

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS



The Date of the 11th Paripāḍal

Author(s): K. G. Śaṅkar

Source: *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland*, No. 3 (Jul., 1932), pp. 541-545

Published by: [Cambridge University Press](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25194547>

Accessed: 28/04/2013 06:21

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Cambridge University Press and Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

The Date of the 11th Paripāḍal

By K. G. ŚĀṆKAR

THE *Paripāḍal*, which belongs to the *Eṭṭutogai* collection, is of unique interest in that it enables us to fix the Saṅgham age accurately, by a careful consideration of its astronomical data. The data are contained in the following opening lines of the 11th *Paripāḍal* of Nallanduvanār:—

*virikadir madiyamoḍu viyal viṣumbu puṇarppa
verisaḍaiyeḷilvēḷan talaiyenak kīḷirundu
teruvidaiṇṇadutta mūṇṇonpadirṇṇirukkaiyu
ḷurukeḷu vēḷḷivandēṇṇiyal śēra
varuḍaiyaip paḍimagan vāyppap poruḍeri
pundi mitunam porundap pular viḍiya
laṇḍiyuyar nīṇpa vantaṇan paṇguvi
nillattuṇaikkuppāleyda viṇaiyaman
villīṇkaḍai makaramēvaṇ pāmbollai
madiyamaṇaiya varuṇālil vāynda
podiyin munivan puraivaraikkīṇi
mitunamaḍaiya virikadir vēni
ledirvaravu māṇiyiyaikena vivvāṇṇār
puraikēḷu śaiyam poḷimalaitāḷa.*

In this passage the actual positions of the leading planets and stars are given as observed at daybreak on a day at the beginning of a rainy season. The first three lines inform us that the heavens were divided into three *rūṭhūs*, named after the *rāśis* indicated by *eri* (Kṛttikā, whose God is Agni), *śaḍai* (Ārdrā, the asterism of Śiva), and *vēḷam* (Rēvatī or Bharanī, whose *yōni* is the elephant). Parimēlaḷagar, commenting on them, says that by Kṛttikā is meant Rṣabha, which includes $\frac{3}{4}$ Kṛttikā segment. But this assumes without proof that Nallanduvanār used a Rēvatyādi zodiac; and it is besides strange that he should have indicated a *rāśi* by a *nakṣatra* segment, part of which was in another *rāśi* (Mēṣa).

We must, therefore, infer that Kṛttikā, Ārdrā, and Rēvatī or Bharaṇī are the asterisms so named, and not the segments, and that they were in Ṛṣabha (30° to 60°), Mithuna (60° to 90°) and Mēṣa (0° to 30°) respectively. The longitudes of these asterisms are given in several *siddhāntas*, but the only dated *siddhānta* is the *Brahma-Siddhānta* of Śaka 550 = 628 A.C. According to it, the polar longitudes of Rēvatī, Bharaṇī, Kṛttikā, and Ārdrā are 0° , 20° , $37^\circ 28'$, and 67° respectively. The *yogatārā* of Rēvatī is identified with ζ Piscium, whose longitude in 1690 A.C. was, according to Flamsteed's *Catalogus Britannicus*, $15^\circ 32'$. If therefore by *vēlam* we mean Rēvatī, it could not have been in Mēṣa before 628 A.C., and the *Paripādal* would have to be dated then or thereafter only. But this is not necessary, as by *vēlam* Bharaṇī may have been meant. The *yogatārā* of Bharaṇī is identified with 35 Arietis ($42^\circ 36'$ in 1690 A.C.), or with 41 Arietis ($43^\circ 52'$ in 1690 A.C.). The true longitude of Bharaṇī, corresponding to its polar longitude of 20° is $24^\circ 41'$, and its precession in 1,062 years (from 628 A.C. to 1690 A.C.) is therefore $17^\circ 55'$ or $19^\circ 11'$. Since these give us the rate of sidereal precession as 1° in every $59\frac{1}{4}$ or $55\frac{1}{2}$ years, of which the former accords more closely with the true rate of 1° in 61 years, as determined by Mr. L. D. Svāmikaṇṇu Pillai, we have to identify Bharaṇī with 35 Arietis only. Accepting this identification, we may infer that Bharaṇī could not have been in Mēṣa before $24^\circ 41' \times 59\frac{1}{4} - 627 = 835$ B.C. or after $(30^\circ - 24^\circ 41') \times 59\frac{1}{4} + 628 = 943$ A.C. This period of 1,777 years (835 B.C. to 943 A.C.) is too wide for our purpose. But Kṛttikā and Ārdrā will help us to define the limits more closely. The *yogatārā* of Kṛttikā is identified with Alcyone ($55^\circ 40'$ in 1690 A.C.), and its true longitude is $38^\circ 58'$. Its precession in 1,062 years is therefore $16^\circ 42'$, yielding a rate of 1° in $63\frac{1}{2}$ years, and it could not have been in Ṛṣabha before $628 - 8^\circ 58' \times 63\frac{1}{2} = 58$ A.C. The *yogatārā* of Ārdrā is usually identified with ∞ Orionis ($84^\circ 25'$ in 1690 A.C.), and its true longitude is $65^\circ 5'$. Its precession in 1,062 years is therefore $19^\circ 20'$,

yielding a rate of 1° in 55 years. But this rate is too wide of the true rate (1° in 61 years), and the latitude of ∞ Orionis is $16^\circ 4' \text{ S.}$, while the polar latitude of Ādrā is given as 11° S. The *yogatārā* of Ādrā may therefore be more correctly identified with 135 Tauri of $9^\circ 10' \text{ S.}$ latitude, and true longitude of $83^\circ 20'$ in 1690 A.C. Its precession in 1,062 years would be $18^\circ 15'$, yielding a more probable rate of 1° in $58\frac{1}{8}$ years, and it could not have been in Mithuna before $628 - 5^\circ 5' \times 58\frac{1}{8} = 332 \text{ A.C.}$ Even calculating at the true rate of 1° in 61 years, Ādrā could not have been in Mithuna before $628 - 5^\circ 5' \times 61 = 318 \text{ A.C.}$ Putting together the inferences from the positions of Rēvatī or Bharanī, Kṛttikā and Ādrā in Mēṣa, R̥ṣabha, and Mithuna respectively, we may conclude that c. 300 A.C. is the earlier limit for the date of the 11th *Paripāḍal*. On the other hand, it is certain that c. 700 A.C. is the later limit, as according to the Cinnamanūr plates the Saṅgham was founded and the victory at Talai-ālaṅgānam won by ancestors of Māravarman Arikēsari. The *Paripāḍal* must therefore be dated between c. 300 and c. 700 A.C. It may also be pointed out that according to the *Paripāḍal* the *nakṣatras* were not Kṛttikādi, as in that case Rēvatī or Bharanī should be in Mīna, not Mēṣa.

We are then told that Venus was in R̥ṣabha (30° to 60°), Mars in Mēṣa (0° to 30°), and Mercury in Mithuna (60° to 90°). Then we have the phrase *aṅgi uyar nīrpa*. Parimēlaḷagar says this means that Kṛttikā was in the zenith. If this is right, the Sun was in $90^\circ + 39^\circ = 129^\circ$, and Venus and Mercury, whose maximum distances from the Sun are 48° and 26° , cannot have longitudes less than 81° and 103° , which are at least 21° and 13° beyond the required positions. *Uyar* cannot therefore mean "Zenith", but only "visibly high up". Again, *aṅgi* may mean either Kṛttikā (the asterism of Agni) or the asterism Agni, identified with β Tauri, whose longitude in 1690 A.C. was $78^\circ 14'$. Jupiter is said to be in Mīna (330° to 360°). The position of Saturn is indicated by the words *villir kaḍai makara mēva*. Parimēlaḷagar takes it

to mean that Saturn was in Makara (270° to 300°). But in that case, the mention of *vil* (Dhanu) has no significance. It will be noticed that Mars, Venus, Mercury, and Jupiter are all in what are astrologically known as *svakṣētras* (own houses), and it is possible that Saturn was really in Dhanu, but Nallanduvanār was influenced by the astrological notion of planets in *svakṣētra* indicating heavy showers to link Dhanu with Makara in placing Saturn. If so, we have to consider the possibility of Saturn being in Dhanu (240° to 270°), especially as Nallanduvanār was acquainted with the *svakṣētras* of planets (*paṅguvin illattuṇai*) and the theory of *vūhis*. Then we have the words *pāmbollai madiya maṛaiya varunāḷil*. They naturally mean that a lunar eclipse was shortly expected, though it is possible to take them to mean that the moon set in the region of Āślēṣa (the asterism of Sarpa). Agastya (Canopus) is then said to be in Mithuna (60° to 90°), but there is no reference here to its heliacal rising, which in Varāha-mihira's time (c. 500 A.C.) happened when the Sun was in 143° . The longitude of Canopus in 1690 A.C. was $100^\circ 46'$, and so in c. 300 A.C. its longitude was $100^\circ 46' - \frac{(1690-300)}{61} = 78^\circ$.

Since Agastya (at least 78°) is said to have been above the horizon, the Sun's longitude cannot be less than 78° . Nor can it be more than 108° , as Venus, whose maximum distance from the Sun is 48° , was between 30° and 60° . The Sun's longitude was therefore between 78° and 108° , and the solar day must have been between the 81st and the 111th. Between the 81st and 111th solar days, the mean longitudes of the major planets, corresponding to their geocentric ones, Saturn (240° to 300°), Jupiter (330° to 0°), and Mars (0° to 30°), are Saturn (243° to 306°), Jupiter (321° to 348°), and Mars (314° to 351°). Between these same solar days in 1 B.C. their mean longitudes were Saturn (73° to 74°), Jupiter (170° to 172°), and Mars (297° to 313°). The required increases in their mean longitudes are therefore: Saturn (169° to 233°),

Jupiter (149° to 178°), and Mars (1° to 54°). Between 300 and 700 A.C., these increases are found only in 397 A.C. and 634 A.C. The increases in 397 A.C. are Saturn 171° , Jupiter 168° , and Mars 28° , and in 634 A.C. the increases are Saturn 187° , Jupiter 161° , and Mars 31° . But in 397 A.C. there was no lunar eclipse between the 81st and 111th solar day, while in 634 A.C. there was a lunar eclipse on Āshāḍha Paurṇamī, 16th June (89th solar day), the Paurṇamī *tithi* ending at .94 of the day. At daybreak on that day the planets were all in their required positions, Saturn 257° , Jupiter 341° , Mars 18° , Venus 43° , and Mercury 69° . Since 634 A.C. is only six years after Brahmagupta, the longitude of Kṛttikā was then 39° and, the Sun being then in 86° , the asterism, if it is the *aṅgi* of the text, was 47° above the horizon. If, on the other hand, the asterism was Agni, its longitude was then $78^\circ 14' - \frac{(1690-634)}{61} = 61^\circ$, and it was 25° above the horizon. The longitude of Agastya in that year was $100^\circ 46' - \frac{(1690-634)}{61} = 83^\circ$, i.e. it was in Mithuna; and it is well

known that the monsoon generally begins about the 16th June. The 16th June, 634 A.C., is therefore the only date that completely satisfies the astronomical data of the *Paripāḍal*, and the credit for discovering it is due to Mr. Svāmikanṇu Pillai, though his demonstration left much to be desired. It will be noticed that this date for the *Paripāḍal* is in perfect agreement with the date for the Saṅgham age (seventh century A.C.) determined by me on other grounds, in my paper on "The Date of Māṇikyavācaka" (*Journal of the Mythic Society*, vol. 22, pp. 54-5).

78.

CALCUTTA.

1st November, 1931.