

5. Suppose that we observe a group of p -dimensional independent and identically distributed samples $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$, where $X_i \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ with μ and Σ unknown. We are interested in testing $H_0 : \mu = 0$. Suppose we use Hotelling's T^2 -test

$$T^2 = N \bar{X}_N^\top S_N^{-1} \bar{X}_N$$

where \bar{X}_N and S_N are the sample mean and sample covariance matrix.

(a) Show that the likelihood ratio test takes the form

$$LRT = \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + T^2/(N-1)} \right\}^{N/2}$$

and therefore is equivalent to Hotelling's T^2 -test.

- (b) When p is fixed, show that the test statistic T^2 has a limiting χ^2 -distribution under the null hypothesis as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- (c) Under the setting with $N = 100$ and $p = 3$, perform a simulation study to check if the limiting χ^2 -distribution in (b) controls the type I error well (Note that the simulation study would need at least a few hundreds replications to estimate the type I error well).
- (d) Under the setting of (c) but increasing p to 10, 40, and 80, does the limiting χ^2 -distribution still control the type I error well?
- (e) When p is assumed to increase with N such that $p/N \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, 1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, show that the test statistic T^2 has a limiting normal distribution (in the sense that there exist a_N and b_N such that $a_N(T^2 - b_N) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ as $N, p \rightarrow \infty$).
- (f) If $p > N$, can we still use Hotelling's T^2 -test? Explain why. If not, please propose an alternative testing procedure and conduct a simulation study to verify (This is an open-ended question and theoretical results are not needed for your new procedure).

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$$

$$S_N = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})(X_i - \bar{X})^\top$$

$$H_0: \mu = 0 \quad H_1: \mu \neq 0$$

$$(a) LRT: \Lambda = \frac{\sup_{\mu=0, \Sigma} L(\mu, \Sigma)}{\sup_{\mu, \Sigma} L(\mu, \Sigma)}$$

$$\frac{H_0}{H_1}$$

$$f(x; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)) \Rightarrow L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{Np}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu)\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0: \hat{\mu}_0 = 0, \hat{\Sigma}_0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i X_i^\top \quad H_1: \hat{\mu}_1 = \bar{X}, \hat{\Sigma}_1 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})(X_i - \bar{X})^\top$$

$$\text{denote } A := \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})(X_i - \bar{X})^\top \Rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}_1 = \frac{1}{N} A, \text{ besides, } \sum_{i=1}^N X_i X_i^\top = \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})(X_i - \bar{X})^\top + N \bar{X} \bar{X}^\top \Rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}_0 = \hat{\Sigma}_1 + \bar{X} \bar{X}^\top$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda = \left(\frac{|\hat{\Sigma}_1|}{|\hat{\Sigma}_0|} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} = \left(\frac{|\hat{\Sigma}_1|}{|\hat{\Sigma}_1 + \bar{X} \bar{X}^\top|} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}, \text{ here } |\hat{\Sigma}_1 + \bar{X} \bar{X}^\top| = |\hat{\Sigma}_1| (1 + \bar{X}^\top \hat{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \bar{X}) \Rightarrow \Lambda = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \bar{X}^\top \hat{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \bar{X}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

$$\text{Here } \bar{X} = N \bar{X}^\top S_N^{-1} \bar{X}, \hat{\Sigma}_1 = \frac{N-1}{N} S_N \Rightarrow S_N = \frac{N-1}{N} \hat{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \Rightarrow \bar{X} = (N-1) \bar{X}^\top \hat{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \bar{X} \Rightarrow \Lambda = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{N-1}{N} \bar{X}^\top \hat{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \bar{X}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

$$(b) H_0: \mu = 0: \text{ Under CLT: } \sqrt{N} \bar{X} \sim N_p(0, \Sigma), \text{ Under LLN: } S_N \sim \Sigma \Rightarrow S_N^{-1} \sim \Sigma^{-1}$$

$$T^2 = N \bar{X}^\top S_N^{-1} \bar{X} = (\sqrt{N} \bar{X})^\top S_N^{-1} (\sqrt{N} \bar{X}), \text{ according to Slutsky: } T^2 \approx (\sqrt{N} \bar{X})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\sqrt{N} \bar{X})$$

$$\text{let } Y = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{N} \bar{X}, \text{ then } Y \sim N_p(0, I_p) \Rightarrow T^2 = Y^\top Y = \sum_{j=1}^p y_j^2 \sim \chi_p^2$$

$$(c) \text{ denote } Z := \sqrt{N} \bar{X}, Z \sim N_p(0, \Sigma) \quad T^2 = Z^\top S_N^{-1} Z \quad ; \quad W = \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})(X_i - \bar{X})^\top \sim W_p(N-1, \Sigma) \quad ; \quad \bar{X} \perp S$$

$$\text{According to theorem: } Z \sim N_p(0, \Sigma), W \sim W_p(m, \Sigma), Z \perp W, \Rightarrow \frac{m-p+1}{p} Z^\top W^{-1} Z \sim F_{p, m-p+1}$$

$$\text{here } T^2 \sim \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p} F_{p, N-p} = \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p} \cdot \frac{U/p}{V/(N-p)}, U \sim \chi_p^2, V \sim \chi_{N-p}^2 \Rightarrow T^2 \sim (N-1) \frac{U}{V}$$

$$\text{when } N, p \rightarrow \infty, \frac{p}{N} \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, 1), X_p \approx N(p, p), X_{N-p}^2 \approx (N-p, 2(N-p)) \Rightarrow U \approx p + \sqrt{p} Z_1, V \approx (N-p) + \sqrt{(N-p)} Z_2$$

$$T^2 \sim (N-1) \frac{U}{V} = g(U, V), \text{ according to CLT, } g \text{ is approximately Gaussian}$$

$$\text{Taylor's formula in } g(m): g(U, V) \approx g(m) + \nabla g(m)^\top \begin{pmatrix} U-m_U \\ V-m_V \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{denote } m = \begin{pmatrix} m_U \\ m_V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E[U] \\ E[V] \end{pmatrix})$$

$$\text{So } b_N = g(p, N-p) = (N-1) \frac{p}{N-p} \quad (E[U] = p, E[V] = N-p)$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial U} = (N-1) \frac{1}{U}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial V} = (N-1) \cdot \left(-\frac{U}{V^2} \right) \Rightarrow \nabla g(p, N-p) = \left(\frac{N-1}{N-p}, -\frac{(N-1)p}{(N-p)^2} \right),$$

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 2p & 0 \\ 0 & 2(N-p) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ Var}(g(U, V)) \approx \nabla g(m)^\top \text{Var}(U, V) \nabla g(m) \Rightarrow \text{Var}(T^2) = \left(\frac{N-1}{N-p}\right)^2 (2p) + \left(\frac{(N-1)p}{(N-p)^2}\right)^2 (2(N-p)),$$

$$\text{To let } a_N(T^2 - b_N) \sim N(0, 1), a_N = \sqrt{\text{Var}(T^2)}, b_N = (N-1) \frac{p}{N-p}$$

$$(f) p > N, \text{ rank}(S_N) \leq N-1 \Leftrightarrow S_N \text{ is singular, so } T^2 \text{ cannot work}$$

alternative option: Use the norm of mean vector, test how it's close to zero
the rest is in Notebook