

Background

We consider a survey population $U = \{1, \dots, N\}$ with N known. On U , a real variable of interest y is defined. We suppose that a sample s is drawn from U w.r.p $p(s)$.

To estimate $Y = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i$, one may employ a homogeneous linear unbiased estimator (HLE) $t_s = \sum_{i \in s} y_i h_{si} = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i h_{si} I_{si}$

where h_{si} 's are constants fun of $\underline{y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ and $I_{si} = 1$ if $i \in s$; and 0 otherwise. Then the MSE is

$$M(t_s) = E_p [t_s - Y]^2 = \sum_i \sum_j d_{ij} Y_i Y_j$$

where E_p denotes expectation operator w.r.t the sampling design p corresponding to $p(s)$ above and

$$d_{ij} = E_p [(h_{si} I_{si} - 1)(h_{sj} I_{sj} - 1)].$$

Rao (1973) proved the following result on MSE of a HLE of Y :

- Theorem 1. Let there exist constants $w_i (>0)$ independent of \underline{y} , such that

$$\ll \forall i \in U \quad z_i = \frac{y_i}{w_i} \text{ is a constant} \gg$$

$$\implies M(t_s) = 0.$$

$$\text{Then, } M(t_s) = - \sum_i \sum_j d_{ij} w_i w_j (z_i - z_j)^2, \text{ uniformly in } \underline{y}.$$

This theorem was proved in our class.

By considering fixed effective sample size design and $\pi_i > 0$ $\forall i$, one can show that the Horvitz-Thompson estimator

$$\hat{y}_{HT} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\pi_i} \text{ satisfies Rao's condition (with } w_i = \pi_i).$$

In this case, one has
$$d_{ij} = \frac{\pi_{ij} - \pi_i \pi_j}{\pi_i \pi_j}$$

and from unbiasedness of \hat{y}_{HT} and Rao's theorem, we get

$$Var(\hat{y}_{HT}) = \sum_{i < j}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \\ 1953}} (\pi_i \pi_j - \pi_{ij}) \left(\frac{y_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{y_j}{\pi_j} \right)^2 \quad (\text{Yates and Grundy})$$

We get an unbiased estimator of this variance (assuming $\forall i, j \pi_{ij} > 0$) as

$$\sum_{i < j} \sum_{i \in U, j \in U} \left(\frac{\pi_i \pi_j - \pi_{ij}}{\pi_i \pi_j} \right) \left(\frac{y_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{y_j}{\pi_j} \right)^2$$

We wish to provide another unbiased estimator of $Var(\hat{y}_{HT})$ when Rao's condition is NOT satisfied.

- Theorem 2, let there exist $w_i (\neq 0)$ independent of y_i for $i \in U$. If $z_i = \frac{y_i}{w_i}$ then

$$M(t_h) = - \sum_{i < j}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \\ 1953}} d_{ij} w_i w_j (z_i - z_j)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{y_i^2}{w_i} \cdot \alpha_i$$

$$\text{where } \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^N d_{ij} w_j.$$

proof:
$$M(t_h) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N d_{ij} Y_i Y_j$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij} Y_i Y_j + \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i^2 \cdot d_{ii}$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij} Y_i Y_j - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} (\alpha_i - d_{ii} w_i) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} \cdot \alpha_i$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij} Y_i Y_j - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^N d_{ij} w_j - d_{ii} w_i \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} \cdot \alpha_i$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij} Y_i Y_j - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} \left[\sum_{j \neq i} d_{ij} w_j \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} \cdot \alpha_i$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij} w_i w_j \left[\frac{Y_i^2}{w_i^2} + \frac{Y_j^2}{w_j^2} - 2 \frac{Y_i Y_j}{w_i w_j} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} \cdot \alpha_i$$

$$= - \sum_{i < j}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \\ 1953}} d_{ij} w_i w_j (z_i - z_j)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Y_i^2}{w_i} \cdot \alpha_i \quad \square$$

- Corollary 1. If Rao's condition does not hold, then the expression of variance of \hat{y}_{HT} is given by

$$Var^*(\hat{y}_{HT}) = \sum_{i < j} \sum_{j=1}^N (\pi_i \pi_j - \pi_{ij}) \left(\frac{y_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{y_j}{\pi_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\alpha_i y_i^2}{\pi_i}$$

$$\text{where } \alpha_i = 1 + \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{ij}}{\pi_i} - \sum_{j=1}^N \pi_j$$

proof: For \hat{y}_{HT} , $\alpha_i = \frac{N}{\pi_i} d_i \omega_i$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{N}{\sum_{j=1}^N} \left(\frac{\pi_{ij} - \pi_i \pi_j}{\pi_i \pi_j} \right) \cdot \pi_j \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N \pi_{ij}}{\pi_i} - \sum_{j=1}^N \pi_j \\
 &= 1 + \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{ij}}{\pi_i} - \sum_{j=1}^N \pi_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

The next follows from Theorem 2 and unbiasedness of \hat{y}_{HT} .

- Corollary 2. An unbiased estimator of $Var^*(\hat{y}_{HT})$ is given by

$$\hat{V}^*(\hat{y}_{HT}) = \sum_{i < j} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\pi_i \pi_j - \pi_{ij}}{\pi_i \pi_j} \right) \left(\frac{y_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{y_j}{\pi_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\alpha_i y_i^2}{\pi_i^2}$$

Solution of the Problem

Let E_R denote and V_R denote the expectation and variance operators w.r.t the randomisation device described in the problem.

Let E_p and V_p denote the expectation and variance operators w.r.t the sampling design.

We have $E_R(z_i) = Cy_i + \sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j$

$$\Rightarrow E_R(\pi_i) = y_i \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_i = \frac{z_i - \sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j}{C}$$

Now we write $\underline{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_i, \dots, Y_N)$ and $\underline{R} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_i, \dots, \pi_N)$ generically. We consider the estimator

$$t = t(\underline{y}, \underline{R}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in s} \frac{\pi_i}{\pi_i} \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_i = \sum_{s \ni i} p(s)$$

(This is valid as it is given that $\pi_i > 0 \forall i$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } E(t) &= E_p E_R(t) = E_p E_R \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in s} \frac{\pi_i}{\pi_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} E_p \left[\sum_{i \in s} \frac{E_R(\pi_i)}{\pi_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} E_p \left[\sum_{i \in s} \frac{y_i}{\pi_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot Y \quad (\text{from the unbiasedness of HT estimator}) \\ &= \bar{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in s} \frac{\pi_i}{\pi_i}$ is an UE for \bar{Y} .

We note that $E_R(t) = \frac{1}{N} \hat{y}_{HT}$

$$\text{and } E_p(t) = \bar{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now, } V(t) &= E_R V_P(t) + V_R E_P(t) \\
&= E_R V_P \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\pi_i}{\pi_i} \right] + V_R (\bar{R}) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot E_R \cdot V_P \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\pi_i}{\pi_i} \right] + \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot V_R \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{R}_i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot E_R V_{\text{con}}^* (\hat{\alpha}_{HT}) + \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N V_R(\pi_i) \\
&\quad \text{(as } \pi_i \text{'s are independent of each other)} \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} E_R \left[E_P \left\{ \hat{V}^* (\hat{\alpha}_{HT}) \right\} \right] + \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N V_R(\pi_i) \\
&\quad \text{(from Corollary 2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} E_R E_P \left[\hat{V}^* (\hat{\alpha}_{HT}) \right] + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E_R (v_i) \\
&\quad \text{(where } v_i \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } V_i = V_R(\pi_i)) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} E \left[\hat{V}^* (\hat{\alpha}_{HT}) \right] + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N E (v_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} E \left[\hat{V}^* (\hat{\alpha}_{HT}) \right] + \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot E \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{v_i}{\pi_i} \right] \\
&= E \left[\frac{1}{N^2} \left\{ \hat{V}^* (\hat{\alpha}_{HT}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{v_i}{\pi_i} \right\} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

So, ~~we have to~~ it is enough to find an unbiased estimator v_i of $V_i = V_R(\pi_i)$, in order to produce an unbiased estimator of $V(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now, } V_R(\pi_i) &= \frac{1}{c^2} \cdot V_R(\bar{z}_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \cdot \left[E_R(\bar{z}_i^2) - E_R^2(\bar{z}_i) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \left[c \cdot y_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j^2 - \left(c \cdot y_i + \sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \left[c(1-c)y_i^2 - 2c \cdot y_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j + \sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j \right)^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha \cdot y_i^2 + \beta \cdot y_i + \gamma \\
\text{where } \alpha &= \frac{1-c}{c}, \quad \beta = -\frac{2}{c} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j \\
\gamma &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^M q_j x_j \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } V_i = V_R(\pi_i) = \alpha \cdot y_i^2 + \beta \cdot y_i + \gamma.$$

$$\text{Let } v_i = \frac{\alpha \cdot \pi_i^2 + \beta \cdot \pi_i + \gamma}{1+\alpha}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } E_R(v_i) &= \frac{\alpha \cdot E_R(\pi_i^2) + \beta \cdot E_R(\pi_i) + \gamma}{1+\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\alpha \cdot \{V_i + y_i^2\} + \beta \cdot y_i + \gamma}{1+\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\alpha \cdot V_i + \alpha \cdot y_i^2 + \beta \cdot y_i + \gamma}{1+\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\alpha \cdot V_i + V_i}{1+\alpha} = V_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, an unbiased estimation of $V(t)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \left[\sum_{i < j \in S} \left(\frac{\pi_i \pi_j - \pi_{ij}}{\pi_{ij}} \right) \left(\frac{y_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{y_j}{\pi_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{i \in S} \frac{\alpha \cdot \pi_i^2}{\pi_i^2} + \sum_{i \in S} \frac{v_i}{\pi_i} \right]$$

Note that, the above estimator covers both the cases

(i) $Y(s)$ = fixed for all samples $s \in J$

↓
distinct units in s

(ii) $Y(s)$ not fixed.

When $Y(s)$ = fixed for all samples $s \in J = n$ (let)

then we have $\sum_{j=1}^N \pi_j = n$ and $\sum_{j \neq i}^N \pi_{ij} = (n-1) \pi_i$

$$\text{Hence, in this case } \alpha_i = 1 + \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{ij}}{\pi_i} - \sum_{j=1}^N \pi_j$$

$$= 1 + \frac{(n-1)\pi_i}{\pi_i} - n$$

$$= 1 + (n-1) - n = 0$$

and the expression of the above variance estimator becomes less complicated.