

# AIML Threat Map v 1.7

## ▼ Threat Not Understanding AIML Models

- Not understanding how generative AIML works
- Underestimating the complexity of AIML & the dynamic nature of GenAI
- Not coordinating AIML Teams, DevSecOps Teams, & Cybersecurity for development, patching, and incident response
- Forcing AIML management (maintenance & risk) into legacy process which don't fit it's complexity, velocity, and mutable characteristics
- Choosing the wrong AI tool for the task
- Over relying on AI without a human in the loop
- Failing to keep models and data up to date
- Hidden Technical Debt

## ▼ Threat Using AMLI Models

- LLM01: Prompt Injection
- LLM02: Insecure Output Handling
- LLM03: Trained Data Poisoning
- LLM05: Supply Chain Attack
- LLM06: Sensitive Information Disclosure
- LLM07: Insecure Plugin Design
- LLM08: Excessive Agency
- LLM09: Overreliance
- Poisoned Memory
- ▼ Indirect Prompt Injection
  - Passive
  - Active
  - User-driven Injection
  - Hidden injection
  - Payload Splitting
- Fake Resources
- Copyright infringement
- Surveillance: model or advertisers tracking users
- Persuasion, Deception, Influence

- Anthropomorphism

## ▼ Threats to AIML Models



- LLM04: Denial of Service
- LLM10: Model Theft
- ML03: Model Inversion Attack
- ML07: Transfer Learning Attack
- ML08: Model Skewing Attack
- ML10: Model Poisoning
- Inadequate AI Alignment
- Improper Error Handling
- Robust multi-prompt and multi-model attacks
- Traditional Attacks

## ▼ Threats from AIML Models

- Misidentification i.e. wrongful arrest
- False Information i.e. criminal offenses
- Misinformation influence i.e. elections
- Private Information used in training
- Tricky user acceptance (complex, long, legal language)
- Unclear data owner (video recording in checkout, recording bots in meetings)

### ▼ Deep Fakes

- Disinformation campaigns
- Abused authentication
- Synthetic or composite fakes

### ▼ Shallow Fake

- Slightly altered fake image

### ▼ Attack Acceleration

- FraudGPT
- DarkBARD
- DarkGPT
- PoisonGPT
- DarkBERT
- DAN 9.0
- ChaosGPT

- Hallucination Squatting
- Artificial Consciousness
- Honey or Poisoned Characters
- Social Influence: Persuasion, Deception, Influence
- AI Inhuman cognition capabilities

▼ **AIML Legal & Regulatory Threats**

▼ Legal

- Product Warranties
- Indemnification
- Copyright
- Licensing
- Legal Obligations (e.g. fiduciary responsibility)
- Privacy

▼ Regulatory

▼ US Federal

- Department of Justice (DOJ)
- Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC)
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

▼ US State Law

▼ Against AI Profiling

- California
- Colorado
- Connecticut
- Maryland (hiring only)
- New Jersey (civil rights, employment)
- New York (hiring only)
- Virginia
- Tennessee

▼ US State Law chatbot notification

- California

▼ US State Law Privacy

- Colorado
- Connecticut

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Indiana</li><li>▪ Iowa</li><li>▪ Montana</li><li>▪ Oregon</li><li>▪ Tennessee</li><li>▪ Texas</li><li>▪ Utah</li><li>▪ Virginia</li><li>▪ Washington</li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▼ US State Law Biometrics<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Florida</li><li>▪ Illinois</li><li>▪ Washington</li><li>▪ Maryland</li><li>▪ Vermont</li></ul></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ EU AI Act</li><li>▪ Canada GenAI Guardrails</li><li>▪ China GenAI Measures</li><li>▪ Peru Law six core principles</li><li>▪ Spain AESIA</li><li>▪ South Korea Digital Bill of Rights</li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▼ <b>Threats NOT using AIML Models</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Competitive Disadvantage</li><li>▪ Limited Customer Engagement: Inablility to scale personalized communication</li><li>▪ Innovation Stagnation: Slower pace of innovation and improvements</li></ul></li></ul>