

3 (EM for Factor analysis with Sparse Loading) (a) For the algorithm in Question 2, suppose that we add a lasso penalty into the loglikelihood function to estimate the sparsity structure of the loading matrix. Derive and implement the EM algorithm with such lasso penalty. Organize the algorithm as a Python class so that it maximally reuses your implementation in Question 2. Credit will be given proportionally to the amount of code duplicated (the less, the better).

$$Q_{\text{lasso}}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{X|Y} [\log p(Y, X|\theta)] - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^K |\lambda_{jk}|$$

E step still same to 2 because penalty only depends on parameters
M step: In the updates of Λ :

$$\text{for } j\text{-th row } \Lambda_j, \text{ here minimize } f(\Lambda_j) = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_j^T A \Lambda_j - \Lambda_j^T B_j + \lambda \psi_j \|\Lambda_j\|_1, \\ A = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[x_i x_i^T | Y_i], \quad B_j = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{ij} \mathbb{E}[x_i | Y_i]^T$$

By taking subgradient to single element Λ_{jk} and setting it to zero:

$$\Lambda_{jk} = \frac{1}{A_{kk}} \text{sign}(C_{jk}) \max(0, |C_{jk}| - \lambda \psi_j), \quad C_{jk} = B_{jk} - \sum_{m \neq k} A_{km} \Lambda_{jm}$$

and ψ still same to 2