAT under a randomized polynomial-time reduction (this class is also denoted as  $\text{BP} \cdot \text{NP}$ ).

4. Show that  $\text{AM} \subseteq \text{NP}/\text{poly}$ .
5. Show that  $\text{AM} \subseteq \Sigma_3^p$ .

### Exercise 3.

*Quadratic residuosity*

For  $n \geq 1$  we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  the set of all numbers that are relatively prime with  $n$ . We say that  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is a *quadratic residue modulo  $n$*  if there exists  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  such that  $y^2 = x \pmod{n}$ . The *Quadratic Residuosity* problem asks, given  $(x, n)$ , to decide if  $x$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $n$  or not.

1. Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  forms a group (with multiplication modulo  $n$  as the group operation).
2. Prove that quadratic residues form a subgroup in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .
3. Prove that if  $x$  is a quadratic residue, then the cardinality of the set  $\{y \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* : y^2 = x \pmod{n}\}$  does not depend on  $x$  (i.e., it depends only on  $n$ ).
4. Give an interactive proof for showing that  $x$  is *not* a quadratic residue modulo  $n$ .  
Hint: If  $x$  is not a quadratic residue, then  $xy^2$  also is not.