## Algorithm 4.21: Left factoring a grammar.

OUTPUT: An equivalent left-factored grammar.

**INPUT**: Grammar G.

**METHOD:** For each nonterminal A, find the longest prefix  $\alpha$  common to two or more of its alternatives. If  $\alpha \neq \epsilon$  — i.e., there is a nontrivial common prefix — replace all of the A-productions  $A \to \alpha \beta_1 \mid \alpha \beta_2 \mid \cdots \mid \alpha \beta_n \mid \gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  represents all alternatives that do not begin with  $\alpha$ , by  $A \to \alpha A' \mid \gamma$ 

$$A' \rightarrow \beta_1 \mid \beta_2 \mid \cdots \mid \beta_n$$

Here A' is a new nonterminal. Repeatedly apply this transformation until no two alternatives for a nonterminal have a common prefix.  $\Box$ 

**Example 4.22:** The following grammar abstracts the "dangling-else" problem:

$$S \to i E t S \mid i E t S e S \mid a$$

$$E \to b$$

$$(4.23)$$

Here, i, t, and e stand for **if**, **then**, and **else**; E and S stand for "conditional expression" and "statement." Left-factored, this grammar becomes:

$$S \rightarrow i E t S S' \mid a$$

$$S' \rightarrow e S \mid \epsilon$$

$$E \rightarrow b$$

$$(4.24)$$

Thus, we may expand S to iEtSS' on input i, and wait until iEtS has been seen to decide whether to expand S' to eS or to e. Of course, these grammars are both ambiguous, and on input e, it will not be clear which alternative for S' should be chosen. Example 4.33 discusses a way out of this dilemma.  $\square$ 

Left factoring

S' -> SbS | aSb

Example 1: Left factorière the following grammon S-> assbs a Sasb abb b, Solution: 5-> as' | b S' 7 5565 | SaSb | bb, Again left fautoring, 5-7 as | b 5 -> 55" | 65

Example 2: Left factoritze the following grammar: S-69SaaS | 6SSaSb | 6Sb | a Solution: S-> 655aas | 655a5b | 65b | a 8 73 8 (If  $A \rightarrow \alpha\beta ||\alpha\beta ||\alpha\beta ||8$ , then)  $A \rightarrow \alpha A' |8$ A' > 1/21.../pm 5-1655 a S-> Saas Sasb b Again left-factoring, 51 7 658 a 5' 7 Sas" 6 S" - 7 as Sb