

| S.No | | GPU (Graphics Processing Unit) | ASIC (Application-Specific Integrated Circuit) | Neuromorphic Processor | Photonic Computing (Optical) | Memristor-based Computing | Quantum Processor | Graphene-based Computing | Cryogenic Computing (Superconducting Chips) |
|------|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | int Years ated Wider Availability | Widespread. Continuous new generations. | Widespread in cloud; growing in enterprise. | commercial adoption in | 3-7 years for more widespread compute; | 7.5 5-10 years for significant commercial products. | 5-10+ years for practical, fault-tolerant systems | 7-12 years for commercial products; early prototypes | 12.5 10-15+ years for broader practical applications |
| 1 | Main Area Catered | General-purpose Al acceleration (cloud to edge), high-performance | Optimized Al acceleration for specific workloads, reducing TCO for | Energy-efficient computing, neuromorphic | High-speed data processing and interconnects, especially | memory compute for Al and | Future-forward problem-solving for classically | emerging. Ultra-low-power electronics for AI, edge computing, general- | High-performance processing in niche, |
| 2 | Technological Difference | computing. Parallel digital processors (CUDA/OpenCL), optimized for matrix operations (tensor cores). Von Neumann architecture. | hyperscalers. Custom-designed chips optimized for specific AI workloads (e.g., matrix multiplication, neural network layers). | Spiking neural networks (SNNs). Event-driven, inmemory computing. | for Al. Photons for computation and data transmission. Replaces electrons with light for speed & energy efficiency. | Non-volatile memory elements (memristors) with resistance changes, enabling in-memory analog compute. | Quantum-mechanical phenomena (superposition, entanglement) for computation. Qubits instead of bits. | purpose processing. Graphene's high electron mobility and thermal conductivity for ultra-fast, low-power transistors and interconnects. Beyond CMOS. | demanding environments. Superconductors (e.g., Josephson junctions) at near absolute zero. Eliminates electrical resistance for ultra-fast/low- power. |
| 3 | Performance Metrics | ~4000 TFLOPS FP8 (NVIDIA H100). High latency for complex models. | ~400 TOPS (AWS Trainium). Lower latency for specific workloads. | ~10 TOPS (Intel Loihi). Low latency for event-driven tasks. | ~100-1000 TOPS (projected for compute). Sub-ns latency for interconnects. | ~10-100 TOPS (projected). Low latency for analog compute. | ~100-1000 qubits (e.g., IBM Heron). | ~10-100 TOPS (projected). Sub-ns latency for interconnects. | ~1000 TOPS (projected). Ultra-low latency due to superconductivity. |
| 4 | Primary Use Cases | Al Training (large models), Al Inference, Scientific Simulation, Graphics, HPC, Data Analytics. | Al Inference (Cloud & Edge), Custom Al Training, Domain-specific Al acceleration (e.g., video analytics, recommender systems). | processing, Low-power inference, Robotics, Continuous learning. | Ultra-fast Al inference, High bandwidth interconnects, Telecom, LiDAR, Specialized linear algebra acceleration. | Edge computing, Non-volatile memory, Brain- | Optimization problems, Drug Discovery, Materials Science, Complex System Simulation, Cryptography. | Ultra-low-power Al inference, High-speed interconnects, Flexible electronics, Wearables, Next-gen data centers. | Extreme performance HPC, Quantum Computing control, Ultra-low noise sensing, Scientific research. |
| 5 | Best Environment (On- Premise vs. Cloud) | Cloud-based preferred due to high setup costs (~\$30k/unit, cooling/power). Onpremise for large organizations with dedicated data centers. ~70% cloud, ~30% onpremise. | Cloud-based dominates due to hyperscaler optimization. On-premise growing for specialized enterprise (~\$10k-\$50k/unit). ~80% cloud, ~20% on-premise. | On-premise for edge deployments due to low-power (~1W). Cloud-based for hybrid setups. ~60% on-premise, ~40% cloud. | Cloud-based for interconnects due to high integration costs (~\$10k-\$100k). On-premise for specialized HPC/telecom. ~75% cloud, ~25% on-premise. | On-premise for edge devices due to low-power (~1-10W). Cloud-based for data center memory. ~50% on-premise, ~50% cloud (projected). | Cloud-based dominates due to high costs (~\$1M- \$10M/system) and complex infrastructure. ~90% cloud, ~10% on-premise. | On-premise for edge devices due to low-power (~1-5W). Cloud-based for interconnects. ~60% on-premise, ~40% cloud (projected). | On-premise in specialized research facilities due to extreme cooling costs (~\$1M-\$10M). ~95% onpremise, ~5% cloud. |
| 6 | Public Availability | Widely available (e.g., NVIDIA H100, AMD MI300) for purchase or via cloud (AWS, Azure, GCP). | Cloud-based as a service (Google TPUs, AWS Trainium/Inferentia, Azure Maia); some on-prem (Cerebras, Groq). | Available for researchers (Intel Loihi/Hala Point, IBM TrueNorth/NorthPole) and emerging commercial products. | Early commercial products emerging (e.g., Lightmatter, Ayar Labs), often for specialized data center or HPC interconnects. | R&D and specialized prototypes. Some academic/industry partnerships. | Cloud-based access (IBM Quantum Experience, Google Quantum AI, AWS Braket); limited on-prem. | Research labs and early prototypes (e.g., graphene transistors, interconnects). | Research labs & specialized facilities due to extreme cooling requirements. |
| 7 | How they Complement Each Other | Foundational training for models run on ASICs and neuromorphic chips. | Efficient inference of models trained on GPUs; paired with CPUs for broader systems. | Neuromorphic inference for efficient deployment of GPU/ASIC-trained models at the edge. | Photonic interconnects enhance data flow for GPUs/ASICs. Optical compute offloads specific tasks. | Memristors enable efficient in-memory compute for GPUs/ASICs or neuromorphic architectures. | Quantum could train or optimize classical AI models; classical chips manage quantum control. | Graphene enhances transistor efficiency in GPUs/ASICs or enables low- power neuromorphic/photonic systems. | Ultra-fast classical control for quantum systems; future HPC building blocks. |
| 8 | Will they be Competitors? | Yes, ASICs compete with GPUs for specific AI inference tasks, especially in cloud. | Yes, ASICs offer better price/performance/watt than GPUs for specific AI acceleration. Widespread in | Yes, for energy-efficient edge inference; less for general-purpose training. Niche. Used in research, | Can compete for specific accelerator functions (e.g., matrix math) and highbandwidth interconnects. Niche. Gaining traction in | Can compete with traditional memory and digital AI accelerators for efficiency. Very Niche. Mostly in | Not directly today. Quantum could solve some Al problems faster, but for different problem types. Very Niche. Primarily for | Yes, could compete with GPUs/ASICs for low-power, high-speed applications, especially in edge and wearables. | Not direct competitors to most AI chips; serve different purposes. |
| 9 | How Widely Used? | Extremely Widespread. Dominant for deep learning. | hyperscalers. Growing | specific edge deployments (e.g., Intel Loihi for robotics). | data centers for interconnects; compute still experimental. | university labs, R&D for future memory and compute. | research, early commercial pilots, and proof-of-concept. | Extremely Niche. Limited to academic research and early industrial pilots. | Extremely Niche. Limited to high-end scientific research facilities. |
| 10 | Already in Market? | mature products (NVIDIA H100/B200, AMD MI300) available. | TPUs, AWS Inferentia/Trainium, Cerebras WSE, Groq LPU, Microsoft Maia). | Yes, but primarily for R&D/early adopters (Intel Loihi/Hala Point, IBM NorthPole). | Yes, for interconnects (e.g., co-packaged optics), compute still in early stages. | No , not yet in widespread commercial products. Prototypes exist. | Yes, via cloud services for select users. Physical machines for major research. | No , primarily in R&D. Early prototypes (e.g., graphene transistors) emerging. | No , not for general computing. Lab use only. |
| 11 | Market Outlook | Massive Growth. Dominant for Al training; strong for inference. Market value soaring. | Strong Growth. Increasing share of AI accelerator market, especially in cloud/edge inference. | Market to reach ~\$1.32B by | Strong Growth. Silicon Photonics market to surpass \$50B by 2035. Driven by data centers & Al. | High Growth Potential. Significant growth for in- memory compute and non- volatile memory. | Significant Future Growth. Market to exceed \$300B by 2030. Early-stage, long-term impact. | High Growth Potential. Graphene electronics market to reach \$5B by 2035. Driven by low-power electronics and wearables. | Long-term potential. Early- stage research; market for components (cryostats) growing due to quantum computing. |
| 12 | Hardware Fit | General server hardware (PCIe slots); requires specific cooling/power for high-end. | Specific server/system integration; often PCIe cards or integrated in cloud infrastructure. | Specific neuromorphic hardware; not plug-and-play with standard CPU/GPU systems. | Integrated into standard silicon (hybrid) for interconnects; dedicated optical compute requires new systems. | Integrated into CMOS (hybrid) for memory or in- memory compute; may require new architectures. | Specialized quantum computers (cryogenic systems, vacuum chambers, control electronics). | Integrated into CMOS for transistors/interconnects; may require new fabrication processes. | Extreme cryogenic cooling systems; not compatible with standard hardware. |
| 13 | Estimated Research/Market Investment Scale | Billions to Tens of Billions USD Annually. | Billions to Tens of Billions USD Annually. | Hundreds of Millions USD Annually. | Billions USD Annually. | Hundreds of Millions USD Annually. | Billions USD Annually. | Hundreds of Millions USD Annually. | Hundreds of Millions USD Annually. |
| 14 | Main Companies & Chips | NVIDIA (H100, B200, Blackwell), AMD (MI300, MI350), Intel (Gaudi). | Google (TPU), AWS (Inferentia, Trainium), Microsoft (Maia), Meta (MTIA), Cerebras (WSE), Groq (LPU), Tenstorrent. | | Lightmatter (Envise), Ayar Labs (TeraPHY), Celestial AI, Intel, Broadcom. | IBM, Intel, Samsung, Micron, Crossbar, Weebit Nano, 4DS Memory. | IBM (Condor, Heron), Google (Sycamore, Trillium), Quantinuum (H1 series), Microsoft (Majorana 1). | Graphenea, Grolltex, IBM, Samsung, MIT (research), Paragraf (early transistors). | IBM, Google, Microsoft, Intel (for quantum control components). |
| 15 | Emerging Players | Graphcore (IPU), SambaNova (SN40L). | SambaNova (Cardinal), d- Matrix (Corsair), Mythic (AMP). | SynSense (Speck), GrAl Matter Labs (NeuronFlow). | Optalysys , QuiX Quantum (photonic quantum). | Knowm, Adesto Technologies. | IonQ (Aria), Rigetti (Aspen), D-Wave (Advantage). | Black Semiconductor, Versarien (graphene interconnects). | Oxford Instruments (cryogenic systems), Quantum Circuits Inc. |
| 16 | Software Ecosystem | Mature: CUDA, cuDNN, TensorRT, PyTorch, TensorFlow. Proprietary + open-source. | TensorFlow (Google TPU), ONNX, proprietary frameworks (AWS, Cerebras). | Emerging: Lava (Intel), SpiNNaker, limited PyTorch support. | Limited : Proprietary SDKs (Lightmatter). Emerging ONNX support. | Early : Custom frameworks in R&D. Limited standard support. | Qiskit (IBM), Cirq (Google), PennyLane. Mostly open- source. | Early : Custom tools for graphene circuits. No standard frameworks. | Limited : Custom control software for quantum/HPC. Proprietary. |
| 17 | Scalability and Cost | Highly scalable in data centers (PCIe, DGX systems). ~\$30k/unit (H100). | Scalable in cloud/enterprise. ~\$10k- \$50k/unit (e.g., Cerebras WSE). | · · | Scalable for interconnects; compute less so. ~\$10k-\$100k for early systems. | Potentially scalable for memory. Costs TBD (prototypes ~\$1k-\$10k). | Low scalability (specialized systems). ~\$1M-\$10M/system. | Scalable for interconnects; compute TBD. ~\$1k-\$10k (projected). | Low scalability due to cooling. ~\$1M-\$10M for cryostats. |
| 18 | Energy Efficiency Metrics | ~0.5-1 TOPS/W (e.g., NVIDIA H100: ~700W for ~4000 TFLOPS FP8). High power for training. | ~2-5 TOPS/W (e.g., AWS Inferentia: ~100W for ~400 TOPS). Optimized for inference. | ~10-50 TOPS/W (e.g., Intel Loihi: ~1W for ~10 TOPS). Highly efficient for edge. | ~5-20 TOPS/W for compute; interconnects reduce system-level power by ~50%. | memory compute. Prototypes show promise. | Not comparable (qubits-based). Power dominated by cryogenic cooling (~25kW/system). | 50-200 TOPS/W due to graphene's low resistance. Early R&D estimates. | ~100 TOPS/W possible due to zero resistance, but high cooling costs. |
| 19 | Environmental Impact | High: ~700W/chip, ~1-2 kg CO2e/TFLOP (data center scale). IT: Highly useful for AI | Moderate: ~100W/chip, ~0.5-1 kg CO2e/TOP. | Low : ~1W/chip, ~0.01-0.1 kg CO2e/TOP. | Low: ~50W for interconnects, ~0.1-0.5 kg CO2e/TOP. | Very Low: ~1-10W (projected), ~0.01-0.1 kg CO2e/TOP. | High: ~25kW/system due to cooling, ~10-100 kg CO2e/operation. | Very Low: ~1-5W (projected), ~0.01-0.05 kg CO2e/TOP. | High: ~10-50kW/system for cooling, ~10-100 kg CO2e/operation. |
| 20 | IT and OT Usefulness | training/inference, HPC, data analytics in cloud/data centers. OT: Moderately useful for edge AI (e.g., autonomous vehicles), limited by high power (~700W). | IT: Very useful for cloud- based AI inference/training, reducing TCO. OT: Useful for edge inference in industrial IoT, robotics (e.g., Groq LPU). | IT: Limited use in data centers; growing for edge inference in hybrid setups. OT: Highly useful for low-power, real-time processing in robotics, IoT (~1W). | IT: Very useful for data center interconnects, emerging for AI compute. OT: Moderately useful for high-speed LiDAR, telecom in industrial settings. | IT: Promising for in-memory computing in data centers. OT: Highly promising for low-power edge/IoT, but 5-10 years away. | optimization, cryptography | IT: Promising for low-power data center processors, interconnects. OT: Highly promising for wearables, IoT, but 7-12 years away. | IT: Useful for niche HPC, quantum control. OT: Minimal use due to cryogenic requirements. |
| 21 | CFO and CTO Decision | CFO: Favor cloud to avoid high upfront costs (~\$30k/unit). Evaluate TCO for on-premise if long-term Al training justifies. CTO: Use cloud for scalable | CFO: Prioritize cloud for cost efficiency (e.g., AWS Trainium). On-premise for high-volume workloads with ROI. CTO: Use cloud for rapid deployment; on-premise | CFO: Invest in on-premise for edge due to low operational costs (~1W). Cloud for R&D minimizes risk. CTO: Deploy on-premise for real-time edge AI; cloud | CFO: Choose cloud for interconnects to reduce setup costs (~\$10k-\$100k). On-premise for long-term HPC savings. CTO: Integrate photonic interconnects in cloud; test | CFO: Avoid large investments due to R&D phase; fund pilot projects for edge memory. Cloud for R&D. CTO: Test on-premise prototypes for edge Al; | CFO: Prioritize cloud access (e.g., AWS Braket) to avoid prohibitive costs (~\$1M-\$10M). Limit onpremise to research. CTO: Use cloud for algorithm development; on- | CFO: Fund R&D pilots for edge devices; avoid large-scale investment until commercialization (7-12 years). Cloud for simulations. CTO: Test on-premise | CFO: Limit on-premise investment due to high costs (~\$1M-\$10M). Fund research grants instead of cloud. CTO: Deploy on-premise in specialized labs for |
| 21 | Strategy Recommendation | Al; on-premise for custom HPC, low-latency inference. Invest in CUDA training. Strategy: Cloud-first; pilot on-premise clusters. Monitor next-gen GPUs. | ASICs for custom inference | for hybrid research. Explore | · | cloud for simulation. Build in-memory computing expertise. Strategy: Academic partnerships; focus on low-power edge for 5-10 year horizon. | premise for specialized research. Train on quantum frameworks (Qiskit). Strategy: Cloud platforms for experimentation; invest in quantum talent. | graphene prototypes for low power edge; cloud for R&D. Build graphene fabrication expertise. Strategy: Partner with startups (e.g., Paragraf); focus on wearables/IoT. | |
| 22 | Security Features by Default | IT: Limited inherent security. Relies on software-level security (e.g., CUDA memory isolation, TEEs). Vulnerable to side-channel attacks (~700W). OT: Minimal security due to high power, complex integration. Default: None intrinsic; depends on system-level measures. | IT: Moderate security. Some include custom security modules (e.g., secure enclaves, root of trust). OT: Limited security; some offer secure boot. Default: Basic secure boot/firmware isolation in some designs. | reduces side-channel risks. SNN randomness resists adversarial attacks. OT: Strong potential for edge security. Default: Low-power | IT: Moderate security. Light-based processing resists EMI attacks. Optical interconnects reduce interception risk. OT: Limited security due to complex integration. Default: EMI resistance, low interception risk. | Non-volatile memory, multi- level conductance (>16) enable PUFs for secure key generation. OT: Strong security for edge | enables QKD, quantum randomness for secure computation. | IT: High security potential. Inherent disorders enable robust PUFs for key generation, authentication. OT: Strong security for edge via PUFs. Default: PUFs, non-volatile memory. | Default: Cryogenic |

subin@panicker.uk

Date: 04/06/2025

